Psychiatric disability support services

This section presents information on specialist disability support services, funded by the National Disability Agreement (NDA), provided to service users with psychiatric disability either as their primary disability or as an other significant disability. Note that unless explicitly stated, service users with psychiatric disability include all service users with psychiatric disability, regardless of whether it is considered a primary or other significant disability.

Key points

- Almost 88,000 people with psychiatric disability made use of NDA-funded disability support services in 2010–11.
- Psychiatric disability was the second most frequently reported primary disability among people receiving disability support services.
- There has been an average annual increase of 2.0% in the rate of residential service users with psychiatric disability, and 15.0% in the rate of non-residential service users with psychiatric disability, between 2006–07 and 2010–11.
- Employment services were the most frequently provided service group of non-residential service users.
- Group homes were the most frequently provided service type of residential service users.

The information presented in this section has been extracted from the 2010–11 Disability Services National Minimum Data Set (Disability Services NMDS), which comprises national administrative data on disability support services providing care to people with disabilities, which are funded through the NDA. The data source section provides further information on data quality, coverage and other aspects of the NDA data collection.

Service users may receive non-residential services, defined using the broad service group categories of accommodation support (excluding large residential facilities/institutions, small residential facilities/institutions, hostels and group homes), community support, community access, respite services, employment services, advocacy, information and alternative forms of communication and other support. Providers of non-residential services are either state or territory administered services or Australian Government funded services.

Service users may also receive a range of residential disability support service types, depending on availability and their individual needs, broadly termed large residential facilities/institutions, small residential facilities/institutions, hostels and group homes.

Definitions for psychiatric disability support services can be found on the key concepts page.
Service users by states and territories

About 314,250 people across Australia made use of NDA-funded specialist disability support services during 2010–11 (AIHW 2012). Among this group, psychiatric disability (59,414 people or 18.9%) was the second most frequently reported primary disability.

More than 87,800 people with psychiatric disability (this refers to all recorded disabilities, not just primary disability) made use of disability support services in 2010–11. The rate of service users was highest in Victoria (621.0 per 100,000 population), and lowest in the Northern Territory (110.2), compared to the national rate of 395.9 (Figure DIS.1).

Figure DIS.1: Service users with psychiatric disability, states and territories, 2010–11
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Notes:

1. Service users may use both residential and non-residential services and may access services in more than one state or territory.

Source: AIHW analysis of data from the Disability Services NMDS. Source data for this figure are accessible from Table DIS.1 (260KB XLS) in the Psychiatric disability support services excel table downloads.

For residential services specifically, the Northern Territory had the lowest service user rate (6.1) and Tasmania had the highest rate (33.9) compared to the national average of 17.6 per 100,000 population. The Northern Territory also had the lowest rate of non-residential service users (106.3), while Victoria had the highest rate (617.2) compared to the national rate of 393.2.
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Psychiatric disability support over time

Nationally over the past five years, people with psychiatric disability, as a proportion of those who used any NDA-funded disability support service, has increased steadily (AIHW 2012). This increase may be due to either an increase in the number of people who identified as having psychiatric disability, due to an overall rise in the number of people with psychiatric disability using the specialist support services, or a combination of these two factors.

There has been an average annual increase of 15.0% in the rate of non-residential service users with psychiatric disability over the five years to 2010–11 from 224.7 per 100,000 population in 2006–07 to 393.2 in 2010–11 (Figure DIS.2), driven mainly by the increase in the number of users of employment services. Over the same time period, there has been an average annual increase of 2.0% in the residential service user rate from 16.3 per 100,000 in 2006–07 to 17.6 in 2010–11.

**Figure DIS.2: Service users with psychiatric disability, 2006–07 to 2010–11**
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Note:
The methodology to obtain service user counts has varied over time, so comparisons between reporting years should be approached with caution. See data source section for more information.

Source: AIHW analysis of data from the Disability Services NMDS.
Source data for this figure are accessible from Table DIS.3 (260KB XLS) in the Psychiatric disability support services excel table downloads.
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Non-residential services

Profile of service usage

Non-residential disability support services were most frequently used by people with psychiatric disability in Victoria, with 617.2 users per 100,000 population. The national rate was 393.2 and the Northern Territory had the lowest overall usage rate of 106.3 (Figure DIS.3). Employment services had the highest rate of service users per 100,000 population for all jurisdictions in 2010–11, while respite services had the lowest overall service user rate. Victoria had the highest rate of service users for all service groups except for employment, where South Australia had the highest service user rate.

Figure DIS.3: Non-residential service users with psychiatric disability, states and territories, 2010–11
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Source: AIHW analysis of data from the Disability Services NMDS.
Source data for this figure are accessible from Table DIS.1 (260KB XLS) in the Psychiatric disability support services excel table downloads.

Profile of service users

Of the non-residential service users who identified as having psychiatric disability, over two-thirds (67.9%) reported this as their primary disability.

There were more male users (56.0%) of non-residential disability support services than females (44.0%) and more than two-thirds of non-residential users were aged 25–54 (69.1%). More than four-fifths of non-residential service users were born in Australia (81.2%). Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people were more than twice as likely to utilise non-residential disability support services in comparison to other Australians (827.0 and 372.4 per 100,000 population respectively).

Most (90.2%) service users of non-residential disability support services lived in a Major city or Inner regional area and more than three-quarters in private residences (76.6%). While the highest proportion of service users live alone (37.3%), a similar proportion live with others (36.2%) and around a quarter live with family (26.5%). The main source of income for users of non-residential services was a Disability support pension (60.8%) with only 4.3% of users reporting paid employment as their main income source.
Residential services

Profile of service usage

People with psychiatric disability accessed residential disability support services most commonly in Tasmania, with 33.9 users per 100,000 population. The lowest rate was in the Northern Territory (6.1) and the national average was 17.6 (Figure DIS.4). Group homes were the most widely reported residential service type nationally, with 13.4 service users per 100,000 population. Group homes were the only residential service type reported by people with a psychiatric disability in the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory. Service users in South Australia reported group homes more often than large residential facilities/institutions.

Figure DIS.4: Residential service users with psychiatric disability, states and territories, 2010–11

Profile of service users

Of all residential service users with psychiatric disability, the most frequently reported primary disability was intellectual disability (73.3%), with only a relatively small proportion of users having a primary psychiatric disability (13.7%) when compared with users of non-residential services (67.9%).

There were more male users (57.8%) of residential services, than female (42.2%), with the majority of residential users aged 35–64 (73.1%). Indigenous residential service users made up a small proportion (4.7%), but were more than twice as likely to access residential services in comparison to non-Indigenous (43.7 and 16.8 per 100,000 population, respectively).

Residential service users were most likely to be living with others (94.1%), in a domestic-scale supported living facility (57.2%) and receiving a Disability support pension (97.6%) as their main source of income.


Data source

Disability Services National Minimum Data Set collection

Data pertaining to the National Disability Agreement (NDA) are collected through the Disability Services National Minimum Data Set (Disability Services NMDS). This NMDS, managed by the AIHW, facilitates the annual collation of nationally comparable data about NDA-funded services. Services within the scope of the collection are those for which funding has been provided during the specified period by a government organisation operating under the NDA. A funded agency may receive funding from multiple sources. Where a funded agency is unable to differentiate service users according to funding source (that is, NDA or other), they are asked to provide details of all service users or to apportion the number of service users against the amount of funding provided (that is, if 50% of funding is from NDA then services are asked to report 50% of their service users).

With the exceptions noted below, agencies funded under the NDA are asked to provide information about:

- each of the service types they are funded to provide (that is, service type outlets they operate)
- all service users who received support over a specified reporting period
- the Disability Services NMDS service type(s) the service users received.

However, certain service type outlets—such as those providing advocacy or information and referral services—are not requested to provide any service user details, and other service type outlets (such as recreation and holiday programs) are only asked to provide minimal service user details.

The collection includes those disability support service providers that receive funding under the NDA, including psychiatric-specific disability service providers, as well as other disability service providers that may be accessed by people with psychiatric disability. It should be noted that the NDA does not apply to the provision of services with a specialist clinical focus. In addition, the collection does not include psychiatric-specific disability support services that are not funded through the NDA.

Data for the 2010–11 collection period were released in Disability support services 2010–11 (AIHW 2012). The scope of services varied in terms of programs that received NDA funding across jurisdictions. For example, in Victoria and Queensland, specialist psychiatric disability services were provided under the NDA. However, in all other jurisdictions specific mental health services were funded and provided under health, rather than disability, portfolios. In addition, Victoria has changed the way service users with a psychiatric disability were reported between Mental health services in Australia publications. Therefore, comparisons between publications should be approached with caution.

Response rates

For the 2010–11 collection, there was an overall response rate of 97% for service outlets, although rates were variable across jurisdictions (see table below). The response rates estimate the number of service outlets providing client data. Information on which service type outlets provided information for each collection period is not available as part of the Disability Services NMDS. Therefore, there is the possibility that, between collection periods, different outlets, with different proportions of psychiatric disability users, are providing service user information to the Disability Services NMDS. In addition, the number of non-responses for the item ‘Primary disability group’ also varies considerably between jurisdictions. The service outlet response rates and the non-response rates for states and territories for 2005–06 to 2010–11 are shown in the table below. The user response rate within these outlets cannot be estimated.
DIS.1 Disability Services NMDS response rates, by states and territories, 2005–06 to 2010–11 (per cent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service outlet response rates</th>
<th>NSW</th>
<th>Vic</th>
<th>Qld</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>Tas</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>Aust Gov</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005–06</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–07</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–08*</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–09</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–10</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–11</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'Not stated' and 'not known' response rates for Primary disability group(a)

| 2005–06 | 11.1 | 41.8 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 21.0 | 27.6 | 13.9 | 19.7 |
| 2006–07 | 9.1  | 23.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 9.5  | 26.6 | 0.7  | 9.2  |
| 2007–08*| 8.0  | 23.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.3  | 16.2 | 0.0  | 8.5  |
| 2008–09*| 10.6 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.2  | 11.9 | 0.1  | 6.6  |
| 2009–10 | 13.4 | 16.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.7 | —   | 3.6  | 10.8 | —    | 6.8  |
| 2010–11 | 9.1  | 15.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | —   | 2.5  | 44.1 | 1.6  | 6.6  |

* Indicates where previously published data has been revised.

(a) Figures are the percentage of total responses for primary disability group.

Notes
1. Response rates are based on figures provided by jurisdictions.
2. The total response rate is based on the number of outlets in the data set divided by the number of total outlets that would have been in the data set if all jurisdictions had a 100% response rate.
3. The methodology to obtain service user counts has varied over time, so comparisons between reporting years should be approached with caution.


The statistical linkage key

Individuals may receive disability support services from more than one service type or service group, or from multiple jurisdictions. A statistical linkage key enables unique service user counts to be estimated from the data collected by service type outlets and agencies. To link records within the Disability Services NMDS, the statistical linkage key components of each record for a service received are compared electronically with the statistical linkage key components of all other records. Records that have matching statistical linkage keys are assumed to belong to the same individual service user and are linked. There is a small probability that some of the linked records do not actually belong to the same individual, and, conversely, that some records that did not link do belong to the same individual. More technical information on the use, calculation and validity of the statistical linkage key can be found in the publication, Disability Support Services: report on services provided under the National Disability Agreement 2010–11 (2012).

Indigenous status

For 2010–11, the proportion of service users identifying as being Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people was higher than the proportion in the general population (4.7% versus 2.8%). See Disability Support Services: report on services provided under the National Disability Agreement 2010–11 (2012) for further information.
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## Key concepts

### Support services for people with psychiatric disability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Concept</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accommodation support</strong></td>
<td>Accommodation support services provide the support needed to enable a person with a disability to remain in their existing accommodation or to move to more suitable or appropriate accommodation. It includes personal care by an attendant, in-home accommodation support, alternative placement (such as shared-care arrangements and host family placements) and crisis accommodation support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advocacy, information and alternative forms of communication and other support</strong></td>
<td>Advocacy, information and alternative forms of communication and other support services include advocacy, information, referral, mutual support, self-help groups and alternative formats of communication. (Note that no service user counts are collected for these services and are therefore not presented in the text, or associated data, for this section.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australian Government-funded services</strong></td>
<td>Australian Government-funded services include the National Disability Agreement (NDA) funded Employment services funded directly from the Australian Government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community access</strong></td>
<td>Community access services are designed to provide opportunities for people with a disability to gain and use their abilities to enjoy their full potential for social independence. They include learning and life skills development, and recreation and holiday programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community support</strong></td>
<td>Community support services provide assistance with non-institutionalised living arrangements, such as specialised therapeutic care services, early childhood intervention, behaviour and/or specialist intervention, regional resource and support teams, counselling and case management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment services</strong></td>
<td>Employment support services include providing assistance in obtaining and/or retaining paid employment in both the open labour market and specialised and supported environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group homes</strong></td>
<td>Group homes provide combined accommodation and community-based residential support to people in a residential setting and are generally staffed 24 hours a day. Usually, no more than 6 service users are located in any one home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hostels</strong></td>
<td>Hostels provide residential support in a setting of usually less than 20 beds and may or may not provide 24-hour residential support. Unlike residential facilities/institutions, hostels do not provide segregated specialist services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Large residential facilities/institutions</strong></td>
<td>Large residential facilities/institutions provide 24-hour residential support in a setting of more than 20 beds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Disability Agreement (NDA)</strong></td>
<td>Originally signed by Australian Government and state and territory governments in January 2009 and replacing the previous Commonwealth State/Territory Disability Agreement, the National Disability Agreement articulates the roles of the governments in delivering specialist disability services. The agreement’s overarching objective is to provide more opportunities for people with disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A revised NDA was endorsed by COAG members in 2012. In addition to changes that reflect the new policy directions for community care in the National Health Reform Agreement, the revised NDA includes five new reform priorities. The priority areas for reform are aimed at building the evidence base for disability policies and strategies; enhancing family and carer capacity; strategies for increasing choice, control and self-directed decision-making; innovative and flexible support models for people with high and complex needs; and developing employment opportunities for people with disability (COAG 2012; FaHCSIA 2012).

**Non-residential services** are services that support people with a disability to live in a non-institutional setting through the provision of community support, community access, accommodation support in the community (including personal care by an attendant, in-home accommodation support, alternative family placement and other accommodation support), respite and/or employment services.

**Other significant disability** refers to disability group(s) other than that indicated as being ‘primary’ that also clearly expresses the experience of disability by a person and/or causes difficulty for the person. A number of other significant disabilities may be identified for each service user.

**Primary disability** is the disability group that most clearly expresses the experience of disability by a person, causing the most difficulty for the person in their daily life.

**Psychiatric disability** within the DS NMDS collection includes clinically recognisable symptoms and behaviour patterns frequently associated with distress that may impair functioning in normal social activity. Psychiatric disability may be associated with schizophrenia, affective disorders, anxiety disorders, addictive behaviours, personality disorders, stress, psychosis, depression and adjustment disorders but dementias, specific learning disorders (such as attention deficit disorder) and autism are excluded.

**Residential services** are services that provide accommodation for people with a disability. They include accommodation in large (>20 places) and small (7–20 places) residential facilities/institutions; hostels; and group homes (<7 places).

**Respite services** provide a short-term and time-limited break for families and other voluntary caregivers of people with a disability and include services such as those provided in the individual’s home, in centres, in respite homes and with host families. Although respite is provided to both the person with disability and their caregiver, in this report the person with the disability is regarded as the client, and numbers presented in the tables/figures reflect this definition.

**Service type and service group** refer to the classification of services according to the support activity which the service provider has been funded to provide under the NDA. Service types are rolled into service groups for data relating to non-residential services.

**Small residential facilities/institutions** provide 24-hour residential support in a setting of 7 to 20 beds.

**State or territory administered services** include those NDA-funded services providing any residential service and those providing the non-residential service groups of accommodation support, community support, community access and
respite. Joint funding of these agencies may occur between the state/territory and the Australian Government as specified by the NDA.
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