16 SAND abstracts and research tools

Since BEACH began in April 1998, a section on the bottom of each encounter form has been used to investigate aspects of patient health or health care delivery not covered by general practice consultation-based information. These additional substudies are referred to as SAND (Supplementary Analysis of Nominated Data). The SAND methods are described in Section 2.5. All substudies have been approved by the AIHW Ethics Committee (on behalf of the AIHW and the University of Sydney).

The AGPSCC and participating stakeholders of the BEACH program select topics for investigation in each of the SAND studies. In each BEACH year up to 20 substudies can be conducted in addition to the study of patient risk behaviours (see Chapter 15). Topics are often repeated to increase the size of the sample and its statistical power.

Data from the SAND substudies conducted in the first year of BEACH (1998–99) were published in *Measures of health and health care delivery in general practice in Australia*.⁹⁸ Abstracts of results and research tools for the SAND studies undertaken in 1999–2006 were published in *Patient-based substudies from BEACH: abstracts and research tools* 1999–2006 in July 2007.¹² Abstracts and research tools for substudies conducted in 2006–07 that were not included in that report are presented in this chapter. The subjects covered in the abstracts from 2006–07 BEACH year are listed in Table 16.1 with the sample size for each topic.

Abstracts of results from all SAND studies are also available from the FMRC's website <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

Abstract number	Subject	Number of respondents	Number of GPs
95	Cultural background of patients attending general practice ^(a)	6,035	202
96	Inhaled corticosteroid use for asthma management ^(a)	5,911	201
97	Statin medication use among high CHD risk patients attending general practice ^(a)	2,707	94
98	Management of hypertension and angina in general practice patients ^(a)	2,919	98
99	Lipid management in patients with high-risk conditions ^(a)	5,372	183
100	Gastrointestinal symptoms in patients attending general practice ^(a)	2,801	97
101	Types of medicine use and patient use of medicines list ^(a)	5,528	187
102	Alzheimer's disease or dementia in patients attending general practice ^(a)	2,863	99
103	Cardiovascular risk in patients attending general practice ^(a)	2,618	99
104	Asthma management and medication use among patients attending general practice ^(a)	2,862	97
105	Measurement of severity of illness in general practice	4,982	166
106	Weight loss attempts and methods	2,164	76
107	Type 2 diabetes and dyslipidaemia	2,331	89
108	Type 2 diabetes among patients attending general practice	2,832	96
109	Secondary prevention of heart attack and stroke	2,471	84
110	Erectile dysfunction	1,930	82

Table 16.1: SAND abstracts for 2006-07 and sample size for each

(a) The abstract of results and research tool for this study was published in *Patient-based substudies from BEACH: abstracts and research tools* 1999–2006 and is therefore not included in this chapter.

SAND abstract 105: Measurement of severity of illness in general practice

Organisation supporting this study: Australian General Practice Statistics and Classification Centre.

Issues: Severity of illness of each problem managed at the general practice encounter; length of the consultation and the GP consultation rate in the previous year; the relationship between the total severity score, consultation length and consultation rate.

Sample: 4,982 encounters from 166 GPs; data collection period: 24/10/2006 – 15/01/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. The Duke University Severity of Illness (DUSOI) scale was used to assess the severity of each problem managed at the encounter and to calculate a total score for each encounter.¹

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority (58.5%) of patients being female.

The mean total DUSOI score was 5.2 (95% CI: 5.0–5.5) based on 4,187 scored encounters. Encounters with patients aged 45 years and over had a significantly higher mean total DUSOI score than those aged less than 45. There was a significant positive linear relationship between total DUSOI score and number of GP visits reported in the previous 12 months (p < 0.001). Patients reporting 11 or more GP visits had the highest mean total score of 6.4, and those reporting nil GP visits had the lowest total mean score of 3.6. The number of visits increased by 0.6 for every one point increase in total DUSOI score for the encounter

There was a significant positive linear relationship between mean total DUSOI score and the length of consultation, with the consultation length increasing by 0.55 of a minute for each one unit increase in DUSOI (p = <0.001). The DUSOI range was 4.0 for consultations of less than 5 minutes to 7.3 for consultations of more than 25 minutes.

For 4,187 respondents, the mean total DUSOI score of encounters with at least one chronic problem managed was 6.7 (95% CI: 6.3–7.1), significantly higher than encounters with no chronic problems (4.1, 95% CI: 3.9–4.2). Linear regression demonstrated a significant positive linear relationship between total DUSOI score and the number of chronic problems managed (p < 0.001). The total DUSOI increased by 2.4 points for each chronic problem managed.

The DUSOI from the 6,133 scored problems had a mean score of 3.7 (95% CI: 3.6–3.9). Significantly higher DUSOI scores were recorded for back complaint (5.1, 95% CI: 4.7–5.4), depression (4.9, 95% CI: 4.6–5.2), acute stress reaction (4.9, 95% CI: 4.4–5.4), osteoarthritis (4.8, 95% CI: 4.5–5.1), anxiety (4.6, 95% CI: 4.2–4.9) and fracture (4.5, 95% CI: 3.9–5.1).

Significantly lower DUSOI scores were recorded for acute upper respiratory infection (2.9, 95% CI: 2.7–3.2), hypertension (2.6, 95% CI: 2.4–2.9), solar keratosis/sunburn (2.4, 95% CI: 2.1–2.8), lipid disorder (2.3, 95% CI: 2.0–2.6) and pregnancy (1.3, 95% CI: 0.8–1.8).

1 Parkerson GR, Jr, Broadhead WE, Tse CK 1993. The Duke Severity of Illness Checklist (DUSOI) for measurement of severity and comorbidity. J Clin Epidemiol 46:379–93.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT SEVERITY OF ILLNESS** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.



SAND abstract 106: Weight loss attempts and methods

Organisation supporting this study: Abbott Australasia.

Issues: BMI of child and adult general practice patients (calculated separately); prevalence of selected, related morbidities: hypertension, other cardiovascular disease, diabetes type 2 and depression; proportion taking selected medication groups: anti-hypertensives, statins/fibrates, antidepressants and antipsychotics; proportion who had tried to lose weight in past 12 months and methods used.

Sample: 2,164 encounters with patients aged 2 years or more from 76 GPs. Data collection period: 24/10/2006 – 27/11/2006.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. An international standard was employed to calculate BMI cut-off levels in children.¹ A card listing weight loss methods was provided to patients.

Summary of results

The age and sex distributions were similar to all 2004–05 BEACH encounters with patients aged 2 years and over. Female patients accounted for 62.3% of the sample. Patients were divided into children (2–17 years) and adults (18 years and over) because the BMI cut-off levels for children differ from those used for adults. Of 212 child patients, 20.8% were overweight and 13.2% were obese. Of 1,862 adult patients, 34.4% were overweight and a further 22.5% were obese. Combining adult and child general practice patients, over half (54.6%; 95% CI: 51.4–57.7) were defined as overweight or obese.

Only six child patients had any of the listed comorbidities. Of 1,907 adult patients, 54.8% had at least one of the comorbidities. At least one of the comorbidities was indicated for a significantly greater proportion of overweight (58.0%; 95% CI: 53.1–62.9) and obese adult patients (66.2%; 95% CI: 60.8–71.6) than patients of normal weight (45.4%; 95% CI: 40.4–50.3).

Only one child was taking any of the medications. Of 1,893 adult respondents, 48.4% were taking at least one. The proportion of adult patients taking at least one medication rose significantly as weight increased, from 38.0% (95% CI: 33.3–42.8) of normal weight to 50.7% (95% CI: 46.2–55.3) of overweight and 61.5% (95% CI: 56.1–67.0) of obese patients.

Of 223 child respondents, only nine had attempted weight loss in the previous 12 months. Of 1,927 adult patients, 35.8% had made at least one attempt to lose weight in the previous 12 months. The proportion of adult patients attempting weight loss rose significantly by weight category, with 42.6% (95% CI: 36.6–48.5) of overweight and 67.6% (95% CI: 62.1–73.2) of obese patients attempting weight loss at least once during the previous 12 months. Female patients were significantly more likely to have attempted weight loss (41.8%; 95% CI: 37.5–46.1) than male patients (25.3%; 95% CI: 21.1–29.4). The majority (66.8%) of 689 adult respondents indicated exercise among weight loss methods tried, 38.6% had used a self-structured reducing diet, 30.8% indicated GP advice, 26.9% had used meal plans and 23.1% had used a weight loss program. Over-the-counter medications were indicated by 9.7% of these patients, specialist/dietitian advice by 8.6% and prescribed medications by 6.1% of patients.

¹ Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC et al. 2000. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide; international survey. BMJ 320 (7244):1240–3.

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

Weight loss attempts

Morbidity (tick all that apply)

Please use the tick boxes

diagnosed with any of the

to advise whether the

listed conditions.

patient has ever been

How often in the past 12 months has this

replacement programs, exercise programs,

advice with the objective of losing weight.

ioining organisations, or seeking specific

Medications

medications.

Please use the tick

boxes to advise whether

the patient is currently

taking any of the listed

(tick all that apply)

patient attempted to lose weight? This

includes commencing new diets, meal

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT WEIGHT LOSS ATTEMPTS and METHODS**. *You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.*

INSTRUCTIONS

Patient height &

What is the patient's

What is their weight

(unclothed)?

height (without shoes)?

(You are **NOT REQUIRED**

to weigh or measure the

patient, but if the patient

is unsure, you may either

do so or take information

from the medical

records.)

weight

<u>These questions are for</u> <u>ALL PATIENTS</u>

Weight loss methods (tick as many as apply)

Please tick the box beside any **weight loss methods** the patient has tried in the past 12 months in an attempt to lose weight.

Tick as many boxes as apply.

* Weight loss programs e.g. Jenny Craig, Weight Watchers, Gutbusters, Gloria Marshall etc.

* Meal Plans e.g. Lite N Easy, Easy Slim, Nu-Shape etc.

* Over-the-counter (OTC) Products available from pharmacies, supermarkets, health food stores etc, e.g.Xenical, Slimfast, Optifast, Cenovis NutriPlan, Fat Blaster, Trim It, Opti Slim, Sure Slim, Exo Fat, Chitosan etc. (NB. Xenical S3 since 1st May 2004).

* **Other reducing diet** e.g. commencing a structured diet plan other than those listed above (self-structured).

* **Exercise program** e.g. commencing an exercise program not usually undertaken such as walking, joining a gym, jogging, or participating in some other physical activity for the purpose of losing weight.

* **Specific advice sought from the GP** to help with weight loss or acting on advice offered by the GP.

* **Prescribed medication** e.g. Reductil, Duromine, Tenuate etc prescibed for weight loss.

* Specific advice sought from a Specialist or Dietitian for the purpose of losing weight.

* Any other method not listed e.g. seeking advice from a pharmacist, herbalist etc, for the purpose of losing weight.

Prescribed medications

has ever used a prescribed

Please advise whether the patient

medication (e.g. Duromine, Reductil. Tenuate) for weight loss? (NB. Prior to 1st May 2004 Xenical (orlistat) was a prescribed medicaton - classified as S3 since. If this medication was used prior to 1st May 2004 please count it as a prescribed medication). If a prescribed medication has **never** been required, please tick the 'No never required' option and end the questions here. For other responses please continue on to the last question. Prescribed medication If a prescribed medication has never been tried, or use was intermittent or discontinued. please advise the three most important reasons. Write the number 1 beside the most important reason. 2 beside the second reason, and 3 beside the third (i.e.rank the top 3 reasons).

	*	\checkmark	\checkmark	\vee		\mathbf{v}	\vee	\checkmark
I	Ask the patient their	Has the patient ever	Is the patient	Ask the patient	In the	Weight loss programs	Have you <u>ever</u> used a	Reasons for non / interrupted / discontinued
		been diagnosed with?	currently taking?	In the past 12	past 12	□ Meal Plans	prescribed medication	use of a prescribed medication for weight
	Height:	Hypertension	□ Anti-hypertensives	months how often	months	OTC products (pharmacy/retail)	to lose weight?	loss are: (please rank the top 3 reasons
		Other cardiovascular	□ Stating / fibrates	have you attempted	which	□ Other reducing diet	□ Yes - intermittently	eduice from health professional
	CIII	disease		to lose weight?	loss	Exercise program	Yes - continuously	not suitable or contra-indicated
	Woight	Diabetes T2	Anti-depressants	🗖 Never	methods	GP advice	Yes - but discontinued	not subject of confident indicated
	weight.	Depression / mood	Anti-psychotics	D Once	have you	Prescribed medication	use	unsatisfactory outcome
	kg	disorder	□ None of the	\Box 2-4 times	tried?	Specialist/dietitian advice	□ No - never required	side effects
	BL87C	\Box None of the above	above	5 or more times	□ None	Other	□ No - other reason	satisfactory weight loss achieved

Weight loss methods

Please tick the box beside any **weight loss methods** the patient has tried in the past 3 years in an attempt to lose weight.

Tick as many boxes as apply.

* Weight loss programs e.g. Jenny Craig, Weight Watchers, Gutbusters, Gloria Marshall etc.

* Meal Plans e.g. Lite N Easy, Easy Slim, Nu-Shape etc.

* Over-the-counter (OTC) Products available from pharmacies, supermarkets, health food stores etc, e.g.Xenical, Slimfast, Optifast, Cenovis, NutriPlan, Fat Blaster, Trim It, Opti Slim, Sure Slim, Exo Fat, Chitosan etc. (NB. Xenical S3 since 1st May 2004).

* Other reducing diet e.g. commencing a structured diet plan other than those listed above (self-structured).

* Exercise program e.g. commencing an exercise program not usually undertaken such as walking, joining a gym, jogging, or participating in some other physical activity for the purpose of losing weight.

* Specific advice sought from the GP to help with weight loss or acting on advice offered by the GP.

* **Prescribed medication** e.g. Reductil, Duromine, Tenuate etc prescibed for weight loss.

* Specific advice sought from a Specialist or Dietitian for the purpose of losing weight.

* Any other method not listed e.g. seeking advice from a pharmacist, herbalist etc, for the purpose of losing weight.

SAND abstract 107: Type 2 diabetes and dyslipidaemia

Organisation supporting this study: Merck, Sharp and Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd.

Issues: The prevalence of type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia and related morbidities among general practice patients; comorbidities and smoking status of patients with type 2 diabetes and/or dyslipidaemia; HbA1c and cholesterol levels of these patients; current management of blood glucose.

Sample: 2,331 patient encounters with 89 GPs. Data period: 28/11/2006 - 15/01/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

Summary of results

The age and sex distributions were similar to all 2005–06 BEACH encounters. Of the 2,331 respondents, 204 (8.8%; 95% CI: 7.1–10.4) had diagnosed diabetes, and 388 (16.7%; 95% CI: 14.2–19.1) had diagnosed dyslipidaemia. There were 478 respondents (20.5%; 95% CI: 18.1–22.9) who had diagnosed diabetes and/or dyslipidaemia.

Of the 2,331 patients, 569 (24.4%) had hypertension, 51 (2.2%) had congestive heart failure, 126 (5.4%) had coronary heart disease, and 42 (1.8%) had moderate or severe renal insufficiency. Of the 478 patients with diagnosed diabetes and/or dyslipidaemia, 56.9% had at least one of these conditions as a comorbidity: 52.7% had diagnosed hypertension, 4.6% had congestive heart failure, 14.4% had coronary heart disease and 4.0% had moderate/severe renal insufficiency. Of 437 respondents with diabetes and/or dyslipidaemia, 56 (12.8%) were current smokers and 25 of these smokers also had at least one comorbidity.

Of patients with type 2 diabetes and/or dyslipidaemia for whom HbA1c levels were known (n = 206), 49.5% had a level of <= 7 and 50.5% had a HbA1c level > 7. Of 459 patients for whom total cholesterol levels were known, 42.5% had a level of > 5.0.

Of 201 diabetes patients who responded, 172 (85.6%) were taking at least one medication to manage blood glucose. Of 285 individual medications, 46.0% were metformin and 32.6% were sulphonylureas. Of 172 blood glucose medications for which details of duration of use were available, 47.1% had been taken for 1–4 years. Four-fifths (79.2%) of the 245 blood glucose medications for which data were available were initiated by a GP and one-fifth (20.8%) were initiated by a specialist. Only insulin was more commonly initiated by a specialist (66.7% of insulin medications) than by a GP (33.3%).

Information on diet and exercise was provided for 115 patients with type 2 diabetes, 90.4% of whom were using diet/exercise for blood glucose management. These patients can be divided into the 70.4% who were using diet/exercise and taking at least one medication, 20.0% who were using diet/exercise but were not taking medication, and 9.6% of patients who were not using diet/exercise but were taking medication.

Of the total 285 medications, there were 39 for which change was indicated for patients whose blood glucose target had not been reached. The plan was to stop four of the medications, increase the dose for 31 and decrease the dose for four.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **DIABETES TYPE 2 and HYPER/DYSLIPIDAEMIA.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

the box labelled 'don't know /

never tested'

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Patient smoking

smoker.

status (if 18+ years) Please use the tick boxes to advise whether or not the patient is a current

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Morbidity



Medication / management for blood glucose levels
 Please advise the name and regimen of any medication/s currently being taken by the patient for managment of their blood glucose levels. NB - if insulin is used, write the name only - regimen details are not required.
 Duration of use - Please write a number in the space provided, and circle an option to indicate months or years, to advise the approximate length of time the medication has been taken by the patient.
 Inititation of medication - Circle an option to advise whether the medication was initiated by a GP or a specialist.

Management plan - If the target blood glucose level for this patient has **not yet been achieved**, please **circle an option** to advise the **next step** you plan to take in relation to the patient's **medication**.

If you plan to **add** a medication to the current regimen, please **write the name of the medication** in the space provided.

If **no medication** is currently being taken for blood glucose management, please tick the box labelled '**no medication**'

Please **circle an option** to advise whether **diet and/or exercise** are part of the patient's blood glucose management.

 \checkmark Does this patient have diagnosed: Is the At the most recent The current medication / management for this patient's BLOOD GLUCOSE levels is / are: Don't (please circle selected options) know test what were the patient a Management plan*- (if target Diabetes type 2 ⇒ never patient's levels of: Name & Form Strength Dose Freq Duration of use Inititated by current for patient not yet reached) tested please continue Hyper/dyslipidaemia smoker? GP/Spec'st no change/ stop / \uparrow dose/ \downarrow dose (mths/yrs) HbA1c % □ Hypertension no change/ stop / \uparrow dose/ \downarrow dose (mths/yrs) GP / Spec'st mmol/L 🗆 yes Total chol Cong. heart failure (mths/yrs) GP/Spec'st no change/ stop / \uparrow dose/ \downarrow dose Cor. heart disease please 🗆 no LDL-C mmol/L GP / Spec'st no change/ stop / ↑ dose/ ↓ dose Mod/severe renal insuff. (mths/yrs) end juestions No medication HDL-C mmol/L Diet / exercise? Yes /No *If adding a medication, addition would be? D None of the above BL88B here

SAND abstract 108: Type 2 diabetes among patients attending general practice

Organisations supporting this study: Pfizer (Australia) Pty Ltd.

Issues: The prevalence of type 2 diabetes in patients attending general practice; time since diagnosis of type 2 diabetes; current management; prevalence of sequelae of type 2 diabetes; HbA1c level of patients.

Sample: 2,832 respondents from 96 GPs; data collection period: 16/01/2007-19/02/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (60.1%) being female.

Of the 2,832 respondents, 212 (7.5%; CI: 6.1–8.9) had diagnosed type 2 diabetes. There was no significant difference in the sex-specific rates, with 8.9% of male and 6.6% of female having diabetes. Prevalence was highest among patients aged 65–74 years at 16.9% (95% CI: 13.2–20.6). For 180 respondents from the 212 patients with type 2 diabetes, the median time since diagnosis was 6 years.

Diabetes management information was available for 209 patients. Management included diet and exercise for 64.1% of patients, metformin for 54.6% of patients, sulfonylurea for 30.6% and insulin for 20.6%. Glitazone and acarbose were each part of the management for 6.2% of patients. All patients were using at least one management type. Of 209 respondents, 55 (26.3%) were taking no medication and using diet and exercise only, 39.7% were taking one therapy, 27.3% were taking two and 6.7% were taking three therapies.

Sequelae information was available for 188 patients. Twenty-two per cent of respondents had no sequelae resulting from diabetes. Hypertension was a sequela of type 2 diabetes for 65.4% of respondents, other cardiovascular disease was a sequela for 28.2%, renal disease for 13.8%, and eye complications a sequela for 8.0%. Neuropathy, foot complications and skin complications each affected 10.6% of diabetes patients. Gastroparesis was a sequela for 8 patients (4.3%), depression for 2 patients (1.1%) and other sequelae of diabetes were recorded for 7 patients (3.7%).

HbA1c test levels were recorded for 209 patients. There were 8.2% of patients who did not know their level or had never been tested. Two-fifths of patients (38.9%) had a level >= 6% to <= 7%, and 89 patients (42.6%) had a level > 7%. For the 89 patients with a HbA1c level > 7%, 69 specified the duration their HbA1c level had been > 7%: median duration was 10 months. Of the patients with a level HbA1c > 7% and who were not using insulin, 56 gave reason(s) for not using insulin. Three-quarters (75.0%) responded that insulin was 'not yet necessary', for 7.1% the reason was 'needle phobia' and for 12.5% it was 'other patient resistance'.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about TYPE 2 DIABETES. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being

investigated.



SAND abstract 109: Secondary prevention of heart attack and stroke

Organisations supporting this study: National Prescribing Service.

Issues: The proportion of patients attending general practice who have risk factors or comorbidities associated with heart attack or stroke; the proportion of these patients currently taking an antiplatelet or anticoagulant medication and which medications patients are taking; reasons given by patients with risk factors or comorbidities for not taking anticoagulant/antiplatelet medications.

Sample: 2,471 patient encounters with 84 GPs data collection period: 20/02/2007 – 26/03/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

Summary of results

The age and sex distributions were similar to all 2005–06 BEACH encounters. One-third (n = 841) of the sample of 2,471 patients (34.0%; 95% CI: 29.8–38.2) had at least one of the risk factors or comorbidities associated with heart attack or stroke and a high proportion of these patients were aged 65 years and over. Of 832 patients for whom age and sex was known, 27.5% were aged 65–74 and 32.7% were aged 75 years and over.

Of the 2,471 patients, 26.8% had hypertension, 4.4% had atrial fibrillation, and 3.6% had had an acute myocardial infarction. Stroke/transient ischaemic attack was recorded for 3.2% of patients, stable/unstable angina for 2.5% and peripheral vascular disease for 1.7%. There were 2.2% of patients who had a previous coronary artery bypass graft, and 1.1% who had a previous percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Other risk factors were indicated 211 times for 8.5% of patients, with diabetes the most common, followed by lipid disorders.

Of 779 respondents with at least one cardiac risk factor, 479 (61.5%) were taking antiplatelet/anticoagulant medication. Aspirin was being taken by 43.1% of respondents, warfarin by 11.7%, clopidogrel by 7.5%, and dipyridamole/aspirin by 1.5% of respondents. Herbal preparations with anti-coagulant effect were taken by 17 (2.2%) patients.

In terms of combinations of medications taken by the 479 patients taking at least one medication, 61.8% were taking only aspirin. Warfarin (only) was taken by 17.3%, clopidogrel (only) by 7.1% and a combination of aspirin and clopidogrel by 4.8% of these patients. Herbal preparations only were taken by 2.5% of patients and the dipridamole/aspirin combination therapy was taken by 2.1%. Seven patients (1.5%) were taking a combination of aspirin and warfarin.

Of 300 (38.5%) patients with at least one cardiac risk factor who were not taking medications, 274 gave reasons for not taking them. For 54.4% of these respondents, the reason was stated as 'not clinically indicated'. For 20.8%, the reason was a history of peptic ulcer or gastrooesophageal reflux disease, and for 9.5% it was an expected adverse effect on the gastrointestinal tract. Concurrent NSAID therapy was cited as a reason for 5.5% of patients. Other reasons (14.2%) included new patient/newly diagnosed and patient resistance.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **SECONDARY PREVENTION OF HEART DISEASE & STROKE** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS of any age the following questions in the order in which the patients are seen. Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated. These questions relate to the use of aspirin and other anticoagulation therapy for the secondary prevention of heart attack and stroke in high-risk patients. Our aim is to identify patients with risk factors; estimate the prevalence of patients taking aspirin, aspirin like medications or herbal preparations with similar anti-coagulant effects; and to estimate the proportion of patients with stated intolerance or allergy to aspirin or similar medications.

	A	Nedications			
Patient risk factors for heart attack or stroke. Please use the tick boxes to indicate whether or not this patient has any of the listed risk factors or comorbidities for heart attack or stroke. Tick as many boxes as apply. If the patient has NONE of the listed risk factors, please END the QUESTIONS HERE.		Please tick the box bes nti-coagulant medica y this patient for secon ttack or stroke. Include ounter medications su oreparations used for parlic, ginger, ginseng hamomile, bromelain ver the counter prepar e included). Tick as many boxes as	ide any anti-platelet or ations currently being taken adary prevention of heart e prescribed and over the uch as aspirin or herbal anti-coagulant effects eg g, feverfew, ginkgo, n (ask the patient about any rations so that these may apply.	Reasons for non-use of anti-platelet or anti-coagulant medication for secondary preventionIf the patient is not currently taking an anti- platelet / anti-coagulant medication or other preparation for secondary prevention, please use the tick boxes to indicate the main reason/s for non-use by this patient.If you tick the 'other' box, please write the reason beside it in the space provided.	
V					
Does this patient have any of th	ese risk factors for heart attack/stroke?	Which medications are	e currently being taken?	Despite presence of risk factors, aspirin or	
 Hypertension Atrial fibrillation AMI Stroke/TIA Stable/unstable angina Peripheral vascular disease в⊥908 	 □ Previous CABGs □ Previous PTCA □ Other (please specify risk factor) □ None of above ⇔ END QUESTONS 	Aspirin Image: Constraint of the second	Warfarin Heparin Low mol. weight heparin Danaparoid Herbal prep None of the above	 anti-coagulants are not taken because of History of PUD or GORD Expected adverse effect on GIT Concurrent NSAID therapy Other adverse effect including hypersensitivity Not clinically indicated Other	

SAND abstract 110: Erectile dysfunction

Organisation supporting this study: Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd.

Issues: Prevalence of erectile dysfunction (ED) in general practice patients/their partners (18 years and over); sources of advice utilised by patients/partners experiencing ED; remedies tried as management of ED; effectiveness of the remedies tried.

Sample: 1,930 patient encounters from 82 GPs; data collection period: 20/02/2007 – 26/03/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled 'SAND Method 2006–07' on this website: <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. Participating GPs were provided with a card that contained information about ED and a clinical definition.

Summary of results

Females were over-represented in this sample (65.0%) of adults when compared with all BEACH encounters with adults in 2005–06 (60.1%). The age distribution within adults paralleled that of patients at all BEACH encounters.

There were 1,930 patients aged 18 years and older, who responded to one or more questions. Of these, almost two-thirds (63.2%; n = 1,219) were currently sexually active, 31.9% (n = 615) were not, and 5.0% had never been sexually active. Patients aged 25 to 44 years were the most likely to be sexually active (82.7%, 95% CI: 77.4-88.0) and the proportion decreased with age to 11.6% (95% CI: 7.0-16.3) among patients aged 75 years or more. The proportion of patients who were either currently or previously sexually active was similar in males (94.5%) and females (95.4%).

Of the 1,834 (95.1%) patients who were currently/previously sexually active, 160 (8.7%) did not respond to the questions about their/their partner's experience of ED. Of the 1,674 respondents, 20.3% (95% CI: 17.0–23.6, *n* = 340) stated that they/their partner had experienced ED. A significantly smaller proportion of female patients (16.2%, 95% CI: 12.7– 19.7) reported their partner's having ED than male patients (27.5%, 95% CI: 22.6–32.4) reported having ED. The proportion of patients experiencing ED increased significantly with age from 2.3% among patients aged 18–24 years, to 35.5% among patients aged 65 years and over.

Of the 340 respondents who had experienced ED themselves or in their partner, 333 reported frequency of ED. Of these, 39.9% experienced ED on 1–25% of occasions, 22.5% on 26–50% of occasions, and the remainder (37.5%) on 51% or more of occasions.

Almost half (n = 158) of the 332 respondents to the question on help-seeking had sought help for ED: 44.3% (n = 147) from the GP and 6.0% from another health professional.

Of the 145 respondents who had sought help from their GP and who responded to the question about initiation of help, 72.4% stated that they/their partner initiated the discussion about ED, 21.4% indicated that their/their partner's GP raised this topic, and the remainder (6.2%) did not know/could not remember who initiated it.

Of the 340 patients/partners who had experienced ED, 210 responded to the question about remedies for ED (multiple responses allowed). Of these, 60% had tried at least one of the listed remedies. The most common remedy was prescribed medications (84.1%, n = 106), followed by behavioural treatment (10.3%) and over-the-counter products (7.1%).

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **SEXUAL DYSFUNCTION - ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.



For the Doctor...

Erectile Dysfunction (ED) is a common type of male sexual dysfunction. Epidemiological studies from various countries have found that 30-50% of men aged 40-70 years report some degree of erectile dysfunction.¹ A 2003 Australian study found that 21% of men in this age group reported moderate-severe erectile dysfunction.²

The personal nature of the condition and the reluctance of both patients and clinicians to raise the topic means that only a small proportion of those affected seek or receive help.³ In recent years the internet has allowed the direct sale of medications to patients without the safeguard of supervision by a doctor or pharmacist, increasing the potential risks from contraindications or drug interactions.⁴

The purpose of this research is to determine the prevalence of ED in general practice patients, whether patients have sought help for the problem, what remedies, if any, have been tried, and the effectiveness of these.

It is important to capture this information for general practice patients. We recommend that you explain to the patient from the outset that these questions are about sexual dysfunction and not about other sexual health issues such as sexually transmitted diseases.

However, if you feel at any stage that these questions are too intrusive on your relationship with this patient, please omit these questions and just return the form with the shaded section incomplete for this topic.

Thank you for your generosity.

- 1. Tharyan P, Gopalakrishanan G. Erectile Dysfunction. Clin Evid. 2006 Jun;(15):1227-51.
- Holden CA, McLachlan RI, Pitts M, Cumming R, Wittert G, Agius PA, Handlesman DJ, de Krester DM. Men in Australia Telephone Survey (MATeS): a national survey of the reproductive health and concerns of middle-aged and older Australian men. Lancet 2005; 366:218-24.
- Holden CA, Jolley DJ, McLachlan RI, Pitts M, Cumming R, Wittert G, Handlesman DJ, de Krester DM. Men in Australia Telephone Survey (MATeS): predicotrs of men's help-seeking behaviour for reproductive health disorders. MJA 2006 16 Oct; 185(8):418-22.
- 4. Armstrong A, Schwartz JS, Asch DA. Direct sale of sildenafil (Viagra) to consumers over the Internet. NEJM 1999 Oct 28; 341(18):1389-92.