81 Prevalence and indications for gabapentin use by patients attending general practice

Organisation supporting this study: Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd

Issues: The rate of gabapentin use in general practice patients; indications for gabapentin use; clinician initiating treatment with gabapentin; use of private prescriptions for gabapentin.

Sample: 3,095 respondents from 105 GPs; data collection period: 22/02/2005 – 28/03/2005. **Method:** Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (56.7%) being female. Patients aged 45–64 years accounted for 29.3% of the sample.

The proportion of respondents who were using gabapentin was small, GPs indicating that only 18 of 3,095 patients (0.6%) were currently taking gabapentin. The rates of gabapentin use did not differ between the sexes, being 0.5% among males and 0.7% among females.

Further details from patients on gabapentin were only provided for 6 of the 18 patients.

Epilepsy was being managed with gabapentin for two of the six patients and neuropathic pain for five of the six patients (one patient had both conditions). One of the patients with epilepsy had their gabapentin treatment initiated by a neurologist, and the other by a pain specialist. The medications taken prior to gabapentin included carbamazepine (Tegretol) and sodium valproate (Epilim). Gabapentin was not prescribed as first line treatment for either patient with epilepsy. Data on whether the prescription was private was available for one of the two patients with epilepsy. This patient was not given gabapentin on a private prescription.

Among the five patients with neuropathic pain, data on who initiated the gabapentin therapy were available for four patients; gabapentin treatment was initiated by a neurologist for one patient, by a pain specialist for two patients and by a GP for one patient. Medications taken prior to gabapentin included carbamazepine (Tegretol), amitriptyline (Endep), doxylamine and sodium valproate (Epilim). Gabapentin was prescribed as first line treatment for one patient with neuropathic pain, by the neurologist. Data on whether the prescription was private was available for four of the five patients with neuropathic pain. Only one of the four prescriptions for gabapentin was a private prescription.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT USE OF GABAPENTIN.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.



82 Prevalence and management of chronic pain

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: Prevalence of chronic pain among patients attending general practice; conditions causing chronic pain; anatomical sites most affected; severity of chronic pain; managements being utilised by GPs; clinical opinion of GPs on adequacy of pain management for patients with chronic pain.

Sample: 3,211 respondents from 109 GPs; data collection period: 29/03/2005 – 02/05/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Methods for this study: Chronic pain was defined as 'pain experienced every day for 3 months in the 6 months prior to this consultation'.¹ Severity was ranked using Chronic Pain Grades.²

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution of patients at all BEACH encounters, with the majority (55.6%) of patients being female and 59.9% aged 45 years or over.

Of the 3,211 respondents, 586 (18.3%, 95% CI: 15.8–20.7) suffered from chronic pain. Prevalence was significantly higher for patients aged 45 years and over (25.1%) than for patients aged less than 45 years (8.6%). There was no significant difference between male (15.7, 95% CI: 13.1–18.2) and female (20.4%, 95% CI: 17.2–23.6) patients.

Conditions causing chronic pain were recorded at 535 encounters. More than one condition could be reported and a total of 538 recordings of 69 different causal conditions were listed. Osteoarthritis (29.0%), back problems (17.4%), arthritis NOS (10.8%), musculoskeletal problems (6.0%) and varieties of cancer (4.1%) were conditions most often listed.

Anatomical sites were reported for 502 patients. More than one site could be detailed and a total of 633 recordings of 14 different body sites were reported. Sites most commonly affected by chronic pain were the back (33.3%), knee (15.2%), neck/cervical spine (6.8%), and hip (6.8%).

Of the 570 patients for whom severity of chronic pain was reported, 30.0% had grade I pain (low disability, low intensity), 37.0% had grade II pain (low disability, high intensity), 23.2% had grade III pain (high disability, moderately limiting), and 9.8% had grade IV pain (high disability, severely limiting).

Medications and/or treatments for chronic pain management and/or side effects of pain medication were reported for 579 patients. A total of 838 recordings of 33 different medications and/or treatments were reported. Over one-third (35.1%) took non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS)/Cox-2s, a similar proportion used weaker opioids (32.0%), and simple analgesics were taken by 29.7%. Other treatments included herbal analgesics, and physiotherapy. Forty nine patients (8.5%) were taking no medication for pain management. GPs offered an opinion on adequacy of pain management for 40 of these 49 patients, reporting that pain was adequately managed for 33 of them (82.5%). Adequacy of pain management was reported for 506 patients using medication and/or other management. GPs reported that pain was adequately managed for 75.1% of these patients.

1 Blyth FM et al. 2001. Pain 89(2–3):127–34.

2 Von Korff M et al. 1992. Pain 50(2):133–49.

For other related abstracts see: 42 Prevalence and management of chronic pain.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **CHRONIC PAIN**. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Chronic pain

Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient suffers from **chronic pain** (*defined* as 'pain* experienced every day for three months in the six months prior to this consultation').

If **no** chronic pain has been experienced you should **end the questions** here.

*Blyth FM et al. 2001. Pain 89(2-3):127-134

Medication for pain management and medication side effects

Please use the tick boxes to indicate whether the patient is **currently taking** any of the **nominated medications for pain management**, or to **relieve side effects of pain medication** (eg laxatives, acid suppressants etc). Tick as many as apply.

Beside the box labelled '**other**' you may write in **other medication**/s (not listed) or **other forms of treatment** used for chronic pain management instead of / as well as medication e.g. acupuncture

If **no medication** is being taken for pain management please tick the box labelled 'no medication'.

Beside each medication please **circle an option** to advise whether the medication was **initiated** by a GP (yourself or another) or a specialist, and the **approximate duration of usage in months or years**.



Management of menopausal symptoms & related health risks -

Reasons for medication use

Please circle as many as apply.

- 1. menopausal symptom management;
- 2. prevention of osteoporosis
- 3. treatment of osteoporosis
- 4. cardiovascular protection
- 5. breast cancer prevention
- 6. vaginal atrophy
- 7. decreased sexual interest
- 8. other reason please **specify** this in the space provided.

Severity of Chronic Pain -Chronic Pain Grades

- I. low disability low intensity
- II. low disability high intensity
- III. high disability moderately limiting
- IV. high disability severely limiting

83 Prevalence and management of migraine

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd & Australian General Practice Statistics and Classification Centre (AGPSCC)

Issues: Prevalence of migraine among patients attending general practice; frequency of migraine attacks; current and previous prophylaxis medications; current acute medications.

Sample: 5,663 respondents from 191 GPs; data collection period: 07/06/2005 – 11/07/2005 and 29/11/2005 – 16/01/2006.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to that of patients at all BEACH encounters. Of the 5,663 respondents, 649 (11.5%, 95% CI: 10.0–12.9) suffered from migraine attacks. Prevalence of migraine was significantly higher among female respondents (14.9%, 95% CI: 13.0–16.7) than among males (6.1%, 95% CI: 4.7–7.4).

Almost two-thirds (64.3%) of migraine sufferers experience less than 1 migraine per month. About one in ten sufferers experience 1, 2 or 3+ migraines per month (12.8%, 10.5% and 12.3% respectively). Reported number of migraine attacks per month was similar for males and females.

Only 8.3% (95% CI: 6.0–10.6) of migraine patients were on current prophylaxis medication. Patients with 2 or more migraines per month (22.1%) were significantly more likely to be taking prophylaxis medication than those having less than 1 migraine per month (2.3%). As migraine frequency increased, rates of current prophylaxis medication use increased (trend test; *p* <0.0001), the most frequently used being pizotifen followed by propranolol.

Previous prophylaxis medication had been used by 15.0% of general practice migraine patients. The most frequently used previous prophylaxis medication was pizotifen, followed by propranolol. The most common reason for discontinuation of prophylaxis medication was lack of efficacy (45.8%), followed by side effects (28.1%). Of the 96 patients who took previous prophylaxis medications, only 16 (16.7%) were switched onto another prophylaxis. Therefore, the majority of these patients (83.3%) were not taking second line prophylaxis when the first prophylaxis medication failed.

In contrast, four in five (79.3%, 95% CI: 75.2–83.5) general practice migraine patients currently use acute medication as needed for migraine. About three-quarters (72.9%) of migraine sufferers having less than 1 migraine per month were taking acute medication, compared with around 90% of those with 1, 2 or 3+ migraines per month. As migraine frequency increased, rates of current acute medication use increased (trend test; p=0.0044). The most frequently used acute medications were paracetamol, paracetamol/codeine, ibuprofen and sumatriptan.

Overall, less than 10% of migraine patients were currently on prophylaxis medication, with most on pizotifen or propranolol. In contrast, most used acute medication as needed.

Further reading:

Stark, R.J., Valenti, L., Miller, G.C. 2007, 'Management of migraine in Australian general practice', *Med J Aust*. [In press].

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS WITH MIGRAINE.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask <u>ALL</u> of the <u>next 30 PATIENTS</u> the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Migraine Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient suffers from migraine attacks, either initally diagnosed today or at a previous encounter in the past 12 months or more than 12 months ago (by you or by another GP). If 'No' you should end the questions here. Migraine frequency If 'Yes' please advise the approximate number of times the patient would usually experience a migraine episode during a month.			Current migraine medication Please write the name and regimen of the prophylaxis medictation being taken by to prevent migraine. If no prophylaxis medication is currently please tick the box labelled 'none'.	he current the patient being taken	Previous proph If the patient was to prior to the one of regimen of the prior the tick boxes to a discontinued. If discontinuation of write the main side If no prophylaxis ri- one, or if prophyla	hylaxis medication taking a different prophy currently taken, please revious prophylactic m advise why this medicati occurred because of side de effect/s experienced ir medication was taken pr axis medication is not be x labelled 'none'.	/lactic medication write the name and redication and use on was e effects , please in the space provided. ior to the current eing taken at all ,
			In the space below, please write the nam regimen of any medication (oral, nasal s injection) taken during an acute attack or 'rescue' medication taken acutely for breakthrough migraine. If no acute or rescue medication is usuall please tick the box labelled 'none'.	e and spray or ∵as y taken		Patients medicat Please ac patient co service, a episode, t	s seeking rescue ion dvise how frequently the onsults a GP or an after hours at the time of a migraine for rescue medication.
Does the patient suffer from migraine attacks? ☐ Yes - diagnosed ☐ today ☐ in past 12 mths ☐ > 12 mths prior ☐ No → end BL78C questions	If 'Yes' migraine frequency per month is: □ <1 □ 1 □ 2 □ ≥ 3	Current propi <u>Name & Form</u> Acute or 'Reso <u>Name & Form</u>	hylaxis medication taken is: Duration of use hylaxis medication taken is: Duration of use 	Previous propi	hylaxis medication (if an <u>strength</u> <u>Dose</u> <u>Frec</u> <u>iscontinuation</u> was:- ficacy <u>side</u> effe <i>side</i> effe <i>side</i> effe <i>please specil</i> al after <u>other</u>	ny) was: NONE <u>quency</u> <u>Duration of use</u> <u></u>	Does the patient consult GP/Out of Hours Service at the time of migraine for rescue medication? Never /almost never (0-20%) Some of the time (21-40%) Half of the time (41-60%) Most of the time (61-80%) Always/almost always (81-100%)

84 Menopausal status, symptoms and treatment of women aged 18 and over

Organisation supporting this study: Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of female general practice patients aged 18+ years who are pre-, perior postmenopausal; the proportion of these patients who have a history of hysterectomy and/or menopausal symptoms; patients experiencing specific menopausal symptoms or having an associated risk factor; pharmacotherapy associated with menopausal symptoms.

Sample: 1,590 female respondents aged 18 and over from 106 GPs; data collection period: 29/03/2005 – 02/05/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The majority of female patients aged 18 years or more at encounters with the GP were postmenopausal (59.8%, 95% CI: 55.2–64.3), with another third being premenopausal (33.3%). Only 110 of the 1,590 women (6.9%) were perimenopausal. Of 1,365 respondents to the question about hysterectomy status, 19.5% had had a hysterectomy.

There were 27.8% of patients who had a history of menopausal symptoms. From a list of eight menopausal symptoms (hot flushes, sleep disturbance, excessive sweating, dyspareunia, urinary incontinence, osteoporosis, decreased sexual interest and vaginal atrophy), 68.2% of perimenopausal patients were experiencing symptoms (8.0% had one symptom and 60.2% two or more symptoms). Of postmenopausal women, 63.3% were experiencing symptoms (26.4% had one symptom and 36.9% two or more symptoms). The symptoms most frequently experienced were hot flushes (28.3% of all peri/postmenopausal patients), followed by sleep disturbance (26.2%), vaginal atrophy (26.0%), decreased sexual interest (20.8%) and osteoporosis (18.5%). Excessive sweating (13.9% of all peri/postmenopausal patients), urinary incontinence (10.4%) and dyspareunia (6.7%) were less common.

From a list of 3 risk factors associated with menopause (osteoporosis, cardiovascular and breast cancer risk), just over one-third (35.2%) of perimenopausal patients were currently at risk of one condition, 8.0% at risk of two conditions, and 2.3% at risk of all three conditions. For postmenopausal patients the figures were 31.4% at risk of one condition, 14.3% at risk of two, and 2.75 at risk of all three conditions. For 30.6% of peri/postmenopausal patients, cardiovascular risk was indicated. For 27.3%, a risk of osteoporosis was indicated, and for 9.2%, a risk of breast cancer was recorded.

The most frequently prescribed medication for these patients was alendronate, which accounted for 10% of all medications recorded at these encounters. Calcium carbonate, oestrogen, oestriol topical vaginal, oestradiol pessaries, and oestradiol/norethisterone were also among the most common medications.

For other related abstracts see: 8 Hormone replacement therapy (HRT).

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **MANAGEMENT OF MENOPAUSAL SYMPTOMS & RELATED HEALTH RISKS.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask the <u>next 30 PATIENTS</u> the following questions, where appropriate, in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated

i.e. if the patient is **NOT** female and 18+ years, you may <u>leave this</u> section BLANK.

This form has been filled in as an example

For all female patients aged 18 years and over

Menopausal status: please indicate the patient's menopausal status.

Past history:

has the patient had a hysterectomy?
does the patient have a past history of *any* menopausal symptoms (such as those listed in Box 2 below)? That is, have they had symptoms in the past, but do not have them anymore.

Symptoms and health risks associated with menopause

Please advise whether the patient **is experiencing** or **at risk** of any of the listed symptoms or health risks associated with menopause. Tick as many as apply.

Medication for menopausal symptom or health risk management

Medication: Is the patient taking, either **prescribed** or **purchased over-the-counter**, any medication or product for management of symptoms or health risks associated with menopause. Please write the **name & form** of the medication, its **strength, dose** and **frequency**.

Initiated by: please circle an option to indicate whether the medication was **initially prescribed / recommended** by a GP (yourself or another); an obstetrician/gynaecologist; an orthopaedic specialist; an endocrinologist; or some other specialist (please specify type of specialist in the space

Reason for medication use: beside each medication, please advise the menopause symptoms or associated health risks for which the medication is being taken. To do this, please read the numbered options on the key list and circle the number which corresponds to the reason/s for use of each medication. The key list (at right) is also printed on the laminated card in your research kit.

- Key list for 'reason for medication use' (this list is also on the laminated card in your research kit)
 1. menopausal symptom management;
 2. prevention of osteoporosis
- 3. treatment of osteoporosis
- 4. cardiovascular protection
- 5. breast cancer prevention
- 6. vaginal atrophy
- 7. decreased sexual interest
- 8. other reason please **specify** this in the space provided.

NB. If more than one reason per medication, circle as many as apply.

FEMALES 18yrs+: menopausal status?	ls th	ne patient experiencing	/ at	risk of any of the following?	Medication/s fo	menopa	usal s	ympton	n or heal	th risk management is/are:	(see key lis circle as m	ton card	d - nnívi
Premenopausal	M	hot flushes	-	(fick as many as apply)	<u>Name</u> & Form	Streng	<u>ath l</u>	Dose	<u>Freq</u>	Initiated by (please circle)	Reason for L	<u>se</u> (plec	ase circle)
Perimenopausal	M	sleep disturbances		decreased sexual interest	Lingal Tab	2 5m	1/2	(tab	nd	GPObsGyn/Orth.Spec/Endo/		56	7 8*
Postmenopausal		excessive sweating	H	vaginal atrophy			9			CP/ObsCvn/Orth Spec/Ende/	1 2 3 4	5 6	7 8*
The patient has a past history of:		dysparoupia	H	osteoporosis risk						other (specify)	(*specify)		
Hysterectomy Yes			H	cardiovascular risk						GP/ObsGyn/Orth.Spec/Endo/	1 2 3 4	56	7 8*
Menopausal symptoms (PeyNo		urinary incontinence		breast cancer risk						GP/ObsGyn/Orth.Spec/Endo/	1 2 3 4	5 6	7 8*
BL71C		osteoporosis		no symptoms / risk factors						other (spécify)	(*specify)		

Management of menopausal symptoms & related health risks -

Reasons for medication use

Please circle as many as apply.

- 1. menopausal symptom management;
- 2. prevention of osteoporosis
- 3. treatment of osteoporosis
- 4. cardiovascular protection
- 5. breast cancer prevention
- 6. vaginal atrophy
- 7. decreased sexual interest
- 8. other reason please **specify** this in the space provided.

Severity of Chronic Pain -Chronic Pain Grades

- I. low disability low intensity
- II. low disability high intensity
- III. high disability moderately limiting
- IV. high disability severely limiting

85 Management of osteoporotic fractures in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Roche Products Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of general practice patients who currently have, or have a history of, osteoporotic fractures; the proportion of these patients taking medication for the problem; the proportion who have ceased taking osteoporosis medication; the proportion enrolled in a patient support program; the current management status of patients.

Sample: 3,071 respondents from 105 GPs; data collection period: 03/05/2005 – 06/06/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was the same as the distribution for all BEACH encounters in 2004–05, with the majority of patients (60.2%, 95% CI: 57.0–63.4) being female. More than half of the patients were aged 45 years or over.

Of the 3,071 respondents, 170 (5.5%, 95% CI: 4.2–6.9) had current or previous osteoporotic fracture/s. Prevalence increased significantly with age to 23.2% among patients aged 75 years and over. More female patients (7.9%, 95 % CI: 6.0–9.9) had osteoporotic fracture(s) than male patients (2.0%, 95% CI: 1.2–2.8). Of the patients with current or previous osteoporotic fracture, 79.3% were taking a prescribed osteoporosis medication and one in six (17.4%) was enrolled in a patient support or information program for osteoporosis.

Current management status was reported for 163 of the 170 respondents with current or previous osteoporotic fracture/s. Of these, 72.4% (n=118) were continuing their osteoporosis medication, and 11.0% (n=18) were no longer taking prescribed osteoporosis medication. Eleven patients (6.8%) had never had and were not starting any osteoporosis medication, and 11 (6.8%) were commencing a first prescription.

Data about the period since osteoporosis medication ceased was available for 16 of the 18 patients no longer taking prescribed osteoporosis medication. Of these 16 patients, 10 had ceased the medication for 1 year or longer.

The likelihood of commencing another osteoporosis medication was provided for 17 of 18 patients no longer taking prescribed osteoporosis medication. GPs indicated that eight patients were unlikely to commence another osteoporosis medication.

For other related abstracts see: 19 Osteoporosis.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS WITH OSTEOPOROTIC FRACTURE/S.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.



216

86 Diabetes Types 1 and 2 and coronary heart disease

Organisation supporting this study: Merck Sharp & Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: Prevalence of diabetes types 1 and 2 and coronary heart disease (CHD); total cholesterol level and management for these patients; indicators of statin intolerance; management regimens for these patients.

Sample: 3,099 patient encounters from 105 GPs; data collection period: 03/05/2005 – 06/06/2006.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age and sex distribution of all patient encounters was the same as the distribution for all BEACH encounters in 2004–05, with the majority (59.1%) of patients being female.

Of the 3,099 respondents 455 patients (14.7%, 95% CI: 12.5–16.8) had either diabetes (type 1 or 2) and/or CHD: 26 (0.8%, 95% CI: 0.3–1.4) had diagnosed type 1 diabetes, 239 (7.7%, 95% CI: 6.4–9.0) had type 2 diabetes, and 257 (8.3%, 95% CI: 6.5–10.1) had CHD. Both diabetes and CHD were present in 66 respondents (2.1%, 95% CI: 1.5–2.7).

The most recent cholesterol levels were provided for 412 of the 455 patients with diabetes and/or CHD. Their mean cholesterol level was 4.7 mmol/L (95% CI: 4.6–4.8), the median was 4.6 mmol/L and the range was 2.1 to 9.9 mmol/L.

Information on whether the cholesterol level was adequately managed was provided for 404 of the 455 patients with diabetes and/or CHD. In the clinical opinion of their GP, 7 in 10 (68.8%, 95% CI: 63.3–74.3) patients with diabetes (either type 1 or 2) and/or CHD currently had their cholesterol adequately controlled. Adequate control had been achieved for 65.1% of all patients with diabetes, 76.1% of all patients with CHD, and 81.7% of patients with both diabetes and CHD.

Of the 455 patients with diabetes and/or CHD, medication management information was provided for 429. Of these, 63.4% (95% CI: 57.1–69.7) were currently taking a statin, and 1.6% (95% CI: 0.3–3.0) were taking a fibrate. No patients were taking a cholestyramine. A further 35.2% of patients with diabetes and/or CHD were not taking any of these medications. The most frequently used statins were atorvastatin (45.5% of patients with diabetes and/or CHD) and simvastatin (40.1% of patients with diabetes and/or CHD). One-quarter (24.7%) of patients with diabetes were managed with diet and exercise only, with the remainder being treated with diet and exercise plus medication.

Information about tolerance problems was provided for 261 of the 272 patients taking statins, and 18 (6.9%) of these had experienced some intolerance in relation to their statin use. Muscle pain (myalgia), nausea and coordination problems were the most common problems experienced.

For other related abstracts see: 21 Diabetes – prevalence, management and screening, 25 Prevalence of diabetes, medications and control, 30 Lipid lowering medications and coronary heart disease, 40 Type 2 diabetes mellitus, prevalence and management, 45 Diabetes mellitus prevalence, management and risk factors, 46 Coronary heart disease, risk factors and lipid lowering medication, 87 Management of cardiovascular or diabetes related conditions, 94 Type 2 diabetes – investigations and related conditions.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS WITH DIABETES AND / OR CORONARY HEART DISEASE.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.



87 Management of cardiovascular or diabetes related conditions

Organisation supporting this study: AstraZeneca (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of hypertension; left ventricular hypertrophy; coronary heart failure; microalbuminura; diabetes and impaired glucose, among patients attending general practice the proportion of these patients taking medications for the management of these conditions; and their current medication regimen; level of control with current medication regimen; changes to medication regimen resulting from the current encounter.

Sample: 3,015 patient encounters with 104 GPs; data collection period: 07/06/2005 – 11/07/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (59.1%, 95% CI: 56.2–62.0) being female. Patients aged 45–64 accounted for 27.4% of the sample and those aged 65 or more years for 23.2%.

Of the 3,015 respondents 837 (27.7%, 95% CI: 24.9–30.6) had at least one of the listed conditions: 3.5% having hypertension; 7.9% diabetes; and 3.0% coronary heart failure. Left ventricular hypertrophy (2.1%), impaired glucose (1.3%) and microalbuminuria (1.0%) were less prevalent. One in five patients had only one of the listed conditions (19.4%), while 8.4% had two or more of the conditions.

Detail of the current medications used for the listed conditions were provided for 821 of the 837 patients with one or more of these conditions. Of these, 94.4% were taking at least one of the medication types listed: 42.6% were taking an ACE inhibitor (ramipril and perindopril being the most common); 35.3% were taking a diuretic; and 32.3% were taking an angiotensin II receptor blocker (irbesartan being the most common). One-quarter of patients were taking either a calcium channel blocker (24.7%) or a beta blocker (23.1%).

The GPs clinical opinion of the level of control of the patient's condition was provided for 7764 patients for whom medication was recorded. For 88.2% of patients, the GP felt that the current medication regimen was adequately controlling the patient's cardiovascular or diabetes related condition.

Details of any changes made in medication regimen at the current encounter were provided for 789 patients. At the current encounter, new or additional medication was prescribed for 5.2% of patients with at least one cardiovascular or diabetes related condition, and changes in the dose for existing medication was ordered for 2.3% of patients.

For other related abstracts see: 21 Diabetes – prevalence, management and screening, 25 Prevalence of diabetes, medications and control, 40 Type 2 diabetes mellitus, prevalence and management, 45 Diabetes mellitus prevalence, management and risk factors, 86 Diabetes Types 1 and 2 and coronary heart disease, 87 Management of cardiovascular or diabetes related conditions, 94 Type 2 diabetes – investigations and related conditions.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS WITH CARDIOVASCULAR AND DIABETES ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS.**

Change in medication resulting

Please advise whether, **as a result of today's encounter**, the patient's

from this encounter

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Cardiovascular and diabetes associated conditions Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box/es whether this patient has any of the listed conditions associated with cardiovascular disease or diabetes. If the patient does not have any of these conditions, you should end the questions here.				Medication re Please write the regimen details provided, for eac being taken by th advised in the p	gimen e medication in the spaces th of the medications his patient, as previous question.	medication for conditions has Changes to me medications b time, new med addition to the medications pr from the curren dosage of an e	the previously listed been changed. edication include new eing prescribed for the first lications prescribed in current regimen, new escribed to replace one it regimen, or a change in existing medication.	
	Current me	dications						
	(NB - 'Curren today's const Please advise prescribed m question. If the patient h has not been conditions prid at this consu	It' medication includes medication but ultation) whether the patient is currently takin nedications for the conditions advisu has one or more of the previously listed taking a prescribed medication for a or to today's consultation, and will n ultation, please tick the box labelled	eing taken prior to ng any of the listed sed in the previous ed conditions and any of these tot be starting one 'none'.		Condi Please clinica HT / LV Diabtes is adec curren	tion control advise whether, in yo I opinion, the patient /H / CHF / Microalbum s / Impaired glucose co quately controlled by t medication regime	ur 's ininuria / pondition y their en.	
Does this po	atient have any of:-	If 'Yes' the patient's current therapy is:- ('current' = prior to today)	The patient's current Name & Form	medication regimen Strenath	for these conditions is:- Dose Frequency	In your clinical opinion does this	As a result of today's consultation, has this patient been prescribed	
 ⊢ Hypertension Left ventricular hypertrophy Coronary heart failure Microalbuminuria Diabetes Impaired glucose None of the above → end 		ACE inhibitors Calcium Channel Blocker β-Blocker Diuretic Angiotensin II Receptor Blocker Other None (please specify) S				medication regimen adequately control the patient's condition? Yes No	new/additional/changed medication for these conditions? Yes - new / additional medication Yes - changed dose No change	

88 Arthritis rates and NSAID use in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of general practice patients with arthritis; proportion of these that are on NSAIDs; current NSAID regimen and duration of use; proportion with dyspepsia and/or anaemia; therapy for dyspepsia and/or anaemia; proportion with other possible causes of anaemia.

Sample: 3,076 patient encounters with 104 GPs; data collection period: 12/07/2005 – 15/08/2005

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age and sex distributions of respondents were similar to the distribution for all BEACH (general practice) encounters, with the majority (62.5%) of patients being female.

Of the 3,076 respondents 26.5%, (95% CI: 23.4–29.7) had diagnosed arthritis: 23.6% had osteoarthritis, 0.9% rheumatoid arthritis, and 2.7% 'other' arthritis. There was no difference in the prevalence of diagnosed arthritis among male and female patients.

Of the 816 arthritis patients, 807 reported NSAID status. Over 40% of these (43.9%, 95% CI: 39.4–48.3) used an NSAID for arthritis during the previous 12 months. The most commonly used were celecoxib (27.5%), meloxicam (23.8%) and diclofenac (20.3%).

The median reported prescribed daily dose (PDD) for celecoxib was 200 mg and for meloxicam was 15 mg. The mean duration of NSAID use was 20.8 weeks. Almost a third of patients (28.3%) were taking the NSAID medication continually rather than intermittently.

Of the 354 arthritis patients on NSAID during the previous year, 347 answered the question about dyspepsia. Of these, 156 (45.0%, 95% CI: 38.7–51.3) had dyspepsia over that 12 month period. However, the dyspepsia and the taking of NSAIDs were only linked in time for 73.3% of these patients. The rates of dyspepsia did not differ between arthritis patients taking Cox-2 inhibitors, meloxicam and other non-selective NSAIDs.

Of the 156 arthritis patients on NSAIDs with dyspepsia, 154 responded to the question on medication taken for the dyspepsia. More than four in five (81.8%) of these patients were taking a medication for dyspepsia, the most common being omeprazole, esomeprazole and pantoprazole. The median PDD for omeprazole and esomeprazole was 20.0 mg. The mean duration of dyspepsia medication use was 31.2 weeks. Two-thirds (65.6%) of patients on dyspepsia medication were taking the medication continually.

Only 26 arthritis patients on NSAIDs (representing 8.0% of the 326 respondents to this question, 95% CI: 4.6–11.4) had anaemia during the previous 12 months. Half of these were taking a medication for anaemia, the most common ferrous sulphate + folic acid (n=6). Of all 354 arthritis patients on NSAIDs, 13.3% had another chronic disease which may cause anaemia, 10.5% having a hiatus hernia, 0.9% being vegetarian and 0.3% pregnant.

For other related abstracts see: 29 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and acid suppressant use, 49 Health status and management of patients on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, 78 NSAID & acid suppressant use in general practice patients.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS with ARTHRITIS.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.



whether this patient has osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis or arthritis (unspecified).

222



Medication for dyspepsia and / or anaemia Please write the **name, regimen and duration of use** of any **medication** taken by the patient for treatment of **dyspepsia or anaemia**, either currently,

or for the management of their most recent episode.

Please advise whether the medication was taken

intermittently or continuously.

89 Estimates of the prevalence of chronic illnesses identified as Health Priority Areas among patients attending general practice

Organisation supporting this study: Australian General Practice Statistics and Classification Centre (AGPSCC)

Issues: The prevalence among patients attending general practice, of chronic conditions that require ongoing management by their GP, in particular those health problems identified as National Health Priority Areas.

Sample: 9,156 respondents from 305 GPs; data collected from 12/07/2005 – 19/09/2005 and 25/10/2005 – 28/11/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients being female (60.7%).

The crude sample morbidity rates showed that of the 9,156 patients sampled approximately 30% had a diagnosed cardiovascular problem, of which ischaemic heart disease was the most common (9.5%). Eighteen per cent of respondents had uncomplicated hypertension. One in five had osteoarthritis (20.0%) and one in ten had asthma (10.7%, 95% CI: 9.8–11.6). Psychological problems were common (24.7%), with depression recorded for 14.2% of respondents and anxiety for 10.7%. Diabetes was reported for 8.3%, the majority being type 2 diabetes (7.2%).

The crude sample morbidity rates were adjusted for visit frequency related to age and sex, by weighting the SAND sample against the age-sex distribution of the population of Australians who visited a GP at least once in the 12 months from April 2004 to March 2005 (MBS unpublished data). This method adjusted the estimates for any over-representation related to age and sex. The adjusted rates may give a better estimate of the prevalence of selected morbidity among all patients attending general practice in a 12 month period, with less bias towards those who attend more frequently. Crude rates on the other hand can be interpreted as prevalence rates among patients found in the GP's waiting room at any one time.

The estimated prevalence after adjustment was generally lower than the crude sample rates. In particular cardiovascular disease (21.8%), arthritis (16.4%) and diabetes (6.5%), which are related to older age, were significantly less prevalent after adjustment. The estimated prevalence of asthma (10.6%) and psychological problems (21.8%) were largely unaffected by adjustment.

These adjusted rates are likely to be more accurate (as the diagnosis is made by a GP), than other studies relying on self-reported morbidity (such as the National Health Survey). The results were consistent across multiple subsamples suggesting reliability of method. The prevalence of important chronic conditions in the general practice population can be estimated relatively reliably and economically by using an existing study that regularly samples general practice patients across Australia and by adjusting for the effect of visit frequency bias in the sample.

For other related abstracts see: 37 *Prevalence of common morbidities in patients encountered in general practice,* 61 *Prevalence of chronic illnesses identified as National Health Priority Areas among general practice patients.*

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about CO-MORBIDITY AND CHRONIC DISEASE.

You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask <u>ALL</u> of the <u>next 30 PATIENTS</u> the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Co-morbidity and chronic disease

The aim of these questions is to determine the prevalence of **co-morbidity** and some of the **chronic illnesses** or **conditions** in the **National Goals and Targets** priority areas.

Most of the conditions listed below require continual management or surveillance and may need consideration in future care.

Please use the tick boxes to indicate whether the patient has any of the listed conditions even if you have already managed one of these problems today. Tick as many as apply.

If the patient **does not** have any of these conditions or problems, please tick the box marked **'none of these conditions'**.



90 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Roche Products Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of general practice patients with chronic heart failure (CHF); its severity and management; who initiated therapy; objectives of management; proportion referred to a specialist; investigations ordered to diagnose CHF.

Sample: 2,859 encounters from 98 GPs; data collection period: 16/08/2005 – 19/09/2005. **Method:** Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority (57.8%) of patients being female.

The prevalence of chronic heart failure (CHF) in this general practice patient sample was 4.1% (95% CI: 2.8–5.3). In male patients, 4.7% were diagnosed with CHF compared with 3.6% of female patients. Patients aged 75+ had the highest age-specific rates, with 19.8% diagnosed with CHF. CHF was classified as mild in 50.0% of these 116 patients, moderate in 28.5% and severe in 21.6%.

Medication data were provided for 112 of the CHF patients. Medications most commonly used for the management of CHF were diuretics (33.6% of all listed medications), followed by anti-hypertensives (31.0%), beta-blockers (13.7%) and cardiac glycosides (8.0%). The diuretic commonly used was frusemide (median reported prescribed daily dose (PDD) 40 mg). The most common anti-hypertensive medications were perindopril (median PDD 4 mg), ramipril (median PDD 5 mg) and irbesartan (median PDD 300 mg), and the beta-blocker commonly used was carvedilol (median PDD 25 mg). Digoxin had a median PDD of 0.125 mg. Sixteen (66.7%) of the 24 patients with severe CHF were on three or more CHF medications, while only 8 (4.3%) of the 56 patients with mild CHF were on three or more CHF medications.

Pharmacological treatment was initiated by a GP (47.1% of CHF medications) or by a specialist (52.9%) at similar rates.

GPs considered the factors of 'symptom management' and 'quality of life' significantly more important than 'increased survival' as an objective of management.

The majority (80.2%) of patients diagnosed with CHF had been referred to a cardiac specialist; 38.7% were initially referred more than 3 years ago; 21.7% were referred between 1 to 3 years ago; and 19.8% were referred during the previous 12 months.

Multiple investigations could be reported as being used in diagnosing CHF. Chest X-ray was used to diagnose CHF in 72.3% of cases, echocardiography was used in 63.4% of cases and ECG in 58.9% of cases. GPs ordered 64.9% of chest X-rays, 13.4% of echocardiography and 59.3% of ECGs, with cardiac specialists ordering the rest.

For other related abstracts see: 31 Prevalence and severity of chronic heart failure, 38 Prevalence of chronic heart failure, its management and control, 57 Prevalence and management of chronic heart failure in general practice patients, 75 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure, 77 Heart failure-underlying causes and medication management.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **CHRONIC HEART FAILURE**. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

