

**The quantification of
drug-caused mortality
and morbidity in
Australia, 1998**

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare is Australia's national health and welfare statistics and information agency. The Institute's mission is to improve the health and well-being of Australians by informing community discussion and decision making through national leadership in developing and providing health and welfare statistics and information.

DRUG STATISTICS SERIES
Number 7

The quantification of drug-caused mortality and morbidity in Australia, 1998

**Bruno Ridolfo
Chris Stevenson**

February 2001

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
Canberra

AIHW cat. no. PHE 29

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2001

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be directed to the Head, Media and Publishing Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601.

A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Publications Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601, or via the Institute's web site (<http://www.aihw.gov.au>).

ISSN 1442-7230

ISBN 1 74024 097 9

Suggested citation

Ridolfo B, Stevenson C 2001. The quantification of drug-caused mortality and morbidity in Australia, 1998. AIHW cat. no. PHE 29. Canberra: AIHW (Drug Statistics Series no. 7).

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Board Chair
Professor Janice Reid

Director
Dr Richard Madden

Any enquiries about or comments on this publication should be directed to:

Chris Stevenson
Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
GPO Box 570
Canberra ACT 2601

Phone: (02) 6244 1041

E-mail: chris.stevenson@aihw.gov.au

Published by Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Printed by Canberra Publishing & Printing

Contents

Contents	v
List of tables	viii
Summary	xiii
Deaths attributable to alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs	xiii
Hospital separations attributable to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs	xiv
Revision of the aetiological fractions	xiv
Preface	xvii
1 Introduction	1
2 Methods	2
2.1 Methods of quantification of drug caused morbidity and mortality	2
2.1.1 Aetiological fractions	2
2.1.2 Measures of mortality	4
2.1.3 Measures of morbidity	5
2.2 Conditions included in this report	6
2.3 Aetiological fractions selected for revision	12
2.4 Literature search	13
2.5 Prevalence of exposure data	13
2.5.1 Alcohol data	13
2.5.2 Data on cigarette smoking	18
3 Alcohol	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol	21
3.2.1 Alcohol and breast cancer among females	21
3.2.2 Alcohol and stroke	24
3.2.3 Alcohol and road injuries	30
3.2.4 Alcohol and fall injuries	38
3.2.5 Aetiological fractions for alcohol updated with recent prevalence data	42
3.3 Aetiological fractions for alcohol left unrevised	44
3.3.1 Epilepsy	44
3.3.2 Oesophageal varices	44

3.3.3 Gastro-oesophageal haemorrhage	44
3.3.4 Pancreatitis, acute and chronic	45
3.3.5 Fire injuries	45
3.3.6 Drowning	45
3.3.7 Aspiration	45
3.3.8 Occupational and machine injuries	45
3.3.9 Assault.....	45
3.3.10 Child abuse.....	45
4 Tobacco	54
4.1 Introduction	54
4.1.1 Aetiological fractions associated with cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	54
4.2 Revised aetiological fractions for tobacco.....	54
4.2.1 Tobacco and cervix cancer.....	54
4.2.2 Tobacco and peptic ulcer	56
4.2.3 Passive exposure to tobacco smoke and its health effects in pregnancy and childhood.....	63
4.2.4 Aetiological fractions for tobacco updated with recent prevalence data.....	69
4.3 Unrevised aetiological fractions for tobacco	71
5 Illicit drugs.....	84
5.1 Introduction	84
5.2 Revised aetiological fractions for illicit drugs.....	86
5.2.1 Illicit drug use and road injuries	86
5.2.2 Illicit drug use and HIV/AIDS.....	88
5.2.3 Illicit drug use and antepartum haemorrhage	89
5.2.4 Illicit drug use and low birthweight.....	90
5.3 Unrevised aetiological fractions for illicit drugs	91
5.3.1 Opiates and suicide.....	91
5.3.2 Injecting drug use and viral hepatitis.....	92
5.3.3 Injecting drug use and infective endocarditis	92
6 Attributable mortality in 1998.....	93
6.1 Alcohol.....	93
6.2 Tobacco	94
6.3 Illicit drugs	94
7 Attributable hospital separations in 1998.....	102

7.1 Alcohol.....	102
7.2 Tobacco	102
7.3 Illicit drugs	103
Appendix A Partial aetiological fractions for alcohol using low consumption as the reference level.....	110
A.1 Methods.....	110
A.2 Results.....	111
Appendix B Studies reviewed in revising relative risk estimates	128
References	147

List of tables

Table 2.1: Causes of death and principal diagnoses identified as alcohol-related conditions	8
Table 2.2: Causes of death and principal diagnoses identified as tobacco-related conditions	9
Table 2.3: Causes of death and principal diagnoses identified as illicit drug-related conditions	11
Table 2.4: Conditions selected for detailed study and risk ratio revision.....	12
Table 2.5: Alcohol intake levels used in this report	14
Table 2.6: Approximate equivalents of alcohol consumption used in this report.....	14
Table 2.7: Prevalence of alcohol consumption among Australians, by gender, 1989	15
Table 2.8: Prevalence of alcohol consumption among Australians, by gender, 1995	17
Table 2.9: Alcohol intake during pregnancy, Tasmania, 1993.....	17
Table 2.10: Smoking prevalence among Australian males, 1995.....	19
Table 2.11: Smoking prevalence among Australian females, 1995	19
Table 2.12: Cigarette smoking during pregnancy, Tasmania, 1993	20
Table 3.1: Revised pooled estimates of relative risk for alcohol exposure and female breast cancer.....	24
Table 3.2: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and breast cancer	24
Table 3.3: Revised pooled estimates of relative risk for alcohol exposure and ischaemic stroke.....	28
Table 3.4: Revised pooled estimates of relative risk for alcohol exposure and haemorrhagic stroke	29
Table 3.5: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and ischaemic stroke	29
Table 3.6: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and haemorrhagic stroke	30
Table 3.7: Blood alcohol prevalence for motor vehicle driver and motorcycle rider accident deaths, 1996	32
Table 3.8: Blood alcohol prevalence for pedestrian accident deaths, 1996.....	32
Table 3.9: Blood alcohol concentration among motor vehicle drivers and motorcycle riders hospitalised as a result of accidents, 1994 to 1996.....	33
Table 3.10: Blood alcohol concentration among pedestrians hospitalised as a result of accidents, 1994 to 1996.....	33
Table 3.11: Risk estimates for alcohol exposure and motor vehicle driver and motorcycle rider deaths as a result of accident.....	34
Table 3.12: Rescaled risk estimates for alcohol exposure and motor vehicle driver and motorcycle rider accident deaths	34

Table 3.13: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and motor vehicle driver and motorcycle rider deaths	35
Table 3.14: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and pedestrian deaths	36
Table 3.15: Risk estimates for alcohol exposure and motor vehicle and motorcycle accident hospitalisations: males and females, all ages	36
Table 3.16: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and driver and rider accident hospitalisations	37
Table 3.17: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and pedestrian hospitalisations	38
Table 3.18: Revised aetiological fractions for alcohol and falls	42
Table 3.19: Conditions where aetiological fractions were based on the English et al. risk-ratio estimates but revised to incorporate updated prevalence estimates.....	44
Table 3.20: Aetiological fractions not revised	46
Table 3.21: Revised values for fractions based on the English et al. risk-ratio estimates and updated prevalence data	47
Table 4.1: Relative risk estimates for smoking and <i>H. pylori</i> exposure for peptic ulcer disease	61
Table 4.2: Prevalence of <i>H. pylori</i> infection among Australians, by age and sex, 1998	61
Table 4.3: Proportion of the population smoking 10 or more cigarettes a day, by age and sex, 1995	61
Table 4.4: Proportion of the population who smoke 10 or more cigarettes per day and who would remain <i>H. pylori</i> positive after therapy to eradicate <i>H. pylori</i> infection	62
Table 4.5: Revised aetiological fractions for tobacco exposure and peptic ulcer disease	62
Table 4.6: Sudden infant death syndrome and maternal smoking	64
Table 4.7: Proportion of people who have never smoked but who have spouses that are current smokers	67
Table 4.8: Proportion of the population who are current smokers, ex-smokers or have never smoked, 1995	67
Table 4.9: People who have never smoked: revised aetiological fraction for lung cancer attributable to smoking by a spouse	68
Table 4.10: People who have never smoked: revised aetiological fractions for ischaemic heart disease attributable to smoking by a spouse	68
Table 4.11: Conditions for which aetiological fractions were based on the English et al. risk-ratio estimates but were revised to incorporate updated estimates of prevalence.....	69
Table 4.12: Revised values for tobacco fractions based on the English et al. risk-ratio estimates and updated prevalence data.....	71
Table 5.1: Conditions associated with illicit drug use that have an aetiological fraction of one	85
Table 5.2: Age and sex of drivers included in responsibility analysis	87

Table 5.3:	Culpability score for drivers involved in motor vehicle accidents, by drug class.....	87
Table 5.4:	Relative risk and confidence intervals for drivers involved in motor vehicle accidents	88
Table 5.5:	New cases of HIV infection and AIDS, by sex and exposure category, 1996 to 1998	89
Table 5.6:	Proportion of women aged 14–39 years using opiates or cocaine, 1995 to 1998	90
Table 5.7:	Revised aetiological fractions for antepartum haemorrhage and opiate or cocaine use.....	90
Table 5.8:	Revised aetiological fractions for low birthweight and opiate or cocaine use.....	91
Table 5.9:	Aetiological fractions for injecting drug use and viral hepatitis.....	92
Table 6.1:	Deaths attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: males, 1998.....	96
Table 6.2:	Deaths attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: females, 1998	97
Table 6.3:	Deaths attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: persons, 1998	98
Table 6.4:	PYLL attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: males, 1998.....	99
Table 6.5:	PYLL attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: females, 1998	100
Table 6.6:	PYLL attributable to drug use, by drug involved, cause of death and age: persons, 1998	101
Table 7.1:	Hospital separations attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: males, 1997–98.....	104
Table 7.2:	Hospital separations attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: females, 1997–98	105
Table 7.3:	Hospital separations attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: persons, 1997–98	106
Table 7.4:	Hospital patient days attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: males, 1997–98.....	107
Table 7.5:	Hospital patient days attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: females, 1997–98	108
Table 7.6:	Hospital patient days attributable to drug use, by drug, reason for separation and age: persons, 1997–98	109
Table A1:	Partial aetiological fractions for harmful and hazardous levels of alcohol consumption relative to moderate levels of consumption, by condition, age and sex	112
Table A2:	Deaths attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: males, 1996 to 1998	116

Table A3: Deaths attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: females, 1996 to 1998.....	117
Table A4: Deaths attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: persons, 1996 to 1998.....	118
Table A5: PYLL attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: males, 1996 to 1998	119
Table A6: PYLL attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: females, 1996 to 1998.....	120
Table A7: PYLL attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by cause of death, age and year: persons, 1996 to 1998.....	121
Table A8: Separations attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: males, 1995–96 to 1997–98	122
Table A9: Separations attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: females, 1995–96 to 1997–98.....	123
Table A10: Separations attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: persons, 1995–96 to 1997–98.....	124
Table A11: Patient days attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: males, 1995–96 to 1997–98	125
Table A12: Patient days attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: females, 1995–96 to 1997–98.....	126
Table A13: Patient days attributable to hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption relative to low consumption, by reason for separation, age and year: persons, 1995–96 to 1997–98.....	127
Table B.1: Studies used by English et al. to revise aetiological fractions for female breast cancer attributable to alcohol.....	129
Table B.2: Studies used by English et al. and relative effect measures for aetiological fractions for female breast cancer and alcohol.....	132
Table B.3: Studies reviewed to revise aetiological fractions for female breast cancer attributable to alcohol.....	136
Table B.4: Risk estimates for studies reviewed to revise aetiological fractions for female breast cancer attributable to alcohol.....	137
Table B.5: Studies reviewed to revise aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and stroke.....	139
Table B.6: Studies used by English et al. to revise aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and stroke	141

Table B.7: Studies used to revise aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and stroke with associated relative effect measures 143

Table B.8: Studies used to revise aetiological fractions for alcohol exposure and fall injuries..... 145

Summary

The aetiological fraction methodology and the associated fraction estimates enable estimation of the proportion of cases of an illness or injury that can be attributed to a risk factor. This report presents aetiological fraction estimates attributing deaths and hospital separations resulting from a range of specific illnesses or injuries to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs. The fractions represent a revision of the fractions originally presented by Holman et al. (1990) and later revised by English et al. (1995). Also presented here are estimates of 1998 mortality and 1997–98 hospital separations attributable to alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs based on the revised fractions.

Deaths attributable to alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs

In 1998 an estimated 19,019 people died in Australia as a result of tobacco smoking. A further 1,023 deaths can be attributed to illicit drugs. For tobacco smoking, the majority of deaths (14,799) occurred at ages 65 and over. However, because of the time lag between exposure to tobacco smoke and the onset of many diseases, particularly cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, many of these deaths represent the result of tobacco smoking at a much earlier age. The majority of deaths attributed to illicit drugs (649) occurred between the ages of 15 and 34 years.

The effect of alcohol consumption on illness and injury is more complex. In 1998 an estimated 3,271 people died as a consequence of hazardous and harmful levels of alcohol consumption. In addition to the harmful effects, however, when consumed at moderate levels alcohol appears to be associated with a decrease in heart disease and stroke. The number of people in Australia who drink at moderate levels far outweighs the number who drink at hazardous or harmful levels, so this apparent protective effect is greater for the overall population than the harmful effect for deaths, though not for potential years of life lost. Thus the estimated net reduction in deaths associated with alcohol consumption in 1998 was 2,371 but the estimated net potential years of life lost due to alcohol consumption in 1998 was 21,147.

The reason that alcohol appears to be associated with a net decrease in deaths but a net increase in potential years of life lost is because the decrease applies to illnesses which occur at older ages while the harmful effects apply across all ages. Deaths at younger ages contribute more potential years of life lost than deaths at older ages. Thus in 1998 the net effect of alcohol consumption at ages below 65 years was to cause an estimated 2065 deaths, leading to 47,887 potential years of life lost, while the net effect at ages 65 years and over was associated with a decrease of 4,436 deaths or 26,739 potential years of life lost.

Hospital separations attributable to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs

In 1997–98, 142,525 hospital separations in Australia were attributable to tobacco smoking and 14,471 to illicit drugs. For tobacco, the majority of separations (74,379) occurred at ages 65 and over; for illicit drugs the majority of separations (10,876) occurred at ages 15 to 34.

In 1997–98 an estimated 71,422 separations could be attributed to harmful and hazardous levels of alcohol consumption. However, once the estimate is adjusted for the decrease in heart disease and stroke associated with moderate alcohol consumption, the net overall number of separations was 43,033.

Revision of the aetiological fractions

Aetiological fractions depend on the prevalence of a risk factor and the associated relative risk of a particular illness or injury. The fractions presented here have been revised where possible—from the earlier reports of Holman et al. (1990) and English et al. (1995)—to incorporate the most recent estimates of the prevalence of use of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drugs. In addition, the relative risk estimates for some conditions have been revised to incorporate the results of recent research. The following conditions were selected for this detailed study and risk-ratio revision:

- in relation to alcohol—breast cancer, stroke, road injuries and fall injuries;
- in relation to tobacco—cervical cancer and peptic ulcer;
- in relation to illicit drugs—road injuries.

The relative risk of breast cancer associated with alcohol consumption was examined because recent research suggests that the risk varies with age. However, the analyses presented in this report failed to show a statistically significant difference in the risk for older women compared with younger women, and the overall risk-ratio estimate incorporating the results of recent research was similar to that derived by English et al.

The relative risk of stroke associated with alcohol consumption was examined because recent research suggests that the risk differs between ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke. The analyses presented in this report support this, so different fractions were estimated for the two different types of stroke.

The relative risk of road injuries associated with alcohol consumption was examined using only Australian data—rather than a combination of Australian and international data, as used by English et al. Separate fractions were derived for motor vehicle drivers or motorcycle riders and for pedestrians, and these in turn were derived separately for hospital separations and deaths.

The relative risk of fall injuries associated with alcohol consumption was examined because of evidence that the risk varies with age. The analyses presented in this report support this, so separate fractions were derived for people aged 65 years and over and for people aged less than 65.

The relative risk of cervical cancer associated with tobacco smoking was examined because of recent research results on the causes of this cancer. Similarly, the relative risk of peptic ulcer associated with tobacco smoking was examined because of recent research results on the causes of peptic ulcer.

English et al. did not derive a fraction road injuries associated with illicit drug use because of the lack of suitable Australian data. More recent research has, however, provided such data so an estimate of this aetiological fraction is presented here.

In addition to using recent prevalence data and relative risk estimates, the aetiological fraction methodology for tobacco was revised in two ways. The first was to adjust for the time lag between tobacco exposure and the onset of related illnesses. English et al. used an estimate of current smoking prevalence in their calculation of aetiological fractions for tobacco. But for, many conditions there is a long time lag between exposure to tobacco smoke and the associated ill-effects—in the case of cancer it may be many decades. So for these conditions estimates of the current prevalence of smoking are not helpful in understanding the current associated disease burden.

We followed the Australian Burden of Disease Study (Mathers et al. 1999) in using the method proposed by Peto et al. (1992) to adjust for this time lag. Peto et al. proposed using an artificial compound prevalence measure of tobacco exposure, derived from a comparison between lung cancer rates in the country of interest and lung cancer rates among non-smokers observed in a large long-term follow-up study in the United States. This method was used here to determine tobacco exposure for cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The mean time between tobacco exposure and the other illnesses and injuries discussed in this report is considerably shorter than that for cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, so estimates of current tobacco exposure were used for these other conditions.

The second modification to the methodology for tobacco involved the inclusion of estimates of passive exposure to tobacco smoke and its health effects in pregnancy and childhood. These estimates were based on data in the National Health and Medical Research Council's report on passive smoking (NHMRC 1997).

As with tobacco, current exposure to alcohol does not reflect the relevant exposure for some current outcomes, such as cirrhosis and cancers. There is, however, no equivalent of the method used by Peto et al. to adjust for this time lag. This report followed English et al. in using current prevalence estimates for alcohol consumption in calculating the aetiological fractions. The prevalence of alcohol consumption, particularly of heavy drinking, has declined in recent decades, so it is likely that these methods underestimate the true aetiological fractions of some current health outcomes attributable to alcohol consumption.

The final modification to the methodology of English et al. was to estimate the full attributable effect of alcohol consumption, including the apparent benefits of moderate consumption. English et al. calculated aetiological fractions for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption (as defined by the NHMRC) relative to low alcohol consumption. These differed from the earlier estimates derived by Holman et al., which were calculated with abstinence as the reference category. Using low alcohol consumption as the reference category, English et al. sought to reflect more accurately the idea that unsafe drinking—as opposed to low alcohol consumption, which may be protective—is the cause for concern.

Even at low levels of consumption, however, alcohol raises the risk of some conditions. Further, the approach taken by English et al. does not allow for the quantification of conditions prevented as a result of the beneficial effects of low levels of alcohol consumption. This report followed the earlier approach of Holman et al. and derived fractions to reflect both the risks and benefits of alcohol at all levels of consumption relative to abstaining from alcohol. Hence the estimates of alcohol-related deaths and hospital separations represent the net effect of both the alcohol-related harm and the alcohol-related benefit. The only exception to this is the fraction for the effect of alcohol on road traffic accidents: although there is some evidence that low levels of alcohol consumption raise the

risk of road traffic accidents at some ages, we followed English et al. in deriving the aetiological fraction with the legal level of alcohol consumption in drivers as the reference level.

Public health efforts in Australia are directed towards reducing unsafe alcohol consumption, rather than alcohol consumption per se. Therefore, although the primary purpose of this report is to estimate the total effect of alcohol consumption, it also presents, as Appendix A, a separate calculation using the approach taken by English et al. These data represent the extra effect of alcohol consumption for the 'unsafe' drinker compared with the 'responsible' drinker (English et al. 1995, p. 58), where unsafe and responsible consumption are defined by the NHMRC guidelines for responsible drinking (NHMRC 1992).

Preface

This study was partially funded by the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care as part of the Memorandum of Understanding between it and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.

The work was carried out at the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare by Bruno Ridolfo and Chris Stevenson under the supervision of Dr Colin Mathers, who provided overall guidance for the project and valuable comments on early drafts of the report.

Bruno Ridolfo was responsible for the literature reviews, revision of the relative risk estimates, and estimation of the aetiological fractions for alcohol and breast cancer, stroke, road injuries and fall injuries; tobacco and cervical cancer and peptic ulcer disease; and illicit drugs and road injuries. He also prepared the population prevalence data for alcohol and tobacco consumption and contributed substantially to the fraction estimates for environmental tobacco smoke.

Chris Stevenson was responsible for preparing prevalence estimates for environmental tobacco smoke among adults and for completing the estimation of the associated aetiological fractions. He was also responsible for all the remaining aetiological fraction estimates, for preparing the estimates of 1998 mortality and 1997–98 hospital separations presented in Chapters 6 and 7 and for overall preparation of the report.

Dr Theo Vos, from the Victorian Department of Human Services, and Professor D'Arcy Holman, from the Department of Public Health in the University of Western Australia, provided valuable comments on an earlier draft of Chapters 1 to 5. In particular, Professor Holman suggested the inclusion of the partial fractions for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption that are presented in Appendix A.