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## Indicator 1: Participation

## Participation rate

The participation rate is the percentage of women in the population screened through the BreastScreen Australia Program in a 24 -month period by 5 -year age groups (40-44, 45-49, $50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The participation indicator

The participation rate is a population-based indicator that measures the proportion of the eligible population attending the screening program within the recommended screening interval. All women who are Australian citizens and those with permanent residency status are eligible for breast screening. It is important that a high proportion of women in the target age group attend for screening if BreastScreen Australia is to realise the anticipated reductions in overall mortality from breast cancer (DHSH 1994). The participation rate is a direct measure of this attendance. The indicator also provides information to assist in assessing the effectiveness of the program's communication and education strategies, and can be used to assess whether the target age group is well represented in the screening population.
The focus of this report is on women who have had a mammogram in the BreastScreen Australia Program. However, other mammography for screening and diagnosis (that is, investigating breast symptoms) is conducted outside the program. To some extent, therefore, the results presented in this report are an underestimation of screening on a national basis. This chapter reports on the participation rates for the two-year periods 1998-1999 and 1999-2000. Comparative data are also provided for the three periods 1997-1998, 1998-1999 and 1999-2000.

One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To achieve, after five years, a 70 per cent participation in the National Program by women in the target group (50-69)...' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). The age-standardised national participation rate for women in the target group in 1999-2000 was $56.5 \%$. This rate has been steadily increasing since 1996-1997, when it was $51.4 \%$.

Age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group (50-69 years), Australia, 1996-1997 to 1999-2000

|  | Objective $^{(\mathbf{a})}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | 1998-1999 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 70.0 | 51.4 | 54.3 | 56.0 | 56.5 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $\ldots$ | $51.3-51.5$ | $54.2-54.4$ | $55.8-56.1$ | $56.3-56.6$ |

[^0]Another BreastScreen Australia objective relating to participation is 'To achieve patterns of participation in the Program which are representative of the socioeconomic, ethnic and cultural profiles of the target population' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). This chapter reports national participation rates by region, socioeconomic status, Indigenous status, and main language spoken at home.
Participation rates in capital cities and 'other remote areas' were significantly lower than those in other regions. The lower participation rates in capital cities may reflect greater access to private radiology services. Or there may be a group of women in the target age group who are working women and cannot easily access BreastScreen Australia services. For some women, proximity to services may create over familiarity and lead to postponement of screening in order to accommodate other priorities. Lower rates in remote areas may reflect a larger number of Indigenous women in the target age group in these areas who may not find services culturally accessible. However, there are no data to test these hypotheses. Although the participation rate for 'other remote areas' is lower than that for all other regions except capital cities, it is similar to the all-Australia rate. Participation in country areas is encouraged through the use of mobile mammography units.
There was some variation in the participation rates among different socioeconomic groups, but there was no significant difference between the most and least disadvantaged groups. This demonstrates the success of the program in reaching women at all socioeconomic levels, since there is no decline in participation with decreasing socioeconomic status.
Participation among Indigenous women was significantly lower than that of non-Indigenous women. Similarly, participation among women who speak a language other than English at home was significantly lower than that of women who speak English at home. These results should, however, be treated with caution because of the data issues discussed in the report. Results for 1998-1999 and 1999-2000 are reported separately, due to inconsistencies in reporting over the two periods.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 1999-2000


Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.5 | $53.3^{*}$ | $57.8^{*}$ | $58.1^{*}$ | $53.6^{*}$ | $64.0^{*}$ | $59.9^{*}$ | $60.4^{*}$ | $47.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $56.3-56.6$ | $53.1-53.4$ | $57.5-58.0$ | $57.8-58.4$ | $53.2-53.9$ | $63.6-64.4$ | $59.2-60.6$ | $59.4-61.4$ | $46.5-49.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
3. BreastScreen services are not provided in the remote areas of the Northern Territory. Women in these areas are offered a clinical breast examination as part of a well women's screening episode.

- Nationally, $1,496,417$ women attended a BreastScreen Australia service in the two-year period 1999-2000. Of these, 1,011,394 ( $68 \%$ ) were in the target age group (50-69 years).
- The age-standardised participation rates for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia were $37.1 \%$ for women aged 40 and over and $56.5 \%$ for women in the target age group.
- The age-standardised participation rate in the target age group ranged from $47.9 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $64.0 \%$ in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 1b and 2b.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 1997-1998, 1998-1999 and 1999-2000


|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | 54.3 | 52.6 | 55.4 | 52.7 | 54.6 | 59.5 | 58.2 | 58.9 | 48.6 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $54.2-54.4$ | $52.4-52.8$ | $55.2-55.6$ | $52.5-52.9$ | $54.2-54.9$ | $59.2-59.9$ | $57.6-58.8$ | $58.0-59.7$ | $47.4-49.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $56.0^{*}$ | $53.8^{*}$ | $56.8^{*}$ | $56.5^{*}$ | 53.7 | $62.4^{*}$ | 59.2 | 60.6 | 47.7 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $55.8-56.1$ | $53.6-53.9$ | $56.6-57.0$ | $56.2-56.8$ | $53.3-54.0$ | $62.1-62.9$ | $58.5-59.9$ | $59.5-61.5$ | $46.2-49.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $56.5^{*}$ | $53.3^{*}$ | $57.8^{*}$ | $58.1^{*}$ | 53.6 | $64.0^{*}$ | 59.9 | 60.4 | 47.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $56.3-56.6$ | $53.1-53.4$ | $57.5-58.0$ | $57.8-58.4$ | $53.2-53.9$ | $63.6-64.4$ | $59.2-60.6$ | $59.4-61.4$ | $46.5-49.3$ |

*Significantly different from the rate for the previous two-year period.
Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Periods cover 1 January 1997 to 31 December 1998, 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999, and 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
3. BreastScreen services are not provided in the remote areas of the Northern Territory. Women in these areas are offered a clinical breast examination as part of a well women's screening episode.

- The age-standardised participation rate for Australian women in the target age group (50-69 years) increased significantly from $54.3 \%$ in 1997-1998 to $56.0 \%$ in 1998-1999 and $56.5 \%$ in 1999-2000.

For more information, see:

Tables 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by region, 1998-1999 and 1999-2000


|  | Australia | Capital <br> cities metropolitan | Other <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> centres | Other <br> remote |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9 ~}$ | 55.9 | $53.3^{*}$ | $61.0^{*}$ | $63.5^{*}$ | $58.9^{*}$ | $59.9^{*}$ | $61.0^{*}$ | $54.2^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $55.8-56.1$ | $53.2-53.4$ | $60.6-61.4$ | $63.0-64.0$ | $58.5-59.3$ | $59.7-60.2$ | $59.6-62.4$ | $53.4-55.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0 ~}$ | 56.5 | $53.7^{*}$ | $61.3^{*}$ | $63.3^{*}$ | $60.9^{*}$ | $60.3^{*}$ | $62.0^{*}$ | 57.3 |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $56.3-56.6$ | $53.6-53.9$ | $60.9-61.7$ | $62.8-63.8$ | $60.5-61.3$ | $60.0-60.6$ | $60.6-63.4$ | $56.3-58.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Periods cover 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999 and 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.

- Regional participation rates in 1999-2000 for the target age group (50-69 years) ranged from $53.7 \%$ in capital cities to $63.3 \%$ in large rural centres. The rate in capital cities was significantly lower than the all-Australia rate, and the rate in large rural centres was significantly higher.
- The regional participation rates for 1998-1999 showed a patter similar to that of 1999-2000.

For more information, see:
Tables 3 a and 3b and Appendix A.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by socioeconomic status, 1998-1999 and 1999-2000


Quintiles represent socioeconomic status, where the first quintile corresponds to the highest socioeconomic status and the fifth the lowest.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | 55.9 | $54.6^{*}$ | $57.3^{*}$ | $56.8^{*}$ | $56.6^{*}$ | $54.7^{*}$ |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C I}$ | $55.8-56.1$ | $54.3-54.8$ | $57.0-57.6$ | $56.5-57.0$ | $56.3-56.8$ | $54.5-55.0$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | 56.5 | $55.1^{*}$ | $58.3^{*}$ | 56.6 | $57.0^{*}$ | $55.4^{*}$ |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C I}$ | $56.3-56.6$ | $54.9-55.4$ | $58.0-58.6$ | $56.4-56.9$ | $56.8-57.3$ | $55.2-55.6$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Periods cover 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999 and 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
3. Participants are allocated to quintiles by mapping their postcode to the Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (Appendix A). The first quintile corresponds to the highest socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

- Participation rates by socioeconomic status in 1999-2000 for the target age group ( $50-69$ years) ranged from $55.1 \%$ in the highest group (first quintile) to $58.3 \%$ in the second quintile. The rates in these two categories were significantly different from the allAustralia rate. There was no significant difference between the most (fifth quintile) and least (first quintile) disadvantaged groups. Rates in 1998-1999 were similar to those in 1999-2000.

For more information, see:
Tables 4a, 4b and Appendix A.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by Indigenous status, 1998-1999


|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 55.9 | $34.8^{*}$ | 55.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $55.8-56.1$ | $33.8-35.7$ | $55.8-56.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.

- Of the $1,452,263$ women screened by BreastScreen Australia in the two-year period 1998-1999, 9,523 ( $0.7 \%$ ) identified themselves as Indigenous. While 6,952 women were classified as not stating whether they were Indigenous or non-Indigenous (4,892 women in the target age group), the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions classified women who did not give their Indigenous status as 'non-Indigenous' (Appendix A). The comparison between the participation rates of Indigenous and non-Indigenous women should therefore be treated with caution (Table 5a).
- The participation rate for Indigenous women in the target age group was significantly lower than the rate for all Australian women: $34.8 \%$ of Indigenous women attended a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998-1999, compared with $55.9 \%$ of all Australian women (Table 5a).

For more information, see:
Table 5a and Appendix A.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by Indigenous status, 1999-2000


|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.5 | $35.5^{*}$ | 56.5 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $56.3-56.6$ | $34.6-36.4$ | $56.4-56.6$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.

- Of the $1,496,417$ women participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 1999-2000, 9,948 (0.7\%) identified themselves as being Indigenous. While 3,917 women were classified as not stating whether they were Indigenous or nonIndigenous ( 2,937 women in the target age group), the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions classified women who did not give their Indigenous status as 'nonIndigenous' (Appendix A). The comparison of participation rates between Indigenous and non-Indigenous women should therefore be treated with caution.
- The participation rate for Indigenous women was significantly lower than the rate for non-Indigenous women. This applied both for women in the target age group and for women aged 40 and over.


## For more information, see:

Table 5b and Appendix A.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by language spoken at home, 1998-1999


|  | Australia | English speaking | Non-English speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 55.9 | $57.1^{*}$ | $50.2^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $55.8-56.1$ | $57.0-57.2$ | $50.0-50.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
3. Women who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.

- Of the $1,452,263$ women screened by BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999, 206,880 (14\%) women indicated that they spoke a language other than English at home. In the target age group, $50-69$ years, the number was 148,369 (Table 6a). While 682 women were classified as not stating whether they spoke English or another language at home ( 454 in the target age group), the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions did not use the 'not stated' classification (Appendix A). Women in these jurisdictions who did not state the language they spoke at home were allocated to one of the other two categories. Comparison of participation rates between English-speaking and non-English speaking women should therefore be treated with caution.
- For women aged 50-69 years, the participation rate for women of non-English speaking background ( $50.2 \%$ ) was significantly lower than the rate for English-speaking women (57.1\%).

For more information, see:
Table 6a and Appendix A.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by language spoken at home, 1999-2000


|  | Australia | English speaking | Non-English speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.5 | $58.3^{\star}$ | $47.7^{\star}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $56.3-56.6$ | $58.2-58.4$ | $47.5-48.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
3. Women who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.

- Of the $1,496,417$ women participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 1999-2000, 199,458 (13\%) were classified as non-English speaking (Table 6b). While 157 women were classified as not stating whether they spoke English or another language at home ( 97 women in the target age group), the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions did not use the 'not stated' classification (Appendix A). Women in these jurisdictions who did not state the language they spok at home were allocated to one of the other two categories. Comparison of participation rates between Englishspeaking and non-English speaking women should therefore be treated with caution.
- The age-standardised participation rate for non-English speaking women in the target age group was significantly lower than the rate for English-speaking women.


## For more information, see:

Table 6b and Appendix A.

## Age distribution of women aged 40 years and over participating in BreastScreen Australia, 1998-1999 and 1999-2000



Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

| Age (years) | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9 ~ ( \% )}$ | 20.1 | 67.2 | 12.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0 ~ ( \% )}$ | 19.1 | 67.6 | 13.3 |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of all women aged 40 or more screened by BreastScreen Australia.
2. Periods cover 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999 and 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.

- The majority ( $67.6 \%$ ) of women screened by BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 were in the target age group (50-69 years); 19.1\% were in the 40-49 years group; and $13.3 \%$ were aged 70 or more. The distribution of participants by age showed little change between 1998-1999 and 1999-2000.

For more information, see:
Table 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b.

## Indicator 2: Detection of small invasive cancers

## Small invasive cancer detection rate (1999)

The detection rate for small invasive cancers is the rate of women with small diameter ( $\leq 10$ mm ) invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened by five-year age groups (40-44, $45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years). Detection rates for all invasive cancers are provided by screening round (that is, first round and subsequent rounds), five-year age groups and for the target age group.

## Small invasive cancer detection rate (2000)

The detection rate for small invasive cancers is the rate of women with small diameter ( $\leq 15$ mm ) invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened by five-year age groups (40-44, $45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years). Detection rates for all invasive cancers are provided by screening round, five-year age groups and for the target age group.

## The small invasive cancer detection indicator

The small invasive cancer detection indicator measures the rate of invasive breast cancers that are 15 mm or less in size diagnosed in women attending BreastScreen Australia for screening. This is expressed as the number of small cancers detected for every 10,000 women screened.

Prior to the compilation of this report, small cancers were defined to be those of 10 mm or less in diameter. There is little evidence of important biological or prognostic differences between cancers that are 10 mm or less at diagnosis or 11 to 15 mm . The standard for small cancer detection was therefore increased to tumours of 15 mm or less in diameter (NQMC unpublished).

Data collected for 1999 were subject to the previous definition of small cancers - less than or equal to 10 mm in diameter. As a result, this chapter reports on small cancers for 1999 and 2000 separately.

A greater rate of detection of small cancers within the BreastScreen Australia Program increases the likelihood that the anticipated reductions in morbidity and mortality from breast cancer will be achieved. One of BreastScreen Australia's aims is to maximise the early detection of breast cancers (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). Finding breast cancer early often means that the cancer is small, can be more effectively treated, and is less likely to have spread to other parts of the body. As a result, women who have cancers detected early may suffer less morbidity from breast cancer (Day 1991).

In 2000, $65 \%$ of all invasive breast cancers detected by BreastScreen Australia in women aged 40 and over were small diameter cancers ( 15 mm or less).
The table below shows the percentage of all invasive cancers detected that were small diameter invasive breast cancers, by screening round, for women screened in 2000.

Percentage of invasive cancers detected that were small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in diameter, 2000

|  | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 50-69 years | 57.3 | 67.7 |
| Ages 40 and over | 55.1 | 67.6 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
It is evident that a higher proportion of women attending the program for the first time have larger tumours compared with those who have been screened previously. This is because regular, biennial mammography provides the best chance of detection of early-stage small cancers (AHMAC 1990).

## Small ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, first screening round, 1999



Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 18.6 | 11.7 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 29.0 | $\ldots$ | 60.1 | $\ldots$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $15.2-22.0$ | $7.6-16.3$ | $14.2-32.0$ | $16.1-28.8$ | $9.4-39.3$ | $13.7-46.9$ | $\ldots$ | $12.9-116.7$ |  |

. Not applicable-no small invasive breast cancers were found in Tasmania and the Northern Territory at first screening round in 1999.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of small invasive cancers for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia for the first time in 1999 was 18.1 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 18.6 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group.
- The age-standardised detection rate for small invasive cancers in the target age group ranged from none detected in Tasmania and the Northern Territory to 29.0 per 10,000 women in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 7 and 8 .

## Small ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, subsequent screening rounds, 1999



Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 15.0 | 12.4 | 15.9 | 14.7 | $20.8^{*}$ | 15.9 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 6.0 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $13.8-16.1$ | $10.5-14.2$ | $13.5-18.3$ | $11.8-17.5$ | $16.5-25.4$ | $12.0-19.7$ | $10.1-24.3$ | $8.7-27.3$ | $0.0-18.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of small invasive cancers for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia in 1999 for their second or subsequent visit was 13.9 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 15.0 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group. The small cancer detection rates for women aged 40 and over attending a second or subsequent screen were significantly lower than for women aged 40 and over attending their first screen. The rates by screening round for women in the target age group were not significantly different.
- The age-standardised small cancer detection rate in the target age group ranged from 6.0 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 20.8 per 10,000 women in Western Australia.


## For more information, see:

Tables 8, 9 and 10.

Small ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection by age, 1999


Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First screening round | 4.0 | 8.0 | 14.1 | 19.7 | 14.7 | 29.0 | 38.8 |
| Subsequent screening rounds | 5.6 | 4.4 | 9.2 | 14.2 | 19.1 | 21.2 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened.

- The detection rate of small invasive cancers in 1999 increased with age for cancers detected at first screening round and subsequent rounds. This is in line with the increase in breast cancer incidence that occurs with age. The rate of increase is greater for cancers detected at the initial screening round, despite a small decrease from the 55-59 age group to the 60-64 age group.


## Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged

 50-69, first screening round, 2000

Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 38.3 | 31.9 | 33.7 | 41.9 | 48.8 | 60.2 | 31.4 | 57.0 | 19.8 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $33.1-43.3$ | $24.0-39.6$ | $24.0-44.5$ | $31.3-51.9$ | $26.8-74.7$ | $37.4-84.2$ | $4.1-66.8$ | $9.8-116.4$ | $0.0-59.3$ |

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.
3. Jurisdictions reported the following number of invasive cancers at initial round with unknown lesion size: NSW—5; Vic-6; Qld—1; SA—2.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of small invasive cancers for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia for the first time in 2000 was 37.5 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 38.3 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group.
- The age-standardised small cancer detection rate in the target age group ranged from 19.8 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 60.2 per 10,000 women in South Australia.


## Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, subsequent screening rounds, 2000



Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 28.3 | $23.7^{*}$ | 30.9 | 30.8 | 28.6 | 29.7 | 28.4 | 42.7 | 21.2 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $26.7-29.8$ | $21.4-26.2$ | $27.5-34.0$ | $27.1-34.7$ | $23.9-33.9$ | $24.6-34.6$ | $19.9-37.8$ | $28.2-57.8$ | $3.8-45.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Jurisdictions reported the following number of invasive cancers at subsequent rounds with unknown lesion size: NSW—16; Vic—8; Qid—5; SA-9.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of small invasive cancers for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia for their second or subsequent visit was 26.5 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 28.3 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group. These results were significantly lower than the results for women attending for their first screen.
- The age-standardised small cancer detection rate in the target age group ranged from 21.2 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 42.7 per 10,000 women in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 13 and 14.

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection by age, 2000


Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $55-59$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First screening round | 8.6 | 17.4 | 28.0 | 36.3 | 42.9 | 52.8 | 73.6 |
| Subsequent screening rounds | 6.7 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 28.0 | 33.9 | 36.0 | 41.7 |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened.
2. Jurisdictions reported the following number of invasive cancers with unknown lesion size: NSW—21; Vic—14; Qld-6; SA—11.

- The rate of detection of small invasive cancers in 2000 increased with age for cancers detected at first screening round and subsequent screening rounds. The rate of increase was greater for cancers detected at the first screening round.
- The same pattern of increasing detection of invasive cancer with increasing age is evident for cancers of all sizes.

For more information, see:
Tables 12,14, 16b and 18b.

All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, first screening round, 1999 and 2000


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 66.9 | $51.0^{*}$ | 73.8 | 77.1 | 73.7 | 97.3 | 44.9 | 93.0 | $7.4^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $60.5-73.2$ | $42.9-59.8$ | $58.1-90.2$ | $65.8-89.1$ | $48.0-101.6$ | $67.9-126.9$ | $9.9-87.6$ | $33.3-174.6$ | $0.0-22.3$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 67.2 | 60.1 | 66.6 | 68.1 | 60.0 | $116.3^{*}$ | 47.9 | 71.7 | 26.4 |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $60.6-73.4$ | $48.6-70.7$ | $52.4-80.4$ | $55.2-81.3$ | $36.2-87.8$ | $83.0-151.0$ | $12.2-92.9$ | $19.6-133.6$ | $0.0-72.6$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of invasive cancers of all sizes for Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia for the first time in 2000 was 67.4 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 67.2 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group. In 1999, the rates were 65.1 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 66.9 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group.
- The age-standardised all-size cancer detection rate in 2000 in the target age group ranged from 26.4 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 116.3 per 10,000 women in South Australia. In 1999, the age-standardised cancer detection rate in the target age group ranged from 7.4 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 97.3 per 10,000 women in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 15a, 15b, 16a and 16b.

## All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, subsequent screening rounds, 1999 and 2000



Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 39.2 | 34.4 | 39.3 | 41.5 | $49.4^{*}$ | 39.1 | 39.6 | 50.0 | 23.9 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $37.3-41.0$ | $31.4-37.3$ | $35.6-42.9$ | $36.8-46.1$ | $42.8-56.7$ | $33.3-45.2$ | $29.2-50.8$ | $34.1-67.6$ | $3.7-47.9$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 41.8 | 38.0 | 44.1 | 43.7 | 40.5 | 46.8 | 35.5 | 56.0 | 25.0 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $39.8-43.7$ | $34.8-41.0$ | $40.2-47.8$ | $39.2-48.1$ | $34.6-46.4$ | $40.4-53.3$ | $25.9-45.3$ | $39.5-74.7$ | $4.0-51.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of invasive cancers of all sizes in Australian women attending BreastScreen Australia in 2000 for their second or subsequent visit was 39.4 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 41.8 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group. In 1999, the rates were 37.0 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 40 and over, and 39.2 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group. The 1999 and 2000 rates were not significantly different.
- The 2000 results for subsequent screening rounds were significantly lower than those for women attending their first screen (Tables 16b and 18b).
- The age-standardised all-size cancer detection rate in 2000 in the target age group ranged from 25.0 per 10,000 women in the Northern Territory to 56.0 per 10,000 women in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 16a, 16b, 17a, 17b, 18a and 18b.

## Indicator 3: Sensitivity

## 3a. Interval cancer rate

The interval cancer rate is the rate of invasive breast cancers detected during an interval between two screening rounds per 10,000 women-years. It is stratified by 10 -year age groups (40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+ years), time since screen (0-12 months, 13-24 months, and $0-24$ months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## 3b. Program sensitivity

The program sensitivity rate is the percentage of women with screen-detected invasive breast cancer amongst all women diagnosed with invasive breast cancer during the screening interval (screen-detected and interval cancers). It is stratified by 10-year age groups (40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+ years), time since screen ( $0-12$ months, $0-24$ months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## The sensitivity indicator

An interval cancer is an invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed after a screening episode that detected no cancer and before the next scheduled screening episode. The interval cancer rate is expressed per 10,000 women-years (see the glossary for definitions of 'women-years' and 'interval cancers'). It measures how effective the BreastScreen Australia Program is at detecting the presence of breast cancer in well women. A low interval cancer rate suggests that the screening process is effective.
Program sensitivity measures the ability of the Program to detect invasive breast cancers in women attending for screening. The Program needs to achieve a high sensitivity in order to be effective. Program sensitivity is the proportion of invasive breast cancers that are detected within the BreastScreen Australia Program out of all invasive breast cancers (interval cancers plus screen-detected cancers) diagnosed in program-screened women in the screening interval

In this chapter data for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998 are combined. This aggregation improves the stability of rates, especially those for the small states and territories. Data for the Northern Territory were unavailable at the time this report was compiled. Data for New South Wales were incomplete for 1998, so could not be included for 13-24 months or 0-24 months follow-up.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 7.3 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 2.8 | 6.6 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | $5.9-8.8$ | $5.6-8.9$ | $3.1-6.1$ | $3.5-9.0$ | $4.8-12.1$ | $0.0-7.4$ | $0.0-16.5$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. NSW rates include women with a personal history of breast cancer in the denominator.
4. It is BreastScreen SA policy not to recall symptomatic women to assessment. If breast cancers are diagnosed in these women, they will appear as interval cancers.
5. It is BreastScreen Tasmania policy to only recall symptomatic women if a mammographic abnormality is present.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group (50-69 years) $0-12$ months after their first screen ranged from 2.8 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania to 8.4 per 10,000 women-years in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 19.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 9.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 10.8 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | n.a. | n.a. | $8.3-11.1$ | $7.5-10.4$ | $6.6-11.3$ | $6.3-11.5$ | $3.8-13.0$ | $4.1-18.3$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. It is BreastScreen SA policy not to recall symptomatic women to assessment. If breast cancers are diagnosed in these women, they will appear as interval cancers.
4. It is BreastScreen Tasmania policy to only recall symptomatic women if a mammographic abnormality is present.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group $0-24$ months after their first screen ranged from 8.1 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania to 10.8 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 21.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 8.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 10.7 | n.a. |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | n.a. | $7.4-9.3$ | $6.1-8.3$ | $5.6-8.5$ | $6.5-10.1$ | $6.0-9.4$ | $4.5-11.1$ | $5.8-16.6$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. NSW rates include women with a personal history of breast cancer in the denominator.
4. It is BreastScreen SA policy not to recall symptomatic women to assessment. If breast cancers are diagnosed in these women, they will appear as interval cancers.
5. It is BreastScreen Tasmania policy to only recall symptomatic women if a mammographic abnormality is present.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group $0-12$ months after their subsequent screen ranged from 7.0 per 10,000 women-years in Queensland to 10.7 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 22.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 10.4 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 12.7 | n.a. |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | n.a. | n.a. | $9.5-11.3$ | $9.6-12.2$ | $7.4-10.2$ | $8.3-11.0$ | $6.4-11.4$ | $8.7-16.6$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. It is BreastScreen SA policy not to recall symptomatic women to assessment. If breast cancers are diagnosed in these women, they will appear as interval cancers.
4. It is BreastScreen Tasmania policy to only recall symptomatic women if a mammographic abnormality is present.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their subsequent screen ranged from 8.8 per 10,000 women-years in Western Australia to 12.7 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 24.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 87.5 | 89.5 | 91.5 | 86.6 | 87.3 | 95.5 | 93.1 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | n.a. | $85.4-89.8$ | $87.1-91.9$ | $89.0-94.0$ | $81.1-92.1$ | $82.4-92.0$ | $88.3-100.0$ | $82.7-100.0$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-12 months after their first screen ranged from $86.6 \%$ in Western Australia to $95.5 \%$ in Tasmania.

For more information, see:
Table 25.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 75.9 | 74.1 | 78.4 | 79.7 | 78.6 | 76.9 | n.a. |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | n.a. | n.a. | $72.9-78.9$ | $70.6-77.8$ | $71.8-85.0$ | $74.1-85.1$ | $66.7-88.3$ | $62.8-89.6$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their first screen ranged from $74.1 \%$ in Queensland to $79.7 \%$ in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 26.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 80.8 | 83.5 | 81.7 | 80.8 | 83.5 | 70.2 | 75.7 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | $78.7-83.0$ | $81.2-85.7$ | $78.3-85.0$ | $76.7-84.7$ | $79.8-86.8$ | $60.8-79.2$ | $64.9-83.3$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-12 months after their subsequent screen ranged from $70.2 \%$ in Tasmania to 83.5\% in Victoria and South Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 27.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 63.7 | 59.7 | 81.0 | 66.7 | 61.4 | 57.0 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | n.a. | n.a. | $61.1-66.1$ | $56.2-63.3$ | $77.2-84.9$ | $62.9-70.7$ | $53.1-69.5$ | $46.7-67.6$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their subsequent screen ranged from $57.0 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $81.0 \%$ in Western Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 28.

## Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in situ

## Ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate

The ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate is the rate of women with ductal carcinoma in situ per 10,000 women screened by 10 -year age groups ( $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The DCIS detection indicator

The ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) indicator measures the rate of DCIS diagnosed in women attending a BreastScreen Australia service. This is expressed as the number of cases of DCIS detected for every 10,000 women screened. DCIS is a disease that involves changes in the cells in the lining of the ducts of the breast. Although the changes are like those seen in breast cancer, DCIS has not spread beyond the ducts (NBCC et al. 2000). The natural history of DCIS is still not well understood, although women with the condition are at increased risk of subsequent development of invasive breast cancer (NQMC unpublished).
DCIS is asymptomatic in the majority of cases and is usually detected as a change on a mammogram or as a chance finding on a breast biopsy for another condition (NQMC unpublished). Before the introduction of nationwide mammographic screening in Australia in 1991, DCIS was rarely found. Since then screening mammography has increased the detection rate for DCIS (NBCC et al. 2000).
Early detection of high grade DCIS through screening, and its subsequent treatment, is likely to prevent deaths from breast cancer (NQMC unpublished). The ability to detect DCIS can also be seen as an indicator of the quality of the screening process, since it reflects good-quality imaging and screen-film reading.
In 2000, the national age-standardised rate of DCIS detection was 10.5 cases per 10,000 women aged 40 and over. This is slightly higher than the detection rate for 1999, at 9.3 per 10,000 women screened, but the difference is not statistically significant.

Ductal carcinoma in situ detection in women aged 50-69 years, 1999 and 2000


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 9.7 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 16.5 | 12.0 | $\ldots$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $8.8-10.6$ | $7.5-10.4$ | $7.6-11.1$ | $8.2-12.4$ | $8.1-13.9$ | $6.5-11.7$ | $10.0-23.1$ | $5.5-19.9$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 10.8 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 10.5 | $15.4^{*}$ | 13.9 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 9.3 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $9.9-11.7$ | $7.4-10.4$ | $8.5-12.0$ | $8.4-12.5$ | $12.0-18.8$ | $10.7-17.1$ | $6.5-18.2$ | $5.3-19.9$ | $0.0-26.2$ |

. . Not applicable-no cases of DCIS were found in the Northern Territory in 1999.

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- In 2000, BreastScreen Australia detected 830 cases of DCIS, 573 of these being cases of DCIS in women in the target age group. In 1999, 703 cases of DCIS were detected in women screened, 498 of which were in the target age group (Table 29a).
- Nationally, in 2000 the national age-standardised rate of DCIS detection was 10.5 cases per 10,000 women aged 40 and over, and 10.8 cases per 10,000 women in the target age group. The corresponding rates for 1999 were not significantly different from those for 2000.

For more information, see:
Ta1bles 29a, 29b, 30a and 30b.

## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment

## Recall to assessment rate

This indicator measures the proportion of all women screened in a calendar year who were recalled for assessment by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, $70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ ) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The recall to assessment indicator

The recall to assessment indicator measures the rate of women that are recalled for assessment following attendance for a routine screening at a BreastScreen Australia service. The recall is made because a woman's screening mammogram shows signs that there may be breast cancer. During assessment, a woman might undergo further tests, such as additional mammography, physical examination, ultrasound and, if required, a fine needle aspiration or a core biopsy.
BreastScreen Australia aims to maximise the number of cancers detected - in particular, the number of small cancers - while minimising the number of unnecessary investigations. Most women recalled to assessment are found not to have breast cancer (BreastScreen SA 1999; BreastScreen Queensland 2000).
Women attending the program for the first time have a higher all-size cancer detection rate than those who have previously been screened. This is reflected in a higher recall to assessment rate for women who attend for their first screening round compared with those who attend for a subsequent round. The table below shows recall to assessment rates by screening round for 1999 and 2000.

Age-standardised recall to assessment rates for women aged 40 and over, 1999 and 2000

|  | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ rate (\%) | 7.5 | 4.0 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $7.4-7.6$ | $4.0-4.1$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ rate (\%) | 8.1 | 4.1 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $8.0-8.3$ | $4.0-4.2$ |

[^1]Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, first screening round, 1999 and 2000


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 7.7 | 7.3 | $8.6^{*}$ | 7.9 | $10.3^{*}$ | $4.6^{*}$ | $9.6^{*}$ | 5.9 | $2.0^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $7.5-7.9$ | $7.0-7.6$ | $8.1-9.0$ | $7.5-8.2$ | $9.3-11.3$ | $4.0-5.1$ | $8.1-11.1$ | $4.5-7.6$ | $0.9-3.3$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 8.3 | $7.5^{*}$ | 8.6 | $9.1^{*}$ | $11.0^{*}$ | $5.0^{*}$ | $11.8^{*}$ | 7.8 | 6.3 |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $8.0-8.5$ | $7.2-7.9$ | $8.2-9.0$ | $8.6-9.5$ | $10.0-12.0$ | $4.3-5.6$ | $10.1-13.6$ | $6.1-9.7$ | $3.9-8.9$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding year.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- Of women aged 40 and over screened by BreastScreen Australia for the first time in 2000, 8.1\% (age-standardised) were recalled for assessment due to an abnormal mammogram result. This was a significant increase from 1999, when the recall to assessment rate was $7.5 \%$. In the target age group, $8.3 \%$ of women were recalled in 2000 and $7.7 \%$ were recalled in 1999. The rate for 2000 was significantly higher than that for 1999.
- Across the states and territories in 2000, the recall to assessment rate in the target age group ranged from $5.0 \%$ in South Australia to $11.8 \%$ in Tasmania. Recall rates in Queensland, Western Australia and Tasmania were significantly higher than the allAustralia rate, whereas those in New South Wales and South Australia were significantly lower.

For more information, see:
Tables 31a, 31b, 32a and 32b.

Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening rounds, 1999 and 2000


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | $4.4^{*}$ | $5.2^{*}$ | $2.1^{*}$ | $5.3^{*}$ | 3.8 | $2.6^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Cl | $3.9-4.0$ | $3.7-3.9$ | $3.9-4.2$ | $4.3-4.6$ | $4.9-5.4$ | $1.9-2.2$ | $4.9-5.7$ | $3.3-4.2$ | $1.9-3.4$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 4.1 | $3.8^{*}$ | 4.2 | $4.9^{*}$ | 4.2 | $2.1^{*}$ | $5.3^{*}$ | $5.2^{*}$ | $2.1^{*}$ |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $4.0-4.1$ | $3.7-3.9$ | $4.1-4.3$ | $4.8-5.1$ | $4.1-4.4$ | $2.0-2.3$ | $4.9-5.6$ | $4.7-5.8$ | $1.4-2.8$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding year.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- Of women aged 40 and over and of women in the target group, $4.1 \%$ who were screened by BreastScreen Australia for a second or subsequent time were recalled for assessment due to a screening mammogram with an abnormal result in 2000. The corresponding rates for 1999 were not significantly different.
- Across the states and territories in 2000, the recall to assessment rate in the target age group ranged from $2.1 \%$ in South Australia and the Northern Territory to $5.3 \%$ in Tasmania.


## Indicator 6: Rescreening

## Rescreen rate

The rescreen rate is the proportion of all women screened in 1998 whose screening outcome was a recommendation to return for screening in two years who returned for a screen within 27 months. This rate is reported by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, $65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The rescreen indicator

The rescreen indicator measures the proportion of women who return for screening in the program within the recommended screening interval. The interval between screens is an important factor influencing the level of detection of cancers within the program. Intervals that are too long may allow tumours to grow to the point where symptoms become evident, thus eliminating the advantage of screening. A high rescreen rate is also important for maintaining the participation rate. The anticipated reductions in mortality can be achieved only if a high proportion of women in the target age group attend for screening every two years. By having a mammogram every two years, a woman can reduce her chance of dying from breast cancer by up to $40 \%$ (Duffy et al. 1991; Fletcher et al. 1993; Feig 1998).
Women in the target age group are re-invited biennially. Some states and territories have a policy of re-inviting a proportion of women annually -for example, women with a strong family history of breast cancer. The data for this indicator include women who are recommended for annual screening in addition to those who screen biennially.
The proportion of women who returned for screening within the recommended screening interval increased with the number of screens a woman had previously attended. As can be seen in the table below, the rescreen rate is greater for women who have attended for two previous screens than for women who have been screened only once before, and greater still for women who have previously attended three or more screening episodes.
One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To rescreen all women in the Program at two-yearly intervals' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000).

Age-standardised rescreen rates for women aged 40 years and over, screened during 1998, Australia

|  | First screening round | Second screening round | Subsequent screening <br> rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 64.1 | 74.5 | 82.6 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $63.9-64.4$ | $74.3-74.8$ | $82.3-82.9$ |

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1997 and 1998, first screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 68.6 | $66.0^{*}$ | $71.1^{*}$ | $75.1^{*}$ | $58.6^{*}$ | $66.9^{*}$ | 68.7 | $72.6^{*}$ | $56.4^{\star}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $68.3-69.0$ | $65.6-66.4$ | $70.5-71.7$ | $74.6-75.6$ | $57.6-59.5$ | $65.8-68.0$ | $66.6-70.8$ | $69.6-75.4$ | $53.5-59.2$ |
| 1998 | 68.0 | $62.8^{*}$ | $70.6^{*}$ | $73.7^{*}$ | $57.1^{*}$ | $65.3^{*}$ | $70.4^{*}$ | $59.0^{*}$ | $56.0^{*}$ |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $67.6-68.3$ | $62.3-63.2$ | $69.9-71.3$ | $73.3-74.2$ | $55.9-58.3$ | $64.1-66.4$ | $68.5-72.2$ | $55.9-62.0$ | $52.8-59.0$ |

*Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.
Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women screened in 1998 was $64.1 \%$ of women screened for women aged 40 and over, and $68.0 \%$ for the target age group.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for the first screening round among women in the target age group screened in 1998 ranged from $56.0 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $73.7 \%$ in Queensland.

For more information, see:
Tables 35a, 35b, 36a and 36b.

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1997 and 1998, second screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 79.6 | $78.7^{*}$ | $82.7^{*}$ | $81.1^{*}$ | $70.0^{*}$ | 79.9 | 79.1 | $56.4^{*}$ | $70.0^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $79.3-80.1$ | $78.4-79.0$ | $82.5-83.0$ | $80.6-81.6$ | $68.8-71.1$ | $78.6-81.3$ | $77.0-81.0$ | $52.9-59.7$ | $65.2-74.6$ |
| 1998 | 77.5 | $75.4^{*}$ | $81.8^{*}$ | $81.7^{*}$ | $68.9^{*}$ | $75.6^{*}$ | $75.7^{*}$ | $69.3^{*}$ | 74.8 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $77.2-77.9$ | $75.1-75.8$ | $81.5-82.2$ | $81.2-82.3$ | $68.2-69.7$ | $74.8-76.4$ | $74.3-77.1$ | $67.4-71.3$ | $72.0-77.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women screened in 1998 was $74.5 \%$ of women screened for women aged 40 and over and $77.5 \%$ for the target age group. These rates were significantly lower than the corresponding rates for 1997.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for women in the target age group attending a second screening round in 1998 ranged from $68.9 \%$ in Western Australia to $81.8 \%$ in Victoria.

For more information, see:
Tables 37a, 37b, 38a and 38b.

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1997 and 1998, third and subsequent screening rounds


|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | 85.3 | $87.1^{*}$ | $87.5^{*}$ | 85.8 | $76.9^{*}$ | 84.6 | 84.7 | $89.5^{*}$ | 85.7 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $84.8-85.8$ | $86.2-88.0$ | $86.9-88.0$ | $85.4-86.3$ | $75.4-78.2$ | $83.5-85.7$ | $82.6-87.0$ | $86.7-92.5$ | $47.6-100.0$ |
| 1998 | 84.6 | $83.3^{*}$ | $88.8^{*}$ | $87.7^{*}$ | $77.1^{*}$ | $84.5^{*}$ | 84.0 | $78.4^{*}$ | 84.9 |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $84.3-85.0$ | $83.0-83.5$ | $88.5-89.0$ | $87.4-88.1$ | $76.6-77.6$ | $74.8-76.4$ | $83.2-84.8$ | $77.1-79.7$ | $76.7-93.2$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the corresponding period.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women screened in 1998 was $82.6 \%$ of women screened for women aged 40 and over and $84.6 \%$ for the target age group. These rates were lower than those for women screened in 1997, with the difference in women aged 40 and over being significant.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for women in the target age group attending a third or subsequent screening rounds in 1998 ranged from $77.1 \%$ in Western Australia to $88.8 \%$ in Victoria.

For more information, see:
Tables 39a, 39b, 40a and 40b.

## Indicator 7: Incidence

## 7a. Incidence of breast cancer

The incidence rate of breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12-month period by five-year age groups ( $0-4,5-9,10-14,15-19,20-24$, $25-29,30-34,35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years $)$ and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## 7b. Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

The incidence rate of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a six-year period by ten-year age groups ( $0-19,20-29,30-39$, $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years), and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The incidence indicator

Registration of cancer cases is required by law in each of the states and territories. The data are collected by state and Territory cancer registries and compiled in a national database, the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, which is held by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. The data include clinical and demographic information about people with newly diagnosed cancer. The incidence indicator measures the number of new cases of breast cancer in the community each year. It does not distinguish between screen-detected cancers and other detection methods.
Incidence data provide information about the underlying risk of breast cancer in the Australian community. This knowledge can be used to assist in developing policies on breast cancer screening. For example, examining the trends in breast cancer incidence in different age groups helps to identify the ages at which women are most at risk of developing breast cancer. Incidence data can also be used to set data performance standards for breast cancer detection.
This chapter reports the rates of breast cancer from 1986 to 1999, the latest national data available. It also reports on breast cancer incidence by state and territory, by geographical region and by size.
Similarly, data on the incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ provide information about the underlying risk to Australian women of developing the condition. Data are required to build more knowledge about DCIS, which was rarely detected before screening was introduced. Since the introduction of screening mammography, the detection of DCIS has increased (NBCC et al. 2000). More information about DCIS is provided in the chapter headed 'Indicator 4'.

## Incidence of breast cancer in women, Australia, 1986-1999



Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 75.1 | 80.9 | 79.4 | 82.6 | 83.5 | 88.5 | 86.3 | 93.0 | 100.9 | 101.9 | 96.1 | 98.4 | 101.6 | 98.7 |
| $\mathbf{< 5 0}$ | 29.5 | 34.1 | 32.5 | 33.1 | 33.7 | 34.5 | 35.0 | 35.9 | 36.5 | 36.8 | 35.4 | 35.0 | 35.9 | 34.8 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | 188.1 | 201.7 | 199.1 | 212.2 | 212.3 | 233.2 | 223.9 | 253.8 | 287.1 | 288.3 | 271.8 | 280.5 | 294.4 | 292.8 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ | 276.0 | 276.1 | 277.2 | 285.4 | 290.2 | 301.1 | 287.6 | 300.3 | 322.8 | 329.8 | 305.3 | 319.4 | 321.0 | 297.1 |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- Age-standardised incidence rates have increased for women in the target age group ( $50-69$ years) from 188.1 cases per 100,000 women in 1986 to 292.8 cases per 100,000 women in 1999. A similar pattern of increase, although of a lesser degree, is evident for women aged 70 and over.
- Age-standardised incidence rates have also increased for women of all ages, from 75.1 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1986 to 98.7 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1999. The rates for women aged less than 50 years remained stable throughout the period.
- The increase in the rate of new cancers, especially in the 50-69 age group, corresponds to the introduction in 1991 of BreastScreen Australia (then known as the National Program for the Early Detection of Breast Cancer). Although the underlying rate for breast cancer is increasing, the sharp increase between 1992 and 1994 is likely to be, at least in part, the result of the early detection of cancers in women who may otherwise have gone undiagnosed for some years.

For more information, see:
Tables 41 and 42.

Incidence of breast cancer in women, aged 50-69, 1996-1999


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 285.1 | 282.1 | 289.8 | 282.7 | 288.6 | 294.2 | 262.1 | 312.3 | $201.2^{*}$ |

$95 \%$ CI $\quad 280.8-289.3275 .3-289.0282 .0-298.1272 .9-292.5274 .6-303.2$ 280.4-308.2 237.2-285.9 275.1-346.6 150.9-250.4

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- Incidence data by state and territory provide an indication as to whether a Program needs to be specially tailored to local conditions - for example, high incidence in a particular state or territory - or whether a relatively generic program can be used nationally. Although there are some differences in incidence exist among the states and territories, they are relatively small except for the Northern Territory, where the rate was significantly lower than the all-Australia rate.
- For 1996-1999, the age-standardised incidence rate for women aged 50-69 years was highest in the Australian Capital Territory ( 312.3 per 100,000 women) and lowest in the Northern Territory ( 201.2 per 100,000 women). The age-standardised national incidence rate for women aged 50-69 years was 285.1 per 100,000 women.


## For more information, see:

Tables 43 and 44.

## Age-specific incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia

 1999

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women.

- In 1999 the age-specific incidence rates ranged from 115.2 new cancers per 100,000 women aged 40-44 years to 327.0 new cancers per 100,000 women aged 60-64 years.
- All women aged 40 years and over are able to attend for screening with BreastScreen Australia, although the Program is specifically aimed at women aged 50-69 years of age who are without symptoms. In 1999, almost half ( $48 \%$ ) of breast cancer cases occurred in women in the target age group.

For more information, see:
Table 42.

Incidence of breast cancer in women by region, 1995-1999


|  | Australia | Capital <br> cities | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 99.3 | 101.4 | 96.0 | 97.6 | $93.7^{*}$ | 97.7 | $83.8^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $98.5-100.2$ | $100.2-102.5$ | $92.9-98.8$ | $93.9-101.4$ | $90.3-96.9$ | $95.4-100.1$ | $78.1-89.6$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 285.7 | 292.5 | 272.2 | 274.7 | 275.9 | 280.5 | $228.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $282.0-289.4$ | $287.7-297.2$ | $259.5-284.8$ | $259.0-290.7$ | $262.5-289.3$ | $271.6-289.9$ | $207.5-252.2$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- The incidence of breast cancer by geographical location is of importance for the BreastScreen Australia Program because it can assist in identifying areas that may require specific Program efforts.
- In the period 1995-1999 the age-standardised rate of breast cancer incidence in the target age group (50-69 years) ranged from 228.9 cases per 100,000 women in remote areas to 292.5 cases per 100,000 women in capital cities.

For more information, see:
Tables 45 and 46.

Incidence of invasive cancer in women by tumour size, ages 50-69 and all ages, 1997


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | All ages |  | Ages 50-69 years |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of tumour | $\mathbf{0 - 1 0 ~ m m}$ | All sizes | $\mathbf{0 - 1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ | All sizes |
| Rate | 20.9 | 100.7 | 70.0 | $\mathbf{2 8 5 . 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C l}$ | $20.0-21.9$ | $98.7-102.6$ | $66.0-74.2$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8 . 1 - 2 9 4 . 2}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- The age-standardised national incidence rate for small cancers ( 10 mm or less) was 20.9 per 100,000 women of all ages, and 70.0 per 100,000 women in the target age group. For cancers of all sizes, the rates were 100.7 and 285.9 per 100,000 women respectively.

For more information, see:
Tables 47 and 48.

Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ, ages 50-69, 1994-1999


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 34.6 | $29.9^{*}$ | $38.8^{*}$ | 33.6 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 40.3 | 31.5 | $13.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $33.4-35.8$ | $28.0-31.6$ | $36.3-41.2$ | $31.0-36.1$ | $35.3-43.8$ | $33.9-42.2$ | $32.9-47.9$ | $22.1-41.0$ | $4.1-24.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- The age-standardised national incidence rate for ductal carcinoma in situ among women of all ages was 10.2 per 100,000 women; this compares with 34.6 per 100,000 women aged 50-69 years.
- Across the states and territories, for the period 1994-1999, the age-standardised incidence rate of ductal carcinoma in situ for women aged 50-69 years ranged from 13.9 per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory to 39.5 per 100,000 women in Western Australia.


## Indicator 8: Mortality

## Mortality rate

The mortality rate from breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12 -month period by five-year age groups ( $0-4,5-9,10-14,15-19,20-24$, $25-29,30-34,35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The mortality indicator

Mortality statistics are one of the most comprehensively collected national data sets. Registration of death is a legal requirement in Australia and, as a result compliance is virtually complete. Registration of deaths is the responsibility of the Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages in each state and territory. The Registrars provide the mortality data to the Australian Bureau of Statistics for coding the cause of death and compilation into national statistics. The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare also holds these data in a national mortality database. The data presented here are from the AIHW National Mortality Database and are based on year of registration of the death. Note that about 5\% of deaths are not registered until the year following the death (ABS 2002).
Breast cancer is the most common cause of cancer death in Australian women. The number of deaths from breast cancer over the last five years has remained fairly stable, with 2,569 women dying from the disease in 1996, and 2,511 women in 2000. However, over this period the rates of deaths caused by breast cancer have steadily fallen.
In the longer term, mortality rates from breast cancer are an important indicator of the effectiveness of the screening program. A particularly important indication of the effectiveness of a screening program is the change in mortality rates over time in the target age group for screening. There are, however, two difficulties with using these mortality rates as an indicator of screening effectiveness. The first is that changes in mortality over time may reflect factors additional to screening, such as new and more effective treatments. The second is that changes in the mortality rates may not be apparent for a number of years following the commencement of a screening program. Accordingly, this is a measure that needs to be viewed over the long term and interpreted with caution.

The mortality rates presented in this chapter are for the total female population of Australia, not just for those women who participated in the BreastScreen Australia Program.
This chapter shows the trend in breast cancer mortality from 1987 to 2000, the latest national data available. This chapter also reports on breast cancer mortality by state and territory, by age, by region, and by Indigenous status.
Some changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data. These are described in Appendix A.

## Mortality from breast cancer, females, Australia, 1987-2000



|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 26.0 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 24.5 | 24.2 | 23.1 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 6.6 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | 68.3 | 70.4 | 68.8 | 70.7 | 68.2 | 62.1 | 70.1 | 67.3 | 67.2 | 63.7 | 62.5 | 59.3 |
| $70+$ | 124.2 | 127.0 | 129.5 | 124.1 | 127.5 | 123.5 | 129.3 | 127.4 | 123.9 | 119.4 | 116.9 | 113.9 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ |  |  | 109.2 | 110.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

- The age-standardised mortality rate for women aged 50-69 years fluctuated during the period 1987 to 1993 and thereafter steadily declined. The mortality rates for women of all ages and aged 70 years and over displayed a similar pattern. The mortality rate for women aged less than 50 fluctuated at or below seven deaths per 100,000 women.

For more information, see:
Tables 51 and 52.

## Mortality from breast cancer in women aged 50-69, 1997-2000

Deaths per 100,000 women


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 58.2 | 57.3 | 62.7 | 55.3 | 52.5 | 56.4 | 57.3 | 76.3 | 78.7 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $56.3-60.0$ | $54.2-60.5$ | $59.0-66.6$ | $51.0-59.4$ | $46.7-58.3$ | $50.3-62.8$ | $46.5-68.8$ | $58.7-94.2$ | $49.4-112.5$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised mortality rates for women aged 50-69 years ranged from 52.5 deaths per 100,000 women in Western Australia to 78.7 deaths per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 53 and 54.

Age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer, females, Australia, 2000


| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 - 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 16.9 | 27.8 | 41.6 | 54.5 | 61.5 | 62.5 | 86.7 | 98.1 | 127.1 | 195.2 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women.

- Age-specific rates for breast cancer mortality in women increased with age, with the rise becoming sharp for women aged 75 and over. Deaths in females aged less than 20 are uncommon, and the mortality rate for women aged 25-29 is less than one per 100,000.
- The pattern of breast cancer mortality by age group remained the same during the period 1987 to 2000.

For more information, see:
Tables 51 and 52.

Mortality from breast cancer by region, females, 1996-2000


|  |  |  | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 23.0 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 23.9 | 21.2 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $22.6-23.4$ | $22.7-23.7$ | $20.9-23.8$ | $20.8-24.0$ | $20.6-23.5$ | $22.8-25.0$ | $18.5-24.0$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 59.3 | 60.6 | 55.6 | 54.2 | 54.0 | 62.3 | 48.9 |
| $95 \% ~ C l$ | $57.7-60.9$ | $58.4-62.7$ | $49.9-61.0$ | $47.8-60.2$ | $48.1-59.8$ | $57.8-66.6$ | $39.3-58.8$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
2. The Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification (DPIE \& DHSH 1994) was used to create the above categories.
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- Mortality rates in the 50-69 age group ranged from 48.9 deaths per 100,000 women in 'Remote areas' to 62.3 deaths per 100,000 women in 'Other rural areas'. None of the regional rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate, nor did they differ significantly from one another.


## For more information, see:

Tables 55 and 56.

## Mortality from breast cancer by Indigenous status, females,

 1996-2000

|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 23.3 | 26.1 | 22.3 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $22.8-23.7$ | $18.4-34.9$ | $21.6-22.9$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 59.3 | 57.8 | 55.4 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $57.5-60.9$ | $33.7-85.1$ | $52.4-58.4$ |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia and the Northern Territory had Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard at the time of preparation of this report. Therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Data for Queensland are included from 1998 onwards.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.
4. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
5. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised mortality rates for Indigenous and non-Indigenous women are similar in both the target age group (50-69 years) and the all-ages group, with the rate among Indigenous women being a little higher. The difference between the rates is not significant. The wide confidence intervals around the Indigenous rates indicate that the data were based on a small number of deaths.


## For more information, see:

Tables 57 and 68.

## Tables

## Indicator 1: Participation

Table 1a: Number of women participating in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999 by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 47,124 | 12,622 | 31,837 | 7,525 | 6,630 | 3,441 | 1,822 | 823 | 111,824 |
| 45-49 | 71,590 | 22,806 | 44,404 | 16,557 | 13,442 | 6,317 | 3,935 | 1,382 | 180,433 |
| 50-54 | 99,774 | 85,046 | 59,765 | 27,969 | 29,323 | 8,661 | 5,965 | 2,349 | 318,852 |
| 55-59 | 84,336 | 63,803 | 46,276 | 22,669 | 23,690 | 7,287 | 4,274 | 1,428 | 253,763 |
| 60-64 | 73,123 | 54,835 | 37,849 | 19,254 | 21,012 | 6,165 | 3,031 | 789 | 216,058 |
| 65-69 | 64,041 | 48,077 | 32,769 | 15,855 | 17,914 | 5,153 | 2,288 | 488 | 186,585 |
| 70-74 | 49,291 | 37,317 | 22,113 | 4,335 | 6,399 | 1,445 | 613 | 249 | 121,762 |
| 75-79 | 22,300 | 8,363 | 11,449 | 1,730 | 2,262 | 600 | 318 | 102 | 47,124 |
| 80-84 | 6,946 | 1,397 | 3,508 | 394 | 555 | 129 | 55 | 36 | 13,020 |
| 85+ | 1,482 | 336 | 830 | 68 | 88 | 21 | 16 | 1 | 2,842 |
| Ages 40+ | 520,007 | 334,602 | 290,800 | 116,356 | 121,315 | 39,219 | 22,317 | 7,647 | 1,452,263 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 321,274 | 251,761 | 176,659 | 85,747 | 91,939 | 27,266 | 15,558 | 5,054 | 975,258 |

Note: Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 1b: Number of women participating in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 44,436 | 11,769 | 31,736 | 7,399 | 6,737 | 2,918 | 1,714 | 785 | 107,494 |
| 45-49 | 70,972 | 21,856 | 45,765 | 15,427 | 13,315 | 5,967 | 3,936 | 1,364 | 178,602 |
| 50-54 | 100,922 | 89,304 | 63,364 | 29,026 | 31,888 | 8,993 | 6,188 | 2,466 | 332,151 |
| 55-59 | 86,480 | 66,436 | 50,170 | 23,366 | 24,872 | 7,492 | 4,518 | 1,578 | 264,912 |
| 60-64 | 74,707 | 57,686 | 41,067 | 19,967 | 21,697 | 6,450 | 3,214 | 848 | 225,636 |
| 65-69 | 63,119 | 49,055 | 34,058 | 16,210 | 18,157 | 5,318 | 2,291 | 487 | 188,695 |
| 70-74 | 50,389 | 39,713 | 24,823 | 4,372 | 6,564 | 1,295 | 602 | 296 | 128,054 |
| 75-79 | 26,031 | 9,752 | 12,484 | 1,745 | 2,439 | 556 | 271 | 103 | 53,381 |
| 80-84 | 7,895 | 1,469 | 3,828 | 363 | 556 | 119 | 47 | 44 | 14,321 |
| 85+ | 1,725 | 320 | 924 | 66 | 90 | 25 | 18 | 3 | 3,171 |
| Ages 40+ | 526,676 | 347,360 | 308,219 | 117,941 | 126,315 | 39,133 | 22,799 | 7,974 | 1,496,417 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | 325,228 | 262,481 | 188,659 | 88,569 | 96,614 | 28,253 | 16,211 | 5,379 | 1,011,394 |

Note: Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 2a: Percentage of women participating in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 19.9 | 7.2 | 24.6 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 19.2 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | 32.7 | 14.0 | 36.7 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 38.0 | 32.4 | 23.4 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | 51.1 | 58.6 | 55.3 | 50.9 | 61.0 | 58.4 | 58.2 | 51.3 | 54.9 |
| 55-59 | 55.9 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 55.2 | 64.8 | 62.5 | 63.9 | 51.5 | 57.6 |
| 60-64 | 56.1 | 57.1 | 58.1 | 56.5 | 65.4 | 61.3 | 62.4 | 44.8 | 57.7 |
| 65-69 | 52.2 | 53.3 | 55.2 | 52.4 | 58.3 | 54.4 | 57.6 | 42.2 | 53.7 |
| 70-74 | 42.0 | 43.4 | 39.8 | 16.0 | 20.9 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 30.7 | 36.9 |
| 75-79 | 22.8 | 11.7 | 24.9 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 10.6 | 19.4 | 17.1 |
| 80-84 | 10.8 | 3.0 | 11.6 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 11.4 | 7.3 |
| 85+ | 2.6 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 37.4 | 32.6 | 40.3 | 31.1 | 35.0 | 36.9 | 37.2 | 30.5 | 35.8 |
| ASR (A) | 38.4 | 33.5 | 41.2 | 32.1 | 36.8 | 38.4 | 37.4 | 31.5 | 36.9 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | 38.3-38.5 | 33.4-33.6 | 41.0-41.3 | 32.0-32.3 | 36.6-37.0 | 38.0-38.8 | 36.9-37.9 | 30.7-32.3 | 36.8-37.0 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.6 | 56.9 | 56.4 | 53.5 | 62.3 | 59.3 | 60.4 | 49.2 | 55.9 |
| ASR (A) | 53.7 | 56.8 | 56.5 | 53.7 | 62.4 | 59.2 | 60.5 | 47.7 | 55.9 |
| 95\% Cl | 53.6-53.9 | 56.6-57.0 | 56.2-56.8 | 53.3-54.1 | 62.0-62.8 | 58.5-59.9 | 59.5-61.4 | 46.3-49.2 | 55.8-56.1 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. South Australian participation rates only include women resident in South Australia, while other state and Territory rates include women screened in that jurisdiction but resident elsewhere. As only small numbers are involved, the effect of this is likely to be minor.
4. BreastScreen services are not provided in the remote areas of the Northern Territory. Women in these areas are offered a clinical breast examination as part of a well women's screening episode.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 2b: Percentage of women participating in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000, states and territories

| Age <br> group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 18.5 | 6.6 | 24.0 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 15.0 |
| $45-49$ | 32.0 | 13.2 | 37.0 | 23.0 | 24.8 | 35.4 | 32.6 | 22.4 | 26.8 |
| $50-54$ | 50.1 | 59.4 | 56.2 | 50.3 | 64.2 | 58.7 | 57.9 | 51.0 | 55.1 |
| $55-59$ | 55.0 | 57.7 | 58.8 | 54.4 | 65.3 | 62.1 | 63.7 | 52.4 | 57.5 |
| $60-64$ | 56.3 | 58.8 | 60.5 | 56.8 | 66.3 | 62.5 | 63.4 | 46.9 | 58.8 |
| $65-69$ | 52.1 | 54.6 | 57.2 | 53.1 | 59.8 | 56.3 | 56.6 | 40.3 | 54.5 |
| $70-74$ | 43.0 | 46.2 | 44.3 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 35.3 | 38.8 |
| $75-79$ | 25.8 | 13.2 | 26.2 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 19.3 | 18.8 |
| $80-84$ | 12.1 | 3.1 | 12.3 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 13.5 | 7.8 |
| $85+$ | 2.9 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 |

Ages 40+

| Crude rate | 37.2 | 33.1 | 41.4 | 30.6 | 35.8 | 36.1 | 37.0 | 30.7 | 36.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASR (A) | 38.1 | 34.0 | 42.3 | 31.6 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 37.0 | 31.8 | 37.1 |
| 95\% CI | 38.0-38.2 | 33.9-34.1 | 42.1-42.5 | 31.4-31.8 | 37.3-37.7 | 37.1-37.9 | 36.6-37.5 | 30.9-32.6 | 37.1-37.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.1 | 57.9 | 58.0 | 53.3 | 64.0 | 59.9 | 60.3 | 49.5 | 56.4 |
| ASR (A) | 53.3 | 57.8 | 58.1 | 53.6 | 64.0 | 59.9 | 60.4 | 47.9 | 56.5 |
| 95\% CI | 53.1-53.4 | 57.5-58.0 | 57.8-58.4 | 53.2-53.9 | 63.6-64.4 | 59.2-60.6 | 59.4-61.4 | 46.5-49.3 | 56.3-56.6 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. BreastScreen services are not provided in the remote areas of the Northern Territory. Women in these areas are offered a clinical breast examination as part of a well women's screening episode.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 3a: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999 by age and region

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | Capital cities | Other metropolitan areas | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote centres | Other remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 60,376 | 12,358 | 9,242 | 8,029 | 17,117 | 2,147 | 2,555 | 111,824 |
|  | Rate | 13.3 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 18.2 | 26.7 | 23.3 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | Number | 104,065 | 18,247 | 13,424 | 12,279 | 26,050 | 2,843 | 3,523 | 180,433 |
|  | Rate | 24.3 | 37.4 | 35.8 | 30.0 | 30.5 | 42.3 | 37.7 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | Number | 197,911 | 26,224 | 20,544 | 21,156 | 45,483 | 3,177 | 4,357 | 318,852 |
|  | Rate | 52.5 | 59.7 | 63.2 | 58.2 | 58.4 | 58.7 | 52.6 | 54.9 |
| 55-59 | Number | 150,759 | 21,832 | 16,544 | 18,702 | 40,158 | 2,203 | 3,565 | 253,763 |
|  | Rate | 54.8 | 63.0 | 65.1 | 60.9 | 61.6 | 61.6 | 56.3 | 57.6 |
| 60-64 | Number | 125,517 | 19,268 | 14,635 | 17,191 | 35,188 | 1,493 | 2,766 | 216,058 |
|  | Rate | 54.9 | 62.8 | 65.1 | 60.8 | 61.7 | 63.4 | 55.5 | 57.7 |
| 65-69 | Number | 107,731 | 17,640 | 12,974 | 15,515 | 29,486 | 1,150 | 2,089 | 186,585 |
|  | Rate | 50.9 | 58.7 | 60.2 | 55.6 | 58.1 | 60.7 | 52.7 | 53.7 |
| 70-74 | Number | 69,811 | 13,473 | 8,880 | 9,824 | 17,961 | 522 | 1,292 | 121,762 |
|  | Rate | 34.2 | 46.0 | 42.9 | 37.0 | 40.2 | 35.9 | 41.0 | 36.9 |
| 75-79 | Number | 25,997 | 5,883 | 3,560 | 3,669 | 7,201 | 262 | 550 | 47,124 |
|  | Rate | 15.0 | 24.8 | 20.4 | 17.2 | 20.3 | 23.4 | 24.6 | 17.1 |
| 80-84 | Number | 6,770 | 1,754 | 1,093 | 1,026 | 2,084 | 96 | 197 | 13,020 |
|  | Rate | 6.0 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 7.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 1,490 | 393 | 230 | 209 | 461 | 19 | 41 | 2,842 |
|  | Rate | 1.4 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 850,426 | 137,073 | 101,126 | 107,602 | 221,189 | 13,912 | 20,935 | 1,452,263 |
|  | Crude rate | 33.1 | 42.9 | 41.9 | 38.2 | 39.8 | 43.4 | 39.8 | 35.8 |
|  | ASR (A) | 34.3 | 43.7 | 43.6 | 39.1 | 40.1 | 44.1 | 40.1 | 36.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 34.2-34.4 | 43.5-43.9 | 43.3-43.9 | 38.8-39.3 | 40.0-40.3 | 43.3-44.9 | 39.6-40.7 | 36.8-37.0 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 581,917 | 84,964 | 64,697 | 72,565 | 150,315 | 8,022 | 12,777 | 975,258 |
|  | Crude rate | 53.3 | 61.0 | 63.5 | 58.9 | 59.9 | 60.6 | 54.2 | 55.9 |
|  | ASR (A) | 53.3 | 61.0 | 63.5 | 58.9 | 59.9 | 61.0 | 54.2 | 55.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 53.2-53.4 | 60.6-61.4 | 63.0-64.0 | 58.5-59.3 | 59.7-60.2 | 59.6-62.4 | 53.4-55.2 | 55.8-56.1 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 3b: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 by age and region

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | Capital cities | Other metropolitan areas | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote centres | Other remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 58,474 | 12,124 | 8,567 | 7,486 | 16,140 | 2,043 | 2,660 | 107,494 |
|  | Rate | 12.7 | 22.8 | 20.3 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 25.2 | 24.1 | 15.0 |
| 45-49 | Number | 102,523 | 18,435 | 13,144 | 12,302 | 25,686 | 2,865 | 3,646 | 178,602 |
|  | Rate | 23.6 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 29.4 | 29.5 | 41.6 | 38.4 | 26.8 |
| 50-54 | Number | 206,473 | 27,264 | 21,004 | 22,407 | 46,985 | 3,285 | 4,732 | 332,151 |
|  | Rate | 52.7 | 59.6 | 62.1 | 59.4 | 58.7 | 58.6 | 56.1 | 55.1 |
| 55-59 | Number | 157,300 | 22,859 | 17,220 | 19,699 | 41,572 | 2,384 | 3,879 | 264,912 |
|  | Rate | 54.6 | 62.6 | 64.7 | 61.8 | 61.6 | 63.0 | 59.2 | 57.5 |
| 60-64 | Number | 130,947 | 20,023 | 15,022 | 18,404 | 36,686 | 1,569 | 2,985 | 225,636 |
|  | Rate | 55.9 | 63.7 | 65.3 | 63.8 | 62.5 | 65.0 | 58.4 | 58.8 |
| 65-69 | Number | 108,481 | 17,610 | 13,084 | 16,281 | 29,833 | 1,172 | 2,234 | 188,695 |
|  | Rate | 51.6 | 59.2 | 61.0 | 58.7 | 58.6 | 61.7 | 55.6 | 54.5 |
| 70-74 | Number | 72,476 | 14,327 | 9,514 | 10,836 | 18,860 | 598 | 1,442 | 128,054 |
|  | Rate | 35.7 | 48.6 | 45.9 | 40.4 | 41.7 | 40.1 | 44.5 | 38.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 29,312 | 6,887 | 4,003 | 4,301 | 7,953 | 277 | 647 | 53,381 |
|  | Rate | 16.3 | 28.0 | 22.4 | 19.5 | 21.6 | 24.2 | 27.5 | 18.8 |
| 80-84 | Number | 7,475 | 2,022 | 1,153 | 1,148 | 2,245 | 81 | 197 | 14,321 |
|  | Rate | 6.5 | 13.2 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 7.8 |
| 85+ | Number | 1,673 | 446 | 249 | 230 | 507 | 19 | 45 | 3,171 |
|  | Rate | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 875,134 | 141,997 | 102,959 | 113,095 | 226,468 | 14,295 | 22,468 | 1,496,417 |
|  | Crude rate | 33.3 | 43.2 | 41.7 | 39.2 | 39.9 | 43.5 | 41.7 | 36.1 |
|  | ASR (A) | 34.5 | 44.0 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 40.1 | 44.4 | 42.2 | 37.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 34.4-34.6 | 43.7-44.2 | 43.0-43.5 | 39.8-40.2 | 40.0-40.3 | 43.7-45.2 | 41.6-42.7 | 37.1-37.2 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 603,200 | 87,756 | 66,329 | 76,792 | 155,076 | 8,410 | 13,830 | 1,011,394 |
|  | Crude rate | 53.7 | 61.2 | 63.2 | 60.8 | 60.3 | 61.4 | 57.3 | 56.4 |
|  | ASR (A) | 53.7 | 61.3 | 63.3 | 60.9 | 60.3 | 62.0 | 57.3 | 56.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 53.6-53.9 | 60.9-61.7 | 62.8-63.8 | 60.5-61.3 | 60.0-60.6 | 60.6-63.4 | 56.3-58.3 | 56.3-56.6 |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 4a: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999 by age and socioeconomic status

| Age group | Number / Rate | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 21,293 | 20,729 | 22,629 | 24,037 | 23,136 | 111,824 |
|  | Rate | 13.9 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | Number | 37,814 | 33,727 | 35,262 | 37,785 | 35,846 | 180,433 |
|  | Rate | 24.8 | 25.2 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 29.6 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | Number | 69,407 | 65,339 | 62,059 | 61,338 | 60,710 | 318,852 |
|  | Rate | 52.6 | 56.9 | 56.1 | 55.0 | 54.1 | 54.9 |
| 55-59 | Number | 51,192 | 48,799 | 49,841 | 51,762 | 52,169 | 253,763 |
|  | Rate | 56.7 | 59.0 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 56.1 | 57.6 |
| 60-64 | Number | 40,501 | 39,325 | 43,245 | 46,410 | 46,577 | 216,058 |
|  | Rate | 57.0 | 58.3 | 58.5 | 58.7 | 56.2 | 57.7 |
| 65-69 | Number | 34,575 | 34,236 | 37,259 | 40,055 | 40,460 | 186,585 |
|  | Rate | 52.1 | 54.9 | 54.4 | 54.5 | 52.5 | 53.7 |
| 70-74 | Number | 24,127 | 23,096 | 25,132 | 24,967 | 24,440 | 121,762 |
|  | Rate | 36.3 | 38.6 | 38.4 | 37.3 | 34.5 | 36.9 |
| 75-79 | Number | 9,243 | 8,584 | 9,730 | 9,621 | 9,946 | 47,124 |
|  | Rate | 15.8 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 17.1 |
| 80-84 | Number | 2,550 | 2,172 | 2,770 | 2,699 | 2,830 | 13,020 |
|  | Rate | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.3 |
| $85+$ | Number | 540 | 554 | 599 | 532 | 617 | 2,842 |
|  | Rate | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 291,241 | 276,560 | 288,526 | 299,205 | 296,730 | 1,452,263 |
|  | Crude rate | 33.4 | 35.4 | 36.4 | 37.6 | 36.6 | 35.8 |
|  | ASR (A) | 35.3 | 37.0 | 37.6 | 38.1 | 36.9 | 36.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 35.2-35.4 | 36.8-37.1 | 37.5-37.8 | 38.0-38.2 | 36.8-37.0 | 36.8-37.0 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 195,675 | 187,699 | 192,404 | 199,564 | 199,916 | 975,258 |
|  | Crude rate | 54.4 | 57.3 | 56.8 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 55.9 |
|  | ASR (A) | 54.6 | 57.3 | 56.8 | 56.6 | 54.7 | 55.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 54.3-54.8 | 57.0-57.6 | 56.5-57.0 | 56.3-56.8 | 54.5-55.0 | 55.8-56.1 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest level of socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 4b: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 by age and socioeconomic status

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 20,977 | 20,231 | 21,167 | 22,995 | 22,124 | 107,494 |
|  | Rate | 13.4 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 15.0 |
| 45-49 | Number | 37,444 | 33,363 | 34,737 | 37,510 | 35,547 | 178,602 |
|  | Rate | 24.2 | 24.5 | 27.4 | 29.7 | 28.8 | 26.8 |
| 50-54 | Number | 72,493 | 68,803 | 63,579 | 63,567 | 63,708 | 332,151 |
|  | Rate | 52.9 | 57.8 | 55.4 | 55.0 | 54.7 | 55.1 |
| 55-59 | Number | 53,974 | 51,416 | 51,535 | 53,889 | 54,097 | 264,912 |
|  | Rate | 57.1 | 59.5 | 57.4 | 57.9 | 55.6 | 57.5 |
| 60-64 | Number | 42,277 | 41,717 | 44,671 | 48,214 | 48,757 | 225,636 |
|  | Rate | 58.1 | 60.3 | 59.0 | 59.5 | 57.4 | 58.8 |
| 65-69 | Number | 34,660 | 34,416 | 37,361 | 40,997 | 41,261 | 188,695 |
|  | Rate | 52.5 | 55.5 | 54.8 | 56.0 | 53.8 | 54.5 |
| 70-74 | Number | 24,964 | 24,462 | 26,071 | 26,624 | 25,933 | 128,054 |
|  | Rate | 37.5 | 40.8 | 39.7 | 39.7 | 36.5 | 38.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 10,605 | 9,702 | 10,993 | 11,088 | 10,992 | 53,381 |
|  | Rate | 17.6 | 18.6 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 18.7 | 18.8 |
| 80-84 | Number | 2,856 | 2,439 | 3,023 | 2,969 | 3,035 | 14,321 |
|  | Rate | 6.8 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 7.8 |
| $85+$ | Number | 606 | 599 | 670 | 611 | 686 | 3,171 |
|  | Rate | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 300,856 | 287,149 | 293,808 | 308,465 | 306,140 | 1,496,417 |
|  | Crude rate | 33.7 | 35.9 | 36.3 | 37.9 | 36.9 | 36.1 |
|  | ASR (A) | 35.6 | 37.5 | 37.4 | 38.3 | 37.1 | 37.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 35.5-35.7 | 37.3-37.6 | 37.3-37.5 | 38.2-38.5 | 37.0-37.2 | 37.1-37.2 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 203,404 | 196,354 | 197,146 | 206,667 | 207,823 | 1,011,394 |
|  | Crude rate | 54.9 | 58.3 | 56.6 | 56.9 | 55.4 | 56.4 |
|  | ASR (A) | 55.1 | 58.3 | 56.6 | 57.0 | 55.4 | 56.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 54.9-55.4 | 58.0-58.6 | 56.4-56.9 | 56.8-57.3 | 55.2-55.6 | 56.3-56.6 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest level of socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 5a: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999 by age and Indigenous status

| Age group | Number / Rate | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 1,413 | 110,311 | 111,824 |
|  | Rate | 12.5 | 15.9 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | Number | 1,846 | 177,366 | 180,433 |
|  | Rate | 21.2 | 27.4 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | Number | 2,024 | 315,034 | 318,852 |
|  | Rate | 32.1 | 54.8 | 54.9 |
| 55-59 | Number | 1,627 | 250,937 | 253,763 |
|  | Rate | 36.7 | 57.5 | 57.6 |
| 60-64 | Number | 1,191 | 213,861 | 216,058 |
|  | Rate | 35.8 | 57.6 | 57.7 |
| 65-69 | Number | 880 | 184,812 | 186,585 |
|  | Rate | 35.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 |
| 70-74 | Number | 354 | 120,926 | 121,762 |
|  | Rate | 22.9 | 36.8 | 36.9 |
| 75+ | Number | 188 | 62,541 | 62,986 |
|  | Rate | 9.6 | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 9,523 | 1,435,788 | 1,452,263 |
|  | Crude rate | 23.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 |
|  | ASR (A) | 24.4 | 36.7 | 36.8 |
|  | 95\% CI | 23.9-25.0 | 36.7-36.8 | 36.7-36.9 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 5,722 | 964,644 | 975,258 |
|  | Crude rate | 34.5 | 55.8 | 55.9 |
|  | ASR (A) | 34.8 | 55.9 | 55.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 33.8-35.7 | 55.8-56.0 | 55.8-56.1 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. The number of women whose Indigenous status was 'not stated' was 6,952 for women of all ages, and 4,892 for the target age group, $50-69$ years. These women were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.
4. Note that in some jurisdictions, women who do not state their Indigenous status are classified as non-Indigenous.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 5b: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 by age and Indigenous status

| Age group | Number / Rate | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 1,456 | 105,981 | 107,494 |
|  | Rate | 12.5 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| 45-49 | Number | 1,873 | 176,120 | 178,602 |
|  | Rate | 20.6 | 26.8 | 26.8 |
| 50-54 | Number | 2,207 | 328,778 | 332,151 |
|  | Rate | 33.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| 55-59 | Number | 1,697 | 262,520 | 264,912 |
|  | Rate | 37.3 | 57.5 | 57.5 |
| 60-64 | Number | 1,190 | 223,867 | 225,636 |
|  | Rate | 35.2 | 58.8 | 58.8 |
| 65-69 | Number | 934 | 187,264 | 188,695 |
|  | Rate | 37.1 | 54.5 | 54.5 |
| 70-74 | Number | 393 | 127,444 | 128,054 |
|  | Rate | 24.1 | 38.8 | 38.8 |
| $75+$ | Number | 198 | 70,578 | 70,873 |
|  | Rate | 10.2 | 11.1 | 11.2 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 9,948 | 1,482,552 | 1,496,417 |
|  | Crude rate | 24.0 | 36.1 | 36.1 |
|  | ASR (A) | 24.8 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
|  | 95\% CI | 24.3-25.4 | 37.0-37.1 | 36.9-37.1 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 6,028 | 1,002,429 | 1,011,394 |
|  | Crude rate | 35.2 | 56.4 | 56.4 |
|  | ASR (A) | 35.5 | 56.5 | 56.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 34.6-36.4 | 56.4-56.6 | 56.3-56.6 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. The number of women whose Indigenous status was 'not stated' was 3,917 for women of aged 40 and over, and 2,937 for the target age group, 50-69 years. These women were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.
4. Note that in some jurisdictions, women who do not state their Indigenous status are classified as non-Indigenous.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 6a: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1998-1999 by age and main language spoken at home

| Age group | Number / Rate | English speaking | Non-English speaking | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 97,534 | 14,262 | 111,824 |
|  | Rate | 16.5 | 12.4 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | Number | 155,504 | 24,875 | 180,433 |
|  | Rate | 28.2 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | Number | 272,354 | 46,390 | 318,852 |
|  | Rate | 55.4 | 51.7 | 54.9 |
| 55-59 | Number | 214,585 | 39,041 | 253,763 |
|  | Rate | 59.4 | 49.1 | 57.6 |
| 60-64 | Number | 180,268 | 35,682 | 216,058 |
|  | Rate | 59.1 | 51.2 | 57.7 |
| 65-69 | Number | 159,228 | 27,256 | 186,585 |
|  | Rate | 54.6 | 48.5 | 53.7 |
| 70-74 | Number | 107,400 | 14,276 | 121,762 |
|  | Rate | 38.4 | 28.6 | 36.9 |
| 75-79 | Number | 42,899 | 4,179 | 47,124 |
|  | Rate | 17.6 | 13.6 | 17.1 |
| 80-84 | Number | 12,256 | 752 | 13,020 |
|  | Rate | 7.6 | 4.2 | 7.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 2,673 | 167 | 2,842 |
|  | Rate | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 1,244,701 | 206,880 | 1,452,263 |
|  | Crude rate | 36.4 | 32.9 | 35.8 |
|  | ASR (A) | 37.8 | 32.1 | 36.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 37.8-37.9 | 31.9-32.2 | 36.8-37.0 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 826,435 | 148,369 | 975,258 |
|  | Crude rate | 57.0 | 50.3 | 55.9 |
|  | ASR (A) | 57.1 | 50.2 | 55.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 57.0-57.2 | 50.0-50.5 | 55.8-56.1 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1999.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. There were 682 women aged 40 and over ( 454 women in the target age group) who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home. These women were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.
4. Note that in some jurisdictions, women who do not state their language spoken at home are allocated to a default category. Some jurisdictions allocate all 'not stated' responses to English speaking while some jurisdictions allocate all 'not stated' responses to non-English speaking.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 6b: Participation in BreastScreen Australia in 1999-2000 by age and main language spoken at home

| Age group | Number / Rate | English speaking | Non-English speaking | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 94,716 | 12,754 | 107,494 |
|  | Rate | 15.8 | 10.9 | 15.0 |
| 45-49 | Number | 156,550 | 22,023 | 178,602 |
|  | Rate | 27.9 | 20.7 | 26.8 |
| 50-54 | Number | 287,583 | 44,516 | 332,151 |
|  | Rate | 56.4 | 47.9 | 55.1 |
| 55-59 | Number | 228,146 | 36,744 | 264,912 |
|  | Rate | 60.4 | 44.2 | 57.5 |
| 60-64 | Number | 190,059 | 35,560 | 225,636 |
|  | Rate | 60.8 | 49.8 | 58.8 |
| 65-69 | Number | 161,178 | 27,511 | 188,695 |
|  | Rate | 55.6 | 49.2 | 54.5 |
| 70-74 | Number | 113,381 | 14,668 | 128,054 |
|  | Rate | 40.5 | 29.3 | 38.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 48,684 | 4,696 | 53,381 |
|  | Rate | 19.3 | 14.8 | 18.8 |
| 80-84 | Number | 13,491 | 829 | 14,321 |
|  | Rate | 8.2 | 4.5 | 7.8 |
| 85+ | Number | 3,014 | 157 | 3,171 |
|  | Rate | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 1,296,802 | 199,458 | 1,496,417 |
|  | Crude rate | 37.1 | 30.9 | 36.1 |
|  | ASR (A) | 38.4 | 30.3 | 37.1 |
|  | 95\% Cl | 38.4-38.5 | 30.2-30.4 | 37.1-37.2 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 866,966 | 144,331 | 1,011,394 |
|  | Crude rate | 58.2 | 47.5 | 56.4 |
|  | ASR (A) | 58.3 | 47.7 | 56.5 |
|  | 95\% Cl | 58.2-58.4 | 47.5-48.0 | 56.3-56.6 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
3. There were 157 women of aged 40 and over ( 97 women in the target age group) who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home. These women were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.
4. Note that in some jurisdictions, women who do not state their language spoken at home are allocated to a default category. Some jurisdictions allocate all 'not stated' responses to English speaking while some jurisdictions allocate all 'not stated' responses to non-English speaking.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 2: Detection rate for small invasive cancers

Table 7: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, 1999, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 14,345 | 4,965 | 9,564 | 2,531 | 2,340 | 844 | 601 | 244 | 35,434 |
|  | Cases | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,902 | 6,104 | 7,368 | 2,874 | 2,512 | 688 | 630 | 274 | 32,352 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,272 | 13,549 | 9,505 | 2,339 | 4,752 | 887 | 758 | 438 | 45,500 |
|  | Cases | 16 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,221 | 2,628 | 5,358 | 1,014 | 1,332 | 364 | 236 | 134 | 17,287 |
|  | Cases | 9 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,788 | 2,069 | 4,008 | 678 | 864 | 285 | 137 | 89 | 12,918 |
|  | Cases | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,647 | 1,474 | 3,396 | 479 | 682 | 196 | 97 | 44 | 10,015 |
|  | Cases | 4 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 2,237 | 871 | 1,872 | 301 | 264 | 120 | 62 | 28 | 5,755 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,570 | 689 | 1,111 | 203 | 247 | 95 | 33 | 11 | 3,959 |
|  | Cases | 8 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 504 | 230 | 371 | 50 | 85 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1,280 |
|  | Cases | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $85+$ | Screened | 123 | 74 | 109 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 347 |
|  | Cases | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ages40+ | Screened | 58,609 | 32,653 | 42,662 | 10,481 | 13,100 | 3,507 | 2,568 | 1,267 | 164,847 |
|  | Cases | 59 | 48 | 80 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 230 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 27,928 | 19,720 | 22,267 | 4,510 | 7,630 | 1,732 | 1,228 | 705 | 85,720 |
|  | Cases | 33 | 34 | 48 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 146 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 8: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, 1999, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 4.0 |
| 45-49 | 4.2 | 11.5 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 8.0 |
| 50-54 | 12.1 | 13.3 | 18.9 | 21.4 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 14.1 |
| 55-59 | 14.5 | 26.6 | 18.7 | 19.7 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 19.7 |
| 60-64 | 8.4 | 14.5 | 17.5 | 29.5 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 14.7 |
| 65-69 | 11.0 | 40.7 | 38.3 | 20.9 | 58.7 | 0.0 | 103.1 | 0.0 | 29.0 |
| 70-74 | 26.8 | 11.5 | 32.1 | 66.4 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.8 |
| 75-79 | 51.0 | 43.5 | 63.0 | 98.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 303.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.9 | 200.0 | 117.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 135.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 10.1 | 14.7 | 18.8 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 15.8 | 14.0 |
| ASR (A) | 12.5 | 19.3 | 22.7 | 25.7 | 24.8 | . | 49.4 | 7.9 | 18.1 |
| 95\% Cl | 9.2-16.2 | 13.3-26.2 | 17.6-27.7 | 13.3-40.9 | 13.3-38.1 |  | 15.9-91.5 | 0-20.5 | 15.6-20.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 11.8 | 17.2 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 17.0 |
| ASR (A) | 11.7 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 29.0 | . | 60.1 |  | 18.6 |
| 95\% CI | 7.6-16.3 | 14.2-32.0 | 16.1-28.8 | 9.4-39.3 | 13.7-46.9 | . | 12.9-116.7 |  | 15.2-22.0 |

. . Not applicable.
Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 9: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, 1999, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,642 | 1,224 | 6,344 | 1,444 | 989 | 592 | 330 | 160 | 19,725 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 24,503 | 5,102 | 15,765 | 5,234 | 4,178 | 2,240 | 1,446 | 421 | 58,889 |
|  | Cases | 10 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 39,046 | 31,735 | 21,427 | 11,727 | 10,765 | 3,401 | 2,471 | 737 | 121,309 |
|  | Cases | 30 | 33 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 111 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 38,525 | 30,251 | 19,308 | 10,936 | 11,729 | 3,388 | 2,172 | 692 | 117,001 |
|  | Cases | 52 | 33 | 30 | 20 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 166 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 34,266 | 25,646 | 16,359 | 9,523 | 10,505 | 2,970 | 1,632 | 367 | 101,268 |
|  | Cases | 49 | 49 | 33 | 28 | 25 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 193 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 29,992 | 22,423 | 13,747 | 7,961 | 8,872 | 2,539 | 1,232 | 202 | 86,968 |
|  | Cases | 50 | 63 | 28 | 22 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 184 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 24,270 | 18,174 | 10,609 | 1,878 | 2,940 | 513 | 258 | 110 | 58,752 |
|  | Cases | 50 | 51 | 27 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 140 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 11,745 | 3,653 | 5,577 | 667 | 860 | 187 | 128 | 32 | 22,849 |
|  | Cases | 17 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,654 | 414 | 1,670 | 122 | 171 | 38 | 12 | 18 | 6,099 |
|  | Cases | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 85+ | Screened | 743 | 91 | 360 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1,241 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Cases | 273 | 250 | 163 | 98 | 74 | 23 | 15 | 1 | 897 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | Screened | 141,829 | 110,055 | 70,841 | 40,147 | 41,871 | 12,298 | 7,507 | 1,998 | 426,546 |
|  | Cases | 181 | 178 | 106 | 85 | 69 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 654 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 10: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, 1999, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| 45-49 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| 50-54 | 7.7 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 12.8 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| 55-59 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 26.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 14.2 |
| 60-64 | 14.3 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 29.4 | 23.8 | 13.5 | 24.5 | 27.2 | 19.1 |
| 65-69 | 16.7 | 28.1 | 20.4 | 27.6 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 21.2 |
| 70-74 | 20.6 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 31.9 | 13.6 | 19.5 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 23.8 |
| 75-79 | 14.5 | 46.5 | 19.7 | 30.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
| 80-84 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 |
| 85+ | 26.9 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.2 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 12.7 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 19.8 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 3.7 | 15.1 |
| ASR (A) | 11.7 | 15.8 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 17.2 | 4.0 | 13.9 |
| 95\% CI | 10.2-13.1 | 13.4-18.6 | 11.8-16.2 | 14.8-23.6 | 9.2-15.2 | 7.8-19.2 | 8.5-27.8 | 0.0-12.1 | 13.0-14.8 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 12.8 | 16.2 | 15.0 | 21.2 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 15.3 |
| ASR (A) | 12.4 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 20.8 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 6.0 | 15.0 |
| 95\% CI | 10.5-14.2 | 13.5-18.3 | 11.8-17.5 | 16.5-25.4 | 12.0-19.7 | 10.1-24.3 | 8.7-27.3 | 0.0-18.1 | 13.8-16.1 |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 11: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, 2000, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 12,625 | 4,519 | 9,201 | 2,253 | 2,356 | 754 | 530 | 259 | 32,497 |
|  | Cases | 13 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,393 | 5,545 | 6,411 | 2,939 | 2,521 | 589 | 589 | 263 | 29,250 |
|  | Cases | 15 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 12,249 | 12,395 | 8,472 | 3,239 | 5,281 | 798 | 663 | 490 | 43,587 |
|  | Cases | 38 | 29 | 21 | 8 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 122 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,245 | 3,180 | 4,287 | 922 | 1,130 | 342 | 201 | 131 | 15,438 |
|  | Cases | 15 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 56 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,027 | 2,471 | 3,161 | 706 | 649 | 224 | 129 | 62 | 11,429 |
|  | Cases | 10 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 49 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 2,850 | 1,750 | 2,439 | 459 | 555 | 157 | 83 | 33 | 8,326 |
|  | Cases | 13 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,763 | 874 | 1,298 | 235 | 247 | 80 | 41 | 20 | 4,558 |
|  | Cases | 9 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,264 | 623 | 756 | 171 | 244 | 59 | 24 | 8 | 3,149 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 467 | 233 | 235 | 48 | 74 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 1,087 |
|  | Cases | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 85+ | Screened | 131 | 60 | 79 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 310 |
|  | Cases | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Cases | 123 | 96 | 107 | 31 | 46 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 417 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 24,371 | 19,796 | 18,359 | 5,326 | 7,615 | 1,521 | 1,076 | 716 | 78,780 |
|  | Cases | 76 | 57 | 70 | 20 | 38 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 271 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 12: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, 2000, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 10.3 | 13.3 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 |
| 45-49 | 14.4 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 20.4 | 11.9 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 |
| 50-54 | 31.0 | 23.4 | 24.8 | 24.7 | 43.6 | 12.5 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 28.0 |
| 55-59 | 28.6 | 34.6 | 42.0 | 43.4 | 44.2 | 29.2 | 49.8 | 76.3 | 36.3 |
| 60-64 | 24.8 | 32.4 | 56.9 | 56.7 | 77.0 | 89.3 | 155.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 |
| 65-69 | 45.6 | 51.4 | 53.3 | 87.1 | 90.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.8 |
| 70-74 | 51.0 | 114.4 | 61.6 | 127.7 | 40.5 | 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.2 |
| 75-79 | 47.5 | 144.5 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 416.7 | 0.0 | 73.0 |
| 80-84 | 64.2 | 42.9 | 127.7 | 0.0 | 270.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.8 |
| 85+ | 76.3 | 166.7 | 126.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 24.1 | 30.3 | 29.4 | 28.2 | 35.2 | 19.8 | 30.7 | 7.9 | 27.9 |
| ASR (A) | 30.4 | 41.0 | 40.1 | 46.6 | 49.0 | 33.6 | 52.3 | 13.2 | 37.5 |
| 95\% Cl | 24.2-36.2 | 31.1-51.7 | 31.9-48.3 | 27.8-67.6 | 31.0-67.3 | 6.6-65.1 | 13.0-100.8 | 0.0-39.6 | 33.1-41.7 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 31.2 | 28.8 | 38.1 | 37.6 | 49.9 | 26.3 | 46.5 | 14.0 | 34.4 |
| ASR (A) | 31.9 | 33.7 | 41.9 | 48.8 | 60.2 | 31.4 | 57.0 | 19.8 | 38.3 |
| 95\% CI | 24.0-39.6 | 24.0-44.5 | 31.3-51.9 | 26.8-74.7 | 37.4-84.2 | 4.1-66.8 | 9.8-116.4 | 0.0-59.3 | 33.1-43.3 |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 13: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, 2000, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,996 | 1,071 | 7,354 | 1,487 | 1,255 | 819 | 300 | 147 | 22,429 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 26,787 | 5,155 | 17,703 | 5,154 | 4,514 | 2,677 | 1,397 | 469 | 63,856 |
|  | Cases | 24 | 11 | 32 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 96 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 40,387 | 31,837 | 25,878 | 13,292 | 11,801 | 4,249 | 2,553 | 863 | 130,860 |
|  | Cases | 70 | 63 | 58 | 29 | 22 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 262 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 40,586 | 30,606 | 23,036 | 12,042 | 11,305 | 3,716 | 2,124 | 643 | 124,058 |
|  | Cases | 106 | 103 | 57 | 27 | 35 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 347 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 35,398 | 27,735 | 18,646 | 10,675 | 10,321 | 3,251 | 1,469 | 332 | 107,827 |
|  | Cases | 86 | 107 | 77 | 38 | 37 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 366 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 30,053 | 23,616 | 15,338 | 8,660 | 8,589 | 2,678 | 983 | 208 | 90,125 |
|  | Cases | 91 | 87 | 63 | 35 | 34 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 324 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,129 | 19,992 | 11,762 | 2,276 | 3,228 | 620 | 248 | 142 | 63,397 |
|  | Cases | 96 | 85 | 48 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 258 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 13,357 | 4,857 | 5,514 | 821 | 1,130 | 223 | 95 | 52 | 26,049 |
|  | Cases | 52 | 18 | 25 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,886 | 610 | 1,739 | 165 | 241 | 42 | 13 | 20 | 6,716 |
|  | Cases | 19 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 85+ | Screened | 866 | 103 | 420 | 28 | 38 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1,470 |
|  | Cases | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 226,445 | 145,582 | 127,390 | 54,600 | 52,422 | 18,284 | 9,186 | 2,878 | 636,787 |
|  | Cases | 553 | 478 | 379 | 150 | 163 | 53 | 36 | 5 | 1,817 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | Screened | 146,424 | 113,794 | 82,898 | 44,669 | 42,016 | 13,894 | 7,129 | 2,046 | 452,870 |
|  | Cases | 353 | 360 | 255 | 129 | 128 | 40 | 30 | 4 | 1,299 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 14: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, 2000, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 6.0 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 |
| 45-49 | 9.0 | 21.3 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 35.8 | 21.3 | 15.0 |
| 50-54 | 17.3 | 19.8 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 43.1 | 11.6 | 20.0 |
| 55-59 | 26.1 | 33.7 | 24.7 | 22.4 | 31.0 | 24.2 | 37.7 | 31.1 | 28.0 |
| 60-64 | 24.3 | 38.6 | 41.3 | 35.6 | 35.8 | 46.1 | 40.8 | 0.0 | 33.9 |
| 65-69 | 30.3 | 36.8 | 41.1 | 40.4 | 39.6 | 29.9 | 50.9 | 48.1 | 36.0 |
| 70-74 | 38.2 | 42.5 | 40.8 | 39.5 | 43.4 | 80.6 | 40.3 | 0.0 | 40.7 |
| 75-79 | 38.9 | 37.1 | 45.3 | 60.9 | 70.8 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.8 |
| 80-84 | 48.9 | 32.8 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.6 |
| 85+ | 34.6 | 97.1 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 24.4 | 32.8 | 29.8 | 27.5 | 31.1 | 29.0 | 39.2 | 17.4 | 28.5 |
| ASR (A) | 22.3 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 30.9 | 36.5 | 16.9 | 26.5 |
| 95\% CI | 20.5-24.3 | 26.1-32.7 | 26.1-31.9 | 22.1-30.8 | 24.7-34.3 | 22.3-40.6 | 23.8-51.3 | 2.7-33.5 | 25.4-27.7 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 24.1 | 31.6 | 30.8 | 28.9 | 30.5 | 28.8 | 42.1 | 19.6 | 28.7 |
| ASR (A) | 23.7 | 30.9 | 30.8 | 28.6 | 29.7 | 28.4 | 42.7 | 21.2 | 28.3 |
| 95\% CI | 21.4-26.2 | 27.5-34.0 | 27.1-34.7 | 23.9-33.9 | 24.6-34.6 | 19.9-37.8 | 28.2-57.8 | 3.8-45.5 | 26.7-29.8 |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 15a: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, 1999, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 14,345 | 4,965 | 9,564 | 2,531 | 2,340 | 844 | 601 | 244 | 35,434 |
|  | Cases | 22 | 11 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 66 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,902 | 6,104 | 7,368 | 2,874 | 2,512 | 688 | 630 | 274 | 32,352 |
|  | Cases | 32 | 18 | 34 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 102 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,272 | 13,549 | 9,505 | 2,339 | 4,752 | 887 | 758 | 438 | 45,500 |
|  | Cases | 58 | 59 | 51 | 19 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 211 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,221 | 2,628 | 5,358 | 1,014 | 1,332 | 364 | 236 | 134 | 17,287 |
|  | Cases | 35 | 19 | 38 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 116 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,788 | 2,069 | 4,008 | 678 | 864 | 285 | 137 | 89 | 12,918 |
|  | Cases | 17 | 16 | 28 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 72 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,647 | 1,474 | 3,396 | 479 | 682 | 196 | 97 | 44 | 10,015 |
|  | Cases | 27 | 18 | 45 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 114 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 2,237 | 871 | 1,872 | 301 | 264 | 120 | 62 | 28 | 5,755 |
|  | Cases | 19 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,570 | 689 | 1,111 | 203 | 247 | 95 | 33 | 11 | 3,959 |
|  | Cases | 25 | 7 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 62 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 504 | 230 | 371 | 50 | 85 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1,280 |
|  | Cases | 1 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| $85+$ | Screened | 123 | 74 | 109 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 347 |
|  | Cases | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 58,609 | 32,653 | 42,662 | 10,481 | 13,100 | 3,507 | 2,568 | 1,267 | 164,847 |
|  | Cases | 236 | 164 | 262 | 61 | 69 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 827 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 50-69 \end{aligned}$ | Screened | 27,928 | 19,720 | 22,267 | 4,510 | 7,630 | 1,732 | 1,228 | 705 | 85,720 |
|  | Cases | 137 | 112 | 162 | 34 | 51 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 513 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 15b: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, 2000, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 12,625 | 4,519 | 9,201 | 2,253 | 2,356 | 754 | 530 | 259 | 32,497 |
|  | Cases | 16 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 54 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,393 | 5,545 | 6,411 | 2,939 | 2,521 | 589 | 589 | 263 | 29,250 |
|  | Cases | 26 | 24 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 101 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 12,249 | 12,395 | 8,472 | 3,239 | 5,281 | 798 | 663 | 490 | 43,587 |
|  | Cases | 65 | 55 | 35 | 15 | 32 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 210 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,245 | 3,180 | 4,287 | 922 | 1,130 | 342 | 201 | 131 | 15,438 |
|  | Cases | 33 | 20 | 24 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 96 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,027 | 2,471 | 3,161 | 706 | 649 | 224 | 129 | 62 | 11,429 |
|  | Cases | 21 | 19 | 30 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 2,850 | 1,750 | 2,439 | 459 | 555 | 157 | 83 | 33 | 8,326 |
|  | Cases | 22 | 17 | 24 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,763 | 874 | 1,298 | 235 | 247 | 80 | 41 | 20 | 4,558 |
|  | Cases | 14 | 16 | 19 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,264 | 623 | 756 | 171 | 244 | 59 | 24 | 8 | 3,149 |
|  | Cases | 11 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 467 | 233 | 235 | 48 | 74 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 1,087 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 85+ | Screened | 131 | 60 | 79 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 310 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ages$40+$ | Screened | 51,014 | 31,650 | 36,339 | 10,981 | 13,071 | 3,020 | 2,277 | 1,269 | 149,631 |
|  | Cases | 216 | 186 | 193 | 53 | 84 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 757 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 50-69 \end{aligned}$ | Screened | 24,371 | 19,796 | 18,359 | 5,326 | 7,615 | 1,521 | 1,076 | 716 | 78,780 |
|  | Cases | 141 | 111 | 113 | 28 | 64 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 473 |

[^3]Table 16a: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, 1999, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 15.3 | 22.2 | 18.8 | 27.7 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 123.0 | 18.6 |
| 45-49 | 26.9 | 29.5 | 46.1 | 20.9 | 23.9 | 58.1 | 15.9 | 36.5 | 31.5 |
| 50-54 | 43.7 | 43.5 | 53.7 | 81.2 | 31.6 | 22.5 | 79.2 | 22.8 | 46.4 |
| 55-59 | 56.3 | 72.3 | 70.9 | 78.9 | 112.6 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 67.1 |
| 60-64 | 35.5 | 77.3 | 69.9 | 29.5 | 81.0 | 35.1 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 55.7 |
| 65-69 | 74.0 | 122.1 | 132.5 | 104.4 | 205.3 | 153.1 | 206.2 | 0.0 | 113.8 |
| 70-74 | 84.9 | 91.8 | 85.5 | 265.8 | 113.6 | 333.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.8 |
| 75-79 | 159.2 | 101.6 | 171.0 | 246.3 | 121.5 | 105.3 | 606.1 | 0.0 | 156.6 |
| 80-84 | 19.8 | 173.9 | 323.5 | 200.0 | 235.3 | 434.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 164.1 |
| $85+$ | 0.0 | 540.5 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 144.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 40.3 | 50.2 | 61.4 | 58.2 | 52.7 | 45.7 | 54.5 | 39.5 | 50.2 |
| ASR (A) | 50.9 | 68.1 | 74.2 | 85.5 | 84.6 | 72.2 | 84.0 | 19.4 | 65.1 |
| 95\% Cl | 44.2-57.9 | 55.2-81.3 | 64.6-84.1 | 60.2-110.7 | 61.5-108.1 | 36.3-113.9 | 36.7-144.0 | 4.7-38.7 | 60.3-70.1 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 49.1 | 56.8 | 72.8 | 75.4 | 66.8 | 34.6 | 81.4 | 14.2 | 59.8 |
| ASR (A) | 51.0 | 73.8 | 77.1 | 73.7 | 97.3 | 44.9 | 93.0 | 7.4 | 66.9 |
| 95\% CI | 42.9-59.8 | 58.1-90.2 | 65.8-89.1 | 48.0-101.6 | 67.9-126.9 | 9.9-87.6 | 33.3-174.6 | 0.0-22.3 | 60.5-73.2 |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 16b: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, 2000, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 12.7 | 26.6 | 15.2 | 26.6 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| 45-49 | 25.0 | 43.3 | 40.6 | 44.2 | 31.7 | 50.9 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 34.5 |
| 50-54 | 53.1 | 44.4 | 41.3 | 46.3 | 60.6 | 25.1 | 75.4 | 20.4 | 48.2 |
| 55-59 | 62.9 | 62.9 | 56.0 | 43.4 | 106.2 | 29.2 | 49.8 | 76.3 | 62.2 |
| 60-64 | 52.1 | 76.9 | 94.9 | 56.7 | 169.5 | 89.3 | 155.0 | 0.0 | 77.9 |
| 65-69 | 77.2 | 97.1 | 98.4 | 108.9 | 162.2 | 63.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.7 |
| 70-74 | 79.4 | 183.1 | 146.4 | 127.7 | 121.5 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125.1 |
| 75-79 | 87.0 | 208.7 | 172.0 | 117.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 416.7 | 0.0 | 130.2 |
| 80-84 | 128.5 | 343.3 | 255.3 | 208.3 | 540.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 230.0 |
| 85+ | 152.7 | 333.3 | 253.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 193.5 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 42.3 | 58.8 | 53.1 | 48.3 | 64.3 | 36.4 | 52.7 | 15.8 | 50.6 |
| ASR (A) | 55.0 | 77.4 | 72.0 | 63.9 | 99.1 | 59.4 | 65.8 | 17.6 | 67.4 |
| 95\% CI | 46.3-63.7 | 64.5-90.6 | 61.1-82.8 | 42.6-88.3 | 72.8-125.6 | 22.1-102.3 | 22.9-115.9 | 0.0-48.5 | 62.0-72.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 57.9 | 56.1 | 61.6 | 52.6 | 84.0 | 39.4 | 74.3 | 27.9 | 60.0 |
| ASR (A) | 60.1 | 66.6 | 68.1 | 60.0 | 116.3 | 47.9 | 71.7 | 26.4 | 67.2 |
| 95\% Cl | 48.6-70.7 | 52.4-80.4 | 55.2-81.3 | 36.2-87.8 | 83.0-151.0 | 12.2-92.9 | 19.6-133.6 | 0.0-72.6 | 60.6-73.4 |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 17a: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, 1999, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,642 | 1,224 | 6,344 | 1,444 | 989 | 592 | 330 | 160 | 19,725 |
|  | Cases | 13 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 24,503 | 5,102 | 15,765 | 5,234 | 4,178 | 2,240 | 1,446 | 421 | 58,889 |
|  | Cases | 35 | 15 | 34 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 115 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 39,046 | 31,735 | 21,427 | 11,727 | 10,765 | 3,401 | 2,471 | 737 | 121,309 |
|  | Cases | 83 | 84 | 61 | 49 | 29 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 332 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 38,525 | 30,251 | 19,308 | 10,936 | 11,729 | 3,388 | 2,172 | 692 | 117,001 |
|  | Cases | 134 | 99 | 80 | 47 | 47 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 441 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 34,266 | 25,646 | 16,359 | 9,523 | 10,505 | 2,970 | 1,632 | 367 | 101,268 |
|  | Cases | 152 | 121 | 84 | 61 | 53 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 490 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 29,992 | 22,423 | 13,747 | 7,961 | 8,872 | 2,539 | 1,232 | 202 | 86,968 |
|  | Cases | 134 | 136 | 72 | 43 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 438 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 24,270 | 18,174 | 10,609 | 1,878 | 2,940 | 513 | 258 | 110 | 58,752 |
|  | Cases | 126 | 112 | 62 | 17 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 338 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 11,745 | 3,653 | 5,577 | 667 | 860 | 187 | 128 | 32 | 22,849 |
|  | Cases | 47 | 32 | 29 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 121 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,654 | 414 | 1,670 | 122 | 171 | 38 | 12 | 18 | 6,099 |
|  | Cases | 17 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 |
| 85+ | Screened | 743 | 91 | 360 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1,241 |
|  | Cases | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ages$40^{+}$ | Screened | 215,386 | 138,713 | 111,166 | 49,513 | 51,027 | 15,870 | 9,687 | 2,739 | 594,101 |
|  | Cases | 745 | 602 | 450 | 240 | 201 | 57 | 48 | 7 | 2,350 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | Screened | 141,829 | 110,055 | 70,841 | 40,147 | 41,871 | 12,298 | 7,507 | 1,998 | 426,546 |
|  | Cases | 503 | 440 | 297 | 200 | 169 | 49 | 38 | 5 | 1,701 |

[^4]Table 17b: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, 2000, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,996 | 1,071 | 7,354 | 1,487 | 1,255 | 819 | 300 | 147 | 22,429 |
|  | Cases | 12 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 26,787 | 5,155 | 17,703 | 5,154 | 4,514 | 2,677 | 1,397 | 469 | 63,856 |
|  | Cases | 44 | 14 | 49 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 146 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 40,387 | 31,837 | 25,878 | 13,292 | 11,801 | 4,249 | 2,553 | 863 | 130,860 |
|  | Cases | 116 | 87 | 89 | 43 | 44 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 406 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 40,586 | 30,606 | 23,036 | 12,042 | 11,305 | 3,716 | 2,124 | 643 | 124,058 |
|  | Cases | 160 | 140 | 86 | 38 | 58 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 505 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 35,398 | 27,735 | 18,646 | 10,675 | 10,321 | 3,251 | 1,469 | 332 | 107,827 |
|  | Cases | 154 | 159 | 101 | 53 | 52 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 545 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 30,053 | 23,616 | 15,338 | 8,660 | 8,589 | 2,678 | 983 | 208 | 90,125 |
|  | Cases | 136 | 130 | 86 | 48 | 45 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 463 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,129 | 19,992 | 11,762 | 2,276 | 3,228 | 620 | 248 | 142 | 63,397 |
|  | Cases | 152 | 123 | 77 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 391 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 13,357 | 4,857 | 5,514 | 821 | 1,130 | 223 | 95 | 52 | 26,049 |
|  | Cases | 64 | 30 | 37 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,886 | 610 | 1,739 | 165 | 241 | 42 | 13 | 20 | 6,716 |
|  | Cases | 26 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 85+ | Screened | 866 | 103 | 420 | 28 | 38 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1,470 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Ages$40+$ | Screened | 226,445 | 145,582 | 127,390 | 54,600 | 52,422 | 18,284 | 9,186 | 2,878 | 636,787 |
|  | Cases | 869 | 690 | 548 | 210 | 250 | 67 | 48 | 6 | 2,688 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 146,424 | 113,794 | 82,898 | 44,669 | 42,016 | 13,894 | 7,129 | 2,046 | 452,870 |
|  | Cases | 566 | 516 | 362 | 182 | 199 | 50 | 39 | 5 | 1,919 |

[^5]Table 18a: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, 1999, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 15.0 | 8.2 | 17.3 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 62.5 | 16.2 |
| 45-49 | 14.3 | 29.4 | 21.6 | 22.9 | 26.3 | 22.3 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 19.5 |
| 50-54 | 21.3 | 26.5 | 28.5 | 41.8 | 26.9 | 35.3 | 52.6 | 13.6 | 27.4 |
| 55-59 | 34.8 | 32.7 | 41.4 | 43.0 | 40.1 | 56.1 | 59.9 | 28.9 | 37.7 |
| 60-64 | 44.4 | 47.2 | 51.3 | 64.1 | 50.5 | 33.7 | 42.9 | 54.5 | 48.4 |
| 65-69 | 44.7 | 60.7 | 52.4 | 54.0 | 45.1 | 31.5 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 50.4 |
| 70-74 | 51.9 | 61.6 | 58.4 | 90.5 | 47.6 | 39.0 | 155.0 | 90.9 | 57.5 |
| 75-79 | 40.0 | 87.6 | 52.0 | 104.9 | 46.5 | 53.5 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 53.0 |
| 80-84 | 46.5 | 48.3 | 89.8 | 82.0 | 58.5 | 0.0 | 833.3 | 0.0 | 60.7 |
| 85+ | 53.8 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 34.6 | 43.4 | 40.5 | 48.5 | 39.4 | 35.9 | 49.6 | 25.6 | 39.6 |
| ASR (A) | 32.1 | 38.9 | 39.2 | 49.1 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 60.9 | 28.6 | 37.0 |
| 95\% CI | 29.7-34.4 | 35.2-42.8 | 35.5-42.9 | 42.3-55.9 | 31.7-42.9 | 25.2-44.4 | 40.8-83.4 | 8.0-55.2 | 35.5-38.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 35.5 | 40.0 | 41.9 | 49.8 | 40.4 | 39.8 | 50.6 | 25.0 | 39.9 |
| ASR (A) | 34.4 | 39.3 | 41.5 | 49.4 | 39.1 | 39.6 | 50.0 | 23.9 | 39.2 |
| 95\% CI | 31.4-37.3 | 35.6-42.9 | 36.8-46.1 | 42.8-56.7 | 33.3-45.2 | 29.2-50.8 | 34.1-67.6 | 3.7-47.9 | 37.3-41.0 |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 18b: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, 2000, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 |
| 45-49 | 16.4 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 15.5 | 37.7 | 22.4 | 50.1 | 21.3 | 22.9 |
| 50-54 | 28.7 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 32.4 | 37.3 | 23.5 | 58.8 | 23.2 | 31.0 |
| 55-59 | 39.4 | 45.7 | 37.3 | 31.6 | 51.3 | 32.3 | 42.4 | 31.1 | 40.7 |
| 60-64 | 43.5 | 57.3 | 54.2 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 55.4 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 50.5 |
| 65-69 | 45.3 | 55.0 | 56.1 | 55.4 | 52.4 | 37.3 | 71.2 | 48.1 | 51.4 |
| 70-74 | 60.5 | 61.5 | 65.5 | 48.3 | 58.9 | 112.9 | 80.6 | 0.0 | 61.7 |
| 75-79 | 47.9 | 61.8 | 67.1 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 134.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.7 |
| 80-84 | 66.9 | 82.0 | 74.8 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.5 |
| 85+ | 57.7 | 97.1 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 263.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.2 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 38.4 | 47.4 | 43.0 | 38.5 | 47.7 | 36.6 | 52.3 | 20.8 | 42.2 |
| ASR (A) | 35.6 | 41.6 | 41.9 | 36.6 | 45.8 | 41.1 | 50.5 | 19.4 | 39.4 |
| 95\% CI | 33.1-38.0 | 37.6-45.4 | 38.1-45.4 | 31.1-41.8 | 39.7-51.9 | 30.1-52.7 | 35.6-67.1 | 5.2-37.9 | 37.8-40.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 38.7 | 45.3 | 43.7 | 40.7 | 47.4 | 36.0 | 54.7 | 24.4 | 42.4 |
| ASR (A) | 38.0 | 44.1 | 43.7 | 40.5 | 46.8 | 35.5 | 56.0 | 25.0 | 41.8 |
| 95\% CI | 34.8-41.0 | 40.2-47.8 | 39.2-48.1 | 34.6-46.4 | 40.4-53.3 | 25.9-45.3 | 39.5-74.7 | 4.0-51.5 | 39.8-43.7 |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 3a: Interval cancer rate

Table 19: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, $\mathbf{0 - 1 2}$ months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 72 | 19 | 26 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 8.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 8.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | 71 | 49 | 25 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.7 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 12.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | 37 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 6.8 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 12.7 | 15.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | 20 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.6 | 7.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 200 | 100 | 66 | 35 | 31 | 5 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 7.7 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 5.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 6.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | 6.4-8.7 | 5.5-8.1 | 3.3-5.7 | 3.8-7.9 | 4.3-9.5 | 0.7-8.3 | 0.6-13.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | Number | 108 | 70 | 36 | 20 | 24 | 2 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 7.4 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 2.6 | 4.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | 7.3 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 2.8 | 6.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | 5.9-8.8 | 5.6-8.9 | 3.1-6.1 | 3.5-9.0 | 4.8-12.1 | 0.0-7.4 | 0.0-16.5 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. NSW rates include women with a personal history of breast cancer in the denominator.
4. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 20: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 44 | 38 | 23 | 19 | 5 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 12.5 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 15.3 | 8.8 | 5.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 93 | 65 | 24 | 19 | 7 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.7 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 14.1 | 14.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 30 | 37 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.2 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 12.7 | 16.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 17 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.8 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 184 | 154 | 63 | 44 | 15 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 12.5 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 10.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 12.2 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 11.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% Cl | n.a. | 10.5-14.0 | 10.1-14.1 | 8.2-14.4 | 6.5-12.8 | 5.4-17.4 | 4.5-20.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages$50-69$ | Number | n.a. | 123 | 102 | 36 | 24 | 10 | 7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 12.6 | 13.4 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 13.7 | 14.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 12.2 | 13.4 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 13.5 | 15.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 10.1-14.3 | 10.8-15.9 | 7.5-15.5 | 5.4-12.9 | 5.3-22.4 | 5.0-28.5 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 21: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, $\mathbf{0 - 2 4}$ months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 63 | 64 | 37 | 26 | 7 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.0 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 6.1 | 7.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 142 | 90 | 39 | 41 | 8 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.5 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 7.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 51 | 48 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 4 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 16.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 28 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.7 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 284 | 220 | 98 | 75 | 20 | 14 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 9.7 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 7.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 9.5 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 8.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 8.4-10.6 | 7.1-9.5 | 6.7-10.4 | 6.3-10.2 | 4.2-11.0 | 4.0-13.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages$50-69$ | Number | n.a. | 193 | 138 | 56 | 48 | 12 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 9.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 9.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 9.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 10.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 8.3-11.1 | 7.5-10.4 | 6.6-11.3 | 6.3-11.5 | 3.8-13.0 | 4.1-18.3 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
[^6]Table 22: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 70 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 8.6 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 3.3 | 9.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | 158 | 102 | 55 | 45 | 39 | 15 | 8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 9.0 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 7.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | 113 | 80 | 38 | 33 | 37 | 8 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 14.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | 48 | 22 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 6.0 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 10.9 | 9.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 389 | 219 | 132 | 95 | 96 | 28 | 23 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 8.0 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 10.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | 8.1 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 10.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | 7.3-9.0 | 6.1-8.5 | 5.2-7.4 | 6.2-9.6 | 6.4-9.8 | 4.3-10.4 | 6.2-14.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages$50-69$ | Number | 271 | 182 | 93 | 78 | 76 | 23 | 17 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 8.3 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 10.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | 8.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 10.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | 7.4-9.3 | 6.1-8.3 | 5.6-8.5 | 6.5-10.1 | 6.0-9.4 | 4.5-11.1 | 5.8-16.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
3. NSW rates include women with a personal history of breast cancer in the denominator.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 23: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 16 | 46 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 4 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.3 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 8.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 191 | 104 | 47 | 58 | 17 | 17 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 14.1 | 14.4 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 17.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 159 | 87 | 29 | 58 | 12 | 6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.1 | 15.7 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 9.6 | 10.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 55 | 36 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.3 | 11.6 | 6.5 | 12.1 | 5.9 | 20.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 421 | 273 | 91 | 143 | 38 | 29 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.1 | 13.3 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 13.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 12.7 | 13.5 | 9.1 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 14.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% Cl | n.a. | 11.2-14.3 | 11.9-15.2 | 7.1-11.1 | 10.0-14.6 | 6.5-12.8 | 8.9-20.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages$50-69$ | Number | n.a. | 350 | 191 | 76 | 116 | 29 | 23 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.6 | 15.0 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 15.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 13.7 | 15.0 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 10.3 | 14.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 12.2-15.1 | 12.9-17.0 | 7.2-11.6 | 9.5-13.9 | 6.6-14.3 | 8.9-20.9 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
[^7]Table 24: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number / <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 31 | 67 | 27 | 36 | 11 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.9 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 8.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 293 | 159 | 92 | 97 | 32 | 25 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.8 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 12.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 239 | 125 | 62 | 95 | 20 | 15 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.9 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 12.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 77 | 54 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 7.9 | 8.6 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 15.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 640 | 405 | 186 | 239 | 66 | 52 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.0 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 11.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 10.0 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 12.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.0-11.0 | 8.9-10.8 | 7.1-9.7 | 8.7-11.6 | 6.2-10.4 | 8.5-15.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages$50-69$ | Number | n.a. | 532 | 284 | 154 | 192 | 52 | 40 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.4 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 12.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR (A) | n.a. | 10.4 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 12.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.5-11.3 | 9.6-12.2 | 7.4-10.2 | 8.3-11.0 | 6.4-11.4 | 8.7-16.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.
[^8]
## Indicator 3b: Program sensitivity

Table 25: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 71.0 | 81.4 | 83.2 | 77.8 | 82.9 | 83.3 | 75.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | 85.3 | 87.7 | 89.4 | 81.5 | 80.4 | 96.7 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | 90.7 | 92.1 | 94.5 | 93.9 | 97.1 | 93.8 | 83.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | 93.9 | 94.7 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 86.3 | 89.7 | 91.3 | 86.9 | 88.4 | 92.9 | 90.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | 84.9 | 88.5 | 90.6 | 86.2 | 88.0 | 92.5 | 90.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 83.0-86.7 | 86.1-90.5 | 88.5-92.7 | 81.7-90.1 | 83.8-91.6 | 84.9-98.3 | 81.3-97.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 87.7 | 89.5 | 91.7 | 87.7 | 86.7 | 95.7 | 93.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | 87.5 | 89.5 | 91.5 | 86.6 | 87.3 | 95.5 | 93.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 85.4-89.8 | 87.1-91.9 | 89.0-94.0 | 81.1-92.1 | 82.4-92.0 | 88.3-100.0 | 82.7-100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 26: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 56.8 | 66.8 | 68.1 | 57.6 | 62.5 | 64.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 71.1 | 70.1 | 73.3 | 72.0 | 78.4 | 80.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 82.7 | 79.7 | 85.6 | 90.4 | 78.9 | 71.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 87.5 | 90.2 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 91.7 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 75.5 | 75.9 | 79.2 | 77.7 | 77.4 | 76.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | n.a. | 73.4 | 74.6 | 78.7 | 77.4 | 76.9 | 77.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 70.6-76.0 | 71.7-77.6 | 73.6-83.3 | 72.5-81.5 | 67.9-84.9 | 66.3-87.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 75.5 | 74.3 | 79.4 | 78.8 | 78.6 | 77.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | n.a. | 75.9 | 74.1 | 78.4 | 79.7 | 78.6 | 76.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 72.9-78.9 | 70.6-77.8 | 71.8-85.0 | 74.1-85.1 | 66.7-88.3 | 62.8-89.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 27: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 63.9 | 70.0 | 77.9 | 65.1 | 63.3 | 84.2 | 66.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | 78.0 | 81.0 | 79.2 | 77.8 | 82.4 | 72.7 | 80.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | 84.6 | 87.0 | 85.2 | 84.9 | 85.0 | 66.7 | 69.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | 89.5 | 92.4 | 90.9 | 94.4 | 63.3 | 86.7 | 80.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 81.5 | 85.3 | 83.8 | 81.0 | 79.9 | 73.4 | 74.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | 78.4 | 81.8 | 82.1 | 79.2 | 76.8 | 75.2 | 74.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 76.2-80.3 | 78.6-84.9 | 79.2-85.0 | 74.9-83.1 | 73.1-80.8 | 67.4-82.2 | 62.8-89.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 81.4 | 84.2 | 82.2 | 81.5 | 83.8 | 69.7 | 75.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | 80.8 | 83.5 | 81.7 | 80.8 | 83.5 | 70.2 | 75.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 78.7-83.0 | 81.2-85.7 | 78.3-85.0 | 76.7-84.7 | 79.8-86.8 | 60.8-79.2 | 64.9-83.3 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 28: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1996, 1997 and 1998, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 53.0 | 52.5 | 65.1 | 46.3 | 59.3 | 52.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 59.8 | 56.9 | 77.8 | 65.2 | 55.6 | 56.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 69.1 | 63.7 | 84.9 | 68.9 | 69.7 | 57.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 77.7 | 76.8 | 94.4 | 84.1 | 81.3 | 57.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 66.6 | 62.7 | 81.7 | 66.8 | 63.5 | 56.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | n.a. | 63.2 | 60.3 | 80.1 | 64.6 | 63.5 | 56.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | n.a. | 60.1-66.2 | 57.2-63.5 | 75.7-84.0 | 60.9-68.4 | 55.9-70.4 | 46.8-65.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 64.6 | 60.2 | 81.9 | 67.1 | 62.3 | 57.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR (A) | n.a. | 63.7 | 59.7 | 81.0 | 66.7 | 61.4 | 57.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 61.1-66.1 | 56.2-63.3 | 77.2-84.9 | 62.9-70.7 | 53.1-69.5 | 46.7-67.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in situ

Table 29a: Numbers of women screened and cases of DCIS detected in these women, 1999, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Screened | 59,392 | 17,395 | 39,041 | 12,083 | 10,019 | 4,364 | 3,007 | 1,099 | 146,400 |
|  | Cases | 31 | 14 | 20 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 94 |
| 50-59 | Screened | 97,064 | 78,163 | 55,598 | 26,016 | 28,578 | 8,040 | 5,637 | 2,001 | 301,097 |
|  | Cases | 78 | 69 | 43 | 31 | 24 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 271 |
| 60-69 | Screened | 72,693 | 51,612 | 37,510 | 18,641 | 20,923 | 5,990 | 3,098 | 702 | 211,169 |
|  | Cases | 75 | 53 | 52 | 18 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 227 |
| 70+ | Screened | 44,846 | 24,196 | 21,679 | 3,254 | 4,607 | 983 | 513 | 204 | 100,282 |
|  | Cases | 47 | 21 | 24 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 111 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 273,995 | 171,366 | 153,828 | 59,994 | 64,127 | 19,377 | 12,255 | 4,006 | 758,948 |
|  | Cases | 231 | 157 | 139 | 66 | 66 | 27 | 17 | 0 | 703 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 169,757 | 129,775 | 93,108 | 44,657 | 49,501 | 14,030 | 8,735 | 2,703 | 512,266 |
|  | Cases | 153 | 122 | 95 | 49 | 45 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 498 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 29b: Numbers of women screened and cases of DCIS detected in these women, 2000, by age, states and territories

| Age group Number |  | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Screened | 59,801 | 16,290 | 40,669 | 11,833 | 10,646 | 4,839 | 2,816 | 1,138 | 148,032 |
|  | Cases | 44 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 112 |
| 50-59 | Screened | 98,467 | 78,018 | 61,673 | 29,495 | 29,517 | 9,105 | 5,541 | 2,127 | 313,943 |
|  | Cases | 90 | 77 | 62 | 44 | 44 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 336 |
| 60-69 | Screened | 72,328 | 55,572 | 39,584 | 20,500 | 20,114 | 6,310 | 2,664 | 635 | 217,707 |
|  | Cases | 63 | 60 | 44 | 33 | 25 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 237 |
| 70+ | Screened | 46,863 | 27,352 | 21,803 | 3,753 | 5,216 | 1,060 | 442 | 247 | 106,736 |
|  | Cases | 55 | 39 | 29 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 145 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 277,459 | 177,232 | 163,729 | 65,581 | 65,493 | 21,314 | 11,463 | 4,147 | 786,418 |
|  | Cases | 252 | 201 | 154 | 94 | 88 | 23 | 15 | 3 | 830 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-69$ | Cases | 153 | 137 | 106 | 77 | 69 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 573 |

[^9]Table 30a: Age-specific rates of DCIS detected in women screened, 1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 4.6 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 6.4 |
| 50-59 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 11.9 | 8.4 | 21.1 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 60-69 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 13.9 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 10.7 |
| 70+ | 10.5 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 15.4 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 8.4 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| ASR (A) | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 15.6 |  | 9.2 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | 7.2-9.5 | 7.5-10.5 | 7.9-10.8 | 8.5-14.3 | 8.2-13.8 | 8.9-20.6 | 8.0-24.8 |  | 8.5-9.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.0 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 16.4 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| ASR (A) | 9.0 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 16.5 | 12.0 | . | 9.7 |
| 95\% CI | 7.5-10.4 | 7.6-11.1 | 8.2-12.4 | 8.1-13.9 | 6.5-11.7 | 10.0-23.1 | 5.5-19.9 | . | 8.8-10.6 |

. . Not applicable.
Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 30b: Age-specific rates of DCIS detected in women screened, 2000, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 7.4 | 15.3 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 14.2 | 8.8 | 7.6 |
| 50-59 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 4.7 | 10.7 |
| 60-69 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 16.1 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 15.7 | 10.9 |
| 70+ | 11.7 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 18.7 | 24.9 | 9.4 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 13.6 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.1 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 14.3 | 13.4 | 10.8 | 13.1 | 7.2 | 10.6 |
| ASR (A) | 8.9 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 14.4 | 13.5 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 8.0 | 10.5 |
| 95\% CI | 7.8-10.1 | 10.0-13.5 | 8.1-11.2 | 11.4-17.3 | 10.5-16.6 | 6.3-15.3 | 6.7-22.3 | 0.0-18.6 | 9.7-11.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.0 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 15.4 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 7.2 | 10.8 |
| ASR (A) | 8.9 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 15.4 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 10.8 |
| 95\% CI | 7.4-10.4 | 8.5-12.0 | 8.4-12.5 | 12.0-18.8 | 10.7-17.1 | 6.5-18.2 | 5.3-19.9 | 0.0-26.2 | 9.9-11.7 |

[^10]
## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment rate

Table 31a: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment, first screening round, 1999, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 14,345 | 4,965 | 9,564 | 2,531 | 2,340 | 844 | 601 | 244 | 35,434 |
|  | Recalled | 876 | 346 | 693 | 291 | 69 | 70 | 30 | 9 | 2,384 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,902 | 6,104 | 7,368 | 2,874 | 2,512 | 688 | 630 | 274 | 32,352 |
|  | Recalled | 862 | 485 | 609 | 365 | 100 | 76 | 41 | 9 | 2,547 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,272 | 13,549 | 9,505 | 2,339 | 4,752 | 887 | 758 | 438 | 45,500 |
|  | Recalled | 1,019 | 1,197 | 754 | 257 | 193 | 84 | 43 | 11 | 3,558 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,221 | 2,628 | 5,358 | 1,014 | 1,332 | 364 | 236 | 134 | 17,287 |
|  | Recalled | 449 | 230 | 419 | 93 | 69 | 42 | 19 | 4 | 1,325 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,788 | 2,069 | 4,008 | 678 | 864 | 285 | 137 | 89 | 12,918 |
|  | Recalled | 354 | 175 | 304 | 72 | 43 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 975 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,647 | 1,474 | 3,396 | 479 | 682 | 196 | 97 | 44 | 10,015 |
|  | Recalled | 239 | 118 | 274 | 49 | 29 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 734 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 2,237 | 871 | 1,872 | 301 | 264 | 120 | 62 | 28 | 5,755 |
|  | Recalled | 155 | 63 | 121 | 29 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 398 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,570 | 689 | 1,111 | 203 | 247 | 95 | 33 | 11 | 3,959 |
|  | Recalled | 125 | 54 | 74 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 295 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 627 | 230 | 371 | 50 | 85 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1,280 |
|  | Recalled | 42 | 19 | 37 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 85+ | Screened | (a) | 74 | 109 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 347 |
|  | Recalled | (a) | 11 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Recalled | 4,121 | 2,698 | 3,298 | 1,173 | 539 | 332 | 154 | 35 | 12,350 |
| Ages50-69 | Screened | 27,928 | 19,720 | 22,267 | 4,510 | 7,630 | 1,732 | 1,228 | 705 | 85,720 |
|  | Recalled | 2,061 | 1,720 | 1,751 | 471 | 334 | 166 | 73 | 16 | 6,592 |

(a) All women aged 80 years or more in New South Wales were grouped, and for the purposes of this table they appear in the 80-84 age group.

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 31b: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment, first screening round, 2000, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 12,625 | 4,519 | 9,201 | 2,253 | 2,356 | 754 | 530 | 259 | 32,497 |
|  | Recalled | 767 | 344 | 728 | 256 | 88 | 66 | 43 | 8 | 2,300 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,393 | 5,545 | 6,411 | 2,939 | 2,521 | 589 | 589 | 263 | 29,250 |
|  | Recalled | 759 | 532 | 612 | 349 | 108 | 68 | 45 | 5 | 2,478 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 12,249 | 12,395 | 8,472 | 3,239 | 5,281 | 798 | 663 | 490 | 43,587 |
|  | Recalled | 988 | 1,155 | 819 | 411 | 259 | 100 | 55 | 23 | 3,810 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,245 | 3,180 | 4,287 | 922 | 1,130 | 342 | 201 | 131 | 15,438 |
|  | Recalled | 395 | 284 | 392 | 96 | 52 | 34 | 22 | 9 | 1,284 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,027 | 2,471 | 3,161 | 706 | 649 | 224 | 129 | 62 | 11,429 |
|  | Recalled | 298 | 191 | 276 | 79 | 31 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 919 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 2,850 | 1,750 | 2,439 | 459 | 555 | 157 | 83 | 33 | 8,326 |
|  | Recalled | 196 | 137 | 202 | 40 | 33 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 631 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,763 | 874 | 1,298 | 235 | 247 | 80 | 41 | 20 | 4,558 |
|  | Recalled | 134 | 72 | 105 | 24 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 357 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,264 | 623 | 756 | 171 | 244 | 59 | 24 | 8 | 3,149 |
|  | Recalled | 88 | 52 | 61 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 234 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 467 | 233 | 235 | 48 | 74 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 1,087 |
|  | Recalled | 37 | 24 | 27 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| 85+ | Screened | 131 | 60 | 79 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 310 |
|  | Recalled | 7 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 51,014 | 31,650 | 36,339 | 10,981 | 13,071 | 3,030 | 2,277 | 1,269 | 149,631 |
|  | Recalled | 3,669 | 2,799 | 3,228 | 1,279 | 601 | 325 | 183 | 53 | 12,137 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-69 | Screened | 24,371 | 19,796 | 18,359 | 5,326 | 7,615 | 1,521 | 1,076 | 716 | 78,780 |
|  | Recalled | 1,877 | 1,767 | 1,689 | 626 | 375 | 182 | 89 | 39 | 6,644 |

[^11]Table 32a: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, first screening round, 1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 6.7 |
| 45-49 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 12.7 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 7.9 |
| 50-54 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 11.0 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 7.8 |
| 55-59 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 7.7 |
| 60-64 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| 65-69 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 4.3 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 7.3 |
| 70-74 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 6.9 |
| 75-79 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| 80-84 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 |
| 85+ | (a) | 14.9 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 11.2 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 7.5 |
| ASR (A) | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 7.5 |
| 95\% CI | 6.9-7.4 | 7.8-8.6 | 7.4-8.0 | $9.7-11.2$ | 4.0-4.9 | 8.3-10.6 | 5.1-7.7 | 1.4-3.5 | 7.4-7.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.4 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 7.7 |
| ASR (A) | 7.3 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 7.7 |
| 95\% CI | 7.0-7.6 | 8.1-9.0 | 7.5-8.2 | 9.3-11.3 | 4.0-5.1 | 8.1-11.1 | 4.5-7.6 | 0.9-3.3 | 7.5-7.9 |

[^12]Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 32b: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, first screening round, 2000, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 11.4 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 7.1 |
| 45-49 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 11.9 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 8.5 |
| 50-54 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 4.9 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 8.7 |
| 55-59 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 4.6 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| 60-64 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 13.4 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 8.0 |
| 65-69 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 7.6 |
| 70-74 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.8 |
| 75-79 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| 80-84 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| 85+ | 5.3 | 13.3 | 7.6 | 22.2 | 7.1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.2 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 4.2 | 8.1 |
| ASR (A) | 7.4 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 8.1 |
| 95\% CI | 7.1-7.7 | 8.3-9.0 | 8.6-9.3 | 10.3-11.8 | 4.3-5.3 | $9.5-12.1$ | 6.5-9.3 | 3.4-7.0 | 8.0-8.3 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 12.0 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 8.4 |
| ASR (A) | 7.5 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 8.3 |
| 95\% CI | 7.2-7.9 | 8.2-9.0 | 8.6-9.5 | 10.0-12.0 | 4.3-5.6 | 10.1-13.6 | 6.1-9.7 | 3.9-8.9 | 8.0-8.5 |

[^13]Table 33a: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment, subsequent screening rounds, 1999, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,642 | 1,224 | 6,344 | 1,444 | 989 | 592 | 330 | 160 | 19,725 |
|  | Recalled | 310 | 54 | 285 | 76 | 22 | 35 | 15 | 5 | 802 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 24,503 | 5,102 | 15,765 | 5,234 | 4,178 | 2,240 | 1,446 | 421 | 58,889 |
|  | Recalled | 1,077 | 244 | 801 | 328 | 85 | 139 | 62 | 12 | 2,748 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 39,046 | 31,735 | 21,427 | 11,727 | 10,765 | 3,401 | 2,471 | 737 | 121,309 |
|  | Recalled | 1,551 | 1,304 | 989 | 697 | 194 | 203 | 97 | 20 | 5,055 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 38,525 | 30,251 | 19,308 | 10,936 | 11,729 | 3,388 | 2,172 | 692 | 117,001 |
|  | Recalled | 1,437 | 1,222 | 869 | 575 | 247 | 175 | 88 | 16 | 4,629 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 34,266 | 25,646 | 16,359 | 9,523 | 10,505 | 2,970 | 1,632 | 367 | 101,268 |
|  | Recalled | 1,328 | 1,043 | 693 | 458 | 239 | 152 | 57 | 6 | 3,976 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 29,992 | 22,423 | 13,747 | 7,961 | 8,872 | 2,539 | 1,232 | 202 | 86,968 |
|  | Recalled | 1,066 | 876 | 588 | 336 | 194 | 112 | 42 | 8 | 3,222 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 24,270 | 18,174 | 10,609 | 1,878 | 2,940 | 513 | 258 | 110 | 58,752 |
|  | Recalled | 857 | 666 | 427 | 86 | 71 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 2,144 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 11,745 | 3,653 | 5,577 | 667 | 860 | 187 | 128 | 32 | 22,849 |
|  | Recalled | 414 | 126 | 217 | 32 | 32 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 840 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,654 | 414 | 1,670 | 122 | 171 | 38 | 12 | 18 | 6,099 |
|  | Recalled | 133 | 10 | 78 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 235 |
| 85+ | Screened | (a) | 91 | 360 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1,241 |
|  | Recalled | (a) | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Recalled | 8,173 | 5,547 | 4,958 | 2,593 | 1,089 | 859 | 375 | 70 | 23,664 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 426,546 |
| 50-69 | Recalled | 5,382 | 4,445 | 3,139 | 2,066 | 874 | 642 | 284 | 50 | 16,882 |

[^14]Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 33b: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment, subsequent screening rounds, 2000, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,996 | 1,071 | 7,354 | 1,487 | 1,255 | 819 | 300 | 147 | 22,429 |
|  | Recalled | 378 | 54 | 368 | 71 | 24 | 41 | 10 | 1 | 947 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 26,787 | 5,155 | 17,703 | 5,154 | 4,514 | 2,677 | 1,397 | 469 | 63,856 |
|  | Recalled | 1,137 | 279 | 919 | 278 | 108 | 152 | 82 | 10 | 2,965 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 40,387 | 31,837 | 25,878 | 13,292 | 11,801 | 4,249 | 2,553 | 863 | 130,860 |
|  | Recalled | 1,535 | 1,392 | 1,267 | 627 | 240 | 247 | 151 | 20 | 5,479 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 40,586 | 30,606 | 23,036 | 12,042 | 11,305 | 3,716 | 2,124 | 643 | 124,058 |
|  | Recalled | 1,623 | 1,294 | 1,150 | 478 | 257 | 195 | 112 | 11 | 5,120 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 35,398 | 27,735 | 18,646 | 10,675 | 10,321 | 3,251 | 1,469 | 332 | 107,827 |
|  | Recalled | 1,376 | 1,174 | 961 | 447 | 209 | 175 | 60 | 6 | 4,408 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 30,053 | 23,616 | 15,338 | 8,660 | 8,589 | 2,678 | 983 | 208 | 90,125 |
|  | Recalled | 1,063 | 896 | 710 | 338 | 191 | 118 | 52 | 5 | 3,373 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,129 | 19,992 | 11,762 | 2,276 | 3,228 | 620 | 248 | 142 | 63,397 |
|  | Recalled | 880 | 737 | 501 | 95 | 78 | 28 | 15 | 4 | 2,338 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 13,357 | 4,857 | 5,514 | 821 | 1,130 | 223 | 95 | 52 | 26,049 |
|  | Recalled | 424 | 195 | 243 | 33 | 28 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 943 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,886 | 610 | 1,739 | 165 | 241 | 42 | 13 | 20 | 6,716 |
|  | Recalled | 121 | 30 | 73 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 239 |
| 85+ | Screened | 866 | 103 | 420 | 28 | 38 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1,470 |
|  | Recalled | 32 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 226,445 | 145,582 | 127,390 | 54,600 | 52,422 | 18,284 | 9,186 | 2,878 | 636,787 |
|  | Recalled | 8,569 | 6,055 | 6,207 | 2,375 | 1,141 | 969 | 491 | 58 | 25,865 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-69$ | Screened | 146,424 | 113,794 | 82,898 | 44,669 | 42,016 | 13,894 | 7,129 | 2,046 | 452,870 |
|  | Recalled | 5,597 | 4,756 | 4,088 | 1,890 | 897 | 735 | 375 | 42 | 18,380 |

[^15]Table 34a: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, subsequent screening rounds, 1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 45-49 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 4.7 |
| 50-54 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 |
| 60-64 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| 65-69 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| 70-74 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 75-79 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| 85+ | (a) | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| ASR (A) | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| 95\% CI | 3.7-3.9 | 4.0-4.3 | 4.3-4.6 | 5.0-5.5 | 2.0-2.3 | 5.1-5.9 | 3.5-4.3 | 2.0-3.3 | 4.0-4.1 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| ASR (A) | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| 95\% CI | 3.7-3.9 | 3.9-4.2 | 4.3-4.6 | 4.9-5.4 | 1.9-2.2 | 4.9-5.7 | 3.3-4.2 | 1.9-3.4 | 3.9-4.0 |

[^16]Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 34b: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, subsequent screening rounds, 2000, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 4.2 |
| 45-49 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 4.6 |
| 50-54 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 4.1 |
| 60-64 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 4.1 |
| 65-69 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 |
| 70-74 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| 75-79 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| 80-84 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 3.6 |
| 85+ | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 4.1 |
| ASR (A) | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 4.1 |
| 95\% CI | 3.7-3.9 | 4.2-4.5 | 4.8-5.0 | 4.2-4.6 | 2.0-2.3 | 4.9-5.6 | 4.8-5.9 | 1.4-2.6 | 4.0-4.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| ASR (A) | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| 95\% CI | 3.7-3.9 | 4.1-4.3 | 4.8-5.1 | 4.1-4.4 | 2.0-2.3 | 4.9-5.6 | 4.7-5.8 | 1.4-2.8 | 4.0-4.1 |

[^17]
## Indicator 6: Rescreen rate

Table 35a: Number of women screened during 1997 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 14,145 | 4,655 | 8,002 | 2,144 | 1,555 | 814 | 203 | 367 | 31,885 |
|  | Returned | 8,972 | 883 | 5,310 | 1,257 | 944 | 567 | 153 | 194 | 18,280 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 14,185 | 6,554 | 7,450 | 3,991 | 1,986 | 804 | 390 | 486 | 35,846 |
|  | Returned | 9,102 | 2,946 | 5,111 | 2,438 | 1,316 | 592 | 269 | 293 | 22,067 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 19,916 | 16,818 | 9,795 | 4,492 | 4,212 | 958 | 651 | 736 | 57,578 |
|  | Returned | 13,147 | 12,247 | 7,076 | 2,738 | 3,120 | 707 | 473 | 397 | 39,905 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 11,119 | 4,610 | 6,337 | 2,425 | 1,684 | 484 | 284 | 398 | 27,341 |
|  | Returned | 7,223 | 3,229 | 4,713 | 1,499 | 1,165 | 352 | 214 | 217 | 18,612 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 8,914 | 3,499 | 5,015 | 1,829 | 1,231 | 320 | 148 | 217 | 21,173 |
|  | Returned | 6,028 | 2,496 | 3,957 | 1,143 | 848 | 228 | 101 | 124 | 14,925 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 8,015 | 2,872 | 4,272 | 1,422 | 1,133 | 258 | 104 | 108 | 18,184 |
|  | Returned | 5,271 | 1,993 | 3,270 | 653 | 563 | 134 | 77 | 67 | 12,028 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 4,937 | 2,111 | 2,308 | 719 | 551 | 163 | 16 | 49 | 10,854 |
|  | Returned | 3,029 | 1,207 | 1,697 | 94 | 130 | 29 | 6 | 30 | 6,222 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 2,682 | 1,356 | 1,306 | 368 | 353 | 124 | 21 | 16 | 6,226 |
|  | Returned | 1,611 | 109 | 750 | 44 | 82 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 2,637 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 854 | 458 | 387 | 104 | 87 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 1,921 |
|  | Returned | 453 | 32 | 157 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 660 |
| 85+ | Screened | 143 | 89 | 85 | 33 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
|  | Returned | 53 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 84,910 | 43,022 | 44,957 | 17,527 | 12,803 | 3,956 | 1,817 | 2,382 | 211,374 |
|  | Returned | 54,889 | 25,144 | 32,069 | 9,872 | 8,178 | 2,629 | 1,304 | 1,335 | 135,420 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 | Screened | 47,964 | 27,799 | 25,419 | 10,168 | 8,260 | 2020 | 1,187 | 1,459 | 124,276 |
|  | Returned | 31,669 | 19,965 | 19,016 | 6,033 | 5,696 | 1421 | 865 | 805 | 85,470 |

[^18]Table 35b: Number of women screened during 1998 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, first screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 17,699 | 5,176 | 11,073 | 2,603 | 2,577 | 1,225 | 577 | 299 | 41,229 |
|  | Returned | 10,844 | 848 | 7,532 | 1,575 | 1,541 | 864 | 314 | 174 | 23,692 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 14,869 | 6,666 | 8,952 | 3,763 | 3,020 | 1,059 | 683 | 412 | 39,424 |
|  | Returned | 9,297 | 2,851 | 6,248 | 2,301 | 1,989 | 769 | 412 | 256 | 24,123 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 16,524 | 17,248 | 13,870 | 3,490 | 4,710 | 1,280 | 765 | 722 | 58,609 |
|  | Returned | 10,388 | 12,697 | 9,978 | 2,032 | 3,395 | 958 | 504 | 415 | 40,367 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 8,062 | 3,205 | 8,530 | 1,602 | 1,371 | 573 | 280 | 280 | 23,903 |
|  | Returned | 4,937 | 2,204 | 6,269 | 956 | 919 | 424 | 160 | 153 | 16,022 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 6,472 | 2,464 | 6,510 | 1,219 | 978 | 378 | 165 | 158 | 18,344 |
|  | Returned | 4,161 | 1,738 | 4,904 | 767 | 656 | 285 | 106 | 81 | 12,698 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 5,184 | 1,942 | 6,009 | 920 | 827 | 373 | 129 | 127 | 15,511 |
|  | Returned | 3,261 | 1,326 | 4,525 | 417 | 409 | 195 | 57 | 77 | 10,267 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 3,444 | 1,254 | 2,424 | 515 | 474 | 172 | 76 | 42 | 8,401 |
|  | Returned | 2,098 | 641 | 1,793 | 67 | 109 | 28 | 5 | 19 | 4,760 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 2,097 | 1,021 | 1,272 | 346 | 431 | 136 | 46 | 29 | 5,378 |
|  | Returned | 1,272 | 85 | 740 | 41 | 88 | 9 | 2 | 15 | 2,252 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 630 | 296 | 454 | 105 | 149 | 36 | 10 | 6 | 1,686 |
|  | Returned | 314 | 20 | 214 | 12 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 583 |
| 85+ | Screened | 145 | 80 | 127 | 18 | 30 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 414 |
|  | Returned | 53 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 75,126 | 39,352 | 59,221 | 14,581 | 14,567 | 5,241 | 2,735 | 2,076 | 212,899 |
|  | Returned | 46,625 | 22,412 | 42,244 | 8,169 | 9,125 | 3,537 | 1,561 | 1,191 | 134,864 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-69 | Screened | 36,242 | 24,859 | 34,919 | 7,231 | 7,886 | 2,604 | 1,339 | 1,287 | 116,367 |
|  | Returned | 22,747 | 17,965 | 25,676 | 4,172 | 5,379 | 1,862 | 827 | 726 | 79,354 |

[^19]Table 36a: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1997, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 63.4 | 19.0 | 66.4 | 58.6 | 60.7 | 69.7 | 75.4 | 52.9 | 57.3 |
| 45-49 | 64.2 | 44.9 | 68.6 | 61.1 | 66.3 | 73.6 | 69.0 | 60.3 | 61.6 |
| 50-54 | 66.0 | 72.8 | 72.2 | 61.0 | 74.1 | 73.8 | 72.7 | 53.9 | 69.3 |
| 55-59 | 65.0 | 70.0 | 74.4 | 61.8 | 69.2 | 72.7 | 75.4 | 54.5 | 68.1 |
| 60-64 | 67.6 | 71.3 | 78.9 | 62.5 | 68.9 | 71.3 | 68.2 | 57.1 | 70.5 |
| 65-69 | 65.8 | 69.4 | 76.5 | 45.9 | 49.7 | 51.9 | 74.0 | 62.0 | 66.1 |
| 70-74 | 61.4 | 57.2 | 73.5 | 13.1 | 23.6 | 17.8 | 37.5 | 61.2 | 57.3 |
| 75-79 | 60.1 | 8.0 | 57.4 | 12.0 | 23.2 | 15.3 | 52.4 | 68.8 | 42.4 |
| 80-84 | 53.0 | 7.0 | 40.6 | 5.8 | 10.3 | 3.8 | (a) | 40.0 | 34.4 |
| 85+ | 37.1 | 2.2 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | (a) | (a) | 23.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 64.6 | 58.4 | 71.3 | 56.3 | 63.9 | 66.5 | 71.8 | 56.0 | 64.1 |
| ASR (A) | 64.6 | 59.8 | 72.5 | 53.1 | 60.8 | 62.8 | 68.1 | 57.1 | 64.7 |
| 95\% CI | 64.3-64.9 | 59.4-60.3 | 72.1-73.0 | 52.4-53.9 | 59.9-61.6 | 61.2-64.4 | 65.3-71.0 | 54.7-59.5 | 64.5-64.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 66.0 | 71.8 | 74.8 | 59.3 | 69.0 | 70.3 | 72.9 | 55.2 | 68.8 |
| ASR (A) | 66.0 | 71.1 | 75.1 | 58.6 | 66.9 | 68.7 | 72.6 | 56.4 | 68.6 |
| 95\% CI | 65.6-66.4 | 70.5-71.7 | 74.6-75.6 | 57.6-59.5 | 65.8-68.0 | 66.6-70.8 | 69.6-75.4 | 53.5-59.2 | 68.3-69.0 |

[^20]Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 36b: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1998, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 61.3 | 16.4 | 68.0 | 60.5 | 59.8 | 70.5 | 54.4 | 58.2 | 57.5 |
| 45-49 | 62.5 | 42.8 | 69.8 | 61.1 | 65.9 | 72.6 | 60.3 | 62.1 | 61.2 |
| 50-54 | 62.9 | 73.6 | 71.9 | 58.2 | 72.1 | 74.8 | 65.9 | 57.5 | 68.9 |
| 55-59 | 61.2 | 68.8 | 73.5 | 59.7 | 67.0 | 74.0 | 57.1 | 54.6 | 67.0 |
| 60-64 | 64.3 | 70.5 | 75.3 | 62.9 | 67.1 | 75.4 | 64.2 | 51.3 | 69.2 |
| 65-69 | 62.9 | 68.3 | 75.3 | 45.3 | 49.5 | 52.3 | 44.2 | 60.6 | 66.2 |
| 70-74 | 60.9 | 51.1 | 74.0 | 13.0 | 23.0 | 16.3 | 6.6 | 45.2 | 56.7 |
| 75-79 | 60.7 | 8.3 | 58.2 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 51.7 | 41.9 |
| 80-84 | 49.8 | 6.8 | 47.1 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 34.6 |
| 85+ | 36.6 | 2.5 | 32.3 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.2 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 62.1 | 57.0 | 71.3 | 56.0 | 62.6 | 67.5 | 57.1 | 57.4 | 63.3 |
| ASR (A) | 62.1 | 58.5 | 72.0 | 52.4 | 59.4 | 63.6 | 52.2 | 55.5 | 64.1 |
| 95\% CI | 61.7-62.4 | 58.0-59.1 | 71.6-72.4 | 51.5-53.3 | 58.5-60.3 | 62.2-65.0 | 50.1-54.4 | 52.9-58.0 | 63.9-64.4 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 62.8 | 72.3 | 73.5 | 57.7 | 68.2 | 71.5 | 61.8 | 56.4 | 68.2 |
| ASR (A) | 62.8 | 70.6 | 73.7 | 57.1 | 65.3 | 70.4 | 59.0 | 56.0 | 68.0 |
| 95\% CI | 62.3-63.2 | 69.9-71.3 | 73.3-74.2 | 55.9-58.3 | 64.1-66.4 | 68.5-72.2 | 55.9-62.0 | 52.8-59.0 | 67.6-68.3 |

[^21]Table 37a: Number of women screened during 1997 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, second screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 6,338 | 1,194 | 3,409 | 853 | 634 | 527 | 367 | 81 | 13,403 |
|  | Returned | 4,777 | 503 | 2,583 | 597 | 473 | 419 | 194 | 54 | 9,600 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,970 | 3,845 | 5,407 | 2,698 | 1,650 | 1,159 | 486 | 198 | 27,413 |
|  | Returned | 8,987 | 2,324 | 4,021 | 1,965 | 1,287 | 950 | 293 | 134 | 19,961 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 19,852 | 19,717 | 7,009 | 4,414 | 4,512 | 1,674 | 736 | 392 | 58,306 |
|  | Returned | 15,443 | 16,213 | 5,526 | 3,194 | 3,778 | 1,399 | 397 | 279 | 46,229 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 18,923 | 19,326 | 5,591 | 4,025 | 3,151 | 1,401 | 398 | 359 | 53,174 |
|  | Returned | 14,844 | 15,965 | 4,532 | 2,955 | 2,623 | 1,162 | 217 | 253 | 42,551 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 16,254 | 16,408 | 4,519 | 3,338 | 2,480 | 1,116 | 217 | 170 | 44,502 |
|  | Returned | 13,119 | 13,812 | 3,734 | 2,572 | 2,102 | 944 | 124 | 118 | 36,525 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 15,044 | 15,224 | 3,897 | 2,799 | 2,283 | 1,052 | 108 | 121 | 40,528 |
|  | Returned | 11,732 | 12,505 | 3,243 | 1,493 | 1,452 | 633 | 67 | 79 | 31,204 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 10,704 | 10,476 | 2,641 | 647 | 616 | 330 | 49 | 24 | 25,487 |
|  | Returned | 8,148 | 7,457 | 2,042 | 172 | 281 | 82 | 30 | 16 | 18,228 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 4,348 | 2,017 | 1,348 | 211 | 267 | 110 | 16 | 15 | 8,332 |
|  | Returned | 3,203 | 324 | 779 | 57 | 118 | 39 | 11 | 10 | 4,541 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 1,261 | 339 | 365 | 42 | 51 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 2,092 |
|  | Returned | 821 | 43 | 185 | 10 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1,088 |
| 85+ | Screened | 247 | 72 | 67 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
|  | Returned | 126 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Screened | 104,941 | 88,618 | 34,253 | 19,033 | 15,653 | 7,396 | 2,382 | 1,363 | 273,639 |
|  | Returned | 81,200 | 69,159 | 26,674 | 13,015 | 12,134 | 5,635 | 1,335 | 945 | 210,097 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 70,073 | 70,675 | 21,016 | 14,576 | 12,426 | 5,243 | 1,459 | 1,042 | 196,510 |
|  | Returned | 55,138 | 58,495 | 17,035 | 10,214 | 9,955 | 4,138 | 805 | 729 | 156,509 |

[^22]Table 37b: Number of women screened during 1998 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, second screening round, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 5,653 | 1,128 | 3,896 | 960 | 673 | 548 | 231 | 115 | 13,204 |
|  | Returned | 4,167 | 394 | 3,036 | 685 | 492 | 426 | 153 | 96 | 9,449 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,590 | 3,784 | 5,936 | 3,777 | 1,872 | 893 | 458 | 250 | 27,560 |
|  | Returned | 7,526 | 2,191 | 4,582 | 2,814 | 1,405 | 690 | 321 | 202 | 19,731 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 17,094 | 14,246 | 7,039 | 6,267 | 4,301 | 1,275 | 929 | 450 | 51,601 |
|  | Returned | 12,836 | 11,678 | 5,607 | 4,492 | 3,495 | 1,029 | 702 | 335 | 40,174 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 14,538 | 11,292 | 5,240 | 3,733 | 2,375 | 904 | 595 | 321 | 38,998 |
|  | Returned | 10,971 | 9,171 | 4,313 | 2,668 | 1,890 | 702 | 418 | 239 | 30,372 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 12,820 | 9,664 | 3,756 | 3,092 | 1,780 | 676 | 443 | 170 | 32,401 |
|  | Returned | 9,840 | 8,046 | 3,116 | 2,271 | 1,422 | 560 | 327 | 130 | 25,712 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 11,248 | 8,742 | 3,137 | 2,248 | 1,591 | 603 | 266 | 113 | 27,948 |
|  | Returned | 8,375 | 7,066 | 2,601 | 1,256 | 890 | 342 | 140 | 84 | 20,754 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 8,297 | 6,845 | 2,218 | 592 | 667 | 276 | 91 | 68 | 19,054 |
|  | Returned | 5,932 | 4,813 | 1,733 | 161 | 251 | 60 | 18 | 52 | 13,020 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 3,251 | 1,260 | 1,101 | 263 | 399 | 128 | 76 | 30 | 6,508 |
|  | Returned | 2,283 | 208 | 652 | 68 | 136 | 32 | 8 | 18 | 3,405 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 1,012 | 310 | 313 | 77 | 100 | 28 | 15 | 8 | 1,863 |
|  | Returned | 606 | 42 | 166 | 18 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 876 |
| 85+ | Screened | 239 | 63 | 79 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 408 |
|  | Returned | 112 | 9 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 84,742 | 57,334 | 32,715 | 21,017 | 13,771 | 5,335 | 3,106 | 1,525 | 219,545 |
|  | Returned | 62,648 | 43,618 | 25,832 | 14,435 | 10,014 | 3,847 | 2,088 | 1,163 | 163,645 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-69 | Screened | 55,700 | 43,944 | 19,172 | 15,340 | 10,047 | 3,458 | 2,233 | 1,054 | 150,948 |
|  | Returned | 42,022 | 35,961 | 15,637 | 10,687 | 7,697 | 2,633 | 1,587 | 788 | 117,012 |

[^23]Table 38a: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1997, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 75.4 | 42.1 | 75.8 | 70.0 | 74.6 | 79.5 | 52.9 | 66.7 | 71.6 |
| 45-49 | 75.1 | 60.4 | 74.4 | 72.8 | 78.0 | 82.0 | 60.3 | 67.7 | 72.8 |
| 50-54 | 77.8 | 82.2 | 78.8 | 72.4 | 83.7 | 83.6 | 53.9 | 71.2 | 79.3 |
| 55-59 | 78.4 | 82.6 | 81.1 | 73.4 | 83.2 | 82.9 | 54.5 | 70.5 | 80.0 |
| 60-64 | 80.7 | 84.2 | 82.6 | 77.1 | 84.8 | 84.6 | 57.1 | 69.4 | 82.1 |
| 65-69 | 78.0 | 82.1 | 83.2 | 53.3 | 63.6 | 60.2 | 62.0 | 65.3 | 77.0 |
| 70-74 | 76.1 | 71.2 | 77.3 | 26.6 | 45.6 | 24.8 | 61.2 | 66.7 | 71.5 |
| 75-79 | 73.7 | 16.1 | 57.8 | 27.0 | 44.2 | 35.5 | 68.8 | 66.7 | 54.5 |
| 80-84 | 65.1 | 12.7 | 50.7 | 23.8 | 35.3 | 26.9 | 40.0 | 66.7 | 52.0 |
| 85+ | 51.0 | 18.1 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | (a) | (a) | 42.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 77.4 | 78.0 | 77.9 | 68.4 | 77.5 | 76.2 | 56.0 | 69.3 | 76.8 |
| ASR (A) | 77.4 | 72.9 | 78.5 | 64.9 | 74.8 | 73.0 | 57.1 | 69.3 | 76.8 |
| 95\% CI | 77.1-77.6 | 72.6-73.3 | 78.0-78.9 | 64.0-65.8 | 73.7-75.9 | 71.5-74.6 | 54.4-60.0 | 65.5-73.1 | 76.5-77.1 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 78.7 | 82.8 | 81.1 | 70.1 | 80.1 | 78.9 | 55.2 | 70.0 | 79.6 |
| ASR (A) | 78.7 | 82.7 | 81.1 | 70.0 | 79.9 | 79.1 | 56.4 | 70.0 | 79.6 |
| 95\% CI | 78.4-79.0 | 82.5-83.0 | 80.6-81.6 | 68.8-71.1 | 78.6-81.3 | 77.0-81.0 | 52.9-59.7 | 65.2-74.6 | 79.3-80.1 |

(a) No women screened in 1997 in this group.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 38b: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1998, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 73.7 | 34.9 | 77.9 | 71.4 | 73.1 | 77.7 | 66.2 | 83.5 | 71.6 |
| 45-49 | 71.1 | 57.9 | 77.2 | 74.5 | 75.1 | 77.3 | 70.1 | 80.8 | 71.6 |
| 50-54 | 75.1 | 82.0 | 79.7 | 71.7 | 81.3 | 80.7 | 75.6 | 74.4 | 77.9 |
| 55-59 | 75.5 | 81.2 | 82.3 | 71.5 | 79.6 | 77.7 | 70.3 | 74.5 | 77.9 |
| 60-64 | 76.8 | 83.3 | 83.0 | 73.4 | 79.9 | 82.8 | 73.8 | 76.5 | 79.4 |
| 65-69 | 74.5 | 80.8 | 82.9 | 55.9 | 55.9 | 56.7 | 52.6 | 74.3 | 74.3 |
| 70-74 | 71.5 | 70.3 | 78.1 | 27.2 | 37.6 | 21.7 | 19.8 | 76.5 | 68.3 |
| 75-79 | 70.2 | 16.5 | 59.2 | 25.9 | 34.1 | 25.0 | 10.5 | 60.0 | 52.3 |
| 80-84 | 59.9 | 13.5 | 53.0 | 23.4 | 31.0 | 17.9 | 6.7 | 87.5 | 47.0 |
| 85+ | 46.9 | 14.3 | 32.9 | 25.0 | 15.4 | 25.0 | 0.0 | (a) | 37.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 73.9 | 76.1 | 79.0 | 68.7 | 72.7 | 72.1 | 67.2 | 76.3 | 74.5 |
| ASR (A) | 73.9 | 71.4 | 79.5 | 64.6 | 70.4 | 69.4 | 62.6 | 76.3 | 74.5 |
| 95\% CI | 73.6-74.2 | 71.0-71.8 | 79.1-80.0 | 63.9-65.2 | 69.6-71.2 | 68.2-70.6 | 60.9-64.3 | 74.0-78.4 | 74.3-74.8 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 75.4 | 81.8 | 81.6 | 69.7 | 76.6 | 76.1 | 71.1 | 74.8 | 77.5 |
| ASR (A) | 75.4 | 81.8 | 81.7 | 68.9 | 75.6 | 75.7 | 69.3 | 74.8 | 77.5 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | 75.1-75.8 | 81.5-82.2 | 81.2-82.3 | 68.2-69.7 | 74.8-76.4 | 74.3-77.1 | 67.4-71.3 | 72.0-77.3 | 77.2-77.9 |

(a) No women screened in 1998 in this group.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 39a: Number of women screened during 1997 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, third and subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 1,428 | 48 | 1,181 | 96 | 127 | 176 | 3 | 6 | 3,065 |
|  | Returned | 1,193 | 28 | 949 | 70 | 104 | 152 | 3 | 5 | 2,504 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 7,120 | 511 | 5,081 | 726 | 1,406 | 932 | 424 | 9 | 16,209 |
|  | Returned | 6,026 | 356 | 4,204 | 577 | 1,176 | 824 | 370 | 7 | 13,540 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 9,726 | 2,621 | 6,020 | 2,806 | 4,065 | 1,102 | 724 | 10 | 27,074 |
|  | Returned | 8,333 | 2,293 | 5,051 | 2,218 | 3,553 | 975 | 643 | 9 | 23,075 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 11,718 | 4,463 | 6,334 | 3,122 | 6,508 | 1,393 | 686 | 9 | 34,233 |
|  | Returned | 10,171 | 3,893 | 5,452 | 2,507 | 5,771 | 1,237 | 610 | 8 | 29,649 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 10,903 | 4,085 | 5,944 | 2,570 | 5,996 | 1,309 | 589 | 1 | 31,397 |
|  | Returned | 9,602 | 3,601 | 5,167 | 2,164 | 5,390 | 1,204 | 524 | 1 | 27,653 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 10,346 | 3,626 | 5,575 | 2,322 | 5,447 | 1,165 | 406 | 1 | 28,888 |
|  | Returned | 9,071 | 3,152 | 4,871 | 1,401 | 3,738 | 755 | 374 | 0 | 23,362 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 7,748 | 2,620 | 4,015 | 502 | 1,101 | 134 | 109 | 0 | 16,229 |
|  | Returned | 6,630 | 1,970 | 3,311 | 200 | 640 | 59 | 58 | 0 | 12,868 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 2,820 | 469 | 2,126 | 127 | 187 | 12 | 22 | 1 | 5,764 |
|  | Returned | 2,320 | 104 | 1,458 | 52 | 100 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 4,059 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 940 | 35 | 619 | 23 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1,654 |
|  | Returned | 694 | 14 | 361 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1,094 |
| 85+ | Screened | 164 | 5 | 127 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
|  | Returned | 102 | 3 | 59 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 62,913 | 18,483 | 37,022 | 12,297 | 24,874 | 6,224 | 2,967 | 37 | 164,817 |
|  | Returned | 54,142 | 15,414 | 30,883 | 9,195 | 20,492 | 5,213 | 2,602 | 31 | 137,972 |
| Ages50-69 | Screened | 42,693 | 14,795 | 23,873 | 10,820 | 22,016 | 4,969 | 2,405 | 21 | 121,592 |
|  | Returned | 37,177 | 12,939 | 20,541 | 8,290 | 18,452 | 4,171 | 2,151 | 18 | 103,739 |

[^24]Table 39b: Number of women screened during 1998 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months, third and subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 2,051 | 130 | 1,701 | 297 | 225 | 314 | 145 | 12 | 4,875 |
|  | Returned | 1,690 | 87 | 1,438 | 252 | 203 | 268 | 125 | 12 | 4,075 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 12,439 | 1,199 | 7,787 | 1,647 | 2,295 | 1,656 | 879 | 34 | 27,936 |
|  | Returned | 9,984 | 853 | 6,622 | 1,342 | 1,958 | 1,436 | 676 | 33 | 22,904 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 17,790 | 9,277 | 9,684 | 5,581 | 5,408 | 2,065 | 1,279 | 28 | 51,112 |
|  | Returned | 14,551 | 8,118 | 8,357 | 4,469 | 4,717 | 1,820 | 1,051 | 26 | 43,109 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 21,087 | 17,441 | 9,401 | 6,737 | 7,421 | 2,255 | 1,208 | 25 | 65,575 |
|  | Returned | 17,463 | 15,480 | 8,290 | 5,439 | 6,584 | 2,002 | 997 | 20 | 56,275 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 18,483 | 15,869 | 8,260 | 6,057 | 7,424 | 2,061 | 820 | 14 | 58,988 |
|  | Returned | 15,666 | 14,193 | 7,311 | 4,961 | 6,631 | 1,841 | 691 | 11 | 51,305 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 17,420 | 14,312 | 7,259 | 5,398 | 6,379 | 1,606 | 654 | 6 | 53,034 |
|  | Returned | 14,578 | 12,710 | 6,433 | 3,342 | 4,386 | 1,039 | 392 | 5 | 42,885 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 14,060 | 10,834 | 5,595 | 1,292 | 2,186 | 370 | 145 | 2 | 34,484 |
|  | Returned | 11,530 | 8,238 | 4,707 | 595 | 1,153 | 136 | 49 | 2 | 26,410 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 5,293 | 1,850 | 2,809 | 337 | 369 | 52 | 37 | 0 | 10,747 |
|  | Returned | 4,152 | 476 | 2,007 | 172 | 205 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 7,043 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 1,661 | 152 | 844 | 56 | 62 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2,789 |
|  | Returned | 1,108 | 54 | 524 | 26 | 32 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1,748 |
| 85+ | Screened | 339 | 28 | 179 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 564 |
|  | Returned | 181 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 284 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 110,623 | 71,092 | 53,519 | 27,412 | 31,774 | 10,386 | 5,177 | 121 | 310,104 |
|  | Returned | 90,903 | 60,214 | 45,779 | 20,603 | 25,871 | 8,566 | 3,993 | 109 | 256,038 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-69 | Screened | 74,780 | 56,899 | 34,604 | 23,773 | 26,632 | 7,987 | 3,961 | 73 | 228,709 |
|  | Returned | 62,258 | 50,501 | 30,391 | 18,211 | 22,318 | 6,702 | 3,131 | 62 | 193,574 |

[^25]Table 40a: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1997, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 83.5 | 58.3 | 80.4 | 72.9 | 81.9 | 86.4 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 81.7 |
| 45-49 | 84.6 | 69.7 | 82.7 | 79.5 | 83.6 | 88.4 | 87.3 | 77.8 | 83.5 |
| 50-54 | 85.7 | 87.5 | 83.9 | 79.0 | 87.4 | 88.5 | 88.8 | 90.0 | 85.2 |
| 55-59 | 86.8 | 87.2 | 86.1 | 80.3 | 88.7 | 88.8 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 86.6 |
| 60-64 | 88.1 | 88.2 | 86.9 | 84.2 | 89.9 | 92.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 88.1 |
| 65-69 | 87.7 | 86.9 | 87.4 | 60.3 | 68.6 | 64.8 | 92.1 | 0.0 | 80.9 |
| 70-74 | 85.6 | 75.2 | 82.5 | 39.8 | 58.1 | 44.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 79.3 |
| 75-79 | 82.3 | 22.2 | 68.6 | 40.9 | 53.5 | 58.3 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 70.4 |
| 80-84 | 73.8 | 40.0 | 58.3 | 21.7 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 75.0 | (a) | 66.1 |
| 85+ | 62.2 | 60.0 | 46.5 | 33.3 | 60.0 | (a) | (a) | (a) | 55.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 86.1 | 83.4 | 83.4 | 74.8 | 82.4 | 83.8 | 87.7 | 83.8 | 83.7 |
| ASR (A) | 86.1 | 83.4 | 83.9 | 72.1 | 80.8 | 83.8 | 86.4 | 83.8 | 83.7 |
| 95\% CI | 85.3-86.8 | 82.9-83.9 | 83.5-84.3 | 70.8-73.4 | 79.8-81.8 | 82.2-85.4 | 84.1-88.7 | 62.2-100.0 | 83.3-84.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 87.1 | 87.5 | 86.0 | 76.6 | 83.8 | 83.9 | 89.4 | 85.7 | 85.3 |
| ASR (A) | 87.1 | 87.5 | 85.8 | 76.9 | 84.6 | 84.7 | 89.5 | 85.7 | 85.3 |
| 95\% CI | 86.2-88.0 | 86.9-88.0 | 85.4-86.3 | 75.4-78.2 | 83.5-85.7 | 82.6-87.0 | 86.7-92.5 | 47.6-100.0 | 84.8-85.8 |

[^26]Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 40b: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1998, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 82.4 | 66.9 | 84.5 | 84.8 | 90.2 | 85.4 | 86.2 | 100.0 | 83.6 |
| 45-49 | 80.3 | 71.1 | 85.0 | 81.5 | 85.3 | 86.7 | 76.9 | 97.1 | 82.0 |
| 50-54 | 81.8 | 87.5 | 86.3 | 80.1 | 87.2 | 88.1 | 82.2 | 92.9 | 84.3 |
| 55-59 | 82.8 | 88.8 | 88.2 | 80.7 | 88.7 | 88.8 | 82.5 | 80.0 | 85.8 |
| 60-64 | 84.8 | 89.4 | 88.5 | 81.9 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 84.3 | 78.6 | 87.0 |
| 65-69 | 83.7 | 88.8 | 88.6 | 61.9 | 68.8 | 64.7 | 59.9 | 83.3 | 80.9 |
| 70-74 | 82.0 | 76.0 | 84.1 | 46.1 | 52.7 | 36.8 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 76.6 |
| 75-79 | 78.4 | 25.7 | 71.4 | 51.0 | 55.6 | 40.4 | 27.0 | (a) | 65.5 |
| 80-84 | 66.7 | 35.5 | 62.1 | 46.4 | 51.6 | 33.3 | 25.0 | (a) | 62.7 |
| 85+ | 53.4 | 17.9 | 50.3 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (a) | 50.4 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.2 | 84.7 | 85.5 | 75.2 | 81.4 | 82.5 | 77.1 | 90.1 | 82.6 |
| ASR (A) | 82.2 | 84.7 | 86.0 | 74.6 | 81.1 | 82.5 | 72.9 | 90.1 | 82.6 |
| 95\% CI | 82.0-82.4 | 84.4-84.9 | 85.8-86.3 | 74.0-75.2 | 80.6-81.7 | 81.8-83.2 | 71.6-74.3 | 84.3-95.0 | 82.3-82.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 83.3 | 88.8 | 87.8 | 76.6 | 83.8 | 83.9 | 79.0 | 84.9 | 84.6 |
| ASR (A) | 83.3 | 88.8 | 87.7 | 77.1 | 84.5 | 84.0 | 78.4 | 84.9 | 84.6 |
| 95\% CI | 83.0-83.5 | 88.5-89.0 | 87.4-88.1 | 76.6-77.6 | 74.8-76.4 | 83.2-84.8 | 77.1-79.7 | 76.7-93.2 | 84.3-85.0 |

(a) No women screened in 1998 in this group.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 7a: Incidence of breast cancer

Table 41: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, 1986-1999, by age, Australia

| Age group | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| 25-29 | 47 | 59 | 46 | 44 | 51 | 52 | 46 | 58 | 57 | 60 | 42 | 52 | 51 | 49 |
| 30-34 | 141 | 192 | 164 | 157 | 204 | 183 | 178 | 168 | 198 | 198 | 193 | 174 | 197 | 185 |
| 35-39 | 332 | 365 | 342 | 367 | 341 | 398 | 380 | 414 | 392 | 400 | 425 | 447 | 416 | 439 |
| 40-44 | 484 | 590 | 654 | 653 | 668 | 718 | 707 | 777 | 771 | 753 | 760 | 758 | 851 | 819 |
| 45-49 | 571 | 690 | 666 | 752 | 826 | 855 | 1,012 | 1,026 | 1,139 | 1,235 | 1,185 | 1,153 | 1,165 | 1,148 |
| 50-54 | 585 | 598 | 632 | 722 | 785 | 854 | 854 | 974 | 1,105 | 1,238 | 1,179 | 1,326 | 1,465 | 1,492 |
| 55-59 | 667 | 692 | 635 | 683 | 687 | 807 | 820 | 925 | 1,029 | 1,147 | 1,120 | 1,174 | 1,266 | 1,294 |
| 60-64 | 716 | 819 | 846 | 883 | 826 | 887 | 783 | 973 | 1,097 | 1,064 | 1,015 | 1,076 | 1,141 | 1,239 |
| 65-69 | 675 | 767 | 767 | 830 | 852 | 943 | 928 | 998 | 1,210 | 1,102 | 1,059 | 1,075 | 1,141 | 1,085 |
| 70-74 | 681 | 628 | 695 | 714 | 752 | 789 | 771 | 899 | 1,018 | 999 | 982 | 1,027 | 1,052 | 984 |
| 75-79 | 528 | 579 | 576 | 621 | 634 | 670 | 656 | 689 | 778 | 848 | 737 | 863 | 881 | 835 |
| 80-84 | 345 | 390 | 382 | 394 | 424 | 484 | 490 | 467 | 524 | 579 | 562 | 569 | 580 | 542 |
| $85+$ | 298 | 308 | 300 | 328 | 335 | 369 | 366 | 395 | 378 | 410 | 439 | 445 | 479 | 470 |
| All ages | 6,075 | 6,683 | 6,712 | 7,156 | 7,389 | 8,020 | 7,997 | 8,778 | 9,703 | 10,044 | 9,705 | 10,155 | 10,702 | 10,592 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 2,643 | 2,876 | 2,880 | 3,118 | 3,150 | 3,491 | 3,385 | 3,870 | 4,441 | 4,551 | 4,373 | 4,651 | 5,013 | 5,110 |

[^27]Table 42: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, 1986-1999, Australia

| Age group | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 25-29 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.7 |
| 30-34 | 22.3 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 29.4 | 25.7 | 24.5 | 23.0 | 27.0 | 27.1 | 26.7 | 24.4 | 27.9 | 26.2 |
| 35-39 | 53.1 | 58.5 | 53.9 | 56.8 | 51.9 | 59.9 | 56.1 | 60.2 | 56.2 | 56.1 | 58.3 | 60.3 | 55.5 | 58.3 |
| 40-44 | 97.9 | 110.1 | 114.7 | 109.6 | 108.0 | 112.3 | 110.2 | 120.1 | 117.3 | 112.8 | 111.9 | 109.5 | 121.1 | 115.2 |
| 45-49 | 139.6 | 163.6 | 153.0 | 164.9 | 172.6 | 170.1 | 187.9 | 179.1 | 191.1 | 200.3 | 185.2 | 180.1 | 179.4 | 173.2 |
| 50-54 | 162.6 | 162.5 | 167.5 | 185.5 | 195.8 | 206.7 | 201.3 | 224.4 | 243.9 | 260.1 | 237.0 | 247.3 | 256.9 | 252.0 |
| 55-59 | 179.9 | 188.4 | 174.7 | 189.2 | 191.3 | 225.0 | 223.8 | 246.2 | 266.8 | 290.0 | 274.8 | 279.7 | 293.6 | 287.1 |
| 60-64 | 194.7 | 222.4 | 228.6 | 238.3 | 222.8 | 239.7 | 214.4 | 270.6 | 307.3 | 298.2 | 284.6 | 296.5 | 308.3 | 327.0 |
| 65-69 | 222.0 | 242.6 | 232.9 | 242.1 | 244.4 | 268.5 | 262.9 | 280.8 | 341.4 | 311.1 | 298.5 | 305.6 | 327.2 | 312.9 |
| 70-74 | 258.1 | 235.0 | 259.8 | 268.6 | 277.9 | 279.5 | 263.5 | 296.2 | 320.8 | 309.3 | 300.3 | 312.8 | 318.9 | 298.6 |
| 75-79 | 275.4 | 291.1 | 279.8 | 289.1 | 287.3 | 297.1 | 286.4 | 299.5 | 341.5 | 363.3 | 302.3 | 337.5 | 328.8 | 296.2 |
| 80-84 | 290.7 | 315.1 | 296.0 | 294.4 | 304.3 | 332.8 | 323.5 | 295.0 | 313.5 | 335.8 | 318.2 | 317.9 | 322.2 | 303.4 |
| 85+ | 315.0 | 316.9 | 300.6 | 317.8 | 317.2 | 335.4 | 316.5 | 324.2 | 296.5 | 305.2 | 310.0 | 298.4 | 307.0 | 285.0 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 75.8 | 82.0 | 81.0 | 84.9 | 86.4 | 92.5 | 91.1 | 99.0 | 108.2 | 110.6 | 105.5 | 109.1 | 113.7 | 111.4 |
| ASR (A) | 75.1 | 80.9 | 79.4 | 82.6 | 83.5 | 88.5 | 86.3 | 93.0 | 100.9 | 101.9 | 96.1 | 98.4 | 101.6 | 98.7 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 188.5 | 202.6 | 200.0 | 213.0 | 212.9 | 233.8 | 224.3 | 253.8 | 286.5 | 287.6 | 270.5 | 278.4 | 291.4 | 289.0 |
| ASR (A) | 188.1 | 201.7 | 199.1 | 212.2 | 212.3 | 233.2 | 223.9 | 253.8 | 287.1 | 288.3 | 271.8 | 280.5 | 294.4 | 292.8 |

[^28]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 43: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, 1996-1999, by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5-9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10-14$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15-19$ | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $20-24$ | 18 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| $25-29$ | 56 | 57 | 37 | 22 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 194 |
| $30-34$ | 237 | 208 | 127 | 79 | 65 | 19 | 11 | 3 | 749 |
| $35-39$ | 561 | 400 | 340 | 194 | 139 | 43 | 28 | 22 | 1,727 |
| $40-44$ | 1,083 | 822 | 557 | 299 | 260 | 75 | 58 | 34 | 3,188 |
| $45-49$ | 1,579 | 1,164 | 848 | 458 | 396 | 94 | 81 | 31 | 4,651 |
| $50-54$ | 1,827 | 1,419 | 946 | 491 | 501 | 145 | 104 | 29 | 5,462 |
| $55-59$ | 1,671 | 1,218 | 864 | 456 | 424 | 119 | 78 | 24 | 4,854 |
| $60-64$ | 1,545 | 1,152 | 799 | 414 | 379 | 109 | 59 | 14 | 4,471 |
| $65-69$ | 1,510 | 1,167 | 739 | 393 | 387 | 97 | 58 | 9 | 4,360 |
| $70-74$ | 1,449 | 1,106 | 720 | 295 | 331 | 90 | 46 | 8 | 4,045 |
| $75-79$ | 1,202 | 856 | 550 | 277 | 310 | 87 | 28 | 6 | 3,316 |
| $80-84$ | 742 | 626 | 396 | 194 | 227 | 47 | 20 | 1 | 2,253 |
| 85+ | 610 | 510 | 301 | 156 | 195 | 44 | 14 | 3 | 1,833 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{1 4 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 4}$ | 588 | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 5 4}$ |
| Ages 50-69 | $\mathbf{6 , 5 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{1 4 7}$ |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 44: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, 1996-1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 25-29 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 6.7 |
| 30-34 | 24.7 | 28.7 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 29.8 | 28.0 | 22.0 | 8.9 | 26.3 |
| 35-39 | 55.8 | 54.2 | 62.6 | 66.2 | 59.3 | 56.8 | 54.4 | 70.1 | 58.1 |
| 40-44 | 116.1 | 118.6 | 109.9 | 106.5 | 117.2 | 105.3 | 116.4 | 124.0 | 114.5 |
| 45-49 | 182.6 | 180.3 | 178.1 | 179.1 | 186.6 | 142.4 | 165.9 | 134.8 | 179.4 |
| 50-54 | 246.2 | 258.8 | 233.1 | 238.8 | 274.9 | 257.0 | 271.7 | 169.9 | 248.7 |
| 55-59 | 284.6 | 282.1 | 281.0 | 287.5 | 297.3 | 260.8 | 305.9 | 231.9 | 284.0 |
| 60-64 | 301.2 | 304.0 | 316.4 | 313.0 | 299.3 | 274.9 | 315.3 | 209.3 | 304.5 |
| 65-69 | 303.9 | 321.6 | 310.4 | 325.9 | 309.4 | 255.7 | 367.0 | 198.4 | 311.0 |
| 70-74 | 308.8 | 322.4 | 328.2 | 276.4 | 268.2 | 254.5 | 318.4 | 253.3 | 307.6 |
| 75-79 | 320.6 | 315.7 | 312.2 | 329.5 | 308.1 | 297.6 | 253.7 | 295.7 | 316.0 |
| 80-84 | 291.2 | 338.9 | 332.2 | 331.0 | 332.0 | 230.0 | 292.4 | 82.4 | 315.4 |
| 85+ | 284.2 | 313.4 | 301.6 | 298.5 | 326.8 | 268.7 | 272.5 | 300.6 | 299.7 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 111.1 | 114.3 | 105.8 | 103.7 | 121.0 | 101.7 | 94.8 | 52.6 | 109.9 |
| ASR (A) | 97.8 | 100.7 | 98.9 | 99.3 | 100.4 | 88.5 | 100.3 | 76.6 | 98.8 |
| 95\% CI | 96.2-99.4 | 99.0-102.6 | 96.6-101.3 | 96.1-102.5 | 97.1-103.7 | 82.7-94.2 | 91.4-109.1 | 64.0-89.5 | 97.8-99.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 280.1 | 287.8 | 278.1 | 284.2 | 293.3 | 261.6 | 304.2 | 196.7 | 282.6 |
| ASR (A) | 282.1 | 289.8 | 282.7 | 288.6 | 294.2 | 262.1 | 312.3 | 201.2 | 285.1 |
| 95\% CI | 275.3-289.0 282.0-298.1 272.9-292.5 274.6-303.2 280.4-308.2 237.2-285.9 275.1-346.6 150.9-250.4 280.8-289.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^29]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 45: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, 1995-1999, by age and region

| Age group | Capital cities | Other metropolitan areas | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10-14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15-19 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| 20-24 | 38 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 51 |
| 25-29 | 182 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 254 |
| 30-34 | 672 | 61 | 47 | 43 | 102 | 22 | 947 |
| 35-39 | 1,378 | 160 | 118 | 124 | 287 | 60 | 2,127 |
| 40-44 | 2,614 | 308 | 247 | 219 | 462 | 92 | 3,941 |
| 45-49 | 3,917 | 404 | 336 | 335 | 767 | 128 | 5,886 |
| 50-54 | 4,518 | 452 | 346 | 384 | 874 | 127 | 6,700 |
| 55-59 | 3,811 | 477 | 340 | 394 | 873 | 107 | 6,001 |
| 60-64 | 3,430 | 429 | 322 | 425 | 839 | 90 | 5,535 |
| 65-69 | 3,390 | 455 | 329 | 438 | 779 | 71 | 5,462 |
| 70-74 | 3,155 | 443 | 321 | 373 | 689 | 64 | 5,044 |
| 75-79 | 2,625 | 331 | 271 | 325 | 564 | 48 | 4,164 |
| 80-84 | 1,853 | 215 | 173 | 188 | 367 | 37 | 2,832 |
| 85+ | 1,467 | 162 | 149 | 146 | 297 | 22 | 2,243 |
| All ages | 33,057 | 3,919 | 3,017 | 3,408 | 6,932 | 872 | 51,198 |
| Ages 50-69 | 15,149 | 1,813 | 1,337 | 1,641 | 3,365 | 395 | 23,698 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 46: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, 1995-1999, by region

| Age group | Capital cities | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^30]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 47: Number of new cases of invasive breast cancer in women, 1997, by age and tumour size

| Age group | Small cancers ( $\mathbf{\leq} \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) | All cancers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 9}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $20-\mathbf{2 9}$ | 8 | 65 |
| $30-39$ | 124 | 633 |
| $40-49$ | 369 | 1,967 |
| $50-59$ | 634 | 2,564 |
| $60-69$ | 530 | 2,184 |
| $70+$ | 450 | 2,938 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 5 4}$ |
| Ages 50-69 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 4 8}$ |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 48: Age-specific and age-standardised rates of invasive breast cancer in women, 1997, by tumour size

| Age group | Small cancers ( $\leq \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) | All cancers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| $20-29$ | 0.6 | 4.6 |
| $30-39$ | 8.5 | 43.5 |
| $40-49$ | 27.7 | 147.6 |
| $50-59$ | 66.3 | 268.2 |
| $60-69$ | 74.2 | 305.6 |
| $70+$ | 49.3 | 322.1 |
| All ages |  |  |
| Crude rate | 22.7 | 111.2 |
| ASR (A) | 20.9 | 100.7 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $20.0-21.9$ | $98.7-102.6$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  | 284.2 |
| Crude rate | 69.7 | 285.9 |
| ASR (A) | 70.0 | $278.1-294.2$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $66.0-74.2$ |  |

[^31]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 7b: Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

Table 49: Number of new cases of ductal carcinoma in situ, 1994-1999, by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $20-29$ | 11 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| $30-39$ | 88 | 79 | 49 | 31 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 273 |
| $40-49$ | 426 | 335 | 220 | 140 | 103 | 28 | 27 | 8 | 1,287 |
| $50-59$ | 564 | 539 | 321 | 192 | 182 | 72 | 30 | 8 | 1,908 |
| $60-69$ | 461 | 441 | 260 | 159 | 143 | 36 | 15 | 1 | 1,516 |
| $70+$ | 370 | 296 | 177 | 90 | 94 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 1,070 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{1 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 0 8 3}$ |
| Ages $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 4 2 4}$ |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 50: Age-specific and age-standardised rates of ductal carcinoma in situ, 1994-1999, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $20-29$ | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| $30-39$ | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| $40-49$ | 16.1 | 17.0 | 15.3 | 17.9 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 18.4 | 10.9 | 16.3 |
| $50-59$ | 29.4 | 38.1 | 31.7 | 36.8 | 38.8 | 48.7 | 33.3 | 20.8 | 34.0 |
| 60-69 | 30.4 | 39.7 | 35.7 | 42.5 | 37.6 | 30.9 | 29.5 | 6.2 | 35.3 |
| 70+ | 19.2 | 21.0 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 18.2 | 16.1 | 33.5 | 9.6 | 19.8 |
| All ages | 10.2 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 11.0 |
| Crude rate | 9.3 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 10.2 |
| ASR (A) | $8.9-9.7$ | $10.6-11.7$ | $9.2-10.4$ | $10.4-12.3$ | $9.6-11.4$ | $8.9-12.0$ | $8.5-13.0$ | $2.9-7.8$ | $9.9-10.4$ |
| 95\% CI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 50-69 | 29.8 | 38.8 | 33.4 | 39.2 | 38.3 | 40.9 | 31.9 | 16.5 | 34.6 |
| Crude rate | 29.9 | 38.8 | 33.6 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 40.3 | 31.5 | 13.9 | 34.6 |
| ASR (A) | $28.0-31.6$ | $36.3-41.2$ | $31.0-36.1$ | $35.3-43.8$ | $33.9-42.2$ | $32.9-47.9$ | $22.1-41.0$ | $4.1-24.1$ | $33.4-35.8$ |
| $95 \%$ CI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 8: Mortality

Table 51: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, 1987-2000, by age, Australia

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 25-29 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 30-34 | 30 | 26 | 34 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 39 | 19 | 25 | 28 | 37 | 28 | 20 | 23 |
| 35-39 | 75 | 66 | 67 | 63 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 87 | 57 | 90 | 84 | 68 | 59 | 66 |
| 40-44 | 123 | 120 | 137 | 149 | 150 | 136 | 116 | 139 | 120 | 136 | 135 | 128 | 141 | 122 |
| 45-49 | 129 | 152 | 170 | 168 | 177 | 196 | 202 | 211 | 207 | 189 | 211 | 207 | 203 | 187 |
| 50-54 | 206 | 202 | 212 | 228 | 232 | 212 | 225 | 239 | 221 | 230 | 271 | 265 | 247 | 255 |
| 55-59 | 244 | 238 | 217 | 215 | 227 | 219 | 252 | 249 | 248 | 240 | 236 | 227 | 260 | 257 |
| 60-64 | 270 | 291 | 287 | 282 | 258 | 236 | 276 | 262 | 268 | 258 | 239 | 255 | 263 | 239 |
| 65-69 | 254 | 290 | 297 | 328 | 306 | 272 | 316 | 290 | 317 | 289 | 284 | 252 | 212 | 216 |
| 70-74 | 257 | 251 | 251 | 258 | 305 | 287 | 264 | 308 | 288 | 296 | 297 | 268 | 288 | 287 |
| 75-79 | 230 | 254 | 261 | 254 | 249 | 254 | 298 | 274 | 281 | 279 | 291 | 300 | 274 | 281 |
| 80-84 | 166 | 184 | 205 | 205 | 211 | 213 | 257 | 250 | 259 | 252 | 244 | 236 | 232 | 237 |
| 85+ | 217 | 222 | 238 | 219 | 229 | 247 | 268 | 271 | 280 | 273 | 273 | 314 | 298 | 335 |
| All ages | 2,213 | 2,301 | 2,384 | 2,401 | 2,462 | 2,389 | 2,590 | 2,602 | 2,577 | 2,569 | 2,609 | 2,557 | 2,505 | 2,511 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 50-69 \end{aligned}$ | 974 | 1,021 | 1,013 | 1,053 | 1,023 | 939 | 1,069 | 1,040 | 1,054 | 1,017 | 1,030 | 999 | 982 | 967 |

[^32]Table 52: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, 1987-2000, Australia

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 35-39 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 10.8 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 8.8 |
| 40-44 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 19.8 | 16.9 |
| 45-49 | 30.6 | 34.9 | 37.3 | 35.1 | 35.2 | 36.4 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 33.6 | 29.5 | 33.0 | 31.9 | 30.6 | 27.8 |
| 50-54 | 56.0 | 53.5 | 54.5 | 56.9 | 56.2 | 50.0 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 46.4 | 46.2 | 50.5 | 46.5 | 41.7 | 41.6 |
| 55-59 | 66.4 | 65.5 | 60.1 | 59.9 | 63.3 | 59.8 | 67.1 | 64.6 | 62.7 | 58.9 | 56.2 | 52.6 | 57.7 | 54.5 |
| 60-64 | 73.3 | 78.6 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 69.7 | 64.6 | 76.8 | 73.4 | 75.1 | 72.3 | 65.9 | 68.9 | 69.4 | 61.5 |
| 65-69 | 80.3 | 88.1 | 86.6 | 94.1 | 87.1 | 77.1 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 89.5 | 81.5 | 80.7 | 72.3 | 61.1 | 62.5 |
| 70-74 | 96.2 | 93.8 | 94.4 | 95.3 | 108.1 | 98.1 | 87.0 | 97.1 | 89.2 | 90.5 | 90.5 | 81.2 | 87.4 | 86.7 |
| 75-79 | 115.7 | 123.4 | 121.5 | 115.1 | 110.4 | 110.9 | 129.5 | 120.3 | 120.4 | 114.4 | 113.8 | 112.0 | 97.2 | 98.1 |
| 80-84 | 134.1 | 142.6 | 153.2 | 147.1 | 145.1 | 140.6 | 162.4 | 149.5 | 150.2 | 142.7 | 136.3 | 131.1 | 129.8 | 127.1 |
| 85+ | 223.3 | 222.4 | 230.6 | 207.4 | 208.1 | 213.6 | 219.9 | 212.5 | 208.4 | 192.8 | 183.1 | 201.3 | 180.7 | 195.2 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.2 | 27.8 | 28.3 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 27.2 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 28.4 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 26.3 | 26.1 |
| ASR (A) | 26.0 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 24.5 | 24.2 | 23.1 | 22.1 | 21.5 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 68.6 | 70.9 | 69.2 | 71.2 | 68.5 | 62.2 | 70.1 | 67.1 | 66.6 | 62.9 | 61.7 | 58.1 | 55.5 | 53.2 |
| ASR (A) | 68.3 | 70.4 | 68.8 | 70.7 | 68.2 | 62.1 | 70.1 | 67.3 | 67.2 | 63.7 | 62.5 | 59.3 | 56.8 | 54.4 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 53: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, 1997-2000, by age, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 25-29 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 30-34 | 48 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 108 |
| 35-39 | 78 | 78 | 49 | 28 | 26 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 277 |
| 40-44 | 163 | 146 | 90 | 60 | 43 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 526 |
| 45-49 | 264 | 215 | 125 | 83 | 72 | 20 | 19 | 10 | 808 |
| 50-54 | 319 | 271 | 224 | 97 | 73 | 25 | 18 | 11 | 1,038 |
| 55-59 | 324 | 265 | 176 | 84 | 80 | 27 | 17 | 7 | 980 |
| 60-64 | 350 | 282 | 159 | 71 | 88 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 996 |
| 65-69 | 350 | 268 | 129 | 79 | 84 | 32 | 19 | 3 | 964 |
| 70-74 | 382 | 326 | 193 | 82 | 101 | 30 | 22 | 4 | 1,140 |
| 75-79 | 388 | 319 | 180 | 87 | 108 | 40 | 21 | 3 | 1,146 |
| 80-84 | 342 | 258 | 149 | 69 | 88 | 28 | 11 | 4 | 949 |
| $85+$ | 363 | 353 | 201 | 135 | 116 | 33 | 17 | 2 | 1,220 |
| All ages | 3,380 | 2,810 | 1,701 | 885 | 891 | 280 | 174 | 61 | 10,182 |
| Ages 50-69 | 1,343 | 1,086 | 688 | 331 | 325 | 104 | 71 | 30 | 3,978 |

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 54: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, 1997-2000, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| 35-39 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| 40-44 | 17.2 | 20.8 | 17.4 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 10.1 | 17.9 | 18.6 |
| 45-49 | 30.2 | 32.9 | 25.8 | 31.7 | 33.8 | 30.1 | 39.1 | 42.3 | 30.8 |
| 50-54 | 41.1 | 47.0 | 52.1 | 44.3 | 38.2 | 42.3 | 44.2 | 60.3 | 44.9 |
| 55-59 | 53.4 | 59.5 | 54.3 | 50.9 | 54.4 | 57.6 | 63.3 | 62.7 | 55.3 |
| 60-64 | 67.1 | 73.2 | 60.8 | 52.1 | 68.4 | 49.5 | 87.3 | 128.7 | 66.4 |
| 65-69 | 71.3 | 74.4 | 54.2 | 65.2 | 68.3 | 84.5 | 118.8 | 64.2 | 69.2 |
| 70-74 | 81.4 | 94.8 | 87.1 | 75.7 | 82.3 | 85.2 | 151.0 | 122.5 | 86.4 |
| 75-79 | 99.7 | 112.7 | 98.2 | 99.1 | 103.3 | 132.8 | 177.7 | 144.4 | 104.9 |
| 80-84 | 132.2 | 138.5 | 122.0 | 117.3 | 127.3 | 135.7 | 154.3 | 313.2 | 131.1 |
| 85+ | 161.4 | 207.8 | 190.7 | 245.5 | 185.5 | 190.7 | 306.7 | 187.8 | 190.1 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 26.4 | 29.7 | 24.5 | 24.2 | 29.6 | 29.3 | 28.0 | 16.9 | 26.9 |
| ASR (A) | 21.9 | 24.5 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 23.3 | 30.7 | 30.8 | 22.7 |
| 95\% CI | $21.1-22.6$ | $23.6-25.4$ | $20.5-22.6$ | $20.3-23.2$ | $21.0-24.1$ | $20.5-26.0$ | $26.5-35.3$ | $22.0-39.8$ | $22.2-23.2$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 56.0 | 61.4 | 54.9 | 51.6 | 55.1 | 56.5 | 68.9 | 73.1 | 57.0 |
| ASR (A) | 57.3 | 62.7 | 55.3 | 52.5 | 56.4 | 57.3 | 76.3 | 78.7 | 58.2 |
| 95\% CI | $54.2-60.5$ | $59.0-66.6$ | $51.0-59.4$ | $46.7-58.3$ | $50.3-62.8$ | $46.5-68.8$ | $58.7-94.2$ | $49.4-112.5$ | $56.3-60.0$ |

[^33]Table 55: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, 1996-2000, by age and region

| Age group | Capital cities | Other metropolitan areas | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 25-29 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 33 |
| 30-34 | 80 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 5 | 136 |
| 35-39 | 234 | 23 | 28 | 24 | 47 | 12 | 367 |
| 40-44 | 410 | 36 | 42 | 49 | 104 | 21 | 662 |
| 45-49 | 665 | 75 | 60 | 60 | 115 | 22 | 997 |
| 50-54 | 799 | 75 | 88 | 86 | 194 | 27 | 1,268 |
| 55-59 | 792 | 88 | 67 | 66 | 182 | 26 | 1,220 |
| 60-64 | 793 | 97 | 55 | 84 | 206 | 19 | 1,254 |
| 65-69 | 788 | 116 | 63 | 92 | 180 | 15 | 1,253 |
| 70-74 | 911 | 114 | 77 | 105 | 205 | 24 | 1,436 |
| 75-79 | 880 | 130 | 104 | 99 | 199 | 14 | 1,425 |
| 80-84 | 787 | 109 | 72 | 81 | 133 | 19 | 1,201 |
| 85+ | 931 | 120 | 95 | 113 | 219 | 16 | 1,493 |
| All ages | 8,093 | 1,002 | 761 | 874 | 1,807 | 220 | 12,751 |
| Ages 50-69 | 3,172 | 376 | 273 | 328 | 762 | 87 | 4,995 |

[^34]Table 56: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, 1996-2000, by region

| Capital cities | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^35]Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 57: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, 1996-2000, by age and Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory

| Age group $^{\text {Indigenous }^{\mathbf{1}}}$ | Non-Indigenous $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Australia $^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5-9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10-14$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15-19$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| $25-29$ | 1 | 10 | 33 |
| $30-34$ | 1 | 30 | 136 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 94 | 367 |
| $40-44$ | 8 | 205 | 662 |
| $45-49$ | 5 | 278 | 997 |
| $50-54$ | 7 | 370 | 1268 |
| $55-59$ | 3 | 348 | 1220 |
| $60-64$ | 7 | 327 | 1254 |
| $65-69$ | 5 | 304 | 1253 |
| $70-74$ | 3 | 380 | 1,436 |
| $75+$ | 10 | 1,156 | 4,119 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 5 1}$ |
| Ages 50-69 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 9 5}$ |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Queensland data are included from 1998 onwards.
2. Includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Deaths in the 'not stated' category have been included in the column for all women, but they have not been included in the other columns. There were 34 deaths where Indigenous status was in the 'not stated' category.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 58: Age-standardised and age-specific death rates for breast cancer in women, 1996-2000, by Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory

| Age group | Indigenous ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Indigenous ${ }^{1}$ | Australia ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25-29 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 30-34 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 35-39 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 40-44 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 45-49 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 50-54 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| 55-59 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| 60-64 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
| 65-69 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 7.2 |
| 70-74 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| $75+$ | 20.2 | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| All ages |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 10.5 | 26.0 | 27.1 |
| ASR (A) | 26.1 | 22.3 | 23.3 |
| 95\% CI | 18.4-34.9 | 21.6-22.9 | 22.8-23.7 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.5 | 54.3 | 58.1 |
| ASR (A) | 57.8 | 55.4 | 59.3 |
| 95\% CI | 33.7-85.1 | 52.4-58.4 | 57.5-60.9 |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Queensland data are included from 1998 onwards.
2. Includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
4. Deaths in the 'not stated' category have been included in the column for all women, but they have not been included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

## Appendix A: Data and statistical issues

## Data sources

Multiple data sources were analysed to produce this report. These are summarised in Table A1. All data used report are based on calendar years.

TableA1: Sources for data presented in this report

| Indicator | Description | Data source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Participation | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 2 | Cancer detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 3 | Sensitivity | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 4 | DCIS detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 5 | Recall to assessment | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 6 | Rescreening | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 7 | Incidence (ICD-9 174) | National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, AIHW |
| 8 | Mortality (ICD-9 174, ICD-10 C50) | National Mortality Database, AIHW |

## Population data

The Australian Bureau of Statistics' estimated resident population (ERP) data were used to calculate screening participation and cancer incidence and mortality rates.
Participation rates were calculated using the average of the 1999 and 2000 estimated resident female populations. The only exceptions to this were participation rates by socioeconomic status, by language spoken at home and by Indigenous status. The population data on which the participation rates are based are found on the web site of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (http://www.aihw.gov.au).
The ABS does not calculate ERP by socioeconomic status or language spoken at home. In these cases the denominator was calculated by applying the age-specific distribution of socioeconomic status and language spoken at home from the 1996 ABS Census to the relevant age specific ERP counts. The most recent direct count of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population was carried out in the 1996 Census. However, the ABS has released estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population for more recent years. These estimates were used as the denominator for rates for Indigenous people.

## Statistical analysis of BreastScreen monitoring indicators

## Crude rates

A crude rate is defined as the number of events over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event. For example, a crude cancer incidence rate is defined as the number of new cases of cancer in a specified period of time divided by the population at risk.

## Age-specific rates

An age-specific rate is defined as the number of events for a specified age group over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event in that age group. Age-specific rates in this report were calculated by dividing the number of deaths, cancer cases or women participating in the screening programs in each specified age group by the corresponding population in the same age group.

## Age-standardised rates (ASR)

Age-standardised rates enable comparisons to be made between populations with different age structures. This publication used direct standardisation, in which the age-specific rates are multiplied by a constant population. This effectively removes the influence of the age structure on the summary rate.
The National Health Data Committee has advocated the use of the 1991 Australian total estimated resident population as the standard population until the year 2001. Mortality, incidence and participation rates are age-standardised to the 1991 Australian total population. For statistics based on the population of women screened - that is, cancer detection rates, interval cancer rates and program sensitivity - the rates are standardised to the 1998 population of women screened by BreastScreen Australia. The standard populations used in this report are found on the AIHW web site (http://www.aihw.gov.au).
The method used for all of these calculations consists of three steps:
Step 1: Calculate the age-specific rate for each age group.
Step 2: Calculate the expected number of cases in each 5-year age group by multiplying the age-specific rates by the corresponding standard population and dividing by the appropriate factor (i.e. 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates and 100 for the participation rate).
Step 3: Sum the expected number of cases in each age group, divide by the total of the standard population and multiply by the appropriate factor (i.e. 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates and 100 for the participation rate). This gives the age-standardised rate.

## Rate denominators

Death rates and cancer incidence rates are expressed in this report as annual rates per 100,000 population. Rates for cancer detection are calculated per 10,000 women screened. Screening participation rates are expressed as a percentage of the eligible population. Rescreen and recall to assessment rates are expressed as a percentage of women screened.

## Confidence intervals

The $95 \%$ confidence intervals in this report were calculated using the software package Palisade @Risk (http://www.palisade.com). The calculations were based on 1000 simulations using a binomial or Poisson distribution with parameters calculated from the observed data. The confidence intervals represent a range of values within which the true value of the rate is likely to fall in $95 \%$ of iterations.
The confidence intervals are used to provide an approximate indication of the differences between rates. Where the confidence intervals of two rates do not overlap, the corresponding rates are statistically significantly different from each other. This is used to compare individual stratified rates with the all-Australia rate. To be truly rigorous, such a comparison should be between a given rate and the rate calculated from the all-Australia data excluding the data underlying the specific rate in the comparison. Presentation of such a comparison in this report would, however, be unnecessarily complex. The approximate comparisons presented might understate the statistical significance of some differences, but they are sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this report.
As with all statistical comparisons, care should be exercised in interpreting the results of the comparison. If two rates are statistically significantly different from each other, this means that the difference is unlikely to have arisen by chance. Judgement should, however, be exercised in deciding whether or not the difference is of any practical significance.

## BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary

A data dictionary has been developed for the BreastScreen Australia Program (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming). Summary definitions of key concepts and terminology used in this report are given in the glossary. More detailed definitions and explanations may be found in the data dictionary.

## Stratification variables

The data in this report are presented stratified by the age of the women at the time of screening (for the screening data), at the time of diagnosis (for the cancer incidence data) or at the time of death (for the cancer mortality data). A number of other stratification variables apply to some or all of the data presented:

- state or territory;
- geographic location;
- socioeconomic status;
- Indigenous status;
- main language spoken at home;
- tumour size; and
- screening round.


## State or territory

The state or territory reported is the one where screening took place (for the screening data) or where the diagnosis was made (for the cancer incidence data) or where the death was registered (for the cancer mortality data).

This means that it is possible for a woman to be double-counted in the screening data. If she was screened in one jurisdiction and then screened again less than two years later in another jurisdiction, both screens may be included in the participation rate. This should, however, have a negligible effect on the reported participation rates.

## Geographic location

Analysis of participation and mortality data by geographic area uses the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas (RRMA) classification. This classification, developed in 1994 by the then Department of Primary Industries and Energy and the then Department of Human Services and Health, is used as a framework for examining breast cancer screening participation and mortality data at the national level. Seven categories are included-two metropolitan, three rural and two remote zones (see Table A2).

Table A2: Structure of the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification

| Zone | Category |
| :--- | :--- |
| Metropolitan | Capital cities |
| Other metropolitan centres (urban centre population > 100,000) |  |
| Rural | Large rural centres (urban centre population 25,000-99,999) <br>  <br> Small rural centres (urban centre population 10,000-24,999) <br> Other rural areas (urban centre population <10,000) |
| Remote | Remote centres (urban centre population $>5,000$ ) <br> Other remote areas (urban centre population $<5,000$ ) |

A more recent geographic classification system has been developed using 1996 Population Census data. This system, known as the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA) categorises areas according to their distance from 'service centres'. Service centres are urban centres with a population of 5,000 or more as at the 1996 Census. The ARIA system classifies areas as highly accessible, accessible, moderately accessible, remote and very remote.
The ARIA system was not designed as a replacement for the RRMA classification. In particular, it does not allow a comparable categorisation. Accessibility is judged purely on distance to an urban centrer. For example, Albury, Tamworth and Inner Sydney all have the same classification (highly accessible) using the ARIA system. An updated rural/remote/metropolitan categorisation is being developed using ARIA. Until that new categorisation becomes available, the existing RRMA system will continue to be used.

The RRMA classification is based on statistical local areas (SLA) and allocates each SLA in Australia to a category based primarily on population numbers and an index of remoteness (DPIE \& DHSH 1994). Both the size of SLAs and the distribution of population within them vary considerably. This can mean that within a remote SLA there are pockets that are rural rather than remote and vice versa.

The use of SLAs for coding geographic regions is not straightforward. In particular, SLA boundaries change over time. Coding data to SLAs thus raises difficulties with tracking these changes over time and ensuring that all data are coded consistently. Instead, for this report the data were coded to postcode and a concordance was developed to map postcode to

RRMA. This mapping is not exact, since SLA boundaries may cross postcode boundaries. As a result, the proportion of each postcode that could be mapped to each RRMA category was calculated and used to code the data.
A total of 262 of the 1999-2000 and 507 of the 1998-1999 BreastScreen screening data records had postcodes that could not be mapped to an RRMA classification. These were allocated proportionally between the classifications.

## Socioeconomic status

Socioeconomic status was coded according to the Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD). The IRSD is one of the socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA indexes) developed by the Australian Bureau of Statistics to categorise geographic areas according to their social and economic characteristics.
It is important to note that the IRSD relates to the average disadvantage of all people living in a geographic area. Hence any variability between groups based on the IRSD will probably be smaller than if the variability had been measured between individuals.
Like the RRMA classification, the IRSD was developed for SLAs. However, as with the RRMA coding, for this report the data were coded to postcode and a concordance was developed to map postcode to quintile of IRSD. Again, this mapping is not exact, since SLA boundaries can cross postcode boundaries. As a result, the proportion of each postcode that could be mapped to each IRSD quintile was calculated and used to code the data.
A total of 294 of the 1999-2000 and 354 of the 1998-1999 BreastScreen screening data records had postcodes that could not be mapped to an IRSD quintile. These were allocated proportionally between the quintiles.

## Indigenous status

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) specifies that Indigenous status should be coded as

- Aboriginal;
- Torres Strait Islander;
- both Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander;
- not Indigenous; or
- not stated.

For the purposes of this report these categories were amalgamated and the data stratified into three categories:

- Indigenous;
- not Indigenous; or
- not stated.

Some jurisdictions do not use the 'Not stated' category. These jurisdictions classify women who do not record their Indigenous status as 'Not Indigenous' rather than 'Not stated'. This means that analyses based upon Indigenous status should be interpreted with caution.

## Main language spoken at home

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) recommends that main language spoken at home be coded according to the four-digit ABS Australian Standard Classification of Languages, 1998 (ABS cat. no. 1267.0). This report has collapsed the classification into the simple dichotomy of 'English' and 'Other language'. If main language spoken at home is not given, this is coded as 'Not stated'.
Although this stratification is reported as 'main language spoken at home', practice varies between the jurisdictions as to how this information is collected. In some jurisdictions there may thus be some lack of comparability with the BreastScreen Data Dictionary definition of 'main language'.
In addition, some jurisdictions do not use the 'Not stated' classification. If main language spoken at home is not given, it is set to a default value. The default used is not the same for all jurisdictions. This means that the analysis based upon main language spoken at home should be interpreted with caution.

## Tumour size

Tumour size is the size in millimetres of the malignant lesion, and applies to invasive cancers only. For more details about this stratification, see the definition given in the BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming).

## Screening round

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary distinguishes between a woman's screening round in the national program and her round in the state or territory program. Round in the national program is used for this stratification in this report. However, it is not always possible to determine round in the national program, so for some women this stratification has been collected as round number in the state or territory program.

## Mortality data

Mortality data in this report are given for 1987-2000. During this time, changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data that affect the comparability of the data. Data holdings for 1987-1996 were coded using the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). These data were coded manually. Data holdings for 1997 onwards have been coded using ICD-10. These data were coded using an automated system with slightly different coding rules.
The change to the coding and processing of these data has introduced a break in the mortality data time series. The Australian Bureau of Statistics developed comparability factors, which are applied to the pre-1997 data, so that a single time series may still be derived (ABS 2002). For breast cancer, the comparability factor is close to one.
The application of a comparability factor causes the number of deaths prior to 1997 to be non-integer. Rounding has been used to put the number of deaths into whole numbers.

## Abbreviations

AACR: Australasian Association of Cancer Registries
ABS: Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACT: Australian Capital Territory
AIHW: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
AHMAC: Australian Health Ministers Advisory Council
ASR: age-standardised rate
ASR(A): age-standardised rate-standardised to the Australian standard population
BSA: BreastScreen Australia
BSANAC: BreastScreen Australia National Advisory Committee
CI: confidence interval (see glossary)
DoHA: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
DCIS: ductal carcinoma in situ
DHSH: Department of Human Services and Health (1994 to 1996)
ERP: estimated resident population
NBCC: National Breast Cancer Centre
NHS: National Health Survey
NQMC: National Quality Management Committee
NSW: New South Wales
NT: Northern Territory
Qld: Queensland
SA: South Australia
SES: socioeconomic status
SLA: statistical local area
Tas: Tasmania
Vic: Victoria
WA: Western Australia
WHO: World Health Organization

## Glossary

Administrative databases: observations about events that are routinely recorded or required by law to be recorded. Such events include births, deaths, hospital separations and cancer incidence. Administrative databases include the National Mortality Database, the National Hospital Morbidity Database and the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House Database.
Age-specific rate: a rate for a specific age group. The numerator and denominator relate to the same age group.
Age-standardised rate: weighted average of age-specific rates according to a standard distribution of the population by age to eliminate the effect of different age distributions and thus facilitate valid comparison of groups with differing age compositions.
Assessment: further investigation of a mammographic abnormality or symptom reported at screening. This includes women who choose assessment outside the Program.

## Benign: not cancerous.

Cancer (malignant neoplasm): a term used to describe one of several diseases that result when the process of cell division, by which tissues normally grow and renew themselves, becomes uncontrolled and leads to the development of malignant cells. These cancer cells multiply in an uncoordinated way, independently of normal growth control mechanisms, to form a tumour. The tumour can expand locally by invasion or systemically by metastasis via the lymphatic or vascular systems. If left untreated, most malignant tumours eventually result in death.

Cancer death: a death where the underlying cause is indicated as cancer. People with cancer but dying of other causes are not counted in the death statistics in this publication.
Confidence interval: a range determined by variability in data, within which there is a specified (usually $95 \%$ ) chance that the true value of a calculated parameter (for example, relative risk) lies.
Core biopsy: removal of a cylindrical sample of breast tissue under a local or general anaesthetic through a needle for microscopic examination.
Data: refers to the building blocks of health information, including observations from administrative databases and health survey data sets.
Ductal carcinoma in situ: a non-invasive tumour of the mammary gland (breast) arising from cells lining the ducts.
Early review: a woman is screened but not cleared for routine rescreening and instead is referred for further assessment within 6 to 12 months of the index screen.
Epidemiology: the quantitative study of the distribution and determinants of health-related states and events in populations and the application of this study to the control of health problems.
False negative: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is not present.
False positive: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is present.
Film reading: viewing of a radiographic depiction of the breast (a mammogram) to determine the presence or absence of an abnormality indicative of a tumour.
Fine needle aspiration biopsy: the sampling of cells from breast tissue for examination by a pathologist.

## Incidence: see New cancer case.

Index screening year: the year for which the interval cancer rate and the program sensitivity rate are determined.

Index screens: all screening examinations performed within the index screening year.
Indicators: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.

Indigenous: a person of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent who identifies as an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person and is accepted as such by the community with which he or she is associated.
Information: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.
International Classification of Diseases: WHO's internationally accepted classification of death and disease. The tenth revision (ICD-10) is currently in use.
Interval cancer - invasive (as defined for national reporting purposes by Kavanagh et al. (1999), with minor changes pending endorsement by the National Advisory Committee):

- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed after completion of a negative screening episode and before the next screening examination (within 24 months from the date of the previous screen).
- a case of invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed at early review or in the interval between assessment and early review, where the recommendation for early review is six months or more from the screening date.
- breast cancer diagnosed in a woman by BreastScreen Australia within 24 months of a negative screen (early rescreen) if the woman presents with a breast lump and/or clear or blood-stained nipple discharge in the breast in which the breast cancer was diagnosed; or
- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed between six and 24 months after a recommendation for assessment is made and a woman fails to attend assessment.

Invasive cancer: a tumour whose cells have invaded healthy or normal tissue.
Lymph node: masses of lymphatic tissue, often bean-shaped, that produce lymphocytes and through which lymph filters. These are located throughout the body.
Mammogram: a radiographic depiction of the breast.
Metastasis: the process by which a disease is transferred from one part of the body to another-for example, via the lymphatic system or the bloodstream.

## Mortality: see Cancer death

New cancer case: a person who has a new cancer diagnosed for the first time. One person can have more than one cancer and therefore may be counted twice in incidence statistics if it is decided that the two cancers are not of the same origin. This decision is based on a series of principles set out in more detail in a publication by Jensen et al. (1991).

Next scheduled screening examination: 24 months after previous screen unless the woman is recommended for annual rescreening, when the next scheduled screening examination is 12 months.

Population estimates: official population numbers compiled by the Australian Bureau of Statistics at both state and territory and statistical local area levels by age and sex, as at 30

June each year. These estimates allow comparisons to be made between geographic areas of differing population sizes and age structures.
Prevalence: the number of instances of a specific disease or other condition in a given population at a designated time.
Recruitment: strategies that aim to promote participation of women in the BreastScreen Australia Program through direct contact with women in the target age group and education of health practitioners and the general public. Women are encouraged to attend every two years.
Rescreening: the next screening examination after the screening episode in the index screening year.
Risk factor: an attribute or exposure that is associated with an increased probability of a specified outcome, such as the occurrence of a disease. Risk factors are not necessarily the causes of disease.

Screening: the performance of tests on apparently well people in order to detect a medical condition at an earlier stage than would otherwise be the case. As a screening test is not intended to be diagnostic, so a person with a positive or suspicious result must be referred for diagnosis and treatment.

Screening episode: includes screening examination and assessment. Early review within 612 months of an initial screen is not considered part of the screening episode.
Screening round: the first screening round is a woman's first visit to a mammography screening service; a subsequent screening round means that she has been screened before. If she attends for the fourth screening round, she has been screened three times before.
Screening round (first): a woman's first visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service.
Screening round (subsequent): a woman's visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service when she has attended such a service before.
Sensitivity: the proportion of people with a disease who have a positive test result for the disease.

Significant difference: where rates are referred to as significantly different, or one rate is deemed significantly higher or lower than another, these differences are statistically significant. Rates are deemed statistically significantly different when their confidence intervals do not overlap, since their difference is greater than what could be explained by chance. See 'confidence intervals' in Appendix A for more information.
Symptom: any evidence of disease apparent to the patient. For the purposes of this report, symptoms refer to a self-reported breast lump and/ or blood-stained or watery nipple discharge.
Torres Strait Islander: a person of Torres Strait Islander descent who identifies as a Torres Strait Islander and is accepted as such by the community in which he or she lives.
Ultrasound: diagnostic method based on the reflection of ultrasonic sound waves generated through scanning of, in this case, the breast. The reflections are viewed on a computer screen or photograph and checked for variations in images.
Unit record file: observations containing person-specific records from health surveys and administrative databases that are unanalysed and not tabulated. This is the most basic form of data and cannot be accessed for general use without appropriate confidentiality measures being in existence.

Women-years at risk: all women screened in the index screening year who are resident in the state or territory in which they are screened who have not reported a personal history of breast cancer.
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[^0]:    (a) Performance objective of the BreastScreen Australia Program as set out in the National Accreditation Standards (NQMC unpublished). . Not applicable.
    Note: Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991
    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
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[^10]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^11]:    Source: BreastScreen Australia.

[^12]:    (a) All women aged 80 years or more in New South Wales were grouped, and for the purposes of this table they appear in the 80-84 age group.

[^13]:    Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^14]:    (a) All women aged 80 years or more in New South Wales were grouped, and for the purposes of this table they appear in the 80-84 age group.
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[^16]:    (a) All women aged 80 years or more in New South Wales were grouped, and for the purposes of this table they appear in the 80-84 age group.

[^17]:    Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
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[^19]:    Source: BreastScreen Australia.

[^20]:    (a) No women screened in 1997 in this group.

[^21]:    Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
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[^26]:    (a) No women screened in 1997 in this group.

[^27]:    Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

[^28]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

[^29]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.
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[^31]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

[^32]:    Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

[^33]:    Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

[^34]:    Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

[^35]:    Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 1991.

