General practice activity in Australia 2002–03

GP Statistics and Classification Unit

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare is an independent health and welfare statistics and information agency. The Institute's mission is to inform community discussion and decision making through national leadership in the development and provision of authoritative and timely information on the health and welfare of Australians.

The General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit is a collaborating unit of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare and the University of Sydney, situated within the Family Medicine Research Centre at Westmead Hospital. It fulfils the obligation of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare to collect statistics regarding general practitioners, their patients and their patients' care.

Recent related publications:

General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit 2000 [cited 01–12–2003]. SAND abstracts from the BEACH program. Sydney: AIHW/University of Sydney. Available from Internet: http://www.fmrc.org.au/beach.htm.

Britt H, Miller GC, Valenti L 2001. 'It's different in the bush': a comparison of general practice activity in metropolitan and rural areas of Australia 1998–2000. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 6. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 6).

Britt H, Miller GC, Knox S 2001. Imaging orders by general practitioners in Australia 1999–00. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 7. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 7).

Senes S & Britt H 2001. A general practice view of cardiovascular disease and diabetes in Australia. AIHW Cat. No. CVD 17. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (Cardiovascular Disease Series No. 17).

Britt H, Miller GC, Knox S, Charles J, Valenti L, Henderson J, Kelly Z, Pan Y 2001. General practice activity in Australia 2000–01. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 8. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 8).

Henderson J, Pan Y, Britt H, Charles J, Miller GC, Knox S 2002. Cardiovascular problems and risk behaviours among patients at general practice encounters in Australia 1998–00. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 9. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 9).

Britt H, Miller GC, Knox S, Charles J, Valenti L, Henderson J, et al. 2002. General practice activity in Australia 2001–02. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 10. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 10).

Bayram C, Britt H, Kelly Z, Valenti L 2003. Male consultations in general practice in Australia 1999–00. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 11. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 11).

O'Halloran J, Britt H, Valenti L, Harrison C, Pan Y, Knox S 2003. Older patients attending general practice 2000–02. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 12. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 12).

Britt H, Knox S, Miller GC. 2003 Changes in pathology ordering by general practitioners in Australia, 1998–2001AIHW Cat. No. GEP 13. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 13).

GENERAL PRACTICE SERIES Number 14

BEACH

Bettering the Evaluation and Care of Health

General practice activity in Australia 2002–03

Helena Britt, Graeme C Miller, Stephanie Knox, Janice Charles, Lisa Valenti, Joan Henderson, Ying Pan, Clare Bayram, Christopher Harrison

December 2003

A joint report by the University of Sydney and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare AIHW Cat. No. GEP 14

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without written permission from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be directed to the Head, Media and Publishing, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601.

This is the tenth publication of the General Practice Series, from the General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit, a collaborating unit of The University of Sydney and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Publications Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601, or via the Institute's web site: http://www.aihw.gov.au.

ISBN 1740243374 ISSN 14423022

Suggested citation

Britt H, Miller GC, Knox S, Charles J, Valenti L, Henderson J, Pan Y, Bayram C, Harrison C. 2003. General practice activity in Australia 2002–03. AIHW Cat. No. GEP 14. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (General Practice Series No. 14).

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Board Chair Dr Sandra Hacker

Director

Dr Richard Madden

Any enquiries about or comments on this publication should be directed to:

General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit The University of Sydney Acacia House Westmead Hospital WESTMEAD New South Wales 2145

Phone: 61 2 9845 8151 Fax: 61 2 9845 8155

Email: gpscu@fmrc.org.au

Published by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Printed by Panther Publishing and Printing

Foreword

Forty years on! And what a milestone!

Having participated in the first National Morbidity Survey of Australian general practice in 1962-63, and been involved with all the subsequent ones, it is wonderful to be able to introduce the 5th annual report of General Practice Activity in Australia 2002-03. Here is a story of persistence by many people over many years leading to great progress in an important endeavour.

Since the first survey the participant numbers are greater and more representative, the information is much more comprehensive, and the analysis more sophisticated. The first survey was conducted by NH&MRC with 85 participating general practitioners; the RACGP surveys from 1969 to 1974 had several hundred participants each year, and the University of Sydney AMTS (Australian Morbidity and Treatment Survey) of 1990-91 had 495. Now over 1000 GPs each year provide a statistically appropriate source of data for BEACH. The continuity of the BEACH surveys since 1998 adds a dimension that was not always possible in previous years and allows us to consider changes as they are occurring, such as the increasing rate of management of lipid disorders and diabetes reported here.

This survey includes far more information than could be considered in earlier ones. The first survey reported only upon patient age and sex and problems treated, though it did have both a longitudinal element and an indication of outcome, which it is still not feasible to capture within current constraints. Now, as well as problems managed, we have more demographic detail, reasons for encounter, investigations, treatments and referrals provided, and additional health related information, leading to a wealth of data for consideration.

Some recent developments are noteworthy. All encounters, including indirect as well as direct, and all locations, are now specified. Information about medications now includes those recommended for over-the-counter purchase as well as those prescribed, and includes prescribed daily doses. Great technical improvements have been made. A more specific coding system (ICPC-2 PLUS) allows more reliable classification of the terms recorded by the general practitioners. Better statistical techniques are now available and have been applied to deal with the effect of the cluster sampling method and adjust for confounding factors in analyses. Weighting of data makes it even more representative.

The report provides an overview of general practice in Australia, but goes beyond that. We learn for example, that children account for 14% of encounters and the elderly 24%, that the most common individual problems managed were hypertension and upper respiratory infection, and that the most frequently prescribed medication was antibiotics. It also provides information about less common aspects of general practice. As examples, the pattern of morbidity managed for indigenous people differs from that in the total sample, with more diabetes and infections; and the most frequent treatment procedure undertaken is excision. Most importantly, there is a wealth of information about almost any topic that is available for special analysis for those who need it, as I found when I used this facility to report on the management of dementia in general practice.

Of particular interest are the Supplementary Analysis of Nominated Data (SAND) substudies of aspects of patient health not necessarily related to the particular problems treated at the encounter. These cover a wide range of topics, such as smoking status, alcohol consumption and body mass index (BMI), which are so important in relation to health promotion and prevention.

That the BEACH survey continues each year shows its proven worth. What is even more important is the evidence of learning by doing that it demonstrates, as the project develops from year to year. We can all look forward to further refinements in subsequent years, hopefully including some indication of patient health outcomes for at least some subgroups.

The introduction to the report of the first survey of 40 years ago stated: "The diligence and interest of the participating doctors has provided an outstanding example of co-operative effort on the part of busy practitioners, without whose help such a survey could not be undertaken". I can do no better than repeat and reinforce that, but also include the talented team of BEACH researchers in my commendation.

Charles Bridges-Webb Emeritus Professor of General Practice Discipline of General Practice University of Sydney

Contents

Foreword	v
List of tables	xi
List of figures	xv
Acknowledgments	xvii
Summary	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Aims	2
2 Methods	3
2.1 Sampling methods	3
2.2 Recruitment methods	3
2.3 Data elements	3
2.4 The BEACH relational database	4
2.5 Statistical methods	5
2.6 Classification of data	6
2.7 Quality assurance	8
2.8 Validity and reliability	8
3 The general practitioners	9
3.1 Results of recruitment	9
3.2 The participating GPs	9
3.3 Computer use by participating GPs	12
3.4 Comparison of participating and non-participating GPs	13
3.5 Discussion	13
3.6 Trends in characteristics of the GPs, 1998–2003	16
4 Representativeness	17
4.1 Comparison of BEACH GPs with GP population	17
4.2 Sample weights	19
4.3 Comparison of BEACH consultations with all GP consultations in Australia	20
4.4 The weighted data set	20

5 The encounters	22
5.1 Overview of the data set	22
5.2 Encounter type	23
5.3 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	24
6 The patients	25
6.1 Patient characteristics	25
6.2 Patient reasons for encounter	26
6.3 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	33
7 Problems managed	35
7.1 Number of problems managed at encounter	35
7.2 Nature of morbidity	36
7.3 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	43
8 Overview of management	44
8.1 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	46
9 Medications	47
9.1 Source of medications	47
9.2 Prescribed medications	48
9.3 Medications advised for over-the-counter purchase	60
9.4 Medications supplied by GPs	62
9.5 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	62
10 Non-pharmacological management	64
10.1 Clinical treatments	65
10.2 Procedural treatments	67
10.3 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	69
11 Referrals and admissions	71
11.1 Number of referrals and admissions	71
11.2 Most frequent referrals	71
11.3 Problems that were referred	72
11.4 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	74
12 Investigations	75
12.1 Pathology ordering	75
12.2 Imaging ordering	

12.3 Changes from 1998–99 to 2002–03	81
13 Changes over time for problem, medication and treatment rates	82
13.1 Method	82
13.2 Changes in annual management rates of problems between 1998–99 and 2002–03	83
13.3 Changes in medication rates between 1998-99 and 2002-03	84
13.4 Changes in non-pharmacological treatments between 1998-99 and 2002-03	85
14 Selected topics – changes over time	86
14.1 Method	86
14.2 Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs and the management of arthritis and other musculoskeletal problems	87
14.3 Antibiotics and the management of acute upper respiratory tract infection	92
15 Patient risk factors	96
15.1 Background	96
15.2 Methods	96
15.3 Body mass index	97
15.4 Smoking	101
15.5 Alcohol consumption	103
15.6 Risk factor profile of adult patients	105
15.7 Changes from 1998-99 to 2002-03	106
16 Encounters with Indigenous Australians	107
16.1 Number of encounters	107
16.2 The GPs	109
16.3 Patient characteristics	111
16.4 Characteristics of the encounters	116
16.5 Content of encounters	117
16.6 Patient reasons for encounter	117
16.7 Morbidity managed	117
16.8 Patient risk factors	122
16.9 Discussion	126
16.10 Conclusion	129

17 Discussion	126
17.1 The advantages of BEACH	130
17.2 Changes over time	132
17.3 Methodological issues	133
17.4 Comparing BEACH data with those from other sources	137
18 Conclusion	140
18.1 Current status of BEACH	140
18.2 Access to BEACH data	140
Reference list	142
Glossary	146
Abbreviations	149
Appendices	151
Appendix 1: Example of a 2002-03 recording form	151
Appendix 2: GP characteristics questionnaire for 2002-03	153
Appendix 3: Code groups from ICPC-2 and ICPC-2 PLUS	155
Appendix 4: Summary of annual results 1998-99 to 2002-03	179

List of tables

Table 3.1: Recruitment and participation rates	9
Table 3.2: Characteristics of participating GPs	10
Table 3.3: GP computer use	12
Table 3.4: Top ten combinations of computer use for GPs	12
Table 3.5: Comparison of characteristics of participating and non-participating GPs	15
Table 4.1: Comparison of BEACH participants and all active recognised GPs in Australia	18
Table 4.2: Comparison of BEACH encounters with age-sex distribution of patients at MBS A1 services	21
Table 4.3: The BEACH data set	21
Table 5.1: Summary of morbidity and management	22
Table 5.2: Type of encounter	24
Table 6.1: Characteristics of the patients at encounters	26
Table 6.2: Number of patient reasons for encounter	27
Table 6.3: Distribution of patient reasons for encounter, by ICPC-2 chapter and most frequent individual reasons for encounter within chapter	29
Table 6.4: Distribution of RFEs by ICPC-2 component	31
Table 6.5: Most frequent patient reasons for encounter	32
Table 7.1: Number of problems managed at an encounter	36
Table 7.2: Distribution of problems managed across ICPC-2 chapter and most frequent individual problems within chapter	37
Table 7.3: Distribution of problems managed, by ICPC-2 component	39
Table 7.4: Most frequently managed problems	41
Table 7.5: Most frequently managed new problems	42
Table 8.1: Summary of management	44
Table 8.2: Encounters and problems for which management was recorded	45
Table 8.3: Most common management combinations	46
Table 9.1: Distribution of medications prescribed, by group, subgroup and generic medication	52
Table 9.2: Most frequently prescribed medications	56
Table 9.3: Distribution of prescribed medications, by ATC medication group	57
Table 9.4: Most frequently advised over-the-counter medications	61
Table 9.5: Medications most frequently supplied by GPs	63

Table 10.1:	Non-pharmacological treatments – summary table	64
Table 10.2:	Relationship of non-pharmacological management with pharmacological treatments	65
Table 10.3:	Most frequent clinical treatments	66
Table 10.4:	The ten most common problems managed with a clinical treatment	67
Table 10.5:	Most frequent procedural treatments	68
Table 10.6:	The ten most common problems managed with a procedural treatment	69
Table 11.1:	Summary of referrals and admissions	71
Table 11.2:	The most frequent referrals to specialists and allied health professionals	72
Table 11.3:	The ten problems most frequently referred to a medical specialist	73
Table 11.4:	The ten problems most frequently referred to allied health services	74
Table 11.5:	The ten problems most frequently referred to hospital	74
Table 12.1:	Number of encounters and problems at which a pathology or imaging test was ordered	75
Table 12.2:	Distribution of pathology orders across MBS pathology groups and most frequent individual test orders within group	76
Table 12.3:	The ten problems for which pathology was most frequently ordered	78
Table 12.4:	The most frequent imaging tests ordered, by MBS group and most frequent tests	79
Table 12.5:	The ten problems for which an imaging test was most frequently ordered	80
Table 15.1:	Patient body mass index (aged 18+ years)	98
Table 15.2:	Patient smoking status (aged 18+ years)	101
Table 15.3:	Patient alcohol consumption (aged 18+ years)	104
Table 15.4:	Risk factor profile of patients (aged 18+ years)	105
Table 15.5:	Number of risk factors, by patient sex	106
Table 15.6:	Comparative results for patient risk factors, 1998–99 to 2002–03	106
Table 16.1:	Characteristics of GPs who saw Indigenous Australians compared with the total GP sample	110
Table 16.2:	Comparison of characteristics of Indigenous Australians and patients at all encounters: 2002–03 and 1998–2003	113
Table 16.3:	Type of encounter with Indigenous Australians and total sample: 2002–03 and 1998–2003	116
Table 16.4:	Summary of morbidity and management at encounters with Indigenous Australians and in the total sample: 2002–03 and 1998–2003	119
Table 16.5:	Distribution of problems managed at Indigenous encounters by ICPC-2 chapter for 2002-03 and 1998-2003, compared with the total sample	120
Table 16.6:	Most frequent individual problems managed (in decreasing order of frequency for all Indigenous encounters: 1998–2003)	121

Table 16.7: Patient body mass index of Indigenous adult respondents (aged 18+ years) and the total subsample	122
Table 16.8: Smoking status of Indigenous adult respondents (aged 18+ years) and the total subsample	123
Table 16.9: Alcohol consumption among adult Indigenous respondents (18+ years) and the total subsample	124
Table 16.10: Risk factor profile of Indigenous adult respondents and the total subsample	125
Table 18.1: SAND abstracts for 2002–03 and sample size for each	141
Table A3.1: Code groups from ICPC-2 and ICPC-2 PLUS	155
Table A4.1: GP characteristics, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	180
Table A4.2: Summary of morbidity and management, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	183
Table A4.3: Type of encounter, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	184
Table A4.4: Characteristics of the patients at encounters, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	185
Table A4.5: Rate of patient reasons for encounter (RFEs) by ICPC-2 chapter, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	186
Table A4.6: Rate of RFEs by ICPC-2 component, summary of annual results BEACH 1998-99 to BEACH 2002-03	187
Table A4.7: Distribution of problems managed, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	188
Table A4.8: Most frequently managed problems, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	189
Table A4.9: Distribution of medications prescribed by group and subgroup, summary of annual results BEACH 1998-99 to BEACH 2002-03	190
Table A4.10: Most frequently prescribed medications, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	193
Table A4.11: Distribution of medications prescribed by ATC medication group, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	195
Table A4.12: Most frequently advised over-the-counter medications, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2001–02	196
Table A4.13: Medications most frequently supplied by GPs, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2001–02	197
Table A4.14: The ten most common problems managed with a clinical treatment, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	198
Table A4.15: Number of encounters where pathology, imaging ordered, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2002–03	199

Table A4.16 (a): Distribution of pathology orders across pathology groups, summary of annual results BEACH 1998–99 to BEACH 2000–01	199
Table A4.16 (b): Distribution of pathology orders across pathology groups, summary of annual results BEACH 2000–01 to BEACH 2002–03	200
Table A4.17 (a): Most frequent imaging tests ordered, BEACH 1998-99 and 1999-00	200
Table A4.17 (b): Most frequent imaging tests ordered BEACH 2000-01 and 2002-03	200

List of figures

Figure 2.1: The BEACH relational database	5
Figure 2.2: The structure of the International Classification of Primary Care – Version 2 (ICPC-2)	7
Figure 6.1: Age-sex distribution of patients at encounter	25
Figure 6.2: Age-sex-specific RFE rates per 100 encounters with 95% confidence limits	27
Figure 6.3: Changes in rates of reasons for encounter (RFEs) over time	34
Figure 9.1: Distribution of medications by source	47
Figure 9.2: Number of medications prescribed per encounter	48
Figure 9.3: Number of medications prescribed per problem	48
Figure 9.4: Number of repeats ordered per prescription	49
Figure 9.5: Age-sex-specific prescription rates per 100 encounters	50
Figure 9.6: Age-sex-specific prescription rates per 100 problems managed	50
Figure 9.7: Distribution of prescribed medications by group	51
Figure 9.8: Changes in medication rates over time	58
Figure 9.9: Distribution of advised medications by major groups	60
Figure 9.10: Distribution of GP-supplied medications by major groups	62
Figure 10.1: Changes in rates of non-pharmacological treatment	70
Figure 14.1: Rates of NSAIDs per 100 encounters over time	88
Figure 14.2: Medication rates of NSAIDs over time for all arthritis problems	89
Figure 14.3: Medication rates of NSAIDs over time for other musculoskeletal problems	89
Figure 14.4: Inter-relationship of arthritis with other varables	91
Figure 14.5: Management rate of acute upper respiratory tract infection over time	92
Figure 14.6: Rates of antibiotics per 100 encounters over time	93
Figure 14.7: Medication rates of antibiotics over time for all URTI problems	93
Figure 14.8: Inter-relationship of upper respiratory tract infection with other variables	95
Figure 15.1: Age-sex-specific rates of overweight and obesity in adults	98
Figure 15.2: Age-sex-specific rates of underweight in adults	99
Figure 15.3: BMI in children – male age-specific rates	100
Figure 15.4: BMI in children – female age-specific rates	100
Figure 15.5: Smoking status – male age-specific rates	102
Figure 15.6: Smoking status – female age-specific rates	102

Figure 15.7: Age-sex-specific rates for 'at-risk' alcohol consumption	104
Figure 16.1: Distribution of Indigenous encounters by GP	109
Figure 16.2: Age distribution of Indigenous persons encounters and the total sample 1998–2003.	111
Figure 16.3: Age-specific rates of encounters with Indigenous people 1998–2003	112
Figure 16.4: State distribution of Indigenous encounters and total encounters 1998–2003	114
Figure 16.5: State-specific rate of Indigenous encounters 1998–2003	115
Figure 16.6: Distribution of Indigenous encounters and total encounters 1998–2003, by RRMA	115
Figure 16.7: RRMA-specific rates of Indigenous encounters 1998–2003	116
Figure 16.8: Age-specific rates of overweight and obesity in Indigenous adults and in the total subsample	123
Figure 16.9: Age-specific rates of smoking status of Indigenous adults and the total subsample	124
Figure 16.10: Age-specific rates of 'at-risk' alcohol consumption by Indigenous respondents and by all respondents	125

Acknowledgments

The General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit wishes to thank the 1,008 general practitioners who participated in BEACH 2002–03. This report would not have been possible without their valued co-operation and effort in providing the data.

We also thank the following organisations for their financial support and their contribution to the ongoing development of the BEACH program:

- the Australian Department of Health and Ageing
- AstraZeneca (Australia)
- Roche Products Pty Ltd
- Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd
- Merck Sharp & Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd.

We acknowledge the support of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, the Australian Medical Association, the Australian Divisions of General Practice, the Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine and the Consumers Health Forum, and the contribution of their representatives to the BEACH Advisory Board.

The research team is grateful for the intensive editing work undertaken on this report by Clare Bayram, for the strong IT support of Timothy Chambers, the research support of Julie O'Halloran, the administrative support of Gervaise Woods, the work of Dulcie Bucksath in the preparation of the appendices, and the valuable contribution of the general practitioner recruitment staff (particularly Errol Henderson) and data entry staff. We recognise the contribution of past members of the BEACH team.

We also appreciate the co-operation of Anthony Lawrence and Carolynn Fredericks of the General Practice Branch of the Australian Department of Health and Ageing in regularly supplying general practitioner random samples and national Health Insurance Commission data. At the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Jenny Hargreaves edited the report, Fadwa Al Yaman reviewed the chapter on encounters with Indigenous people and Ainsley Morrissey coordinated the printing and publication process.

Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Human Ethics Committee of the University of Sydney and the Ethics Committee of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.



Summary

Background

This report provides an overview of results from the fifth year of the BEACH (Bettering the Evaluation and Care of Health) program, a continuous study of general practice activity. It also investigates changes in morbidity and management demonstrated over the five years since the program began in March 1998. Summaries of results for each year and for the total five years are provided in Appendix 4.

Method

A random sample of GPs who claimed at least 375 general practice Medicare items of service in the previous three months is regularly drawn from the Health Insurance Commission (HIC) data by the General Practice Branch of the Australian Department of Health and Ageing (DoHA). GPs are approached by letter and followed up by telephone recruitment. Participating GPs complete details about 100 consecutive patient encounters on structured paper encounter forms and provide information about themselves and their practice.

In the 2002–03 BEACH data year, a random sample of 1,008 GPs from across Australia provided details of 100,800 GP-patient encounters. Results are reported in terms of GP and patient characteristics, patient reasons for encounter, problems managed and management techniques used. Questions about selected patient health risk factors were asked of a subsample of patients, and the results are included in this publication. Other substudies covered in the fifth year of BEACH are reported elsewhere (http://www.fmrc.org.au/beach-pubs.htm#6).

The participating general practitioners

The 1,008 participants represented 28.9% of those with whom contact could be established. Males made up 64.8% of participants and GPs aged 45 years or older accounted for 66.1%. Most (77.9%) had been in general practice for more than ten years. The majority (72.0%) had graduated in Australia and two-thirds (64.7%) practised in capital cities. More than one-third (35.5%) were Fellows of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP), 39.5% had completed the RACGP Training Program, and 2.9% were currently in the Training Program. Less than one in seven (13.7%) were in solo practice, and three-quarters 79.3%) worked in an accredited practice. More than half the practices (55.2%) provided their own after-hours services or worked through a co-operative arrangement with other practices. Hours spent in direct patient care per week were between 41 and 60 hours for 42.8% of these GPs and 21–40 hours for 41.6%. Computers were used in 97.1% of practices, mainly for prescribing (79.6%) and billing (73.5%) purposes.

A comparison of characteristics of participating GPs with those of GPs who declined showed that GPs aged less than 35 years were under-represented in the final BEACH GP sample. Post-stratification weighting adjusted for this difference. Participants were also marginally less 'busy' in terms of A1 Medicare item number claims in the previous quarter. The weighting incorporated the differential activity level of each GP to increase the precision of national estimates.

The encounters

After post-stratification weighting for age (stratified by sex) and activity level, there were 100,987 encounters included in the analysis. Comparison of the age-sex distribution of patients at the Medicare-claimable encounters with that of encounters in the Medicare data demonstrated excellent precision of the final encounter sample. Most encounters (98.4%) were direct encounters (patient seen). The vast majority (95.0%) of these were claimable from Medicare or the Department of Veterans' Affairs, and 82.9% were standard surgery consultations. The encounters involved 152,341 reasons for encounter (RFEs), 146,336 problems managed, 104,813 medications, 52,292 non-pharmacological treatments, 11,254 referrals, 33,234 pathology test orders and 8,678 orders for imaging.

The patients

Children accounted for 13.6% of the encounters, 10.1% were with young adults, and 24.2% with elderly patients. The patient was female at 57.8% of encounters, held a Commonwealth health care card at 40.4%, and came from a non-English-speaking background at 10.6% of encounters. Patients identified themselves as an Aboriginal person and/or a Torres Strait Islander at 1.0% of encounters.

Patient RFEs were recorded at a rate of 151 per 100 encounters. Approximately half the RFEs related to the respiratory, musculoskeletal and skin, circulatory and digestive systems. RFEs were most commonly described in terms of symptoms and complaints. Requests for a prescription, a check-up or for immunisation/vaccination were common, followed by RFEs largely of a symptomatic nature.

Problems managed

Problems were managed at a rate of 144.9 per 100 encounters. Those relating to the respiratory system, musculoskeletal system and skin accounted for almost 40% of all problems managed. The most common individual problems were hypertension (8.9 per 100 encounters), upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) (6.4 per 100), immunisation/vaccination (4.6 per 100), depression (3.5 per 100) and lipid disorder (3.0 per 100). Together, these represented almost 20% of all problems managed.

Management

There was no specific treatment recorded for 8.7% of problems managed. The most common treatment was medication alone (33.8% of problems), followed by medication plus clinical treatments (12.1%) and then by clinical treatment alone (7.2%). There has been an increase in the combined use of a medication and clinical treatment, with a decrease in the individual use of these managements over the last five years.

Medications

There were 104 medications recorded per 100 encounters, or 72 per 100 problems. These medications could be prescribed (81.3% of all medications), advised for over-the-counter purchase (9.8%) or supplied by the GP (9.0%).

Prescribed medications: medications were prescribed at a rate of 84.3 per 100 encounters or 58.2 per 100 problems managed, at least one being prescribed at 54.9% of encounters and for 47.2% of problems managed. Medication groups most frequently prescribed were antibiotics (16.4% of all prescriptions), cardiovascular (15.5%), central nervous system (12.5%), psychological (8.3%), musculoskeletal (6.8%) and respiratory (6.3%). The most commonly

prescribed generic medications were paracetamol (3.7% of all prescriptions), amoxycillin (3.7%), the paracetamol-codeine combination (2.4%) and cephalexin (2.3%).

Other medications: medications most often recommended for over-the-counter purchase were paracetamol, ibuprofen, loratadine and diclofenac topical. The medications most often supplied by the GP were the influenza and polio vaccines, rofecoxib and amoxycillin.

Non-pharmacological treatments

These were classified as clinical and procedural. At least one non-pharmacological treatment was provided for 30.9% of problems. Clinical treatments were more frequent (37.2 per 100 encounters or 25.7 per 100 problems) than procedures (14.6 and 10.1 respectively). General advice and education (6.9 per 100 encounters) was the most common clinical treatment, followed by counselling about the problem managed. The most frequent procedure was excision or removal of tissue (2.9 per 100).

Referrals, admissions, tests and investigations

At least one referral was given at 10.6% of encounters for 7.7% of problems. Referrals to medical specialists arose at a rate of 7.7 per 100 encounters, the most frequent being to orthopaedic surgeons. Referrals to allied health professionals were made at a rate of 2.5 per 100 encounters, the majority being to physiotherapists. Admissions to hospital and referrals to the emergency department were rare. Diabetes, pregnancy, malignant neoplasms of the skin and osteoarthritis were the problems most often referred to a specialist; back complaints, sprains/strains and depression were those most commonly referred to an allied health professional.

Pathology was ordered for more than one in ten problems (at a rate of 32.9 tests per 100 encounters). Blood chemistry accounted for more than half the tests ordered, but a full blood count was the most commonly ordered individual test. Problems for which pathology was most often ordered were hypertension, diabetes and lipid disorders. Imaging was ordered for one in twenty problems, at a rate of 8.6 per 100 encounters. Plain x-rays accounted for almost two-thirds of these, chest x-rays being the most common. Back complaints, fractures and osteoarthritis were the problems for which imaging was most frequently ordered.

Changes over time

Multiple regression was used to identify significant trends since 1998–99. The analysis demonstrated increased management rates of endocrine and metabolic problems (lipid disorders and diabetes in particular), with decreased management rates of respiratory problems (particularly asthma and acute bronchitis), ear problems, and problems related to the blood and blood-forming organs. There were measured decreases in overall prescribing rates for antibiotics and respiratory medications. Prescribing rates for simple and compound analysesics decreased over time, however there was a significant increase in prescription rates of narcotic analysesics. Increases in clinical treatment rates were apparent, however the provision of lifestyle counselling and advice fell in 2002–03 from the high levels observed in the previous year.

Selected topics – changes over time

The rate of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) prescribed/supplied or advised over-the-counter rose significantly over the period 1999–00 to 2000–01, but remained relatively steady over the next two years 2001–02 to 2002–03 with no further increase in medication rates. The increase in NSAIDs was explained by the rapid uptake of Cox-2

inhibitors between 1999–00 and 2000–01. It appears that the level of Cox-2 inhibitor prescribed or supplied by the GP has reached a plateau with no further increase in the rates of Cox-2 inhibitors observed since 2000–01. The pattern of NSAID medication rates was similar for both arthritis and other musculoskeletal problems, although the uptake of Cox-2 inhibitors was more pronounced for arthritis.

There was no change in the management rate of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI). However, there has been a decrease in antibiotic prescribing for URTI problems, except for broad spectrum penicillin which in 2002–03 rose back to the level observed in 1998–99.

Patient health risk factors

Body mass index: Of 32,367 adult respondents (aged 18+ years), more than half were considered obese (20.9%) or overweight (33.8%). Men were more likely to be overweight or obese (61.4%) than women (50.4%). Approximately 8% were underweight. There was a significant increase in prevalence of obesity over the 5 years from 1998–99 (18.4%) to 2002–03 (20.9). BMI was calculated for 3,579 children aged 2–17 years. Overall, 14.1% of these children were considered obese and a further 18.1% were overweight.

Smoking: Of the 32,651 responding adult patients (aged 18+ years), 17.2% were daily smokers, 4.1% were occasional smokers and 27.2% were previous smokers. Males were more likely to report daily smoking (20.4%) than females (15.2%).

Alcohol consumption: 'At-risk' levels of alcohol intake were reported by 26.2% of the 32,140 adult respondents. Male patients were more likely to be 'at-risk' drinkers (32.9%) than women (22.1%). Prevalence of 'at-risk' drinking decreased with increasing age for both sexes.

Risk factor profile: Smoking status, alcohol consumption and body mass index were available for 31,152 adult patients. Almost half of these patients had one of these three risk factors, 19.6% had two and 3.6% had all three.

Encounters with Indigenous people

In 2002–03 there were 1,375 encounters (1.4% of all encounters) at which patients identified themselves as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person. From 1998 to 2003, there were 5,476 such encounters (unweighted), representing 1.1% of the total, seen by 1,354 GPs (27% of the sample). These patients were significantly younger than the total sample, were more often new patients to the practice and more likely to hold a Commonwealth health care card. Their encounters represented 0.5% of those in capital cities but 18.2% of those in remote centres and 9.3% of those in other remote areas. The pattern of morbidity at these encounters was significantly different from that of the total sample. In particular, there were significantly higher management rates of diabetes, asthma, acute bronchitis, otitis media, pregnancy, tonsillitis and boil/carbuncle.

These patients received significantly more medications than those at all encounters, with high rates of GP-supplied medications and low rates of advised over-the-counter drugs. Pathology ordering rates were also significantly higher at these Indigenous encounters than at total encounters. The substudies of some adult patient risk factors demonstrated that almost two-thirds of the Indigenous respondents were obese or overweight, 45.2% reported smoking daily, and almost 40% reported consuming 'at-risk' levels of alcohol.

Conclusion

This report has described the contribution made by general practice to the healthcare of the Australian community, and the usefulness of a continuous data source for the measurement of changes in practice over time.