

Australian Government Australian Institute of

Health and Welfare

AIHW

Burden of tobacco use in Australia

Australian Burden of Disease Study 2015



Australian Government

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

> Australian Burden of Disease Study Series Number 21

Burden of tobacco use in Australia

Australian Burden of Disease Study 2015

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Canberra Cat. no. BOD 20 The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare is a major national agency whose purpose is to create authoritative and accessible information and statistics that inform decisions and improve the health and welfare of all Australians.

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2019



This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 3.0 (CC-BY 3.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build upon this work. However, you must attribute the AIHW as the copyright holder of the work in compliance with our attribution policy available at <u>www.aihw.gov.au/copyright/</u>. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/</u>.

This publication is part of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's Australian Burden of Disease Study series. A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Institute's website www.aihw.gov.au.

ISSN 2204-4108 (Online) ISSN 2006-4508 (Print) ISBN 978-1-76054-630-4 (Online) ISBN 978-1-76054-631-1 (Print) DOI 10.25816/5ebca654fa7de

Suggested citation

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2019. Burden of tobacco use in Australia: Australian Burden of Disease Study 2015. Australian Burden of Disease series no. 21. Cat. no. BOD 20. Canberra: AIHW.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Board Chair Mrs Louise Markus Chief Executive Officer Mr Barry Sandison

Any enquiries relating to copyright or comments on this publication should be directed to: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare GPO Box 570 Canberra ACT 2601 Tel: (02) 6244 1000 Email: info@aihw.gov.au

Published by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

This publication is printed in accordance with ISO 14001 (Environmental Management Systems) and ISO 9001 (Quality Management Systems). The paper is sourced from sustainably managed certified forests.



Please note that there is the potential for minor revisions of data in this report. Please check the online version at <u>www.aihw.gov.au</u> for any amendments.

Contents

Sur	nmar	у	v			
1	Intro	duction	1			
	1.1	Aims of this report	2			
	1.2	Tobacco use in Australia	2			
	1.3	Policy context	2			
	1.4	Smoking across population groups	3			
	1.5	What is burden of disease?	4			
	1.6	How was exposure to tobacco use defined and estimated?	6			
	1.7	Structure of this report	7			
2	Toba	acco use in Australia	8			
	2.1	Burden from tobacco use	8			
	2.2	Burden from tobacco use by linked disease	11			
	2.3	What is the impact of using Australian effect size data?	20			
3	Toba	acco use in key population groups in 2015	23			
	3.1	State and territory	23			
	3.2	Remoteness area	25			
	3.3	Socioeconomic group	29			
	3.4	People diagnosed with a mental health condition: a scenario analysis	33			
4	Toba	acco use over time	37			
	4.1	Changes in burden from tobacco use between 2003, 2011 and 2015	37			
	4.2	Drivers of change in total attributable burden over time	39			
	4.3	Potential burden from tobacco use in 2020 and 2025	43			
5	Toba	acco, alcohol and illicit drug use combined	45			
	5.1	Burden from tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use	45			
Ар	pendi	x A: Detailed methods	47			
	How	is attributable burden measured?	47			
	Sele	ction of linked diseases	47			
	Theo	pretical minimum risk exposure distribution	48			
	Estin	nates for key population groups	51			
	Drive	ers of change in attributable burden over time	53			
	Estin	nating potential burden in 2020 and 2025	54			
	Estin	nating the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use	55			
Appendix B: Additional tables56						
Acl	knowl	edgments	68			

Abbreviations	69
Symbols	69
Glossary	70
References	72
List of tables	
List of figures	
Related publications	80

Summary

Tobacco use contributes to health burden more than any other risk factor and was responsible for 9.3% of the total burden of disease in Australia in 2015.

This report extends estimates published in the Australian Burden of Disease Study (ABDS) 2015 (AIHW 2019a). It provides insight into the health impact of tobacco use in Australia, including as a risk factor for specific diseases such as lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and coronary heart disease. The health impact comprises both fatal burden (dying prematurely) and non-fatal burden (living with disease) and is reported using a summary measure of health called disability-adjusted life years, or DALY.

Most of the burden attributable to tobacco use was fatal

Tobacco use contributed to 13% of deaths in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 20,933 deaths. It contributed to more deaths in males than females. Almost three-quarters of the tobacco use burden was due to fatal outcomes. Tobacco use was responsible for 14% of all fatal burden and 5.0% of all non-fatal burden.

Cancers accounted for nearly half of the burden due to tobacco use

Forty-three per cent of the burden attributable to tobacco use was due to cancer, and almost two-thirds of this was from lung cancer (28% of total tobacco burden).

COPD accounted for 30% of the burden attributable to tobacco use, with the burden higher in females (38%) than males (25%).

Cardiovascular diseases were responsible for 17% of the burden due to tobacco use primarily related to coronary heart disease (10%) and stroke (3.1%). Males experienced 2.2 times the amount of cardiovascular disease burden due to tobacco use than females.

Tobacco use burden is higher for some population groups

The burden from tobacco use varied according to where a person lived, their socioeconomic group and mental health status. Age-standardised rates were higher in:

- the Northern Territory (2.1 times as high) than in all of Australia
- the lowest socioeconomic areas (2.6 times as high) than in the highest socioeconomic areas
- Remote and very remote areas combined (1.8 times as high) than in Major cities
- people with a mental health condition (1.5 times as high) than in people without a mental health condition.

Past smoking is still causing disease burden, especially in females

The overall burden attributable to tobacco use (number of DALY) rose by 2.0% between 2003 and 2015. The change in tobacco use burden between 2003 and 2015 varied by sex and for current and past smoking. There was a:

- 6.8% decrease for burden in males and a 17% increase in females
- 20% decrease for burden linked to current smoking (23% in males and 14% in females)
- 15% increase for burden linked to past smoking (3.2% in males and 33% in females).

Given that some linked diseases such as lung cancer and COPD can take a number of years to develop, the effects of past smoking are expected to continue to have an impact on disease burden into the near future, particularly on females.

Tobacco use burden rate has decreased over time

After accounting for population increase and ageing, the rate of disease burden due to tobacco use fell between 2003 and 2015 by 24%. The decrease was seen in all the leading 6 linked diseases: COPD, lung cancer, coronary heart disease, oesophageal cancer, stroke and asthma.

Potential burden rate is predicted to fall by 2020 and 2025

The age-standardised rate of attributable burden due to tobacco use is projected to decrease by 5.8% by 2020 (from 16.4 DALY per 1,000 population in 2015 to 15.5 DALY per 1,000 in 2020) and by 9.5% by 2025 (to 14.9 DALY per 1,000). The decline projected by 2025 is greater for males (a 12% decline) than for females (a 6.1% decline).

Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use combined contributed to more burden and deaths in males than in females

Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use combined contributed to 16% of the total burden of disease in 2015. This was greater in males (19%) than in females (12%). After adjusting for age, the rate of combined burden for these risk factors was almost twice (1.9 times) as high in males (39 DALY per 1,000 population) than in females (20 per 1,000).

Together, tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use contributed to 18% of deaths in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 28,552 deaths. These risk factors combined contributed to more than 1 in 5 deaths in males (21%), and 15% of deaths in females.

1 Introduction

Tobacco use is responsible for substantial health burden in Australia as a risk factor for disease and premature death. The consumption of tobacco is widely recognised as a major cause of ill health in active smokers and, to a lesser extent, non-smokers through their exposure to second-hand smoke.

Health consequences for smokers include the development of chronic conditions, such as respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases and cancer (US DHHS 2014). Mortality is significantly increased in current smokers (with up to two-thirds of deaths in smokers attributable to smoking) and in past smokers, though mortality does decrease with more time since quitting (Banks et al. 2015; Carter et al. 2015). Over one-third of deaths from cardiovascular diseases and one-quarter of acute coronary syndrome hospitalisations in Australians aged under 65 can be attributed to smoking (Banks et al 2019). On average, people who have never smoked live 10–11 years longer than long-term smokers (Banks et al. 2015; Pirie et al. 2013).

No level of exposure to second-hand tobacco smoke is considered safe. Second-hand smoke affects people of all ages; it can cause low birthweight, sudden death of infants, and cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in adults, including coronary heart disease and lung cancer (WHO 2018).

Burden of disease analysis measures the combined impact of dying prematurely and of living with disease. It takes into account age at death and severity of disease for all diseases, conditions and injuries, in a consistent and comparable way. As well as describing the disease burden, the analysis estimates the contribution of various risk factors (termed 'attributable burden') to this health loss. The estimates produced from a burden of disease study are considered to be the best summary measure of a population's health.

The Australian Burden of Disease Study (ABDS) 2015 estimated the burden of 216 specific diseases and injuries—and the contribution of more than 30 risk factors to this disease burden—for the Australian population in 2015, 2011 and 2003. The contribution of tobacco use as a risk factor for disease was measured (AIHW 2019a).

This report expands on the ABDS analysis to provide further insight into the health burden of tobacco use, and to highlight the importance of reducing the harm caused by tobacco. Estimates of the burden due to tobacco use are reported by different population groups (state and territory, remoteness, socioeconomic group). Other population groups, including Indigenous Australians, were out of scope of this study. While some population groups are discussed in more detail in this report, there may be further populations with a high prevalence of smoking.

These estimates highlight the varying and complex association between tobacco use and health, and they can be used to prioritise actions to minimise the harm of tobacco. This report also presents estimates of the potential burden due to tobacco use in 2020 and 2025 if current trends continue and includes an analysis of one particular population with higher rates of tobacco use: people diagnosed with a mental health condition.

1.1 Aims of this report

This project:

- updates estimates of disease burden attributable to tobacco use based on the international and Australian-specific evidence on linked diseases and relative risks and on high-quality Australian estimates of exposure.
- provides detailed reporting for tobacco use separately for direct tobacco use (current/past) and second-hand smoke exposure. This includes detailed analysis of these enhanced estimates, including changes in burden between 2003, 2011 and 2015.
- provides estimates of burden attributable to tobacco use at sub-national levels (by state/territory, remoteness and socioeconomic group).
- provides estimates of burden attributable to current tobacco use in people with a mental health condition.
- uses projections to explore the potential impact on disease burden due to continuing trends in prevalence of tobacco use in 2020 and 2025. This provides an estimate of the expected future disease burden.
- explores the joint (combined) impact of tobacco use with alcohol and illicit drug use.

1.2 Tobacco use in Australia

Australia has one of the lowest daily smoking rates among Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries, ranking fifth alongside Canada and Norway (OECD 2019). Australia has made great progress in tobacco control in recent years; however, tobacco use remains an important risk factor and is associated with increased risk of chronic disease and premature death. Findings from the self-reported data in the National Drug Strategy Household Survey (NDSHS) 2016 show that, for people aged 14 and over:

- 12% were daily smokers and a further 2.7% smoked occasionally
- 23% were ex-smokers
- 62% had never smoked (AIHW 2018a).

Some positive trends in smoking rates have emerged from the survey data. From 1991 to 2016, the rate of daily smoking halved (24% to 12%). As well, the proportion of people reporting never having smoked rose from 49% to 62% over the same period (AIHW 2017). A significant reduction was seen in children exposed to second-hand smoke, from 31% of households where someone smoked inside in 1995 to 2.8% in 2016 (AIHW 2018a).

Data from the NDSHS were used in this report to estimate the burden attributable to tobacco use. The latest available estimates from the National Health Survey in 2017–18 show similar trends, but different rates, to the NDSHS (ABS 2019).

1.3 Policy context

Australia's progress in tobacco control over the last 25 years has been driven by a comprehensive range of measures that include (but are not limited to) smoke-free laws, tobacco excise increases, media campaigns and restrictions on tobacco advertising and promotion (Wakefield et al. 2014).

Australia's approach to tobacco control is outlined in the National Tobacco Strategy (NTS) 2012–2018, a sub-strategy of the National Drug Strategy 2017–2026 (Department of Health 2017). The NTS sets out a national framework for the Australian, state and territory governments and non-government organisations to improve the health of all Australians by reducing the prevalence of smoking (and its associated health, social and economic costs) and the inequalities it causes. As the NTS 2012–2018 nominally ended in 2018, the next iteration of the NTS is currently being developed.

As part of the Australian Government's Long Term Health Plan, released in August 2019, a 10-year National Preventive Health Strategy is being developed and implemented, which will include a \$20 million National Tobacco Campaign over 4 years to continue to reduce tobacco use. This includes a target to reduce smoking rates to below 10% by 2025.

Australia is also one of 181 Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC), which aims to protect present and future generations from the devastating health, social, environmental and economic consequences of tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke. Under the FCTC, Australia must adopt and implement effective measures to prevent and reduce tobacco consumption, nicotine addiction and exposure to tobacco smoke. The FCTC also obliges Australia to take steps to protect its tobacco control setting and implementation from interference from the tobacco industry and its interests. Australia's obligations under the FCTC are consistent with the scope of the NTS 2012–2018.

1.4 Smoking across population groups

A range of population groups in Australia have higher smoking rates than the general population. There are a range of psychological, social, economic and cultural factors that influence smoking among different population groups. Social disadvantage and smoking rates are intrinsically linked. As levels of disadvantage accumulate, smoking rates increase (ANPHA 2013).

Geographical location

Recent Australian data showed that daily smoking rates were highest in the Northern Territory (17%), Tasmania (16%) and Queensland (15%) (AIHW 2018a). Reported smoking levels are also higher in people living in rural and remote areas compared with metropolitan areas. This is partly due to social and environmental factors; it is also influenced by the more limited access to medical care and less successful health promotion campaigns in those areas (NRHA 2014).

Socioeconomic group

Studies have shown that smoking is consistently associated with lower income (Casetta et al. 2017) and education level (Huisman et al. 2012). The mechanisms are complex but include factors that encourage the uptake of smoking, such as role modelling and access to cigarettes at home, and those that decrease smoking cessation, such as a lack of social support or motivation, higher stress levels or being more highly addicted (Hiscock et al. 2012). Characteristics of specific communities may also act as barriers to quitting smoking, including higher acceptability of smoking and less support from service providers (Twyman et al. 2014).

People with a mental health condition

Evidence suggests that smoking rates are higher in those with poor mental health than in those with better mental health (Steinberg et al. 2015), and higher in those with severe mental health conditions than in those with less severe mental health conditions (Ragg & Ahmed 2008). The higher smoking levels in people with mental health conditions could be explained by genetic, biological, social or environmental factors (Morisano et al. 2009).

While smoking in the total population has declined over recent years, prevalence of smoking among those with a mental health condition has shown little change (Cook et al. 2014; Cooper et al. 2012). As well as having higher smoking rates, people with a mental health condition show lower rates of smoking cessation (Mendelsohn et al. 2015). It has been found that people who sought treatment for their mental health in the past year were more likely to quit smoking than those who did not (Cook et al. 2014).

1.5 What is burden of disease?

Burden of disease analysis is a technique used to assess and compare the health impact of different diseases, conditions or injuries and risk factors on a population. It uses information from a range of sources to quantify the fatal (dying as a result of tobacco use) and non-fatal (for example, living with lung cancer caused by smoking) effects of these diseases in a summary measure of health called disability-adjusted life years, or DALY. Put simply, a DALY combines the impact of dying early and that of living with illness. It combines the estimates of years of life lost due to premature death (YLL) and years lived in ill health or with disability (YLD) to count the total years of healthy life lost from disease and injury. These and other key terms are defined in Box 1.1.

The health loss represents the difference between the current health status of the population and the ideal situation where everyone lived a long life, free of disease. Burden of disease estimates capture both the quantity and health-related quality of life, and reflect the magnitude, severity and impact of disease and injury within a population. This analysis also estimates the contribution of various risk factors to health loss, known as the attributable burden. Burden of disease does not attempt to quantify the social or financial consequences of disease and injury; however, burden of disease estimates can be used to inform population health monitoring, health policy formulation, health service planning and health promotion and management strategies.

For detailed information about the ABDS 2015, and further information on the methods used to calculate disease burden, see *Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2015* (AIHW 2019a) and *Australian Burden of Disease Study: methods and supplementary material 2015* (AIHW 2019b).

Box 1.1: Key terms

Attributable burden: The disease burden attributed to a particular risk factor. It is the reduction in fatal and non-fatal burden that would have occurred if exposure to the risk factor had been avoided (or, more precisely, had been at its theoretical minimum).

Burden of disease (and injury): A term referring to the quantified impact of a disease or injury on a population, using the **disability-adjusted life year (DALY)** measure.

(continued)

Box 1.1 (continued): Key terms

Comparative risk assessment: The process for estimating the burden of disease attributable to selected risk factors. It involves 5 key steps: selection of risk–outcome pairs, estimation of exposure distribution, estimation of effect sizes, choice of theoretical minimum risk exposure level (**TMRED**), and the calculation of **attributable burden**.

Confounding: Describes a situation when an observed association is due, in whole or part, to a third factor that is associated both with the exposure and with the outcome of interest.

DALY (disability-adjusted life years): A measure (in years) of healthy life lost, either through premature death defined as dying before the ideal life span (**YLL**) or, equivalently, through living with ill health due to illness or injury (**YLD**).

Disease: A broad term that, in this report, is applied to any health problem. It is often used synonymously with condition, disorder or problem.

Disability weight: A factor that reflects the severity of non-fatal health loss from a particular health state on a scale from 0 (perfect health) to 1 (equivalent to death).

Effect size: A statistical measure of the strength of the relationship between 2 variables (in this context, between a risk exposure and a disease outcome), expressed, for example, as a **relative risk** or hazard ratio.

Excess burden: The reduction that would occur in overall disease burden if all groups had the same rate of burden as the least burdened group.

Fatal burden: The burden from dying 'prematurely' as measured by years of life lost. Often used synonymously with YLL, and also referred to as 'life lost'.

Health state: The consequences of diseases and conditions, reflecting key differences in symptoms and functioning.

Incidence: The number of new cases (of an illness or injury) occurring during a given period.

Linked disease: A disease or condition on the causal pathway of the risk factor, and therefore more likely to develop if exposed to the risk.

Non-fatal burden: The burden from living with ill-health as measured by years lived with disability. Often used synonymously with YLD; also referred to as 'health loss' in this report.

Prevalence: The number of cases of a disease or injury in a population at a given time.

Relative risk (RR): The risk of an event relative to exposure, calculated as the ratio of the probability of the event's occurring in the exposed group to the probability of its occurring in the non-exposed group. A relative risk of 1 implies no difference in risk; RR <1 implies the event is less likely to occur in the exposed group; RR >1 implies the event is more likely to occur in the exposed group.

Risk factor: Any factor that represents a greater risk of a health condition or health event; for example, smoking, alcohol use, high body mass and so on.

Second-hand smoke exposure: Involuntary exposure involving inhaling carcinogens and toxic components present in tobacco smoke. Also referred to as environmental tobacco exposure or passive smoking.

Sequela: The consequence of diseases; often used in the plural, sequelae.

(continued)

Box 1.1 (continued): Key terms

Smoking impact ratio (SIR): Population lung cancer mortality in excess of neversmokers, relative to excess lung cancer mortality for a known reference group of smokers.

TMRED (theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution): The distribution of exposure to a risk factor that would have the lowest associated population risk.

Tobacco use: Exposure to tobacco smoke either directly through current/past smoking or via second-hand smoke. The most common use of tobacco is by smoke inhalation from cigarettes, pipes and cigars.

YLD (years lived with disability): A measure of the years of what could have been a healthy life but were instead spent in states of less than full health. YLD represent non-fatal burden.

YLL (years of life lost): Years of life lost due to premature death, defined as dying before the ideal life span. YLL represent fatal burden.

1.6 How was exposure to tobacco use defined and estimated?

To capture the full impact of tobacco use on the burden of disease, 3 measures of exposure to tobacco use were used in this study: current tobacco use, second-hand smoke, and past smoking (estimated by the smoking impact ratio). Each of these exposures had different linked diseases. The analysis did not capture burden due to e-cigarettes.

Exposure to current tobacco use was defined as the 5-year lagged prevalence of tobacco use; for example, 2010 prevalence to estimate exposure in 2015. This is due to the time lag in the effect tobacco use has on causing linked diseases. Current tobacco use was linked to cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, asthma and respiratory infections.

Exposure to second-hand smoke was estimated as the prevalence of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the home, based on self-reports. This exposure was linked to respiratory infections in children, influenza, cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes and 2 types of cancer.

Both current tobacco use and second-hand smoke exposure were estimated from the NDSHS. This survey is based on a sample of households; people who were homeless or institutionalised were not included.

Past smoking exposure was estimated by the smoking impact ratio, which has been the standard method to estimate the contribution of tobacco use to various types of cancers and chronic respiratory conditions globally (and is described by Peto et al. 1992). The method involves comparing lung cancer mortality rates in the year of study with those of a cohort of non-smokers. This exposure is linked to diseases with a long lag time (greater than 5 years) between exposure and disease onset, such as cancer and chronic respiratory conditions.

Box 1.2: Summary of data limitations in this study

The methods used to estimate risk factor attributable burden are continually being updated as new evidence from the literature emerges. This may include additional linked diseases, new exposure to the risk factor or an improved model for the risk factor. The methods for this study were based on the most up-to-date methods available when the analysis was undertaken.

For this study, the methods were adopted from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study 2016, including the definition of exposure used for analysis, the TMRED, linked diseases and effect sizes (relative risks) (GBD 2016 Risk Factor Collaborators 2017). These methods included the additional linked diseases breast cancer, prostate cancer, dementia, Parkinson disease, multiple sclerosis, gallbladder and biliary tract disease, and low back pain when compared with the ABDS 2011—which was based on the GBD 2010 (Lim et al. 2012).

It is possible that the effect sizes in the GBD 2016 are not ideal for the Australian context. Chapter 2 explores an Australian-specific data set (from the 45 and Up study; Banks et al. 2008) and the implications of the effect sizes that it found.

The GBD 2016 included exposure to smokeless tobacco; however, the use of these products is low in Australia, and results from the NDSHS suggest that most people who use these products also smoke tobacco. Further, commercial supply of smokeless tobacco products is prohibited in Australia. For these reasons, this exposure was not included in this study.

1.7 Structure of this report

This report quantifies the burden attributable to tobacco use in Australia in 2015.

Chapter 2 provides estimates for the burden of tobacco use in Australia for 2015 by sex, age and linked disease.

Chapter 3 presents estimates of tobacco use burden by state and territory, remoteness area, socioeconomic group and in people diagnosed with a mental health condition in 2015.

Chapter 4 compares rates of tobacco use burden in 2003, 2011 and 2015, and presents estimates of the potential burden expected in 2020 and 2025, based on current trends.

Chapter 5 presents estimates on the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use in Australia for 2015.

Appendix A provides detailed information on the methods and data sources used in this report.

Appendix B includes further tables and figures of the results from this study.

2 Tobacco use in Australia

This chapter presents estimates of the burden due to tobacco use in Australia. It outlines the total, non-fatal and fatal attributable burden by sex, age group and linked disease for 2015.

The list of diseases linked to tobacco use included in this analysis are in Table 2.4.

The impact of tobacco use as presented in this report captures the burden attributable to direct tobacco use from current and past smoking in people aged 30 and over (as most health impacts occur from this age onwards) and to exposure to second-hand smoke in the home in people of all ages.

2.1 Burden from tobacco use

Tobacco use was responsible for 9.3% of the total burden of disease and injuries in 2015, equivalent to 443,235 disability-adjusted life years, or DALY (Table 2.1). Due to the large amount of attributable burden, as described here, tobacco use was the leading risk factor contributing to burden in the ABDS 2015, followed by overweight and obesity and dietary risks.

Australian burden of disease analysis has been done for 3 reference years (2003, 2011 and 2015), and tobacco use has been the leading risk factor in each year. The burden attributable to tobacco use was 10.5% of total DALY in 2003, down to 9.8% in 2011 and 9.3% in 2015. Further information on the trends in burden over time is presented in Chapter 4.

The majority of the tobacco use burden in 2015 was due to direct tobacco use (439,553 DALY; 9.2%), with second-hand smoke exposure responsible for a relatively small amount of burden (3,682 DALY; 0.1%).

	Attributable DALY			
Exposure	Number	% of total DALY		
Direct tobacco use (past/current)	439,553	9.2		
Second-hand smoke exposure	3,682	0.1		
Total	443,235	9.3		

Table 2.1: Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco exposure, 2015

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

The DALY attributable to tobacco use was 1.4 times as high for males (257,522 DALY) as for females (185,713 DALY). The proportion of total disease burden due to tobacco use was also greater in males (10%) than in females (8.3%).

After taking into account the different age structures of male and female populations, the age-standardised rate (ASR) of burden attributable to tobacco use was 1.5 times as high in males (20 per 1,000 population) as in females (13 per 1,000) (Table 2.2).

	Attributable DALY					
_	Number	% of total DALY	ASR (per 1,000 population)			
Males	257,522	10.2	20.1			
Females	185,713	8.3	13.1			
Persons	443,235	9.3	16.4			

 Table 2.2: Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by sex, 2015

Note: The age-standardised rate (ASR) has been standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population. *Source:* AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Figure 2.1 shows the burden attributable to tobacco use (DALY counts and rates) in males and females in 2015. The DALY count attributable to tobacco use in males was highest in the 60–69 age group. A similar pattern occurred in females but with the highest burden in those aged 70–79.

For both males and females, the DALY rates were highest in the 80–89 age group (120 and 76 per 1,000 population, respectively); the rate in males was 1.6 times as high as in females. Males experienced more burden attributable to tobacco use than females up to age 89, as reflected in the higher DALY rates.



Type of burden

Tobacco use contributed to 13% of deaths in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 20,933 deaths (Table 2.3). The number of deaths attributable to tobacco use was much higher in males (12,162) than in females (8,771). The number of attributable deaths by age group are in Table B1 in Appendix B.

After taking into account the age at which these deaths occur, tobacco use was responsible for 323,477 YLL, or 14% of total fatal burden in Australia in 2015. A similar proportion of fatal burden in males and females was attributable to tobacco use (14% and 13%, respectively, of total YLL). Fatal burden was 1.6 times as high in males as in females (197,302 YLL and 126,175 YLL, respectively).

As well, tobacco use was responsible for 5.0% of non-fatal burden in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 119,758 YLD (Table 2.3). Non-fatal burden was similar in males (60,220 YLD) and females (59,538 YLD).

Table 2.3: Deaths, fatal (YLL) and non-fatal (YLD) burden attributable to tobacco use, by s	sex,
2015	

		At	tributable death	s and burden		
Sex	Deaths	% of total deaths	YLL	% of total YLL	YLD	% of total YLD
Males	12,162	15.1	197,302	14.4	60,220	5.3
Females	8,771	11.4	126,175	12.8	59,538	4.8
Persons	20,933	13.3	323,477	13.7	119,758	5.0

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Almost three-quarters (73%) of the burden attributable to tobacco use was due to fatal burden; however, this varied by age, sex and linked disease. Fatal burden was the main contributor to burden attributable to tobacco use in both men and women aged 50 and over (Figure 2.2).



2.2 Burden from tobacco use by linked disease

Table 2.4 presents the list of diseases linked to tobacco use in this analysis. Appendix A describes how the amount of linked disease burden was calculated for tobacco use showing coronary heart disease in women aged 40–44 as an example.

Tobacco exposure	Linked disease
Direct tobacco use (past/current)	Cancer and other neoplasms
	Bladder cancer, Cervical cancer, Bowel cancer, Breast cancer, Oesophageal cancer, Kidney cancer, Liver cancer, Lung cancer, Nasopharynx cancer, Pancreatic cancer, Stomach cancer, Lip and oral cavity cancer, Laryngeal cancer, Leukaemia (acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, acute myeloid leukaemia, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, chronic myeloid leukaemia), Other leukaemias, Prostate cancer
	Cardiovascular diseases
	Stroke, Coronary heart disease, Hypertensive heart disease, Atrial fibrillation and flutter, Aortic aneurysm, Peripheral vascular disease, Other cardiovascular diseases
	Respiratory diseases
	Asthma, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Lower respiratory infections, Other respiratory diseases
	Endocrine disorders
	Type 2 diabetes
	Gastrointestinal disorders
	Gastroduodenal disorders, Gallbladder and biliary diseases
	Neurological conditions
	Dementia, Multiple sclerosis
	Musculoskeletal conditions
	Rheumatoid arthritis, Back pain and problems
	Hearing and vision disorders
	Cataract and other lens disorders, Age-related macular degeneration
Second-hand smoke	Cancer and other neoplasms
	Lung cancer, Breast cancer
	Infectious diseases
	Otitis media, Lower respiratory infections, Influenza
	Endocrine disorders
	Type 2 diabetes
	Cardiovascular diseases
	Stroke, Coronary heart disease

Table 2.4: Diseases linked to different exposure to tobacco

Note: Back pain and problems includes sciatica, disc disorders, back pain/problems not elsewhere classified and curvature of the spine.

Table 2.5 shows that COPD accounted for 30% of burden attributable to tobacco use in 2015 (133,270 DALY). The burden of COPD due to tobacco use was higher in females than in males—70,157 DALY (38% of total tobacco use attributable burden) compared with 63,112 (25%).

Cancers accounted for 43% of the burden attributable to tobacco use (191,901 DALY). Almost two-thirds of this burden was from lung cancer (122,384 DALY; 28% of total burden). After lung cancer, pancreatic, oesophageal and bowel cancers were collectively responsible for the greatest number of attributable DALY (30,592; 6.9%).

Cardiovascular diseases were responsible for 17% of the burden due to tobacco use (74,150 DALY). This burden primarily related to coronary heart disease (10%) and stroke (3.1%). Males experienced 2.2 times the amount of cardiovascular disease burden as females (51,226 DALY and 22,924 DALY, respectively).

Asthma was responsible for 2.5% of the burden attributable to tobacco use (10,988 DALY), with similar amounts in males and females (5,386 and 5,602 DALY, respectively).

	Males		Fema	Females		Persons	
Linked disease	Number	% ^(a)	Number	% ^(a)	Number	% ^(a)	
Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia	114	0.0	41	0.0	155	0.0	
Acute myeloid leukaemia	1,532	0.6	254	0.1	1,786	0.4	
Age-related macular degeneration	169	0.1	158	0.1	326	0.1	
Aortic aneurysm	1,299	0.5	381	0.2	1,680	0.4	
Asthma	5,386	2.1	5,602	3.0	10,988	2.5	
Atrial fibrillation and flutter	2,572	1.0	1,174	0.6	3,746	0.8	
Back pain and problems	4,632	1.8	3,850	2.1	8,482	1.9	
Bladder cancer	4,053	1.6	1,391	0.7	5,444	1.2	
Bowel cancer	3,200	1.2	3,928	2.1	7,128	1.6	
Breast cancer	31	0.0	4,181	2.3	4,212	1.0	
COPD	63,112	24.5	70,157	37.8	133,270	30.1	
Cataract	83	0.0	57	0.0	140	0.0	
Cervical cancer			625	0.3	625	0.1	
Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia	550	0.2	79	0.0	629	0.1	
Chronic myeloid leukaemia	146	0.1	22	0.0	168	0.0	
Coronary heart disease	34,165	13.3	11,665	6.3	45,830	10.3	
Dementia	2,173	0.8	1,969	1.1	4,142	0.9	
Gallbladder and bile duct disease	65	0.0	47	0.0	111	0.0	
Gastroduodenal disorders	407	0.2	147	0.1	554	0.1	
Hypertensive heart disease	978	0.4	363	0.2	1,341	0.3	
Influenza	16	0.0	11	0.0	27	0.0	
Kidney cancer	2,344	0.9	666	0.4	3,010	0.7	
Laryngeal cancer	2,571	1.0	553	0.3	3,124	0.7	
Lip and oral cavity cancer	4,198	1.6	1,597	0.9	5,795	1.3	
Liver cancer	5,352	2.1	1,594	0.9	6,945	1.6	
Lower respiratory infections	3,965	1.5	2,584	1.4	6,549	1.5	
Lung cancer	73,454	28.5	48,931	26.3	122,384	27.6	
Multiple sclerosis	315	0.1	702	0.4	1,017	0.2	
Nasopharyngeal cancer	785	0.3	198	0.1	983	0.2	
Oesophageal cancer	9,475	3.7	3,313	1.8	12,787	2.9	
Other cardiovascular diseases	4,164	1.6	2,717	1.5	6,881	1.6	
Other leukaemias	439	0.2	79	0.0	518	0.1	
Other respiratory diseases	1,061	0.4	1,483	0.8	2,544	0.6	
Otitis media	2	0.0	2	0.0	3	0.0	
Pancreatic cancer	5,969	2.3	4,708	2.5	10,677	2.4	

Table 2.5: Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015

(continued)

		Males	F	emales		Persons
Linked disease	Number	% ^(a)	Number	% ^(a)	Number	% ^(a)
Peripheral vascular disease	500	0.2	284	0.2	785	0.2
Prostate cancer	2,615	1.0			2,615	0.6
Rheumatoid arthritis	2,226	0.9	2,238	1.2	4,464	1.0
Stomach cancer	2,000	0.8	913	0.5	2,912	0.7
Stroke	7,548	2.9	6,341	3.4	13,888	3.1
Type 2 diabetes	3,857	1.5	710	0.4	4,567	1.0
Total	257,522	100.0	185,713	100.0	443,235	100.0

Table 2.5 (continued): Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015

(a) Percentage of total burden attributable to tobacco use.

Note: Numbers and percentages may not add up to the total due to rounding.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

The number of DALY due to tobacco use for each linked disease varied by sex (Figure 2.3; Table 2.5). Males experienced a greater amount of burden attributable to tobacco use than females for all diseases except COPD, asthma, bowel cancer, breast cancer, multiple sclerosis, other respiratory diseases and rheumatoid arthritis.

The lung cancer burden attributable to tobacco use in males (73,454 DALY; 29% of burden for males) was 1.5 times that for females (48,931 DALY; 26% of burden for females) but accounted for a similar proportion of the total burden due to tobacco use.

Males experienced 1.6 times the amount of cancer burden attributable to tobacco use (118,829 DALY; 46% of burden for males) than females (73,072 DALY; 39% of burden for females). This was primarily due to males experiencing greater burden due to bladder, kidney, liver, laryngeal, oesophageal and stomach cancers, as well as lung cancer. Males also experienced over 5 times the amount of type 2 diabetes burden attributable to tobacco use (3,857 DALY; 1.5%) than females (710 DALY; 0.4%).



Total burden by age and sex

Burden due to tobacco use varied across age group and by sex (Figure 2.4). Among other factors, this is likely associated with ageing of the population of current smokers in Australia as the majority of daily smokers in 2016 were aged 40 and over, while 15 years ago, the majority were aged 14–39 (AIHW 2018b). More information on smoking rates by age group and sex over time is in Table B2.



Adults aged 0–29

In people aged between 0 and 29, infectious diseases such as lower respiratory infections were the main diseases contributing to the burden attributable to tobacco use. For females, more than three-quarters (78%) of the burden attributable to tobacco use in this age group was due to infectious diseases. For males, more than half of the burden was due to infectious diseases (55%). It is important to note that this age group contributed only 0.02% of the total burden due to tobacco use (99 DALY).

Adults aged 30-49

In adults aged between 30 and 49, cardiovascular diseases, cancer and respiratory diseases were the main diseases contributing to the burden attributable to tobacco use. This age group contributed 11% of the total burden due to tobacco use for both men and women. For men, almost half of the attributable burden in this age group was due to cardiovascular diseases (47%; 13,517 DALY), followed by cancer (22%; 6,271 DALY) and respiratory diseases (16%; 4,604 DALY). For women, over one-third of the attributable burden was due to respiratory diseases (38%; 7,914 DALY), followed by cardiovascular diseases (27%; 5,641 DALY) and cancer (15%; 3,156 DALY).

Adults aged 50-59

This age group contributed 18% of the total burden due to tobacco use for both men and women. Linked cancers were the main contributor to attributable burden for men (45%; 20,867 DALY) and women (44%; 14,214 DALY) in this age group. Almost one-third (32%) of the burden in women in this age group was due to respiratory diseases, while almost one-third (32%) of the burden in men was due to cardiovascular diseases.

Adults aged 60-69

This age group experienced the greatest proportion of burden due to tobacco use in men, contributing 28% to the total male burden (71,537 DALY). Slightly more than half of the attributable burden in this age group in men was due to cancer (51%; 36,464 DALY), followed by respiratory diseases (25%; 17,983 DALY).

Women in this age group contributed 24% (43,901 DALY) of the total female burden. Half the attributable burden in this age group in women was due to cancer (50%; 21,775 DALY) and almost one-third was due to respiratory diseases (32%; 14,126 DALY).

Adults aged 70-79

This age group experienced the greatest proportion of burden due to tobacco use in women, contributing 25% to the total female burden (47,092 DALY). Almost half of the attributable burden in this age group in women was due to respiratory diseases (47%; 22,001 DALY), followed by cancer (44%; 20,646 DALY).

Men also experienced a high proportion of burden in this age group, contributing 26% to the total male burden (65,802 DALY). More than half of the burden was due to cancer (53%; 34,915 DALY) and over one-third due to respiratory diseases (34%; 22,328 DALY).

Adults aged 80-89

This age group contributed 15% of the total burden due to tobacco use for males and 18% for females. The impact of tobacco use was mainly due to linked cancers and respiratory diseases in this age group. In women, more than half (55%; 18,188 DALY) of the burden was due to respiratory diseases and more than one-third (35%; 11,393 DALY) due to cancer. In men, 47% (18,213 DALY) of the burden was due to cancer and 40% (15,361 DALY) due to respiratory diseases.

Adults aged 90+

This age group contributed 2.4% of the total burden due to tobacco use for males and 4.5% for females. In this age group, more than half (55%; 4,578 DALY) of the burden in women was due to respiratory diseases and almost one-quarter (23%; 1,887 DALY) due to cancer. In men, 43% (2,631 DALY) of the burden was due to respiratory diseases and more than one-third (34%; 2,098 DALY) due to cancer. Cardiovascular diseases also contributed to the burden in both men and women in this age group (12% each), but the number of DALY was higher in women (737 and 1,026, respectively).

Type of burden

Most of the burden attributable to tobacco use was fatal for both men and females but there were some differences by linked disease. Figure 2.5 shows the fatal and non-fatal proportions for the leading diseases linked to tobacco use in males and females in 2015.

In both males and females, attributable burden from linked cancers, stroke, and coronary heart disease were mostly due to fatal burden, whereas the attributable burden from asthma and back pain and problems was mostly non-fatal (Figure 2.5). Males had a slightly greater proportion of fatal burden for COPD than females (53% and 47%, respectively). Males also had a higher proportion of fatal burden for coronary heart disease than females (82% and 76%, respectively).

The contribution of fatal and non-fatal burden for each of the linked diseases by sex in this analysis can be found in Table B3.

Figure 2.5. Durden attributely to take one use due to fatel and new fatel burden, by calented

(a) Males			(b) Females	5	
	Fatal Non-fatal			Fatal Non-fatal	
76.6	Total	23.4	67.9	Total	32.1
98.5	Pancreatic cancer	1.5	98.2	Pancreatic cancer	1.8
98.5	Liver cancer	1.5	97.9	Lung cancer	2.1
98.0	Lung cancer	2.0	97.3	Oesophageal cancer	2.7
98.0	Oesophageal cancer	2.0	92.4	Bowel cancer	7.6
91.6	Lip and oral cavity cancer	8.4	85.5	Breast cancer	14.5
83.2	Stroke	16.8	84.2	Stroke	15.8
82.4	Coronary heart disease	17.6	75.5	Coronary heart disease	24.5
53.2	COPD	46.8	46.6	COPD	53.4
7.4	Asthma	92.6	6.1	Asthma	93.9
0.1	Back pain and problems	99.9	0.6	Back pain and problems	99.4

Proportion of burden for each disease linked to tobacco use

Tobacco use was responsible for 78% of the burden due to lung cancer; 72% of the burden due to COPD; around half of the burden due to lip and oral cavity cancer (53%), oesophageal cancer (52%) and nasopharyngeal cancer (45%); and one-third of the burden due to bladder cancer (33%) (Table 2.6).

Table 2.6: Number and proportion of disease due to tobacco use (attributable DALY), by linked disease, 2015

Linked disease	Total DALY	DALY attributable to tobacco use	% of linked disease burden due to tobacco use
COPD	184,038	133,270	72.4
Lung cancer	157,486	122,384	77.7
Coronary heart disease	328,773	45,830	13.9
Stroke	128,047	13,888	10.8
Oesophageal cancer	24,487	12,787	52.2
Asthma	120,774	10,988	9.1
Pancreatic cancer	48,536	10,677	22.0
Back pain and problems	196,218	8,482	4.3
Bowel cancer	96,936	7,128	7.4
Liver cancer	35,814	6,945	19.4
Other cardiovascular diseases	44,022	6,881	15.6
Lower respiratory infections	38,987	6,549	16.8
Lip and oral cavity cancer	10,898	5,795	53.2
Bladder cancer	16,542	5,444	32.9
Type 2 diabetes	102,714	4,567	4.4
Rheumatoid arthritis	94,654	4,464	4.7
Breast cancer	70,226	4,212	6.0
Dementia	179,804	4,142	2.3
Atrial fibrillation and flutter	44,617	3,746	8.4
Laryngeal cancer	4,140	3,124	75.5
Kidney cancer	17,829	3,010	16.9
Stomach cancer	21,890	2,912	13.3
Prostate cancer	50,471	2,615	5.2
Other respiratory diseases	13,208	2,544	19.3
Acute myeloid leukaemia	18,006	1,786	9.9
Aortic aneurysm	13,666	1,680	12.3
Hypertensive heart disease	11,917	1,341	11.2
Multiple sclerosis	12,888	1,017	7.9
Nasopharyngeal cancer	2,188	983	44.9
Peripheral vascular disease	8,804	785	8.9
Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia	4,711	629	13.4
Cervical cancer	6,792	625	9.2
Gastroduodenal disorders	5,878	554	9.4
Other leukaemias	4,694	518	11.0

(continued)

Linked disease	Total DALY	DALY attributable to tobacco use	% of linked disease burden due to tobacco use
Age-related macular degeneration	7,406	326	4.4
Chronic myeloid leukaemia	1,562	168	10.8
Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia	4,573	155	3.4
Cataract	3,702	140	3.8
Gallbladder and bile duct disease	6,051	111	1.8
Influenza	5,568	27	0.5
Otitis media	519	3	0.6
Unlinked diseases	2,602,381		
All diseases and injuries	4,752,415	443,235	9.3

Table 2.6 (continued): Number and proportion of disease due to tobacco use (attributable DALY), by linked disease, 2015

Notes

1. Numbers may not add up to the total due to rounding.

2. The % column is the attributable DALY divided by the linked disease burden in 2015 of that row and the 'all diseases and injuries' row includes the burden from all diseases and injuries in the ABDS 2015.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

The proportion of disease burden due to tobacco use varied by sex and linked disease (Figure 2.6). Males experienced a slightly greater proportion of disease burden due to tobacco use than females for lung cancer (80% and 75%, respectively), coronary heart disease (16% and 10%, respectively) and stroke (12% and 9.7%, respectively).

Females experienced higher proportions of disease burden due to tobacco use than males for COPD (77% and 68%, respectively), oesophageal cancer (57% and 51%, respectively) and bowel cancer (9.3% and 5.8%, respectively). Males and females experienced the same proportion of disease burden for pancreatic cancer (22%) and breast cancer (5.8% and 6.0%, respectively).



2.3 What is the impact of using Australian effect size data?

In the ABDS, data inputs for estimating attributable burden are mostly sourced from the GBD study. The GBD study undertakes meta-analyses of estimates of effect sizes from large numbers of cohorts internationally to estimate the relative risks for each risk factor. However, because they are global estimates, they may not be ideal for the Australian context as there can be large differences in smoking-related relative risks between countries. Ideally, we would use relative risks from the Australian population to calculate burden attributable to risk factors.

The 45 and Up Study, a large ongoing cohort study in New South Wales, is a rich data source for investigating the burden of certain risk factors, including tobacco smoking, on the Australian population (Banks et al. 2008, 2015, 2019; Laaksonen et al. 2018). The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) collaborated with 45 and Up Study researchers at the Australian National University to explore the use of data from this study to estimate national level burden due to tobacco use.

Data from the 45 and Up Study are used in this sensitivity analysis to investigate the impact of Australian-specific effect size data on the estimates of burden attributable to direct tobacco use (for exposure to current and past smoking). Second-hand smoke exposure was not included in the analysis. Furthermore, it was not possible to use the 45 and Up Study for some linked diseases due to:

- insufficient sample size to estimate an effect size (for example, lip and oral cavity cancer)
- limitations of the study design and available linked data (for example, for asthma and type 2 diabetes)
- inconsistent findings in effect sizes with the GBD 2016 (for example, the GBD study found a significant association between breast and prostate cancer and tobacco use, while the 45 and Up Study did not).

For these linked diseases, the relative risks from the GBD study were used to estimate the overall impact of the burden attributable to tobacco use.

Effect sizes (estimated by hazard ratios using mortality outcomes) from the 45 and Up Study were used in this analysis for the following linked diseases: bowel cancer, COPD, coronary heart disease, liver cancer, lower respiratory infections, lung cancer, oesophageal cancer, other respiratory diseases, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer and stroke. GBD effect sizes were used for all other linked diseases. More detailed information on the methods used to compare attributable burden using each data source are in Appendix A.

The burden (DALY) attributable to direct tobacco use using the 45 and Up Study data was 90,313 DALY higher than the estimates based on GBD data, representing a 1.2-fold difference (Table B5). Using 45 and Up Study data also resulted in a higher percentage of total DALY attributable to tobacco use (11% compared with 9.2% using GBD data).

Figure 2.7 shows the attributable burden for each of the linked diseases estimated, using the 45 and Up Study and the GBD data. In terms of numbers of DALY, the largest differences were for COPD and coronary heart disease, differing by 29,385 and 22,487 DALY, respectively (Figure 2.7). The estimates of attributable burden were similar for some of the linked diseases between the 2 studies. For example, while the attributable burden was large for lung cancer, the difference in burden between the data sources was relatively

small (6,146 DALY) or a 1.1-fold difference in attributable burden using the 45 and Up Study data.



Most effect sizes calculated from the 45 and Up Study were higher than those reported by the GBD 2016 study as shown for a select number of linked diseases in Table 2.7. The larger attributable burden due to direct tobacco use for COPD was likely due to the difference in effect sizes between the 45 and Up Study and the GBD 2016.

	45 and Up Study (95% CI*)	GBD 20	16
Linked disease	Persons	Males	Females
Bowel cancer	1.72 (1.05–2.82)	1.33	1.42
COPD	38.36 (25.88–56.87)	11.55	15.26
Coronary heart disease	2.21-5.50 (1.50-8.36)	1.60-4.32	1.79–6.15
Liver cancer	4.51 (2.61–7.8)	2.54	1.72
Lower respiratory infections	3.56 (1.79–7.10)	3.48	3.48
Lung cancer	23.61 (17.91–31.12)	22.51	14.10
Oesophageal cancer	9.07 (4.32–19.01)	6.68	6.36
Other respiratory diseases	2.68 (1.02–7.01)	2.10	1.98
Pancreatic cancer	2.89 (1.91–4.38)	2.51	2.10
Stomach cancer	2.50 (1.14–5.52)	1.93	1.57
Stroke	1.49-4.63 (0.90-8.77)	1.58–4.18	1.78–6.02

Table 2.7: Effect sizes for mortality from select linked diseases: comparison of	of 45	and
Up and GBD 2016 studies		

CI* = confidence interval

Sources: 45 and Up Study; GBD 2016 study.

While the effect size for COPD mortality from the 45 and Up Study may appear high compared with that for the GBD 2016, it is similar to estimates published by other international studies. The effect size for tobacco use linked to COPD mortality in a cohort of women in the United Kingdom was estimated to be 35.3 (Pirie et al. 2013); in a cohort of men and women in the United States aged over 55, the effect size was estimated to be 27.8 and 25.0, respectively (Carter et al. 2015). These estimates are from countries that are comparable to Australia—that is, where current tobacco use prevalence is low and is declining.

Next steps

The GBD 2016 effect sizes are based on a meta-analysis that combines the estimates from multiple studies and, as a result, may be less susceptible to bias. However, they represent an average of findings across multiple countries. The results from the 45 and Up Study suggest the effect sizes in Australia for tobacco use for many of the linked diseases are higher than reported by the GBD 2016. This sensitivity analysis demonstrates the impact of using different effect size data to calculate attributable burden. The ABDS Study provides a platform to highlight the utility of local data while also assessing data limitations. Future studies may enable more detailed investigation and methods to incorporate available data while using international data to supplement data gaps.

3 Tobacco use in key population groups in 2015

The burden attributable to tobacco use is unevenly shared across different population groups in Australia. The results in this chapter present this burden by state and territory, remoteness, socioeconomic group and by people diagnosed with a mental health condition. Other population groups, including Indigenous Australians, were out of scope of this study. Note this section uses the relative risks from the GBD 2016 study.

3.1 State and territory

This section focuses on the differences in the burden attributable to tobacco use across states and territories. Results are primarily presented as age-standardised rates, a method that removes the influence of differences in age structure but not those for other demographic, socioeconomic or environmental factors.

Table 3.1 shows the total burden attributable to tobacco use by state and territory in 2015. New South Wales experienced the greatest number of DALY attributable to tobacco use (145,438 DALY) and the Australian Capital Territory experienced the lowest (4,949 DALY). This reflects the size of the populations in each state and territory.

Tasmania had the highest proportion of total disease burden attributable to tobacco use (13% of all DALY in 2015), followed by the Northern Territory (11%) and Queensland (9.6%). The lowest proportion of disease burden attributable to tobacco use was in the Australian Capital Territory (7.4%) and Victoria (8.6%) (Table 3.1). After taking into account the differences in age structure between the jurisdictions (using age-standardised rates), the Northern Territory experienced a rate of burden attributable to tobacco use that was 2.1 times that of Australia (Table 3.1).

		Attributable DALY				
State/territory	Total DALY	Number	% total DALY	ASR per 1,000 population	Rate ratio	
New South Wales	1,534,304	145,438	9.5	16.4	1.0	
Victoria	1,163,065	100,603	8.6	14.7	0.9	
Queensland	949,909	91,381	9.6	17.4	1.1	
Western Australia	469,289	43,016	9.2	16.1	1.0	
South Australia	374,246	35,245	9.4	16.3	1.0	
Tasmania	125,240	15,985	12.8	23.6	1.4	
Australian Capital Territory	66,516	4,949	7.4	12.9	0.8	
Northern Territory	51,923	5,880	11.3	34.2	2.1	
Australia	4,734,492	442,497	9.3	16.4	1.0	

Table 3.1: Burden	(DALY)	attributable to	tobacco use.	bv state a	nd territory.	2015
Tuble of the Burden	(8/161)			Sy cluto u	na conneory,	

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for Australia.

Source: AIHW analysis of Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

In contrast, the Australian Capital Territory rate of burden was 0.8 times that of Australia. In all states and territories, the rate of burden attributable to tobacco use was higher in males than females (Figure 3.1).



Table 3.2 presents a picture of age-standardised DALY rates for the leading 8 diseases linked to tobacco use, increasing from light blue (low, less than 25% greater than that for Australia) to purple (high, 75% or more than that for Australia). This provides a simple way to highlight those linked diseases and jurisdictions experiencing greater burden attributable to tobacco use.

The age-standardised rate of attributable burden for tobacco use for the leading 8 linked diseases was less than 1.0 per 1,000 population in most jurisdictions (Table 3.2), with the following exceptions:

- The rate of burden for COPD was similar across all states and territories, except for Tasmania (6.4 per 1,000 population) and the Northern Territory (10 per 1,000), where the attributable burden was higher.
- A similar pattern occurred for the rate of burden for lung cancer, with higher rates in Tasmania and the Northern Territory (7.1 and 6.7 per 1,000, respectively).
- Attributable burden rates for coronary heart disease were higher in the Northern Territory (7.9 per 1,000) compared with other states and territories (with rates between 1.0 and 2.1 per 1,000).

Linked diseases	NSW	Vic	Qld	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT	Australia
COPD	4.9	4.4	5.1	4.4	4.6	6.4	4.1	10	4.8
Lung cancer	4.5	3.9	4.9	4.5	4.0	7.1	3.3	6.7	4.5
Coronary heart disease	1.6	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.0	7.9	1.8
Stroke	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.5
Oesophageal cancer	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5
Asthma	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5
Pancreatic cancer	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4
Back pain and problems	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3

Table 3.2: Age standardised rate of burden for the leading 8 diseases linked to tobacco use (DALY ASR per 1,000 population), by state and territory, 2015

Rate difference compared with that for Australia

Less than Australia	<25% greater	25%–49% greater	50%–74% greater	75%+ greater
		<u> </u>		

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

3.2 Remoteness area

For estimates of burden due to tobacco use in this report, remoteness is divided into *Major cities, Inner regional, Outer regional,* and *Remote* and *very remote* areas (combined). These categories are defined by an area's relative distance to services (ABS 2013). Most of Australia's population (89%) lives in *Major cities* and *Inner regional* areas (ABS 2018).

The main aim of this section is to describe the variation in burden attributable to tobacco use across remoteness areas. Results are presented as age-standardised rates, a method that removes the influence of differences in age structure but does not adjust for other demographic, socioeconomic or environmental factors. As *Major cities* are generally considered to experience better overall health status than other areas, age-standardised rates are compared with *Major cities* using rate ratios.

As would be expected due to population sizes, the greatest attributable burden for tobacco use was experienced in *Major cities* (265,245 DALY) and the smallest in *Remote and very remote* areas (12,888 DALY) (Table 3.3).

		Attributable DALY				
Remoteness area	Total DALY	Number	% total DALY	ASR per 1,000 population	Rate ratio	
Major cities	3,114,918	265,245	8.5	14.6	1.0	
Inner regional	999,622	107,595	10.8	18.9	1.3	
Outer regional	478,605	54,092	11.3	20.7	1.4	
Remote and very remote	120,506	12,888	10.7	26.8	1.8	

Table 3.3: Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area, 2015

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for *Major cities*.

Source: AIHW analysis of Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Age-standardised rates show a clear pattern of attributable burden increasing as remoteness increased, with *Remote and very remote* areas experiencing 1.8 times the burden attributable to tobacco use as *Major cities* (Table 3.3; Figure 3.2).



Excess burden

Based on remoteness area, 43,366 attributable DALY due to tobacco use were considered 'excess' due to remoteness. 'Excess' attributable DALY is the burden that would have been avoided if the rate of burden attributable to tobacco use had been the same as in the area with the lowest rate (in this case, *Major cities*). As a proportion of the total attributable burden for tobacco use in Australia, 9.9% was excess due to remoteness. This excess attributable burden was mostly fatal: 37,650 YLL compared with 5,717 YLD (Table 3.4).

When the excess burden attributable to tobacco use was divided into remoteness areas, *Remote and very remote* areas had the highest excess: 45% of this combined area's attributable DALY was excess compared with that for *Major cities. Inner regional* and *Outer regional* areas had 21% and 27% excess burden, respectively.

In *Remote and very remote* areas, 50% of the fatal burden and 30% of the non-fatal burden would have been avoided if these areas had experienced the same attributable burden rates as *Major cities* (Table 3.4).

-	Remoteness area						
	Major cities	Inner regional	Outer regional	Remote and very remote	Australia		
	Non-fatal burden (YLD)						
YLD	75,482	26,834	12,543	2,850	117,709		
YLD (% of total)	64.1	22.8	10.7	2.4	100.0		
Excess YLD ^(b)	0	3,230	1,628	858	5,717		
Excess YLD (% of total) ^(c)	0.0	12.0	13.0	30.1	4.9		
		Fa	atal burden (YLL))			
YLL	189,763	80,761	41,549	10,039	322,111		
YLL (% of total)	58.9	25.1	12.9	3.1	100.0		
Excess YLL ^(b)	0	19,473	13,182	4,995	37,650		
Excess YLL (% of total) ^(c)	0.0	24.1	31.7	49.8	11.7		
	Total burden (DALY)						
DALY	265,245	107,595	54,092	12,888	439,820		
DALY (% of total)	60.3	24.5	12.3	2.9	100.0		
Excess DALY ^(b)	0	22,703	14,810	5,853	43,366		
Excess DALY (% of total) ^(c)	0.0	21.1	27.4	45.4	9.9		

Table 3.4: Distribution of burden and excess burden^(a) for attributable burden (YLD, YLL and DALY) due to tobacco use, by remoteness area, 2015

(a) Excess burden in Australia represents all excess burden attributed to remoteness areas (outside of Major cities).

(b) Observed burden for each area was compared with the expected burden if age-specific burden rates were the same as those for *Major* cities.

(c) The proportion (%) of excess burden is expressed as a percentage of the total observed burden for the remoteness area.

Note: Prevalence estimates and deaths with insufficient geographic detail to align to a remoteness area are excluded from the analysis. *Source:* AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Burden by age and linked disease

For all age groups, the attributable burden increased with increasing remoteness (Figure 3.3). For all remoteness areas, the age-standardised burden rates were lowest in the 30–39 age group (the youngest group in scope for the analysis) and increased with age.



Figure 3.4 shows the age-standardised DALY rate for the leading 6 linked diseases attributable to tobacco use. This shows a general pattern of increasing burden with increasing remoteness for COPD (a rate ratio for *Remote and very remote* to *Major cities* of 1.5), lung cancer (of 1.4), stroke (of 2.2) and asthma (of 1.9). The largest disparity was for coronary heart disease, which had a rate ratio of 3.8. The rate for oesophageal cancer was higher for *Outer regional* areas than for the other remoteness areas.


3.3 Socioeconomic group

In this report, disaggregation by socioeconomic position is defined by groups using an index of relative socioeconomic disadvantage based on the area in which a person lives. This index is determined by factors such as household income, employment and education level, and is developed as part of the Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (ABS 2013).

Socioeconomic comparisons are presented using 5 groups in this analysis. Group 1 represents the 20% of the population living in areas with the lowest socioeconomic characteristics (most disadvantaged). The level of socioeconomic position rises with each group, through to the 20% of the population living in areas with the highest socioeconomic characteristics or the least disadvantaged (socioeconomic group 5).

People in lower socioeconomic groups generally have poorer health outcomes. This disparity is a result of a complex and interrelated set of social and economic factors, including reduced access to both health services and resources, and a higher prevalence of risky behaviours (AIHW 2018b).

Each of these 5 quintiles (or groups) has a similar number of people; however, the lower socioeconomic groups have a larger proportion of older people then the higher groups. Over 90% of the highest socioeconomic group live in *Major cities* compared with just over half from the lowest socioeconomic group. A greater proportion of the Indigenous population and of individuals with disability are also found in the lowest socioeconomic group (ABS 2013).

Table 3.5 shows the total burden attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group. The lowest socioeconomic group experienced the greatest amount of burden attributable to tobacco use with 131,954 DALY (12% of total DALY); this compares with 47,676 DALY (6.5%) in the highest socioeconomic group.

		Attributable DALY					
Socioeconomic group	Total DALY	Number	% total DALY	ASR per 1,000 population	Rate ratio		
1 Lowest	1,129,049	131,954	11.7	24.3	2.6		
2	1,067,682	112,364	10.5	19.9	2.2		
3	959,956	86,430	9.0	15.8	1.7		
4	827,490	65,689	7.9	13.0	1.4		
5 Highest	732,353	47,676	6.5	9.2	1.0		

Table 3.5: Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use,	by socioeconomic group,	2015
-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	------

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for group 5 (highest).

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Adjustment for the age structure of each group shows that the rate of burden attributable to tobacco use increased as socioeconomic position dropped, with the lowest group experiencing a rate of attributable burden that was 2.6 times that of the highest group (Table 3.5). There was a clear gradient of decreasing burden as socioeconomic position increased, for both males and females (Figure 3.5).



Excess burden

Based on socioeconomic groups, 191,824 attributable DALY due to tobacco use were considered 'excess' due to socioeconomic position in Australia in 2015. 'Excess' attributable DALY is the burden that would have been avoided if the rate of burden attributable to tobacco use had been the same as in the group with the lowest rate (in this case, the highest socioeconomic group). As a proportion of the total attributable burden for tobacco use in Australia, 43% was excess due to socioeconomic group. This excess attributable burden was mostly fatal: 157,225 YLL compared with 34,599 YLD (Table 3.6). Apportioning the excess burden into socioeconomic groups, the lowest group had the highest excess for total attributable burden (DALY): 78,770 (or 60%) of the group's attributable DALY was excess in comparison to that of the highest socioeconomic group.

	Socioeconomic group					
	1 Lowest	2	3	4	5 Highest	Australia
			Non-fatal burd	en (YLD)		
YLD	31,826	27,527	23,343	19,900	15,972	118,569
YLD (% of total)	26.8	23.2	19.7	16.8	13.5	100.0
Excess YLD ^(b)	14,265	9,703	6,340	4,290	0	34,599
Excess YLD (% of total) ^(c)	44.8	35.2	27.2	21.6	0.0	29.2
			Fatal burder	ו (YLL)		
YLL	100,128	84,837	63,087	45,789	31,704	325,544
YLL (% of total)	30.8	26.1	19.4	14.1	9.7	100.0
Excess YLL ^(b)	64,505	48,823	29,007	14,891	0	157,225
Excess YLL (% of total) ^(c)	64.4	57.5	46	32.5	0.0	48.3
			Total burden	(DALY)		
DALY	131,954	112,364	86,430	65,689	47,676	444,113
DALY (% of total)	29.7	25.3	19.5	14.8	10.7	100.0
Excess DALY ^(b)	78,770	58,526	35,347	19,181	0	191,824
Excess DALY (% of total) ^(c)	59.7	52.1	40.9	29.2	0.0	43.2

Table 3.6: Distribution of burden and excess burden^(a) for attributable burden (YLD, YLL and DALY) due to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group, 2015

(a) Excess burden in Australia represents all excess burden attributed to socioeconomic groups (outside of group 5).

(b) Observed burden for each group was compared with the expected burden if age-specific burden rates were the same as those for group 5 (highest).

(c) The proportion (%) of excess burden is expressed as a percentage of the total observed burden for a socioeconomic group.

Note: Prevalence estimates and deaths with insufficient information to align to a socioeconomic group are excluded from the analysis. *Source:* AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Burden by age and linked disease

The attributable burden gradient decreased with increasing socioeconomic position across all age groups (Figure 3.6). Rates rose with increasing age, with the greatest increase in the lowest socioeconomic group.

The disparity in attributable burden by socioeconomic group was most evident in the 40–49 age group, where the rate of burden due to tobacco use in the lowest socioeconomic group was more than 10 times that of the highest socioeconomic group (24 DALY compared with 2.3 DALY per 1,000 population).



A disparity across socioeconomic groups was seen in the 6 diseases having most burden due to tobacco use (Figure 3.7). This shows a general pattern of decreasing burden due to tobacco use as socioeconomic group increases for most linked diseases—with the most noticeable gradients observed for coronary heart disease (rate ratio of 4.6), stroke and asthma (4.0 each).



3.4 People diagnosed with a mental health condition: a scenario analysis

People with diagnosed mental health conditions have a higher prevalence of tobacco smoking than those without them (Lawrence et al. 2009). In Australia, the prevalence of tobacco smoking has declined in the general population over a long period, but smoking rates have remained high in people with a diagnosed mental health condition (Table 3.7; AIHW 2017). In 2016, 28% of people diagnosed with, or treated for, mental illness were current smokers compared with 14% of people who had not been diagnosed with, or treated for, a mental illness (Table 3.7). In this report, people diagnosed with, or treated for, mental illness will hereafter be referred to as people with a mental health condition.

			Current smokers		
	Prevalence (20)16)	2010	2016	
Sex	Number	%	%	%	
With a mental health condition					
Males	1,196,394	12.6	33.4	32.0	
Females	1,818,316	18.5	28.8	24.9	
Persons	3,021,164	15.6	30.6	27.7	
Without a men	tal health condition				
Males	8,332,565	87.4	19.7	16.0	
Females	8,001,105	81.5	15.0	11.2	
Persons	16,327,216	84.4	17.4	13.7	

Table 3.7: Prevalence of a mental health condition and current smoking, by sex, 2010 and 2016

Sources: NDSHS 2010, 2016.

People with more severe mental health conditions have higher smoking rates and are more likely to smoke a greater number of cigarettes each day (ABS 2015; Cooper et al. 2012; Dickerson et al. 2018; Greenhalgh et al. 2018). There is also a gap in life expectancy between people with a mental health condition and the general population (Lawrence et al. 2013). People with a mental health condition are also more likely to experience greater morbidity and mortality as a result of smoking-related chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases and cancers (Lawrence et al. 2003, 2013; Prochaska 2011).

However, quantifying the association between a diagnosis of a mental health condition and associated chronic disease burden is complex. Mental health conditions themselves can be risk factors for chronic disease; for instance, depression is an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease (De Hert 2018). For this scenario, due to data limitations, the linked disease burden used in the calculations was based on the underlying assumption that prevalence rates for linked diseases are the same in people with and without a mental health condition. However, the number of DALY was reduced to reflect the size of the population with and without a mental health condition. This type of analysis is also challenging due to the limited data available on disease burden in people with a mental health condition in Australia.

This section presents a scenario to estimate the differences in burden attributable to direct tobacco use from current smoking between people diagnosed with a mental health condition and those without a mental health condition diagnosis in 2015. The burden of

current tobacco use is estimated in people aged 30 and over and is limited to diseases linked to current tobacco use.

The NDSHS was used to estimate the prevalence of tobacco smoking in people who had been diagnosed with, or treated for, a mental health condition in the previous 12 months. The mental health conditions included depression, anxiety disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, an eating disorder and other forms of psychosis (AIHW 2017). More information about the methods used in this scenario is presented in Appendix A.

After taking into account the age composition of each population group, the age-standardised rate was 1.5 times as high in people with a mental health condition (6.0 per 1,000 population) as in those without a mental health condition (4.1 per 1,000 population).

Table 3.8: Burden (DALY) attributable to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, 2015

_					
Mental health condition status	C Number	rude rate per 1,000 population	ASR per 1,000 population	Rate ratio	
No diagnosis	23,740	4.3	4.1	1.0	
Diagnosis	89,170	7.4	6.0	1.5	
Total	112,910				

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for those with no mental health condition.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

The age-standardised attributable DALY rate due to current tobacco use was higher in both males and females with a mental health condition (Figure 3.8). Rates were 1.5 times as high in males and 1.6 times as high in females with a mental health condition as in males and females, respectively, without a mental health condition.





Burden by age

Figure 3.9 shows the amount and rate of attributable burden due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition by age. The DALY rate was higher in people with a mental health condition in all age groups. The burden was greatest in the 50–59 age group in both populations. The rate of burden remained stable in both populations, but the DALY rate increased in those aged 80 and over. The largest difference was in the 90+ age group, with rates 2.0 times as high as in people without a mental health condition.



Burden by linked disease

The age-standardised rate of burden attributable to current tobacco use was higher in people with a mental health condition for the leading 4 linked diseases: coronary heart disease, stroke, asthma, and back pain and problems (Figure 3.10). Rates were 1.6 times as high in people with a mental health condition for asthma and for back pain and problems as for people without a mental health condition. Rates were also higher for stroke (1.5 times as high) and coronary heart disease (1.3 times) for people with a mental health condition compared with people without a mental health condition.



While this scenario analysis shows that the rate of burden attributable to current tobacco use is higher in people with a mental health condition than in people without a mental health condition, it is important to note that these findings are likely to be an underestimate of the true burden in this population group. This is due to the assumption, in this analysis, that the prevalence rates of diseases linked to tobacco use are the same in both populations. More disease prevalence data on people with a mental health condition in Australia could inform more accurate estimates of the burden attributable to modifiable risk factors, such as tobacco use, in this population group.

4 Tobacco use over time

This chapter presents the changes in burden of tobacco use over time, and the potential burden in the years 2020 and 2025 based on current trends.

4.1 Changes in burden from tobacco use between 2003, 2011 and 2015

This section presents the burden in 2003, 2011 and 2015 attributable to tobacco use as a risk factor across all linked diseases. It focuses on the comparison between data for 2003 and 2015 but 2011 data is shown to provide additional context for interpreting trends over time.

The total burden attributable to tobacco use was 2.0% higher in 2015 than in 2003 (443,235 DALY in 2015 compared with 434,504 DALY in 2003) (Table 4.1). There was a small rise in burden attributable to direct tobacco use (current or past smoking)—a 3.2% increase between 2003 and 2015—but a large decline in second-hand smoke exposure (57% decrease between these 2 years). However second-hand smoke exposure was only a very small proportion of total DALY in both years (0.2% in 2003 and 0.1% in 2015).

	Attributable DALY (number)				% of total DALY		
	2003	2011	2015	% change 2003:2015	2003	2011	2015
Direct tobacco use (past/current)	425,939	428,327	439,553	3.2	10.2	9.6	9.2
Second-hand smoke exposure	8,565	6,088	3,682	-57.0	0.2	0.1	0.1
Total	434,504	434,415	443,235	2.0	10.5	9.8	9.3

Table 4.1: Comparison of burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco exposure, 2003,	2011 and
2015	

Note: A negative % change represents a decrease in attributable burden over time.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

When taking into account differences in population size and age structure between 2015, 2011 and 2003, the age-standardised rate of disease burden due to tobacco use fell by 24% between 2003 and 2015 (rate ratio of 0.8). The age-standardised attributable DALY rate showed a smaller fall between 2011 and 2015 (rate ratio of 0.9) which, in part, may reflect larger declines in exposure occurring before 2011. The decrease was greater in males than females in both time periods (Table 4.2).

	Attributable DALY ASR				
Sex	2003	2011	2015	ASR rate ratio 2015:2011	ASR rate ratio 2015:2003
Males	29.4	22.4	20.1	0.9	0.7
Females	14.7	13.7	13.1	1.0	0.9
Persons	21.6	17.8	16.4	0.9	0.8

Table 4.2: Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, 2003, 2011 and 2015

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

The attributable burden was similar within most age groups between 2003 and 2015, with the greatest difference observed in the 80–89 age group (Figure 4.1). The age-specific DALY rates in 2015 were similar to those in 2003 for people aged under 50. In people aged 50 and over, the rates diverged between ages 50–59 and 70–79, after which they converged, and were lower in 2015 than in 2003.



Between 2003 and 2015, the age-standardised rate of attributable burden due to tobacco use fell for the leading 6 linked diseases (COPD, lung cancer, coronary heart disease, oesophageal cancer, stroke and asthma) (Figure 4.2). The largest decrease was seen for coronary heart disease, with a rate ratio comparing 2015 with 2003 of 0.5, followed by stroke, oesophageal cancer, lung cancer, COPD and asthma (rate ratios of between 0.5 and 0.9).



4.2 Drivers of change in total attributable burden over time

The overall burden attributable to tobacco use (DALY) rose 2.0% between 2003 and 2015. The change in tobacco use burden between 2003 and 2015 varied by sex, with a 6.8% decrease in males and 17% increase in females. Driving these changes over time are 4 key factors:

- population size—in Australia this is increasing over time
- population ageing—in Australia the age structure of the population is changing, with the proportion of older people increasing over time
- linked disease burden—this can vary independently of tobacco use. Both the
 prevalence of the linked disease and the average age at death are influenced by
 diagnosis, treatment and severity of the disease as well as by variation in exposure to
 other risk factors linked to these diseases. For example, coronary heart disease is
 linked to both overweight and obesity as well as tobacco use
- risk factor exposure—in this case, changes in the prevalence of direct tobacco use (past/current) and second-hand smoke exposure in Australia.

In this analysis, the contribution of each factor to the change in attributable burden from tobacco use was estimated using methods developed by Das Gupta (Das Gupta 1993). This method takes into account the size of each factor and the interactions between them (see Appendix A).

Each factor may cause burden to rise (indicated by a positive factor of change) or fall (a negative factor of change) over time. The sum of the effect of all factors represents the overall change in burden between 2003 and 2015 (Figure 4.3).



Changes in exposure to tobacco use overall are driving a decrease in attributable burden in males but not in females

When the 2.0% (8,731 DALY) change in burden attributable to tobacco use between 2003 and 2015 was broken down into the 4 factors mentioned earlier, the burden:

- increased 19% (83,910 DALY) due to changes in population size
- increased 11% (46,974 DALY) due to population ageing
- decreased 17% (74,644 DALY) due to changes in linked disease burden
- decreased 11% (47,508 DALY) due to changes in exposure to tobacco use (Figure 4.4; Table B13).

The 6.8% (18,690 DALY) fall in attributable burden due to tobacco use in males consisted of decreases from linked disease burden (20%) and exposure to tobacco use (17%) and increases from population growth (19%) and population ageing (12%) between 2003 and 2015 (Figure 4.4).

The 17% (27,421 DALY) rise in attributable burden due to tobacco use in females consisted of increases from population growth (21%) and population ageing (8.7%) and decreases from linked disease burden (12%) between 2003 and 2015. Exposure to tobacco use overall in females contributed a small increase (0.2%) to the change in total attributable burden over time.



To further understand the changes over time, the changes due to different types of exposures were broken down. The burden due to tobacco use was estimated from exposure to current tobacco use, past smoking and second-hand smoke.

These exposures are linked to different diseases because of the time from exposure to developing the linked disease. Current tobacco use exposure is estimated from prevalence of current tobacco use (5-year lagged smoking rates) and is linked to diseases including coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, asthma and respiratory infections. Past smoking exposure is estimated from lung cancer mortality rates (using the smoking impact ratio) and is linked to cancers such as lung and bowel cancers as well as to chronic respiratory conditions, including COPD.

The change in burden over time showed a very different pattern between current and past tobacco use (Figure 4.5; Table B13).

- Changes in exposure to **current tobacco use** decreased attributable burden in both males (by 23%) and females (by 14%). This reflects greater decreases from exposure to current tobacco use and linked disease burden than increases due to population size and ageing.
- Changes in exposure to **past tobacco use** contributed to a large rise in attributable burden in females (by 33%) but not males (3.2%). This reflects increases from exposure to past tobacco use in females, as well as increases in population growth and ageing, which were larger than the decreases from linked disease burden seen over the period.
- The differences in the effect of exposure to past tobacco use in males and females is reflected in trends in lung cancer mortality rates between 2003 and 2015. For males, lung cancer rates declined, while for females lung cancer mortality rates increased (AIHW 2019c; Table B14).



While the burden due to current tobacco use is declining, the effects of past smoking are expected to continue to have an impact into the near future, particularly on females.

4.3 Potential burden from tobacco use in 2020 and 2025

Estimates of the potential burden due to tobacco use in 2020 and 2025 are based on current trends of exposure to the risk factor. The quality of data underlying these trends varies by measures of exposure and linked disease. For more details on data quality and methods used for these estimates, see Appendix A.

Estimating the association between diseases and linked disease burden in the future is complex. Estimates of burden for the linked diseases in 2020 and 2025 were based on the underlying assumption that disease prevalence rates from the ABDS 2015 would stay the same to the years 2020 and 2025, with increases due to population growth and changes in age structure.

In 2020, the potential burden attributable to tobacco use is estimated to be 472,910 DALY, an rise of 6.7% from 2015 (Table 4.3). This is due to an increase in attributable burden in both males (5.6%) and females (8.2%). In 2025, the potential burden attributable to tobacco use is estimated to be 511,869 DALY, an rise of 16% from 2015. This is mainly due to an increase in attributable burden in females (21%) compared with males (12%).

-	2020					202	25	
	Expected attributable DALY	% change from 2015	Expected ASR (per 1,000)	ASR ratio 2020:2015	Expected attributable DALY	% change from 2015	Expected ASR (per 1,000)	ASR ratio 2025:2015
Males	272,020	5.6	18.8	0.9	287,692	11.7	17.7	0.9
Females	200,890	8.2	12.4	0.9	224,177	20.7	12.3	0.9
Persons	472,910	6.7	15.5	0.9	511,869	15.5	14.9	0.9

Table 4.3: Expected burden (DAL)	Y) attributable to	tobacco use in	2020 and 2025,	and
percentage change from 2015, by	sex			

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

After taking account of the different age structures of male and female populations by using age-standardised rates, the rate of attributable burden due to tobacco use is projected to fall by 5.8% from 16.4 DALY per 1,000 population in 2015 to 15.5 DALY per 1,000 in 2020; and by 9.5% to 14.9 DALY per 1,000 in 2025. The decline projected by 2025 is greater for males (a 12% decline) than for females (a 6.1% decline) (Figure 4.6). This is due to projected decreases in tobacco exposure. The proportion of Australians who smoke tobacco has declined by 50% from 24% in 1991 to 12% in 2016. Between 2001 and 2016, men aged 50–59 had a greater decline in daily smoking rates than women in the same age group (AIHW 2017; Table B2).

The challenge in looking at the burden of tobacco use in the future is that the different types of exposure can have health impacts many years after the exposure. For instance, the diseases linked to past smoking, such as lung cancer and COPD, can take a number of years to develop. Early detection and prevention strategies should be focused on at-risk populations to prevent disease progression and reduce future burden.



When interpreting these results, it is important to note that change over time may be driven by variation in the 4 factors: population growth, population ageing, linked disease burden, and risk factor exposure. More specifically, the burden of cancer and cardiovascular diseases could increase due to rising overweight and obesity rates. Similarly, national smoking rates may not decline over time by the same amount as in the past. This highlights the complexities involved in burden of disease scenario modelling for specific risk factors.

5 Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use combined

This chapter presents estimates of the total, non-fatal and fatal burden due to the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use in Australia for 2015.

5.1 Burden from tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use

Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use were jointly responsible for 16% of the total burden of disease and injuries in 2015, equivalent to 755,430 DALY (Table 5.1). This estimate is the combined impact of burden from diseases linked to tobacco use (largely from cancer, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases groups), alcohol use (where most of the burden is from alcohol use disorders, chronic liver disease, liver cancer and various types of injury) and illicit drug use (where most of the burden is due to drug use disorders).

The DALY attributable to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use combined was higher in males (478,007 DALY) than in females (277,424 DALY). The proportion of the combined burden was also greater in males (19%) than in females (12%).

After adjusting for age, the rate of combined attributable burden was almost twice (1.9 times) as high in males (39 per 1,000 population) as in females (20 per 1,000).

-	-					
	Attributable DALY					
	Number	% of total DALY	ASR (per 1,000)			
Males	478,007	19.0	38.8			
Females	277,424	12.4	20.3			
Persons	755,430	15.9	29.3			

Table 5.1: Burden (DALY) attributable to the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use, by sex, 2015

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Type of burden

Together, tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use contributed to 18% of deaths in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 28,552 deaths (Table 5.2). The number of deaths attributable to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use was higher in males (16,839 or 21% of deaths) than in females (11,713 or 15% of deaths).

After taking into account the age at which these deaths occur, tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use were responsible for 513,570 YLL, which was 22% of total fatal burden in Australia in 2015 (Table 5.2). A higher proportion of fatal burden in males was attributable to tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use (24% of YLL) compared with that for females (19% of YLL).

As well, tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use were jointly responsible for 10% of total non-fatal burden in Australia in 2015, equivalent to 241,860 YLD (Table 5.2). This proportion was higher in males (13% of YLD) compared with females (7.7% of YLD).

	Attributable deaths and burden						
Sex	Deaths	% of total deaths	YLL	% of total YLL	YLD	% of total YLD	
Males	16,839	20.9	331,597	24.1	146,410	12.8	
Females	11,713	15.3	181,974	18.5	95,450	7.7	
Persons	28,552	18.2	513,570	21.8	241,860	10.1	

Table 5.2: Deaths, fatal (YLL) and non-fatal (YLD) burden attributable to the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use, by sex, 2015

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

While recent tobacco control policies have had a positive impact in reducing smoking rates and exposure to second-hand smoke, tobacco use remains the leading risk factor for ill health and premature death in Australia. When combined with alcohol and illicit drug use, it contributes to a large amount of fatal burden and remains a substantial public health problem.

Appendix A: Detailed methods

This Appendix describes in detail the methods used to estimate attributable burden due to tobacco use in this report.

How is attributable burden measured?

Burden attributable to tobacco use was estimated using the comparative risk assessment methodology—a standard approach used globally in burden of disease risk factor analysis (Forouzanfar et al. 2016; Murray et al. 2003). For estimating the burden due to tobacco use, it involved:

- selecting risk-outcome pairs (linked diseases) and effect size (relative risks or hazard ratios)
- determining exposure to tobacco use in the population
- defining the theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution (TMRED)
- calculating the population attributable fraction (PAF)
- quantifying the disease burden due to tobacco use.

Diseases with a strong causal association with tobacco use (termed 'linked diseases') were included in the study, following a review of the literature and review of the methods used by the GBD 2016. For each linked disease, the degree of additional risk (estimated by the effect size) was combined with categorical tobacco use prevalence data to determine the proportion (that is, the PAF) of disease burden due to tobacco use.

The estimated PAFs were applied to disease burden estimates from the ABDS 2015 to quantify the disease burden due to tobacco use in the population.

Selection of linked diseases

In this study, as in the ABDS 2015, 41 diseases were linked to tobacco use (Table A1). The effect sizes for all linked diseases were sourced from the GBD 2016 study—except for the sensitivity analysis (see the 'Effect sizes for tobacco use' section later in this appendix for more detail).

Tobacco exposure	Linked diseases
Second-hand smoke	Lung cancer, otitis media, lower respiratory infections, influenza, breast cancer, type 2 diabetes, stroke, coronary heart disease
Current smoking (5-year lagged)	Asthma, type 2 diabetes, gastroduodenal disorders, gallbladder and biliary diseases, dementia, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, back pain, cataract and other lens disorders, age-related macular degeneration, lower respiratory infections, coronary heart disease, stroke, hypertensive heart disease, atrial fibrillation and flutter, aortic aneurysm, peripheral vascular disease, other cardiovascular diseases
Past smoking (Smoking Impact Ratio)	Bladder cancer, COPD, cervical cancer, bowel cancer, oesophageal cancer, interstitial lung diseases, kidney cancer, liver cancer, lung cancer, mouth and pharyngeal cancer, other respiratory diseases, nasopharynx cancer, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, lip and oral cavity cancer, laryngeal cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, leukaemia (acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, acute myeloid leukaemia, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, chronic myeloid leukaemia), other leukaemias

Table A1: Diseases linked to different tobacco smoke exposures

Diseases that were identified by the GBD as having a causal association with tobacco use are referred to as 'linked diseases'. This analysis included only those with 'convincing' or 'probable' support of a causal association, based on a literature review, using criteria set by the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF/AICR 2007).

Linked diseases were included in the analysis if there was an association with tobacco use based on high-quality epidemiological studies—preferably from a meta-analysis or prospective studies—with 'convincing' or 'probable' evidence, based on the World Cancer Research Fund criteria to judge the level of association.

Each potential linked disease was categorised based on the robustness and volume of studies showing a relationship. 'Convincing' evidence describes a causal relationship that is 'robust enough to be highly unlikely to be modified in the foreseeable future as new evidence accumulates' (WCRF/AICR 2007). 'Probable' evidence suggests that a causal relationship is often described and that this is unlikely to change with increased knowledge.

Theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution

The estimated contribution of a risk factor to disease burden is calculated by comparing the observed risk factor distribution with an alternative, hypothetical distribution (the counterfactual scenario). This could be an rise or fall in levels of exposure, or changes in behaviour compared with what is currently observed in the population. In all ABDS studies, a TMRED scenario was adopted. This involved determining the hypothetical exposure distribution that would lead to the lowest conceivable disease burden.

The TMRED for tobacco use is 'no tobacco use'. This includes no lifetime exposure to tobacco use or second-hand smoke.

Estimates of exposure to tobacco smoke in Australia

Exposure for 2015 estimates

The NDSHS 2010 was used to estimate the proportion of the population who are current and former smokers. Data from 2010 enable the measurement of the effects of tobacco exposure after a 5-year lag. The NDSHS 2016 was used to estimate the proportion of non-smokers exposed to environmental tobacco in the home (second-hand smoke).

The PAFs for cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, asthma and respiratory infections were calculated from the proportion of individuals in the NDSHS 2010 who reported smoking daily, weekly or less than weekly. Using these data for current or former smokers allows for a 5-year lag between exposure and these disease outcomes.

Due to the much longer lag between smoking and the incidence of cancers and chronic respiratory conditions, the tobacco attributable burden for those disease outcomes cannot be estimated from data on the current or recent prevalence. For these conditions, the 'smoking impact ratio' (described by Peto et al. 1992) was used as an indirect method to estimate the accumulated risk from tobacco smoking. Lung cancer mortality in the 3 reference years (by age and sex) from the National Mortality Database was compared with lung cancer mortality rates among a cohort of smokers and never-smokers in the United States (Peto et al. 1992). The excess mortality seen in the Australian population, compared with the cohort of non-smokers, is used to determine the proportion of the population living with accumulated tobacco risk. The burden attributable to past smoking was estimated in people aged 40 and over because the small number of lung cancer deaths observed in those aged 30–39 resulted in unreliable PAFs.

Exposure for 2011 and 2003 estimates

The NDSHS 2007 (5-year lag) was used to estimate the proportion of the population who are current and former smokers for 2011. The NDSHS 2010 was used to estimate the proportion of non-smokers exposed to second-hand smoke. The National Mortality Database 2011 was used to estimate lung cancer mortality.

National exposure estimates for 2003 were calculated from the earlier iterations of the same surveys used for the 2011 estimates—applied to the 1998 NDSHS (5-year lag) and followed the same method.

Effect sizes for tobacco use

Burden of disease studies use effect sizes (relative risks or hazard ratios) to measure the strength of the causal association between risk factors and the linked disease. For tobacco use, the relative risks were adopted from the GBD 2016 (GBD 2016 Risk Factor Collaborators 2017).

Each relative risk was applied to both fatal and non-fatal burden, based on the GBD 2016.

As well as this analysis, data from the 45 and Up Study was used to assess effect sizes for a selected set of linked diseases. Relative risk estimates based on data from the 45 and Up Study were provided to the AIHW. These estimates were then used in a sensitivity analysis to investigate the impact of using Australian-specific effect size data on the estimates of attributable burden due to direct tobacco use (from the exposures to current and past smoking). Data for second-hand smoke exposure were not included in the sensitivity analysis.

Effect sizes (estimated by hazard ratios) from the 45 and Up Study were used in this analysis for the following linked diseases: bowel cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), coronary heart disease, liver cancer, lower respiratory infections, lung cancer, oesophageal cancer, other respiratory diseases, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer and stroke.

These linked diseases were used as the 45 and Up Study had sufficient numbers to produce estimates for them, and the effect sizes had significant findings (lower confidence interval greater than 1). For the linked diseases breast cancer and prostate cancer, the findings from the 45 and Up Study was not significant. Further, the relative risks from the GBD 2016 were very small, suggesting that a meta-analysis is the most appropriate source to determine if they should be linked diseases; the GBD 2016 estimates were used.

Calculation of population attributable fractions

Population attributable fractions (PAFs) determine the proportion of a particular disease that could have potentially been avoided if the population had never been exposed to a risk factor.

The calculation of PAFs requires the input of:

- the effect size, or the relative risk (RR), of the risk factor on the outcome of interest
- the prevalence of exposure in the population (P).

The PAF is calculated as:

$$PAF = \frac{P(RR-1)}{P(RR-1)+1}$$

Calculation of attributable burden

The burden attributable to tobacco use can be estimated using the calculated PAFs for each linked disease (Box A1) and the total disease burden estimated in the ABDS 2015.

Attributable burden (AB) is calculated as:

$$AB = PAF \times C$$

where:

C is the total burden (DALY) of a specific outcome (for example, stroke).

For detailed information about the ABDS 2015 and methods used to calculate disease burden, see AIHW 2019b.

Box A1: Example calculation of linked disease burden due to tobacco use

Comparative risk assessment

Consider coronary heart disease (CHD) as a linked disease in this study. This example summarises the calculations to estimate the proportion of CHD burden that is due to tobacco use in the Australian population.

This proportion is estimated using a population attributable fraction (PAF that takes into account the number of people exposed to the risk factor (in this case, tobacco use) in each age group and sex, and the size of the association between the risk factor and the linked disease (in this case CHD).

Using women aged 40–44 as an example, the PAF calculation uses the number of women in this age range who were current smokers (exposed to tobacco use—P) (21%) and the relative risk (RR) of developing lung cancer due to tobacco use (4.86 from the GBD 2016). This is calculated using the following formula:

PAF = P(RR-1)/P(RR-1) + 1

Using this formula for lung cancer and tobacco use, we get:

PAF = 0.208(4.86-1)/0.208(4.86-1) + 1

PAF = 0.445

The PAF is then multiplied by the number of DALY for the linked disease (in 2015, 1,602 DALY were estimated for CHD in Australian women aged 40–44) to estimate the attributable burden as follows:

Attributable burden = PAF x DALY

Attributable burden = 0.445 x 1,602

Attributable burden = 713.4

Therefore, 713 DALY from CHD in women aged 40–44 were attributable to tobacco use. Note that these calculations are done separately for each age group and sex and then summed to estimate the total attributable burden number (45,830 DALY) and proportion for CHD due to tobacco use (10%).

Estimates for key population groups

Sub-national populations

Sub-national estimates were produced by:

- state and territory for all 8 Australian jurisdictions
- remoteness categories—based on the 2011 Australian Statistical Geographic Standard, which is divided into 5 remoteness areas: *Major cities, Inner regional, Outer regional, Remote* and Very remote; in this report, data for *Remote* and Very remote were combined and are referred to as *Remote and very remote* areas
- socioeconomic groups—presented as groups from lowest (group 1), or most disadvantaged, to highest (group 5), or least disadvantaged, based on the relative socioeconomic characteristics of the area of residence as defined by the Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas.

Analysis by state and territory, remoteness area and socioeconomic group was based on:

- the risk exposure by these disaggregations from the same data source as the national data
- disease burden estimates by these disaggregations from the ABDS 2015
- the PAFs for tobacco use for these population groups.

People diagnosed with a mental health condition: a scenario analysis

A scenario analysis was performed to compare the attributable burden due to tobacco use in people with and without a mental health condition. The methods used in this scenario analysis are outlined in Figure A1.

For this analysis, the methods for current tobacco use were used to calculate the proportion of relevant linked diseases attributable to direct tobacco use (excluding those linked to past smoking) in each population group. It was not possible to estimate the burden of past smoking, as this requires an estimate of lung cancer mortality in people with or without a mental health condition. It was also not possible to estimate the burden linked to second-hand smoke due to the small number of people exposed in Australia. The burden of current tobacco use in this scenario analysis was estimated in people aged 30 and over as most health impacts occur from this age onwards.

Current smoking exposure rates were obtained from the 2010 NDSHS (5-year lag) in people with and without a diagnosis of, or treatment for, a mental health condition in the previous 12 months. The mental health conditions included depression, anxiety disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, an eating disorder and other forms of psychosis (AIHW 2017). Effect sizes were based on relative risks from the GBD 2016 for current smokers and used to calculate PAFs for people with and without a mental health condition by age, sex and linked disease. Attributable burden for both population groups was calculated as described above (Box A1).

The burden of linked diseases (such as cardiovascular diseases and asthma) was kept at the same rate in people with and without a mental health condition. However, the number of DALY was reduced (weighted) to reflect the size of the population with and without a mental health condition.



Denominator population for calculating rates

The 2016 NDSHS was used to determine the number of people with or without a mental health condition in 2015, which was then used as the denominator to calculate crude and age-standardised rates.

The prevalence rates of people with or without a mental health condition by age and sex from the 2016 NDSHS were applied to the 2015 Australian population used in the ABDS 2015 study. It was assumed that there was no mental health condition prevalence in the youngest age group. The prevalence of a mental health condition in boys aged 1–14 was modelled using a linear trend. In girls aged 1–14, the trend in boys was adjusted using male-to-female ratios calculated using mental health condition prevalence data from the ABDS 2011 study. The prevalence in people aged 90+ was modelled using an exponential growth curve and the data for people aged 65–89.

The 2016 NDSHS was considered to be the most appropriate available data source to use as the denominator for this scenario analysis as it was the closest to the ABDS reference year and used the same mental health condition definition as the 2010 NDHS data used in the numerator.

Drivers of change in attributable burden over time

The Das Gupta method was used to decompose the changes in burden attributable to tobacco into 4 additive components (Das Gupta 1993). Using a series of scenarios, this method calculates the effect of each factor on the changes over time by assuming that all other factors, except the factor under consideration, remain the same at both time points. The benefit of this method compared with a step-wise method of decomposition is that the order of comparison does not matter. The method distributes the interaction effects (such as the relationship between an ageing population and disease burden) between the factors in proportion to the strength of the main effects (Zhai et al. 2017).

The change in attributable burden is decomposed into changes due to:

- population size—in Australia this is increasing over time
- population ageing—in Australia the proportion of older people is increasing over time
- linked disease burden—this varies with prevalence of the disease and the average age at death, each of which is influenced by diagnosis, treatment and severity as well as by variation in exposure to other risk factors linked to these diseases
- risk factor exposure—in this case, changes in the prevalence of tobacco use and second-hand smoke in Australia.

Attributable burden is estimated as the product of these 4 factors using the formula:

$$B_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m P_t \times S_{ijt} \times R_{ijt} \times F_{ijt}$$

where

- B_t is the amount of burden (DALY) attributable to a particular risk factor at time point t
- *i* is a type of exposure to the risk factor, such as current tobacco use
- *n* is all types of exposure included in the estimate for the risk factor
- *j* is an age and sex group

- *m* is age and sex groups included (males and females aged 0 to 100+)
- *t* is a time point
- P_t is the total population size at time t
- S_{ijt} is the share of the population in age and sex group *i* at time *t*
- R_{ijt} is the rate burden of diseases linked to exposure *i* in the age and sex group *j* at time *t*
- F_{ijt} is the PAF of diseases linked to exposure *i* in age and sex group *j* at time *t*
- \sum is the sum of all types of exposure *i* and all age and sex groups *j*.

Using this method, the effect of each of the 4 factors—population size, population ageing, linked disease burden and risk factor exposure—on the change in attributable burden between 2003 and 2015 is calculated as:

$$\begin{split} E_A &= (B_{03} - B_{15}) (\frac{P_{03}S_{03}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{15}R_{15}F_{15}}{5} \\ &+ \frac{P_{03}S_{03}R_{03}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{03}R_{15}F_{03} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{03}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{15}R_{03}F_{15} + P_{15}S_{03}R_{15}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{15}F_{15}}{20} \\ &+ \frac{P_{03}S_{03}R_{15}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{03}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{15}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{15}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{03}R_{03}F_{15}}{20} \\ &+ \frac{P_{15}S_{03}R_{15}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{03}F_{15} + P_{03}S_{15}R_{15}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{15}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{15}R_{03}F_{03} + P_{15}S_{03}R_{03}F_{15}}{30} \end{split}$$

where

- *E_A* is the effect of factor *A* (population size, population ageing, linked disease burden and risk factor exposure)
- *B* is the amount of burden (DALY) attributable to the risk factor in 2003 (B_{03}) in 2015 (B_{15})
- P is the population size in 2003 (P_{03}) or in 2015 (P_{15})
- S is the population age structure in 2003 (S_{03}) or in 2015 (S_{15})
- R is the rate burden of diseases linked to risk factor in 2003 (R_{03}) or in 2015 (R_{15})
- *F* is the PAF of diseases linked to exposure in 2003 (F_{03}) or in 2015 (F_{15}).

The estimates were calculated using a statistical program developed by Jinjing Li from the University of Canberra (Li 2017).

Estimating potential burden in 2020 and 2025

The 'potential burden' reported in this study estimates the level of future burden, assuming current trends in tobacco use continue. The potential burden due to tobacco use in the years 2020 and 2025 was calculated, based on trends in tobacco use, using data from the NDSHS and the ABDS 2015. We have used the term 'projected' throughout this report.

These projections are mathematical extrapolations of current trends and assume that the most recent trend will continue into the near future. Although not forecasts (which may take into acount other changes such as treatment and the prevalence of other risk factors), they do illustrate what the future might reasonably be expected to look like if current trends continue.

For current tobacco use, estimates in 2020 of the prevalence of tobacco use from the NDSHS in 2016 (5-year lag) were used. For estimates in 2025 the prevalence of tobacco use was projected using the log-linear trends of actual prevalence in Australia, using successive NDSHS between 2004–2016.

For second-hand smoke, estimates in 2020 and 2025, log-linear trends in prevalence of second-hand smoke exposure from NDSHSs between 2004 and 2016 were used.

For past tobacco use, log-linear trends in national lung cancer mortality rates from the National Mortality Database were used to estimate mortality rates by age and sex in 2020 and 2025.

Estimating the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use

In the ABDS 2015, tobacco use, alcohol and illicit drug use were assessed as independent risk factors. This means that the attributable burden estimates for various risk factors in the ABDS 2015 cannot be added together. This is due to the complex relationships and interactions between risk factors.

To overcome this issue, the combined effect (known as the 'joint effect' in other reports) of selected risk factors can be estimated. In this study, the PAF for each linked disease was estimated using the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use.

The PAF for the combined effect is calculated as:

$$PAF = 1 - \prod (1 - PAF_r)$$

where:

- PAF is the population attributable fraction of burden attributable to a disease from the risk factors combined
- PAF_r is the population attributable fraction for risk factor 'r' and linked disease
- the product Π applies to all risk factors within the cluster.

This formula has been used in several other burden of disease studies as it caps the estimated combined attributable burden to 1 for each linked disease, therefore avoiding the possibility of the proportion's exceeding the total disease burden.

Appendix B: Additional tables

Table B1: Attributable deaths due to tobaccouse, by age, 2015

Age group (years)	Attributable deaths (number)
0–29	1
30–39	102
40–49	562
50–59	1,823
60–69	3,763
70–79	5,608
80–89	6,476
90+	2,599
Total	20,933

Note: Numbers may not add up to the total due to rounding.

Daily ^(a)								Ex-smok	(er ^(b)			
Age group (years)	2001	2004	2007	2010	2013	2016	2001	2004	2007	2010	2013	2016
Males												
30–39	26.9	24.0	22.7	20.2	17.1	17.0	21.8	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.3	20.9
40–49	23.4	22.8	21.8	20.2	17.9	19.1	33.9	30.9	29.7	28.6	26.5	25.6
50–59	20.1	18.2	20.1	18.8	16.7	14.4	44.2	41.0	38.3	34.6	35.7	32.7
60–69	12.7	14.0	13.9	13.7	12.9	11.5	50.8	47.8	45.6	43.5	41.7	39.4
70+	7.0	7.4	6.6	7.0	6.6	7.3	55.6	57.1	51.9	48.4	46.8	43.8
Females												
30–39	24.2	21.8	18.9	16.8	10.3	11.1	25.6	26.6	25.2	25.6	23.6	23.5
40–49	20.6	20.2	20.6	18.8	14.5	14.8	29.0	30.9	27.8	28.4	29.2	27.1
50–59	16.0	14.5	15.0	16.0	13.4	14.1	26.0	27.7	27.4	28.3	32.5	30.6
60–69	10.1	9.2	10.7	11.6	10.3	9.2	26.9	29.1	29.4	29.2	29.0	28.0
70+	4.6	4.4	6.1	4.5	5.2	4.9	26.2	27.8	24.7	21.5	22.6	25.0
Persons												
30–39	25.5	22.9	20.8	18.5	13.7	14.0	23.7	24.9	24.1	24.3	23.5	22.3
40–49	22.1	21.5	21.2	19.5	16.2	16.9	31.5	30.9	28.8	28.5	27.9	26.4
50–59	18.1	16.3	17.5	17.4	15.0	14.3	35.3	34.4	32.8	31.4	34.1	31.6
60–69	11.3	11.4	12.2	12.7	11.6	10.4	37.5	37.6	37.0	36.4	35.3	33.6
70+	5.7	5.9	6.3	5.6	5.8	6.0	40.1	41.7	37.5	33.3	33.4	33.6

Table B2: Tobacco smoking status, people aged 30 and over, by age and sex, 2001 to 2016 (%)

(a) Current smokers.

(b) Smoked at least 100 cigarettes (manufactured and/or roll-your-own) or the equivalent amount of tobacco in their life and reported no longer smoking (past smokers).

Note: Data for occasional smokers and those who never smoked are not included. The data will not sum to 100%.

Source: NDSHS 2016.

	Male	s (%)	Femal	es (%)
Linked disease	Fatal burden	Non-fatal burden	Fatal burden	Non-fatal burden
Pancreatic cancer	98.5	1.5	98.2	1.8
Liver cancer	98.5	1.5	98.5	1.5
Lung cancer	98.0	2.0	97.9	2.1
Oesophageal cancer	98.0	2.0	97.3	2.7
Bowel cancer	93.0	7.0	92.4	7.6
Lip and oral cavity cancer	91.6	8.4	89.6	10.4
Breast cancer	82.8	17.2	85.5	14.5
Stroke	83.2	16.8	84.2	15.8
Coronary heart disease	82.4	17.6	75.5	24.5
COPD	53.2	46.8	46.6	53.4
Asthma	7.4	92.6	6.1	93.9
Back pain and problems	0.1	99.9	0.6	99.4

Table B3: Proportion of fatal and non-fatal burden due to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015

Note: Bowel and breast cancers were not in the leading 10 linked diseases for males, while liver and lip/oral cavity cancers were not in the leading 10 linked diseases for females.

		Males		Females		
Linked disease	Total DALY	Attributable DALY	% of linked disease	Total DALY	Attributable DALY	% of linked disease
Lung cancer	91,850	73,454	80.0	65,635	48,931	74.5
COPD	92,367	63,112	68.3	91,670	70,157	76.5
Coronary heart disease	216,774	34,165	15.8	111,999	11,665	10.4
Oesophageal cancer	18,651	9,475	50.8	5,836	3,313	56.8
Stroke	62,511	7,548	12.1	65,536	6,341	9.7
Pancreatic cancer	27,153	5,969	22.0	21,382	4,708	22.0
Asthma	53,978	5,386	10.0	66,796	5,602	8.4
Liver cancer	25,015	5,352	21.4	10,799	1,594	14.8
Back pain and problems	97,862	4,632	4.7	98,356	3,850	3.9
Lip and oral cavity cancer	7,580	4,198	55.4	3,318	1,597	48.1
Other cardiovascular diseases	23,287	4,164	17.9	20,734	2,717	13.1
Bladder cancer	12,015	4,053	33.7	4,527	1,391	30.7
Lower respiratory infections	20,020	3,965	19.8	18,967	2,584	13.6
Type 2 diabetes	58,968	3,857	6.5	43,746	710	1.6
Bowel cancer	54,713	3,200	5.8	42,223	3,928	9.3
Prostate cancer	50,471	2,615	5.2			
Atrial fibrillation and flutter	23,004	2,572	11.2	21,613	1,174	5.4
Laryngeal cancer	3,569	2,571	72.0	570	553	96.9
Kidney cancer	11,976	2,344	19.6	5,854	666	11.4
Rheumatoid arthritis	40,561	2,226	5.5	54,093	2,238	4.1
Dementia	69,188	2,173	3.1	110,615	1,969	1.8
Stomach cancer	13,822	2,000	14.5	8,067	913	11.3
Acute myeloid leukaemia	10,390	1,532	14.7	7,616	254	3.3
Aortic aneurysm	8,724	1,299	14.9	4,942	381	7.7
Other respiratory disease	6,533	1,061	16.2	6,675	1,483	22.2
Hypertensive heart disease	6,118	978	16.0	5,800	363	6.3

Table B4: Attributable burden (DALY) due to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015

(continued)

	Males				Females	
Linked disease	Total DALY	Attributable DALY	% of linked disease	Total DALY	Attributable DALY	% of linked disease
Nasopharyngeal cancer	1,628	785	48.2	560	198	35.3
Chronic Iymphocytic Ieukaemia	3,062	550	18.0	1,649	79	4.8
Peripheral vascular disease	4,853	500	10.3	3,951	284	7.2
Other leukaemias	2,724	439	16.1	1,971	79	4.0
Gastroduodenal disorders	3,431	407	11.9	2,447	147	6.0
Multiple sclerosis	3,520	315	9.0	9,368	702	7.5
Age-related macular degeneration Chronic myeloid	2,804	169	6.0	4,602	158	3.4
leukaemia	1,014	146	14.4	547	22	4.1
Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia	2,194	114	5.2	2,379	41	1.7
Cataract	1,758	83	4.7	1,944	57	2.9
Gallbladder and bile duct disease	2,902	65	2.2	3,149	47	1.5
Breast cancer	536	31	5.8	69,690	4,181	6.0
Influenza	2,776	16	0.6	2,792	11	0.4
Otitis media	268	2	0.6	251	2	0.6
Cervical cancer				6,792	625	9.2
Total	2,520,652	257,522	10.2	2,231,762	185,713	8.3

Table B4 (continued): Attributable burden (DALY) due to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015

Note: Numbers may not add up to the total due to rounding.

	Attr			
Linked disease	45 and Up Study	GBD 2016	Difference	Fold higher
COPD	162,655	133,270	29,385	1.2
Coronary heart disease	66,818	44,331	22,487	1.5
Stroke	24,265	13,493	10,772	1.8
Liver cancer	14,227	6,945	7,282	2.0
Lung cancer	127,798	121,652	6,146	1.1
Bowel cancer	12,733	7,128	5,605	1.8
Pancreatic cancer	13,972	10,677	3,295	1.3
Oesophageal cancer	14,895	12,787	2,108	1.2
Stomach cancer	4,902	2,912	1,990	1.7
Other respiratory diseases	3,635	2,544	1,091	1.4
Lower respiratory infections	6,518	6,364	154	1.0
All linked diseases	529,866	439,553	90,313	1.2

Table B5: Attributable burden (DALY) of direct tobacco use, comparison of the 45 and Up and GBD 2016 studies, by selected linked diseases, persons, 2015

Sources: 45 and Up Study; AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015; GBD 2016 study.

		Males		Females			Persons		
Remoteness area	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio
Major cities	152,357	18.0	1.0	112,888	11.6	1.0	265,245	14.6	1.0
Inner regional	62,865	22.8	1.3	44,730	15.3	1.3	107,595	18.9	1.3
Outer regional	32,723	25.0	1.4	21,368	16.3	1.4	54,092	20.7	1.4
Remote and very remote	8,116	31.8	1.8	4,773	21.1	1.8	12,888	26.8	1.8

Table B6: Age-standardised DALY rates and rate ratio of burden attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area and sex, 2015

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for *Major cities*.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Table B7: Age-standardised DALY rates per 1,000 population of burden attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area and selected linked diseases, 2015

Linked disease	Total	Major cities	Inner regional	Outer regional	Remote and very remote	Rate ratio
COPD	4.8	4.3	5.6	5.9	6.6	1.5
Lung cancer	4.4	4.0	5.2	5.5	5.8	1.4
Coronary heart disease	1.7	1.5	2.0	2.3	5.7	3.8
Stroke	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.0	2.2
Asthma	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.8	1.9
Oesophageal cancer	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.7

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for *Major cities*.

	Males				Females			Persons		
Socioeconomic group	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio	DALY	ASR per 1,000	Rate ratio	
1 Lowest	78,188	30.2	2.9	52,353	18.9	2.3	131,954	24.3	2.6	
2	64,908	24.4	2.3	46,432	15.8	1.9	112,364	19.9	2.2	
3	50,026	19.5	1.9	35,748	12.5	1.5	86,430	15.8	1.7	
4	36,865	15.7	1.5	28,364	10.6	1.3	65,689	13.0	1.4	
5 Highest	24,873	10.4	1.0	22,466	8.2	1.0	47,676	9.2	1.0	

Table B8: Age-standardised DALY rates and rate ratio of burden attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group and sex, 2015

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for group 5 (highest).

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Table B9: Age-standardised DALY rates per 1,000 population of burden attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group and selected linked diseases, 2015

			_				
Linked disease	Total	1 Lowest	2	3	4	5 Highest	Rate ratio
COPD	4.8	6.5	5.5	4.5	4.2	3.1	2.1
Lung cancer	4.4	6.1	5.5	4.4	3.5	2.5	2.4
Coronary heart disease	1.7	3.3	2.1	1.5	1.1	0.7	4.6
Stroke	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.2	4.0
Asthma	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	4.0
Oesophageal cancer	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	2.3

Notes

1. Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

2. Rate ratios divide the ASR by the ASR for group 5 (highest).

		Attributable	DALY		
Sex	Mental health condition status	Crude rate per 1,000 population	ASR per 1,000 population	Rate ratio	
Males	No diagnosis	5.6	5.5		
	Diagnosis	10.3	8.1	1.5	
Females	No diagnosis	3.0	2.7		
	Diagnosis	5.5	4.5	1.6	
Persons	No diagnosis	4.3	4.1		
	Diagnosis	7.4	6.0	1.5	

Table B10: Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by sex, 2015

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Table B11: Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by selected linked disease, 2015

	ASR per 1,000 population		
Linked disease	Mental health condition	No mental health condition	Rate ratio
Coronary heart disease	2.2	1.6	1.3
Stroke	0.7	0.5	1.5
Asthma	0.7	0.4	1.6
Back pain and problems	0.5	0.3	1.6
Table B12: Number and ASRs of burden (DALY per 1,000 population) attributable to tobacco use, for selected linked diseases, 2003	3, 2011 and		
--	-------------		
2015			

	200)3	20	11	201	5	
Linked disease	Number	ASR	Number	ASR	Number	ASR	ASR ratio 2015:2003
COPD	106,729	5.3	120,153	4.9	133,270	4.8	0.9
Lung cancer	111,026	5.5	122,693	5.0	122,384	4.5	0.8
Coronary heart disease	71,091	3.5	50,746	2.1	45,830	1.8	0.5
Stroke	20,517	1.0	15,842	0.7	13,888	0.5	0.5
Oesophageal cancer	12,403	0.6	13,051	0.5	12,787	0.5	0.8
Asthma	9,754	0.5	10,419	0.5	10,988	0.5	0.9

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

	Type of exposure to	Attributable burden 2003	Attributable burden 2015	Actual c	hange	Risk fa expos	ictor sure	Linked di burde	sease en	Populat growt	ion h	Population a	geing
Sex	tobacco use	DALY	DALY	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Males													
	All	276,212	257,522	-18,690	-6.8	-47,811	-17.3	-55,454	-20.1	51,366	18.6	33,208	12.0
	Current tobacco use	93,687	72,468	-21,219	-22.6	-26,552	-28.3	-16,684	-17.8	16,052	17.1	5,964	6.4
	Past tobacco use	176,844	182,518	5,674	3.2	-18,355	-10.4	-37,292	-21.1	34,503	19.5	26,819	15.2
	Second-hand smoke	5,681	2,537	-3,144	-55.3	-2,896	-51.0	-1,467	-25.8	801	14.1	418	7.4
Females													
	All	158,292	185,713	27,421	17.3	254	0.2	-19,260	-12.2	32,618	20.6	13,809	8.7
	Current tobacco use	46,945	40,196	-6,749	-14.4	-11,705	-24.9	-5,866	-12.5	8,351	17.8	2,472	5.3
	Past tobacco use	108,463	144,372	35,909	33.1	13,640	12.6	-12,765	-11.8	23,882	22.0	11,153	10.3
	Second-hand smoke	2,884	1,145	-1,739	-60.3	-1,683	-58.4	-631	-21.9	390	13.5	186	6.4
Persons													
	All	434,504	443,235	8,731	2.0	-47,508	-10.9	-74,644	-17.2	83,910	19.3	46,974	10.8
	Current tobacco use	140,632	112,664	-27,968	-19.9	-38,257	-27.2	-22,550	-16.0	24,403	17.4	8,436	6.0
	Past tobacco use	285,307	326,889	41,583	14.6	-4,716	-1.7	-50,058	-17.5	58,384	20.5	37,972	13.3
	Second-hand smoke	8,565	3,682	-4,883	-57.0	-4,579	-53.5	-2,098	-24.5	1,191	13.9	603	7.0

	Table B13: Breakdown of	f changes in burden	attributable to tobacco use	between 2003 and 2015, b	y sex and type of	exposure to tobacco use
--	-------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Note: Numbers and percentages may not add up to the total due to rounding.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Sex	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Males	49.8	51.2	49.4	47.9	46.8	48.6	44.8	45.1	43.8	41.8	41.4	39.9	39.2
Females	22.5	22.5	23.5	22.9	24.2	23.8	23.9	24.4	23.7	23.7	22.9	22.9	23.6
Persons	34.6	35.2	35.0	34.0	34.4	34.8	33.2	33.6	32.6	31.9	31.3	30.6	30.6

Table B14: ASRs (deaths per 100,000 population), lung cancer, by sex, Australia, 2003–2015

Source: AIHW General Record of Incidence of Mortality (GRIM) data.

Table B15: Expected burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use in 2020 and 2025, and percentage change from 2015, by sex

		2015		2020				2025				
Sex	Attributable DALY	% of total DALY	ASR (per 1,000)	Expected attributable DALY	% change from 2015	Expected ASR (per 1,000)	ASR ratio 2020:2015	Expected attributable DALY	% change from 2015	Expected ASR (per 1,000)	ASR ratio 2025:2015	
Males	257,522	10.2	20.1	272,020	5.6	18.8	0.9	287,692	11.7	17.7	0.9	
Females	185,713	8.3	13.1	200,890	8.2	12.4	0.9	224,177	20.7	12.3	0.9	
Persons	443,235	9.3	16.4	472,910	6.7	15.5	0.9	511,869	15.5	14.9	0.9	

Note: Rates were age-standardised to the 2001 Australian Standard Population and are expressed per 1,000 population.

Source: AIHW analysis of the Australian Burden of Disease Database, 2015.

Acknowledgments

This report was authored by Anna Reynolds, Vanessa Prescott and Paula Laws of the Burden of Disease and Mortality Unit at the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), under the guidance of Michelle Gourley, Karen Bishop and Richard Juckes.

Cathy Claydon, Julianne Garcia, Melanie Dunford, David Whitelaw and Moira Hewitt, also from the AIHW, provided constructive comments and review. Their contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

The authors would like to acknowledge the data and methodological advice provided by Emily Banks and Grace Joshy from the 45 and Up Study. This study is managed by the Sax Institute, in collaboration with its partners: Cancer Council NSW (the major partner), the National Heart Foundation of Australia (NSW Division), the NSW Ministry of Health, the NSW Department of Family and Community Services—Ageing, Carers and the Disability Council NSW, and the Australian Red Cross Blood Service.

The authors would like to acknowledge John Goss and Jinjing Li from the University of Canberra for providing us with an analytical tool and advice to be able to break down results over time, using the method developed by Prithwis Das Gupta.

The Department of Health funded this report. The authors acknowledge the valuable comments from individual staff members at that department.

Abbreviations

ABDS	Australian Burden of Disease Study
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACT	Australian Capital Territory
AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
ASR	age-standardised rate
CHD	coronary heart disease
COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
DALY	disability-adjusted life years
FCTC	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
GBD	Global Burden of Disease Study
NDSHS	National Drug Strategy Household Survey
NTS	National Tobacco Strategy
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
PAF	population attributable fraction
Qld	Queensland
SA	South Australia
Tas	Tasmania
TMRED	theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution
Vic	Victoria
WA	Western Australia
YLD	years lived with disability
YLL	years of life lost

Symbols

- % per cent
- ... not applicable
- > greater than
- < less than

Glossary

attributable burden: The disease burden attributed to a particular risk factor. It is the reduction in burden that would have occurred if exposure to the risk factor had been avoided or had been reduced to its **theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution**.

chronic disease: A disease that tends to be long lasting and persistent in its symptoms or development.

comparative risk assessment: The process for estimating the burden of disease attributable to selected risk factors. It involves 5 key steps: select linked diseases, estimate exposure distribution, estimate effect sizes, choose **theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution** level, and calculate attributable burden.

confounding: Describes an observed association that is due, in whole or part, to a third factor associated both with the exposure and with the outcome of interest.

disability-adjusted life year (DALY): A year of healthy life lost, either through premature death or, equivalently, through living with disability due to illness or injury.

disability weight: A factor that reflects the severity of non-fatal health loss from a particular health state on a scale from 0 (perfect health) to 1 (equivalent to death).

disease: A broad term that can be applied to any health problem. It is often used synonymously with condition, disorder or problem.

effect size: A statistical measure of the strength of the relationship between 2 variables (in this context, between a risk exposure and a disease outcome), expressed, for example, as a **relative risk** or odds ratio.

excess burden: The reduction that would occur in overall disease burden if all groups had the same rate of burden as the least burdened group.

fatal burden: The burden from dying 'prematurely' as measured by years of life lost. Often used synonymously with **YLL**, and also referred to as 'life lost'.

health state: The consequences of diseases and conditions, reflecting key differences in symptoms and functioning.

illicit drug use: The use of illegal drugs (such as cannabis, cocaine, heroin and amphetamines) and probable misuse of opioids (pharmaceutical).

incidence: The number of new cases (of an illness or injury) occurring during a given period.

linked disease: A disease or condition on the causal pathway of the risk factor, which is therefore more likely to develop if exposed to the risk.

non-fatal burden: The burden from living with ill-health as measured by years lived with disability. It is often used synonymously with **YLD**, and also referred to as 'health loss' in this report.

population attributable fraction (PAF): For a particular risk factor and causally linked disease or injury, the percentage reduction in burden that would occur for a population if exposure to the risk factor were avoided or reduced to its theoretical minimum.

prevalence: The number of cases of a disease or injury in a population at a given time. The prevalence rate is the number of cases existing at a point in time (point prevalence) or over a specific period (period prevalence).

relative risk (RR): The risk of an event relative to exposure, calculated as the ratio of the probability of the event's occurring in the exposed group to the probability of its occurring in the non-exposed group. A relative risk of 1 implies no difference in risk; RR <1 implies the event is less likely to occur in the exposed group; RR >1 implies the event is more likely to occur in the exposed group.

risk factor: Any factor that causes or increases the likelihood of a health disorder or other unwanted condition or event.

sequela: The consequence of diseases; often used in the plural, sequelae.

smoking impact ratio (SIR): The population lung cancer mortality in excess of never-smokers relative to excess lung cancer mortality for a known reference group of smokers.

theoretical minimum risk exposure distribution (TMRED): The risk factor exposure distribution that will lead to the lowest conceivable disease burden.

tobacco use: Exposure to tobacco smoke either directly through current/past smoking or via second-hand smoke. The most common use of tobacco is by smoke inhalation from cigarettes, pipes and cigars.

years lived with disability (YLD): A measure of the years of what could have been a healthy life that were instead spent in states of less than full health. This is also referred to as non-fatal burden.

years of life lost (YLL): A measure of the years of life lost due to premature mortality. This is also referred to as fatal burden.

References

ABS (Australian Bureau of Statistics) 2013. Census of population and housing: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), Australia, 2011. ABS cat. no. 2033.0.55.001. Canberra: ABS.

ABS 2015. National Health Survey: first results, 2014–15. ABS cat. no. 4364.0.55.001. Canberra: ABS.

ABS 2018. Regional population growth, Australia, 2016–17. ABS cat. no. 3218.0. Canberra: ABS.

ABS 2019. National Health Survey: first results, 2017–18. ABS cat. no. 4364.0.55.001. Canberra: ABS.

AIHW 2017. National Drug Strategy Household Survey 2016: detailed findings. Drug Statistics series no. 31. Cat. no. PHE 214. Canberra: AIHW.

AIHW 2018a. Alcohol, tobacco and other drugs in Australia. Cat. no. PHE 221. Canberra: AIHW. Viewed 15 March 2019, <u>https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/alcohol/alcohol-tobacco-other-drugs-australia/contents/drug-types/tobacco</u>.

AIHW 2018b. Australia's health 2018. Australia's health series no. 16. Cat. no. AUS 221. Canberra: AIHW.

AIHW 2019a. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2015. Australian Burden of Disease series no. 19. Cat. no. BOD 22. Canberra: AIHW.

AIHW 2019b. Australian Burden of Disease Study: methods and supplementary material 2015. Australian Burden of Disease Study series no. 20. Cat. no. BOD 23. Canberra: AIHW.

AIHW 2019c. General Record of Incidence of Mortality (GRIM) data. Cat. no. PHE 229. Canberra: AIHW. Viewed 19 July 2019, <u>https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/life-expectancy-deaths/grim-books/contents/general-record-of-incidence-of-mortality-grim-data</u>.

ANPHA (Australian National Preventive Health Agency) 2013. Smoking and disadvantage. Evidence brief. Canberra: ANPHA.

Banks E, Joshy G, Korda RJ, Stavreski B, Soga K, Egger S et al. 2019. Tobacco smoking and risk of 36 cardiovascular disease subtypes: fatal and non-fatal outcomes in a large prospective Australian study. BMC Medicine 17:128.

Banks E, Joshy G, Weber MF, Liu B, Grenfell R, Egger S et al. 2015. Tobacco smoking and all-cause mortality in a large Australian cohort study: findings from a mature epidemic with current low smoking prevalence. BMC Medicine 13(1):38.

Banks E, Redman S, Jorm L, Armstrong B, Bauman A, Beard J et al. 2008. Cohort profile: the 45 and Up study. International Journal of Epidemiology 37(5):941–7.

Carter BD, Abnet CC, Feskanich D, Freedman ND, Hartage P, Lewis CE et al. 2015. Smoking and mortality: beyond established causes. New England Journal of Medicine 372(7):631–40.

Casetta B, Videla AJ, Bardach A, Morello P, Soto N, Lee K et al. 2017. Association between cigarette smoking prevalence and income level: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nicotine & Tobacco Research 19(12):1401–7. doi: <u>10.1093/ntr/ntw266</u>.

Cook BL, Wayne GF, Kafali EN, Liu Z, Shu C & Flores M 2014. Trends in smoking among adults with mental illness and association between mental health treatment and smoking cessation. Journal of the American Medical Association 311(2):172–82.

Cooper J, Mancuso SG, Borland R, Slade T, Galletly C & Castle D 2012. Tobacco smoking among people living with a psychotic illness: the second Australian survey of psychosis. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 46(9):851–63.

Das Gupta P 1993. Standardization and decomposition of rates: a user's manual. US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P23–186. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.

De Hert M, Detraux J & Vancampfort D 2018. The intriguing relationship between coronary heart disease and mental disorders. Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience 20(1):31–40.

Dickerson F, Schroeder J, Katsafanas E, Khushalani S, Origoni AE, Savage C et al. 2018. Cigarette smoking by patients with serious mental illness, 1999–2016: an increasing disparity. Psychiatric Services 69:147–53.

Department of Health 2017. National Drug Strategy 2017–2026. Canberra: Department of Health.

Forouzanfar MH, Afshin A, Alexander LT, Anderson HR, Bhutta ZA, Biryukov S et al. 2016. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015. The Lancet 388(10053):1659–724.

GBD 2016 Risk Factor Collaborators 2017. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The Lancet 390(10100):1345–422.

Greenhalgh EM, Stillman S & Ford C 2018. 7.12 Smoking and mental health. In Scollo, MM and Winstanley, MH [editors]. Tobacco in Australia: Facts and issues. Melbourne: Cancer Council Victoria. Available from <u>http://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-7-cessation/7-12-smoking-and-mental-health</u> (Accessed May 2019).

Hiscock R, Bauld L, Amos A, Fidler JA & Munafo M 2012. Socioeconomic status and smoking: a review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1248:107–23.

Huisman M, Van Lenthe FJ, Giskes K, Kamphuis CBM, Brug J & Mackenbach JP 2012. Explaining socio-economic inequalities in daily smoking: a social–ecological approach. European Journal of Public Health 22(2):238–43.

Laaksonen MA, Canfell K, MacInnis R, Arriaga ME, Banks E, Magliano DJ et al. 2018. The future burden of lung cancer attributable to current modifiable behaviours: a pooled study of seven Australian cohorts. International Journal of Epidemiology 47(6):1772–83.

Lawrence D, D'Arcy C, Holman J, Jablensky A & Hobbs M 2003. Death rate from ischaemic heart disease in Western Australian psychiatric patients 1980–1998. British Journal of Psychiatry 182(1):31–6.

Lawrence D, Hancock KJ & Kisley S 2013. The gap in life expectancy from preventable physical illness in psychiatric patients in Western Australia: retrospective analysis of population based registers. BMJ 346:f2539.

Lawrence D, Mitrou F & Zubrick SR 2009. Smoking and mental illness: results from population surveys in Australia and the United States. BMC Public Health 9:285.

Li J 2017. Rate decomposition for aggregate data using Das Gupta's method. The Stata Journal 17(2):490–502.

Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H et al. 2012. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet 380(9859):2224–60.

Mendelsohn CP, Kirby DP & Castle DJ 2015. Smoking and mental illness: an update for psychiatrists. Australasian Psychiatry 23(1):37–43.

Morisano D, Bacher I, Audrain-McGovern J & George TP 2009. Mechanisms underlying the comorbidity of tobacco use in mental health and addictive disorders. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry 56(6):356–67.

Murray CJ, Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A & Vander Hoorn S 2003. Comparative quantification of health risks conceptual framework and methodological issues. Population Health Metrics 1(1):1.

NRHA (National Rural Health Alliance Inc) 2014. Smoking and rural health. Fact sheet. Canberra: NRHA.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) 2019. OECD health statistics 2019. Non-medical determinants of health: tobacco consumption. Paris: OECD. Viewed 27 August 2019, <u>http://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/health-data.htm</u>.

Peto R, Boreham J, Lopez AD, Thun M & Heath C 1992. Mortality from tobacco in developed countries: indirect estimation from national vital statistics. The Lancet 339(8804):1268–78.

Pirie K, Peto R, Reeves GK, Green J & Beral V 2013. The 21st century hazards of smoking and benefits of stopping: a prospective study of one million women in the UK. The Lancet 381:133–41.

Prochaska JJ 2011. Smoking and mental Illness—breaking the link. New England Journal of Medicine 365:196–8.

Ragg M & Ahmed T 2008. Smoke and mirrors: a review of the literature on smoking and mental illness. Tackling Tobacco Program Research Series No. 1. Sydney: Cancer Council NSW.

Steinberg ML, Williams JM & Li Y 2015. Poor mental health and reduced decline in smoking prevalence. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 49(3):362–9.

Twyman L, Bonevski B, Paul C & Bryant J 2014. Perceived barriers to smoking cessation in selected vulnerable groups: a systematic review of the qualitative and quantitative literature. BMJ Open 4(12):e006414. doi: <u>10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006414</u>.

US DHHS (United States Department of Health and Human Services) 2014. The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: US DHHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health.

Wakefield M, Coomber K, Durkin S, Scollo M, Bayly M, Spittal MJ et al. 2014. Time series analysis of the impact of tobacco control policies on smoking prevalence among Australian adults, 2001–2011. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 92(413–22). Viewed 21 February 2019, <u>http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/92/6/13-118448/en/</u>.

WCRF/AICR (World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research) 2007. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington DC: AICR.

WHO (World Health Organization) 2018. Tobacco. Fact sheet. Geneva: WHO. Viewed 7 March 2019, <u>https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco</u>.

Zhai T, Goss J & Li J 2017. Main drivers of health expenditure growth in China: a decomposition analysis. BMC Health Services Research 17(1):185. doi:<u>10.1186/s12913-017-2119-1</u>.

List of tables

Table 2.1:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco exposure, 2015	8
Table 2.2:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by sex, 2015	9
Table 2.3:	Deaths, fatal (YLL) and non-fatal (YLD) burden attributable to tobacco use, by sex, 2015	10
Table 2.4:	Diseases linked to different exposure to tobacco	11
Table 2.5:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015	13
Table 2.6:	Number and proportion of disease due to tobacco use (attributable DALY), by linked disease, 2015	18
Table 2.7:	Effect sizes for mortality from select linked diseases: comparison of 45 and Up and GBD 2016 studies	21
Table 3.1:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by state and territory, 2015	23
Table 3.2:	Age standardised rate of burden for the leading 8 diseases linked to tobacco use (DALY ASR per 1,000 population), by state and territory, 2015	25
Table 3.3:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area, 2015	25
Table 3.4:	Distribution of burden and excess burden for attributable burden (YLD, YLL and DALY) due to tobacco use, by remoteness area, 2015	27
Table 3.5:	Burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group, 2015	29
Table 3.6:	Distribution of burden and excess burden for attributable burden (YLD, YLL and DALY) due to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group, 2015	31
Table 3.7:	Prevalence of a mental health condition and current smoking, by sex, 2010 and 2016	33
Table 3.8:	Burden (DALY) attributable to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, 2015	34
Table 4.1:	Comparison of burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco exposure, 2003, 2011 and 2015	37
Table 4.2:	Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, 2003, 2011 and 2015	38
Table 4.3:	Expected burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use in 2020 and 2025, and percentage change from 2015, by sex	43
Table 5.1:	Burden (DALY) attributable to the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use, by sex, 2015	45
Table 5.2:	Deaths, fatal (YLL) and non-fatal (YLD) burden attributable to the combined effect of tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use, by sex, 2015	46
Table A1:	Diseases linked to different tobacco smoke exposures	47
Table B1:	Attributable deaths due to tobacco use, by age, 2015	56
Table B2:	Tobacco smoking status, people aged 30 and over, by age and sex, 2001 to 2016 (%)	57
Table B3:	Proportion of fatal and non-fatal burden due to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015	58
Table B4:	Attributable burden (DALY) due to tobacco use, by linked disease and sex, 2015	59

Table B5:	Attributable burden (DALY) of direct tobacco use, comparison of the 45 and Up and GBD 2016 studies, by selected linked diseases, persons, 2015	. 61
Table B6:	Age-standardised DALY rates and rate ratio of burden attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area and sex, 2015	. 62
Table B7:	Age-standardised DALY rates per 1,000 population of burden attributable to tobacco use, by remoteness area and selected linked diseases, 2015	. 62
Table B8:	Age-standardised DALY rates and rate ratio of burden attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group and sex, 2015	. 63
Table B9:	Age-standardised DALY rates per 1,000 population of burden attributable to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group and selected linked diseases, 2015	. 63
Table B10:	Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by sex, 2015	. 64
Table B11:	Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by selected linked disease, 2015	. 64
Table B12:	Number and ASRs of burden (DALY per 1,000 population) attributable to tobacco use, for selected linked diseases, 2003, 2011 and 2015	. 65
Table B13:	Breakdown of changes in burden attributable to tobacco use between 2003 and 2015, by sex and type of exposure to tobacco use	. 66
Table B14:	ASRs (deaths per 100,000 population), lung cancer, by sex, Australia, 2003–2015	. 67
Table B15:	Expected burden (DALY) attributable to tobacco use in 2020 and 2025, and percentage change from 2015, by sex	. 67

List of figures

Figure 2.1:	Burden (DALY and DALY rate) attributable to tobacco use, by age and sex, 2015	9
Figure 2.2:	Burden attributable to tobacco use due to fatal and non-fatal burden, by age, males (a) and females (b), 2015	0
Figure 2.3:	Burden (DALY) due to tobacco use, by sex and selected linked diseases, 2015 1	4
Figure 2.4:	Burden attributable to tobacco use, by linked disease group, age and sex, DALY, males (a) and females (b), and proportion within each age group DALY, males (c) and females (d), 2015	5
Figure 2.5:	Burden attributable to tobacco use due to fatal and non-fatal burden, by selected linked disease, males (a) and females (b), 2015	7
Figure 2.6:	Proportion of selected linked disease burden (DALY) due to tobacco use, by sex and selected linked diseases, 2015	9
Figure 2.7:	Attributable burden (DALY) of direct tobacco use, comparison of 45 and Up Study and GBD data, by linked disease, persons, 2015	1
Figure 3.1:	Age-standardised attributable DALY rate (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, by state and territory and sex, 20152	4
Figure 3.2:	Age-standardised attributable DALY rate (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, by remoteness area and sex, 2015	6
Figure 3.3:	Age-specific attributable DALY rate (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, by remoteness area, 2015	8
Figure 3.4:	Age-standardised attributable DALY rate due to tobacco use for selected linked diseases, by remoteness area, 2015	8
Figure 3.5:	Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group and sex, 2015	0
Figure 3.6:	Age-specific attributable DALY rate (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use, by socioeconomic group, 2015	2
Figure 3.7:	Age-standardised attributable DALY rate (per 1,000 population) due to tobacco use for selected linked diseases, by socioeconomic group, 2015	2
Figure 3.8:	Age-standardised attributable DALY (per 1,000 population) due to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by sex, 2015 3	4
Figure 3.9:	Burden (DALY and DALY rate per 1,000 population) attributable to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, by age, 20153	5
Figure 3.10:	Age-standardised attributable DALY rate due to current tobacco use, by selected linked diseases in people with or without a mental health condition, 2015	6
Figure 4.1:	Number and rates of burden (DALY per 1,000 population) attributable to tobacco use, by age, 2003, 2011 and 2015	8
Figure 4.2:	Age-standardised rates of burden (DALY per 1,000 population) attributable to tobacco use, for selected linked diseases, 2003, 2011 and 2015	9
Figure 4.3:	How to interpret figures on drivers of changes over time presented in this chapter	0
Figure 4.4:	Breakdown of changes in burden attributable to tobacco use between 2003 and 2015, by sex	1

Figure 4.5:	Breakdown of changes in burden attributable to tobacco use, between 2003 and 2015, by sex and type of exposure	42
Figure 4.6:	Actual 2015 standardised attributable DALY rate due to tobacco use (per 1,000 population), and expected rate in 2020 and 2025, by sex	44
Figure A1:	Method for scenario analysis of the burden attributable to current tobacco use in people with or without a mental health condition, 2015	52

Related publications

This report and other AIHW publications can be downloaded for free from the AIHW website <u>http://www.aihw.gov.au</u>.

The website also includes information on ordering printed copies.

The following related AIHW publications might also be of interest:

- AIHW 2019. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2015. Australian Burden of Disease Study series no. 19. Cat. no. BOD 22. Canberra: AIHW.
- AIHW 2019. Australian Burden of Disease Study: methods and supplementary material 2015. Australian Burden of Disease Study series no. 20. Cat. no. BOD 23. Canberra: AIHW.
- AIHW 2018. Impact of alcohol and illicit drug use on the burden of disease and injury in Australia: Australian Burden of Disease Study 2011. Australian Burden of Disease Study series no. 17. Cat. no. BOD 19. Canberra: AIHW.



This report quantifies the health burden that tobacco use places on Australia. Tobacco use contributes to health burden more than any other risk factor and was responsible for 9.3% of the total burden of disease in Australia in 2015. The report highlights that health inequalities exist, with lower socioeconomic groups and more remote areas generally experiencing higher rates of disease burden due to tobacco use.

aihw.gov.au



Stronger evidence, better decisions, improved health and welfare