Australia’s mothers and babies 2016—in brief

Appendixes A to D
Appendix A: About the National Perinatal Data Collection

The NPDC commenced in 1991 and collects national information on the pregnancy and childbirth of mothers, and the characteristics and outcomes of their babies. The NPDC supports a range of reports and products, including:

- *Australia’s mothers and babies* annual report
- *Indigenous mothers and their babies* reports
- other specialist reports, indicator-based reports and customised data requests.

Collection of perinatal data by states and territories

Perinatal data are collected after each birth, usually by midwives or other birth attendants from clinical and administrative records and information systems, including records of antenatal care, the care provided during labour, and the delivery and care provided after the birth. Each state and territory has its own form and/or electronic system for collecting data, which are forwarded to the relevant state and territory health department to form the state or territory perinatal data collection. See Appendix C for state and territory contact details and the most recent state and territory perinatal reports, which contain more detailed information about data collection in each jurisdiction. The Maternity Information Matrix summarises data items from Australian national and state and territory data collections relevant to maternal and perinatal health, and is available at <http://maternitymatrix.aihw.gov.au>.

Collation of national perinatal data

A standardised extract of electronic data from each state and territory collection is provided to the AIHW on an annual basis. Records received from states and territories are anonymous: that is, they do not include any names or addresses, but do include a unique set of identification numbers so that the source record can be identified. Data are checked for completeness, validity and logical errors before inclusion in the national collection.
Overview of maternal and perinatal data collections and national reporting outputs

- State/territory health department collections
  - PDC
- Maternal mortality and perinatal mortality review committee data
- National maternal mortality & perinatal mortality databases
- Models of Care collection (MaCCS) (future)
- Australia's mothers and babies
- Online data tables
- Perinatal dynamic data visualisations
- NCMI dynamic data visualisations
- Specialist reports
  - Indicator reporting
  - Customised data requests

DSS = Data set specification
MaCCS = Maternity Care Classification System
MIM = Maternity Information Matrix
NCMI = National Core Maternity Indicators
NMDS = National minimum data set
PDC = Perinatal data collection
Structure of the National Perinatal Data Collection

Data supplied for the NPDC consist of the Perinatal national minimum data set (Perinatal NMDS) and additional data items.

### Structure of the National Perinatal Data Collection

The Perinatal NMDS was first specified in 1997 and is an agreed data set for national reporting (COAG 2012). An NMDS is an agreed set of standardised data elements for mandatory supply by states and territories to support national reporting. Standardisation ensures that there is consistent meaning for data collected at different times or in different places. A list of the data items supplied for the NPDC from the Perinatal NMDS is at Appendix B.

Each state and territory collects more information than is specified in the Perinatal NMDS, and the AIHW requests some of these additional items. These data items are at different stages in the process of standardisation. Some items have had national data standards developed, but have not yet been included as data elements in the Perinatal NMDS because they could not be implemented immediately in all jurisdictions.

In contrast, there are other data items—for which there are, as yet, no common definitions or categories for collecting the data or which are not collected in all jurisdictions—that are also provided to inform the future development of nationally standardised data.

### Which births are counted?

This report presents information from the NPDC about births in Australia, including births in hospitals, in birth centres and in the community. Freebirths may be included in the NPDC if they are in scope of the data collection, and the mother or baby present to hospital following birth or the birth is registered with the Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages. However, this differs by state and territory. The Australian National health data dictionary defines a ‘live birth’ as the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a baby, of any gestation, that shows signs of life; and a ‘stillbirth’ is the complete expulsion or extraction of a baby, of...
at least 20 weeks’ gestation or weighing at least 400 grams at birth (the weight expected of a baby at 20 weeks’ gestational age), which shows no signs of life.

The Perinatal NMDS and the NPDC require that either the birthweight or the gestational age conditions are met for both live births and stillbirths. This means that the very small number of live births occurring before 20 weeks’ gestation and weighing less than 400 grams are not included in the NPDC, although they may have been included in jurisdictional perinatal data collections. Data for babies whose gestational age and birthweight were not recorded are also not included in the NPDC, but may have been included in jurisdictional perinatal collections. Live births and stillbirths may include termination of pregnancy after 20 weeks. Stillbirths can include fetus papyraceous and fetus compressus (products of conception recognisable as a deceased fetus). In Victoria and Western Australia, data were included for both live births and stillbirths of at least 20 weeks’ gestation or, if gestation was unknown, the birthweight was at least 400 grams. South Australian data may not include all terminations of pregnancy for psychosocial reasons after 20 weeks’ gestation where birthweight was not recorded.

Care is needed when comparing Australian birth statistics with those from other countries that have different gestational age or other criteria for defining live births and stillbirths. In many other countries, pregnancies must continue to 22, 24 or even 28 completed weeks of gestational age for a fetal death to be counted as a stillbirth. The inclusion in Australia of more births at lower gestations will affect the distributions of several key baby outcomes—in particular, rates of perinatal mortality, low birthweight, low Apgar scores (a measure of a baby’s wellbeing at birth) and admission to a special care nursery or neonatal intensive care unit. For live births, the Perinatal NMDS and NPDC definition is more restrictive than the World Health Organization (WHO) definition that specifies a live birth as a baby born showing signs of life irrespective of gestation (WHO 1992).

National Perinatal Data Development Committee

The National Perinatal Data Development Committee has a key role in improving data quality. The committee comprises representatives from each state and territory health authority and the AIHW, with temporary members invited as their expertise is required. The committee works in consultation with clinical reference groups. It improves data provision, revises existing Perinatal NMDS items, develops existing perinatal data items in METeOR (AIHW’s Metadata Online Registry) and contributes to the development of new perinatal data items.
## Appendix B: Perinatal national minimum data set items

### Table B1: Perinatal NMDS 2014–2018 data items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data element name</th>
<th>METeOR identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—anaesthesia administered indicator, yes/no code N</td>
<td>495466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—analgesia administered indicator, yes/no code N</td>
<td>495381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—birth method, code N</td>
<td>295349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—birth plurality, code N</td>
<td>482409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—birth presentation, code N</td>
<td>299992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—labour onset type, code N</td>
<td>496590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—setting of birth (actual), code N</td>
<td>269937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—state/territory of birth, code N</td>
<td>270151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—type of anaesthesia administered, code N[N]</td>
<td>422383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth event—type of analgesia administered, code N[N]</td>
<td>471867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth—Apgar score (at 5 minutes), code NN</td>
<td>289360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth—birth order, code N</td>
<td>269992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth—birth status, code N</td>
<td>269949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth—birth weight, total grams NNNN</td>
<td>269938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episode of admitted patient care—separation date, DDMMYYYY</td>
<td>270025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment—organisation identifier (Australian), NN[X][NNNNNN]</td>
<td>269973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (mother)—postpartum perineal status, code N[N]</td>
<td>423659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (pregnant)—number of cigarettes smoked (per day after 20 weeks of pregnancy), number N[NN]</td>
<td>365445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (pregnant)—tobacco smoking indicator (after 20 weeks of pregnancy), yes/no code N</td>
<td>365417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (pregnant)—tobacco smoking indicator (first 20 weeks of pregnancy), yes/no code N</td>
<td>365404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female—caesarean section at most recent previous birth indicator, code N</td>
<td>422187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (pregnant)—number of antenatal care visits, total N[N]</td>
<td>423828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female—parity, total pregnancies N[N]</td>
<td>501710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—area of usual residence, statistical area level 2 (SA2) code (ASGS 2011) N(9)</td>
<td>469909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—country of birth, code (SACC 2011) NNNN</td>
<td>459973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—date of birth, DDMMYYYY</td>
<td>287007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—Indigenous status, code N</td>
<td>602543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—person identifier, Xxxxxx[X(14)]</td>
<td>290046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person—sex, code N</td>
<td>287316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy—estimated duration (at the first visit for antenatal care), completed weeks N[N]</td>
<td>379597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product of conception—gestational age, completed weeks N[N]</td>
<td>298105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Implementation start date 1 July 2014; implementation end date 30 July 2018. Source: <http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemId/517456>.*
Appendix C: State and territory perinatal data collections

New South Wales

Mr Tim Harrold
Principal Analyst, Health Surveillance
Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence
NSW Ministry of Health
LMB 961
North Sydney NSW 2059
Phone: (02) 9391 9142
Fax: (02) 9391 9232
Email: hsadmin@moh.health.nsw.gov.au

Latest report:

Victoria

Dr Shirin Anil
Acting Manager
Consultative Councils Unit
Safer Care Victoria
GPO Box 4003
Melbourne Vic 3001
Phone: (03) 9906 2697
Fax: (03) 9096 2700
Email: perinatal.data@health.vic.gov.au

Latest report:
Queensland
Ms Sue Cornes
Executive Director
Statistical Services Branch
Strategy, Policy and Planning Division
Queensland Health
Queensland Government
GPO Box 48
Brisbane Qld 4001
Phone: (07) 3708 5627
Email: sue.cornes@health.qld.gov.au

Latest report:

Western Australia
Ms Maureen Hutchinson
Manager
Maternal and Child Health Unit
Data Collections Directorate
Information Data & Standards
Purchasing & System Performance Division
Department of Health, Western Australia
189 Royal Street
East Perth WA 6004
Phone: (08) 9222 2417
Fax: (08) 9222 4408
Email: maureen.hutchinson@health.wa.gov.au

Latest reports:
Ballestas T (on behalf of the Perinatal and Infant Mortality Committee of Western Australia) 2017. The 15th report of the Perinatal and Infant Mortality Committee of Western Australia, 2011–2013. Perth: Department of Health, Western Australia.


South Australia

Dr Katina D’Onise
Director
Prevention and Population Health Branch
SA Health
PO Box 6, Rundle Mall
Adelaide SA 5000
Phone: (08) 8226 6031
Fax: (08) 8226 6672
Email: pregnancy.stats@health.sa.gov.au

Latest reports:

Tasmania

Mr Peter Mansfield
Team Leader
Health Information Unit
Department of Health and Human Services
Level 2, 22 Elizabeth Street
Hobart Tas 7000
Phone: (03) 6166 1012
Fax: (03) 6233 7167
Email: peter.mansfield@dhhs.tas.gov.au
Website: <www.dhhs.tas.gov.au>.

Latest report:
**Australian Capital Territory**

Dr Hai Phung  
Senior Manager  
Epidemiology Section, Health Improvement Branch  
ACT Health  
GPO Box 825  
Canberra ACT 2601  
Phone: (02) 6205 2609  
Fax: (02) 6244 4138  
Email: perinataldata@act.gov.au  

**Latest report:**


**Northern Territory**

Ms Leanne O’Neil  
Perinatal Business Analyst  
Health Gains Planning Branch  
Department of Health  
PO Box 40596  
Casuarina NT 0811  
Phone: (08) 8922 7673  
Email: leanne.o’neil@nt.gov.au  
Website: <www.health.nt.gov.au>.

**Latest report:**

Appendix D: Data quality, methods and interpretation

Data quality, presentation and interpretation issues

Detailed information on completeness, accuracy and other aspects of data quality for the National Perinatal Data Collection (NPDC) is in the data quality statement as a separate download at <http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemId/681798>.

This report presents perinatal data that can largely be compared with data in Australia’s mothers and babies 2015—in brief (AIHW 2017). Tabulated data in this report are based on births in each state and territory in 2016 that meet the criteria for inclusion in the Perinatal national minimum data set (NMDS). Due to data editing, subsequent updates of state and territory databases, and differences in scope for inclusion, the numbers may differ slightly from those in reports published by the states and territories.

Unless otherwise stated, the data in this report and supplementary tables relate to the state or territory where births occurred in 2016 rather than to the state or territory of usual residence of the mother. Where data are not available from all states and territories in the required format or data have not been published for other reasons, this is indicated in text and in the footnotes to tables and figures. Further details on national data availability are also available in Table D2.

Due to rounding, percentage totals may not add to 100 and subtotals may not sum to the percentages for the categories.

Terminology

The terms ‘mothers’ or ‘women who gave birth’ have been used when referring to maternal characteristics, whereas ‘births’ refers to babies.

Quality of data for reporting Indigenous status

Indigenous status is a measure of whether a person identifies as being of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander origin. Indigenous status of the mother has been a mandatory data item for the Perinatal NMDS since its inception in 1997. Indigenous status of the baby was also added to the NMDS for collection for the first time in the 2012–13 reference year (from 1 July 2012).

For 2016, data on the baby’s Indigenous status was provided by all states and territories. This item, when used in conjunction with the mother’s Indigenous status, is a better baseline measure of health for all Indigenous children. However, the outcomes of babies of Indigenous mothers remain a key data resource for assessing antenatal care in pregnancy and other interventions before or during pregnancy, aimed at improving the health of mothers and babies.

Unless otherwise stated, in the Australia’s mother and babies report, data for babies are based on the Indigenous status of the mother.

Table D1 shows the relationship between Indigenous status of the mother and Indigenous status of the baby in 2016. The vast majority of all babies (97.3%) had the same Indigenous status as their mother while only a small proportion had a different Indigenous status.
recorded (2.7%). However, of the 16,479 babies reported as Indigenous in the NPDC in 2016 (5.2% of all babies), almost one-quarter (24.1%) were born to non-Indigenous mothers.

### Table D1: Births, by Indigenous status of the baby and mother, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indigenous status of the mother</th>
<th>Indigenous status of the baby</th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
<th>Not stated</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>12,497</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>13,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.0%)</td>
<td>(0.4%)</td>
<td>(0.0%)</td>
<td>(4.4%)</td>
<td>13,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Indigenous</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>3,964</td>
<td>293,242</td>
<td>2,782</td>
<td>299,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.3%)</td>
<td>(93.1%)</td>
<td>(0.9%)</td>
<td>(95.3%)</td>
<td>299,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0%)</td>
<td>(0.1%)</td>
<td>(0.2%)</td>
<td>(0.3%)</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>16,479</td>
<td>294,828</td>
<td>3,507</td>
<td>314,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.2%)</td>
<td>(93.7%)</td>
<td>(1.1%)</td>
<td>(100.0%)</td>
<td>314,814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Availability of national data

Some topics in this report may exclude data for selected states and territories for reasons including:

- changes in definitions or data collection methods in a state and territory that mean the data item is not comparable over time (trend analyses only)
- data are not currently collected by a state and territory, or are not collected in a format that is comparable with the specifications for the NPDC
- data are not currently supplied by a state and territory for the NPDC (data items that are not part of the Perinatal NMDS are not mandatory for provision to the NPDC).

These exclusions are summarised in Table D2, and are also noted throughout the report where applicable. These exclusions apply to both the numerator and denominator for rate calculations, and the data presented are not representative of the jurisdictions excluded.
Table D2: Summary of state and territory exclusions in 2016, by topic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of antenatal visits</td>
<td>Victoria and Western Australia (excluded from trend analysis only due to data not being available for all years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal visit in the first trimester</td>
<td>New South Wales (excluded from trend analysis only due to a change to data collection practices for this item introduced in 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension and diabetes(a)</td>
<td>Victoria (data not currently available according to specifications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal stay</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided on mother’s mode of separation(a) from the birth hospital which is required for analysis of this topic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of labour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of induction(a)</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for induction(a)</td>
<td>New South Wales (data not currently available according to specifications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria (data not provided as data collection methods do not distinguish between main and additional reasons for induction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Australia (data not currently available according to specifications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia (data available for 6 months from 1 July 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation of labour(a)</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main reason for caesarean section(a)</td>
<td>Victoria (data not provided as data collection methods do not distinguish between main and additional reasons for caesarean section)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Australia (data not currently available according to specifications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitation(a)</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital births and length of stay</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided on baby’s mode of separation(a) from the birth hospital which is required for analysis of this topic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to special care nursery/ neonatal intensive care unit(a)</td>
<td>Western Australia (data not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of perinatal death(a)</td>
<td>New South Wales (data not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia (high proportion of missing data at the time of collection cut-off)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) These data items are not currently part of the Perinatal NMDS and are not mandated for provision to the NPDC.

Australian Capital Territory births include mothers resident in New South Wales

The Australian Capital Territory data contain a relatively high proportion of New South Wales residents who gave birth in the Australian Capital Territory. The proportion of mothers who gave birth in the Australian Capital Territory who were residents elsewhere was 14.5% in 2016.

When interpreting the data, it is important to note that these births to non-residents may include a disproportionate number of high-risk and multi-fetal pregnancies associated with poorer perinatal outcomes. This is because women with high risk pregnancies may be more likely to be transferred from smaller centres in New South Wales (that do not have the facilities to manage such births safely) to the Australian Capital Territory to give birth.

Therefore, percentages or rates such as those for pre-term births and perinatal deaths may be inflated for births that occur in the Australian Capital Territory. Reporting by state or territory of usual residence of the mother helps to address this issue.
Methods

Crude rates

A crude rate is defined as the number of events over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population exposed to the event.

Age-specific rates

An age-specific rate is defined as the number of events for a specified age group over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population exposed to the event in that age group.

Age-standardised rates

Age-standardised rates enable comparisons to be made between populations that have different age structures. Direct standardisation, in which the age-specific rates are multiplied by a constant population, was used in this report. This effectively removes the influence of the age structure on the summary rate. The report states where age-standardised rates have been used.

All age-standardised rates in this report have used the June 2001 Australian female estimated resident population aged 15–44 years as the standard population:

\[ SR = \frac{\sum (r_i P_i)}{\sum P_i} \]

where:

- \( SCR \) is the age-standardised rate for the population being studied
- \( r_i \) is the age-group specific rate for age group \( i \) in the population being studied
- \( P_i \) is the population of age group \( i \) in the standard population.

Rate ratio

Rate ratios are calculated by dividing the proportion of the study population (for example, Indigenous Australians) with a particular characteristic by the proportion of the standard population (for example, non-Indigenous Australians) with the same characteristic.

A rate ratio of 1 indicates that the prevalence of the characteristic is the same in the study and standard populations. Rate ratios of greater than 1 indicate higher prevalence in the study population; rate ratios of less than 1 indicate higher prevalence in the standard population.

Time trends

Linear regression has been used to determine changes in the observed rates over specified time periods. Regression modelling analyses the series of rates jointly rather than individually, thus accounting for volatility in observed rates over time and resulting in narrower confidence intervals around the set of predicted values than if the confidence limits were calculated around the rates separately.
Annual change

The average annual change (slope estimate) is calculated using the ordinary least squares method of linear regression. The method calculates a straight line that best fits the data (the fitted linear regression line) and returns an equation that best describes the line. The form of the straight-line equation is:

\[ Y = a + bX \]

where:

- \( b \) is the average annual change or 'slope' over the period
- \( X \) is the independent or predictor variable (in the case of time trend analysis, this is the year)
- \( a \) is the y-intercept
- \( Y \) is the predicted value of the rate based on the fitted linear regression line.

Per cent change

Per cent change is determined by multiplying the average annual change (slope estimate) over the period by the number of data points less 1. This is then divided by the \( Y \) value calculated for the first year in the series (based on the fitted linear regression line) and multiplied by 100.

Statistical significance of trend data

For trend analyses, the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the standard error of the slope estimate (average annual change) were used to determine whether the apparent increases or decreases in the data are statistically significant at the \( p < 0.05 \) level. The formula used to calculate the CIs for the standard error of the slope estimate is:

\[ 95\% \, CI(x) = x \pm 1.96 \times SE(x) \]

where \( x \) is the average annual change (slope estimate). If the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals do not include zero, then it can be concluded that there is statistical evidence of an increasing or decreasing trend in the data over the study period.

Significant changes are denoted with a '*' against the per cent change statistics included in relevant tables.

Geography

Geographic data are based on the usual residence of the mother. In 2016, the usual residence of the mother is based on Statistical Area Level 2 (SA2) of the Australian Bureau of Statistics Australian Statistical Geography Standard Edition 2011 for all states and territories.

Remoteness

This report uses the Australian Statistical Geography Standard Remoteness Structure which groups geographic areas into six classes of Remoteness Area based on their relative access to services using the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia.

Socioeconomic status

The Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) are measures of socioeconomic status (SES) that summarise a range of socioeconomic variables associated with disadvantage. Socioeconomic disadvantage is typically associated with low income, high unemployment and low levels of education.

The SEIFA index used in this report is the 2011 SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (IRSD) developed by the Australian Bureau of Statistics for use at Statistical Area Level 2.

Since the IRSD summarises only variables that indicate disadvantage, a low score indicates that an area has many low-income families, many people with little training and many people working in unskilled occupations; hence, this area may be considered disadvantaged relative to other areas. A high score implies that the area has few families with low incomes and few people with little or no training and working in unskilled occupations. These areas with high index scores may be considered less disadvantaged relative to other areas. It is important to understand that a high score reflects a relative lack of disadvantage rather than advantage and that the IRSD relates to the average disadvantage of all people living in a geographic area and cannot be presumed to apply to all individuals living within the area.

Population-based Australian cut-offs for SEIFA quintiles have been used in this report. This method ranks the SEIFA scores for a particular geography (for example, Statistical Area Level 2) from lowest to highest, and the geographical areas are divided into 5 groups, such that approximately 20% of the population are in each group.

The most disadvantaged group is referred to as the Lowest socioeconomic status (SES) areas and the least disadvantaged group is referred to as the Highest SES areas.

See the Census of Population and Housing: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), Australia, 2011 (ABS 2013b) for further information on SEIFA.

Primary Health Network

Primary Health Networks (PHNs) have been established by the Department of Health to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of medical services and improve the coordination of care for patients.

Perinatal data at Statistical Area Level 2 (SA2) were linked to 2016 PHNs using Australian Bureau of Statistics correspondence files.

The relevant proportion for each PHN was then calculated, and categories were developed based on the median, interquartile ranges and 10th and 90th percentiles for the proportions at the PHN level. The categories were then adjusted to account for natural breaks in the distribution of the data and for easier interpretation (for example, a range with a maximum of 52.1% of mothers receiving antenatal care in the first trimester would be revised to a maximum of 50%). PHNs were allocated to categories based on unrounded proportions.

Statistical Area Level 3

Perinatal data at Statistical Area Level 2 (SA2) were linked to Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) using Australian Bureau of Statistics correspondence files.

Small numbers

Cell values of less than 5 in the supplementary tables have not been published, in line with guidelines for protecting the privacy of individuals. Exceptions to this are small numbers in ‘Other’ and ‘Not stated’ categories. The cell with small numbers and at least one other cell in
the same row and column are suppressed to prevent back calculation. Where ‘<5’ (value of less than 5) or ‘n.p.’ (not published) has been used to protect confidentiality, the suppressed numbers are included in the totals.

**Australian national birthweight percentiles by gestational age**

Birthweight percentiles were calculated from data on all liveborn singleton babies born in Australia between 2004 and 2013 with a gestational age of 20–44 weeks.

Records with indeterminate sex were excluded from analysis. Records with missing or not stated data for sex, birthweight or gestational age were also excluded. Birthweight outliers were calculated and excluded using a method based on Tukey’s box and whisker plots.

Gestational age is reported in completed weeks of gestation, calculated from the first day of the last menstrual period (LMP) or estimated by prenatal and/or postnatal assessment if the LMP date was missing. Birthweight is reported to the nearest 5 grams.

Small for gestational age is defined as babies with birthweight below the 10th percentile according to the national birthweight percentiles for 2004 to 2013.
Robson 10 group classification system

The Robson 10 group classification system (Robson classification) categorises women who gave birth into 10 mutually exclusive groups (Table D3). In addition, groups 2 and 4 can be further broken down into subgroups. These subgroups are used to differentiate between women who were induced and who had a caesarean section before labour onset.

Table D3: Robson 10 group classification system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>First-time mother, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, spontaneous labour (not induced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>First-time mother, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, induced labour or caesarean section before labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>First-time mother, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, induced labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>First-time mother, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, caesarean section before labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth without a previous caesarean scar, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, spontaneous labour (not induced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth without a previous caesarean scar, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, induced labour or caesarean section before labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth without a previous caesarean scar, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, induced labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth without a previous caesarean scar, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, caesarean section before labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth with a previous caesarean scar, singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, induced labour or caesarean section before labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>First-time mother, singleton pregnancy, baby in breech (feet first) presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mother has previously given birth with current singleton baby in breech (feet first) presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Multiple pregnancy, including women with previous caesarean scars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>All women with a singleton pregnancy, baby in transverse (side on) or oblique lie, including women with previous caesarean scars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>All women with a singleton pregnancy, baby in cephalic (head first) presentation, ≤36 weeks gestation, including women with previous caesarean scars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Robson classification groups and subgroups were calculated from data on all women who gave birth in Australia for 2016. Data elements used for calculation of the groups and subgroups were parity, previous caesarean sections, onset of labour, birth plurality, gestational age, presentation at birth and method of birth.

Records for whom one or more of the following variables were not stated: parity, previous caesarean sections, onset of labour, birth plurality, gestational age and presentation at birth; were grouped into the ‘Not applicable’ category. The denominator of ‘Number of women who gave birth’ includes women with a ‘not stated’ method of birth.

The figure describes the process of categorising all women who gave birth into the 10 groups and the additional subgroups.
### Process flow of classification using the Robson 10 group classification system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plurality</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Gestational age</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Previous caesarean</th>
<th>Labour onset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple = <strong>Group 8</strong></td>
<td>Other = <strong>Group 9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton</td>
<td>Breech</td>
<td>≤36 weeks = <strong>Group 10</strong></td>
<td>Primiparous = <strong>Group 6</strong></td>
<td>Spontaneous = <strong>Group 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertex</td>
<td>≥37 weeks</td>
<td>Multiparous = <strong>Group 7</strong></td>
<td>Induced = <strong>Group 2a</strong></td>
<td>Caesarean section = <strong>Group 2b</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes = <strong>Group 5</strong></td>
<td>Spontaneous = <strong>Group 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Induced = <strong>Group 4a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caesarean section = <strong>Group 4b</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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