## Cancer Series

Number 25

# BreastScreen Australia Monitoring Report 2000-2001 

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare and the<br>Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing for the BreastScreen Australia Program

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be directed to the Head, Media and Publishing Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601.

This publication is part of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's Cancer Series. A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Media and Publishing Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601, or via the Institute's web site (http://www.aihw.gov.au).

ISSN 1039-3307
ISBN 174024348 X

## Suggested citation

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2003. BreastScreen Australia monitoring report 2000-2001. AIHW cat. no. CAN 20. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (Cancer Series no. 25).

## Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Board Chair
Dr Sandra Hacker
Director
Dr Richard Madden

Any enquiries about or comments on this publication should be directed to:

Dr Chris Stevenson
Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
GPO Box 570
Canberra ACT 2601
E-mail: screening@aihw.gov.au
Phone: (02) 62441041

Published by Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.
Printed by Union Offset Printers

## Contents

List of tables ..... V
List of figures ..... ix
Acknowledgments ..... xi
Summary ..... xii
Introduction ..... 1
Indicator 1: Participation ..... 7
Participation rate ..... 7
The participation indicator ..... 7
Indicator 2: Detection of small invasive cancers ..... 16
Small invasive cancer detection rate ..... 16
The small invasive cancer detection indicator ..... 16
Indicator 3: Sensitivity ..... 23
The sensitivity indicator ..... 23
Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in situ ..... 32
Ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate ..... 32
The DCIS detection indicator ..... 32
Indicator 5: Recall to assessment. ..... 34
Recall to assessment rate ..... 34
The recall to assessment indicator ..... 34
Indicator 6: Rescreening ..... 37
Rescreen rate ..... 37
The rescreen indicator ..... 37
Indicator 7: Incidence ..... 41
The incidence indicator ..... 41
Indicator 8: Mortality ..... 47
Mortality rate ..... 47
The mortality indicator ..... 47
Tables ..... 53
Appendix A: Data and statistical issues ..... 107
Abbreviations ..... 113
Glossary ..... 114
Bibliography ..... 118

## List of tables

Table 1: Number of women participating in breast screening in BreastScreen Australia by age, states and territories, 2000-2001 ..... 54
Table 2: Percentage of women participating in BreastScreen Australia, states and territories, 2000-2001 ..... 55
Table 3: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and region, 2000-2001 ..... 56
Table 4: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and socioeconomic status, 2000-2001 ..... 57
Table 5: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and Indigenous status, 2000-2001 ..... 58
Table 6: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and main language spoken at home, 2000-2001 ..... 59
Table 7: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 60
Table 8: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2001 ..... 61
Table 9: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001. ..... 62
Table 10: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001 ..... 63
Table 11: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, all screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 64
Table 12: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, all screening rounds, states and territories, 2001 ..... 65
Table 13: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 66
Table 14: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2001 ..... 67
Table 15: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 68
Table 16: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 69
Table 17: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories. ..... 70
Table 18: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 13-24 months, states and territories ..... 71
Table 19: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories. ..... 72
Table 20: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories ..... 73
Table 21: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 13-24 months, states and territories ..... 74
Table 22: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories ..... 75
Table 23: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories ..... 76
Table 24: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories ..... 77
Table 25: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories ..... 78
Table 26: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories ..... 79
Table 27: Number of women screened and cases of DCIS detected in these women by age, states and territories, 2001 ..... 80
Table 28: Age-specific rate of DCIS detected in women screened, states and territories, 2001 ..... 81
Table 29: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, first screening round, states and territories, 2001 ..... 82
Table 30: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rate, first screening round, states and territories, 2001 ..... 83
Table 31: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001 ..... 84
Table 32: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001 ..... 85
Table 33: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, first screening round, states and territories ..... 86
Table 34: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates for women screened during 1999, first screening round, states and territories ..... 87
Table 35: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, second screening round, states and territories ..... 88
Table 36: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1999, second screening round, states and territories ..... 89
Table 37: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories ..... 90
Table 38: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1999, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories ..... 91
Table 39: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, Australia, 1987-2000 ..... 92
Table 40: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2000 ..... 93
Table 41: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1997-2000 ..... 94
Table 42: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1997-2000 ..... 95
Table 43: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, by age and region, 1996-2000... 96
Table 44: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1996-2000 ..... 97
Table 45: Number of new cases of ductal carcinoma in situ by age, states and territories, 1995-2000 ..... 98
Table 46: Age-specific and age-standardised rates of ductal carcinoma in situ, states and territories, 1995-2000 ..... 98
Table 47: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001 ..... 99
Table 48: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001 ..... 100
Table 49: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1998-2001 ..... 101
Table 50: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1998-2001 ..... 102
Table 51: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and region, 1997-2001 . ..... 103
Table 52: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1997-2001 ..... 104
Table 53: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1997-2001 ..... 105
Table 54: Age-standardised and age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer in women by Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1997-2001 ..... 106
TableA1: Sources for data presented in this report ..... 107
Table A2: Structure of the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification ..... 110

## List of figures

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 2000-2001 .....  9
Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 ..... 10
Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by region, 2000-2001 ..... 11
Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by socioeconomic status, 2000-2001 ..... 12
Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by Indigenous status, 2000-2001 ..... 13
Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by language spoken at home, 2000-2001 ..... 14
Age-distribution of women aged 40 years and over in BreastScreen Australia, 2000-2001 ..... 15
Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, first screening round, 2001 ..... 17
Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged $50-69$, subsequent screening rounds, 2001 ..... 18
Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged $50-69$, all screening rounds, 2000 and 2001 ..... 19
Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection by age, 2001 ..... 20
All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69 years, first screening round, 2001 ..... 21
All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening rounds, 2001 ..... 22
Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, $0-12$ months follow-up ..... 24
Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up ..... 25
Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up ..... 26
Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up ..... 27
Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up ..... 28
Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up ..... 29
Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up ..... 30
Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up ..... 31
Ductal carcinoma in situ detection in women aged 50-69 years, 2001 ..... 33
Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, first screening round, 2001 ..... 35
Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening round, 200136
Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, first screening round ..... 38
Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, second screening round 39
Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, third and subsequent screening rounds ..... 40
Incidence of breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2000 ..... 42
Incidence of breast cancer in women, aged 50-69 years, 1997-2000 ..... 43
Age-specific incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 2000 ..... 44
Incidence of breast cancer in women by region, 1996-2000. ..... 45
Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ in women, aged 50-69 years, 1995-2000 ..... 46
Mortality from breast cancer, females, Australia, 1987-2001 ..... 48
Mortality from breast cancer in women aged 50-69, 1998-2001 ..... 49
Age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer, females, Australia, 2001 ..... 50
Mortality from breast cancer by region, females, 1997-2001 ..... 51
Mortality from breast cancer by Indigenous status, females, 1997-2001 ..... 52

## Acknowledgments

The BreastScreen Australia Program is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. This report was produced in collaboration with the BreastScreen
Australia National Advisory Committee and its Monitoring and Evaluation Working Group and the Population Screening Section of the Department of Health and Ageing.
The authors of this report were Cathy Hotstone, Chris Stevenson and John Harding. Thanks are extended to the following state and territory program and data managers for providing the data and overall assistance in the production of this report. Thanks are also extended to all state and territory cancer registries, which are the source of data on breast cancer incidence (through the National Cancer Clearing House) and data on ductal carcinoma in situ.

## BreastScreen Australia

NEW SOUTH WALES
Ms Anne Brassil
Ms Liz Martin
Ms Jane Estoesta

## VICTORIA

Ms Onella Stagoll
Ms Pauline Saunders
Ms Genevieve Chappell

## QUEENSLAND

Ms Jennifer Muller
Mr Stephen Heim

## WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Dr Liz Wylie
Ms Jan Tresham

## SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Ms Lou Williamson
Ms Prue Playford

## TASMANIA

Ms Valerie Gardner
Mr Damian Davidson

## AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

Ms Helen Sutherland
Mr Phillip Crawford

## NORTHERN TERRITORY

Ms Karen Finch
Ms Terri Raines
Mr Guillermo Enciso

## Summary

This is the fifth national monitoring report for the BreastScreen Australia Program. The third and fourth reports have been combined in the BreastScreen Australia Monitoring Report 1998-1999 and 1999-2000, which is available as an internet-only publication on the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's web site (http://www.aihw.gov.au). This fifth report presents statistics on BreastScreen Australia screening activity and outcomes for 2000-2001. A reporting interval of two years is used because it corresponds with the recommended interval between screens for asymptomatic women in the target age group of 50-69 years.

## Participation

- In 2000-2001, 1,567,544 women participated in BreastScreen Australia screening. Of these women, 1,063,479 ( $68 \%$ ) were in the screening program target age group of 50-69 years.
- Between the periods 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 the proportion of women in the target population (women aged 50 to 69 years) participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program rose from $55.9 \%$ to $56.9 \%$.
- Although there was some variation in participation rates among different socioeconomic groups, the difference between the most and the least disadvantaged groups was only marginally significant, and all groups had participation rates above $55 \%$. Greater variation in participation rates was observed for different cultural and linguistic groups. At $36.2 \%$, the age-standardised participation rate for Indigenous women in the target age group was significantly lower than both the national participation rate and the participation rate for non-Indigenous women.


## Detection of cancer

- In order to reduce morbidity and mortality resulting from breast cancer, BreastScreen Australia aims to maximise the early detection of small-diameter ( 15 mm or less) invasive breast cancers. In 2001, $65 \%$ of all invasive breast cancers detected by BreastScreen Australia were small-diameter cancers. This proportion was reduced to $56.4 \%$ for women who were attending for their first screen and increased to $66.6 \%$ for women who had previously been screened.
- For women in the target age group, the age-standardised rate of small-diameter invasive cancer detection was 29 per 10,000 women screened in 2001. This was not significantly different from the 2000 rate of 29.6 per 10,000 women screened.
- Across the states and territories the age-standardised rates of interval cancer (that is, an invasive cancer detected between two screening rounds, see glossary) for women in the target age group in the 24 months after their first screen ranged from 5.6 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory to 14.5 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania.
- 'Program sensitivity' is the proportion of invasive breast cancers that are detected within the BreastScreen Australia Program out of all invasive breast cancers (interval cancers plus screen-detected cancers) diagnosed in program-screened women in the screening interval. The Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group ranged from $68.2 \%$ in Tasmania to $81.3 \%$ in Western Australia.
- In 2001, 883 cases of ductal carcinoma in situ were detected in women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program. The age-standardised detection rate for this condition was 11.2 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group and 10.4 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over.


## Recall for assessment

- The proportion of women recalled for assessment because of an abnormal mammogram result was significantly higher for women being screened for the first time in 2001 compared with women who had previously been screened. The age-standardised recall rate was $8.3 \%$ for women attending their first round of screening. For women attending for their second or subsequent screen, only 4.0\% (age-standardised) were recalled for assessment because of an abnormal result.


## Rescreening

- The proportion of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1999 and returning for rescreening within the recommended 27 -month interval increased with the number of previous screens. The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1999 for the first time was $64.7 \%$. The rescreen rate increased to $75 \%$ for women attending for their second screen and $82.5 \%$ for women attending for a third or subsequent screen within 27 months.


## Breast cancer incidence

- With some fluctuation, there was a notable increase between 1987 and 2000 in the agestandardised breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group. Incidence increased in the target age group from 197.1 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1987 to 296.9 per 100,000 women in 2000.
- Of the 11,316 new cases of breast cancer in 2000, $5,452(48 \%)$ occurred in women in the target age group. Only $6 \%$ of cases were women aged under 40 years. Age-specific incidence rates in 2000 ranged from 115.2 new cancers per 100,000 women in the $40-44$ age group to 337.4 new cases per 100,000 women in the 60-64 age group.


## Breast cancer mortality

- From 1993 onwards, a steady decline is evident in the age-standardised mortality rates for women in the target age group. The mortality rate for these women was 66.8 deaths per 100,000 women in 1988; in 2001, the corresponding figure was 51.8. A similar pattern of decline in mortality rates can be observed in women aged 70 and over. Mortality rates for women aged under 50 years remained the lowest and most consistent, staying below 8 deaths per 100,000 women for the period 1987 to 2001.


## Introduction

This report on the performance of the BreastScreen Australia Program during 2000 and 2001 marks an important anniversary. Australia's national mammographic screening program was established in 1991, so it has now been operating for over 10 years, delivering quality breast cancer screening services to Australian women.
By the mid-1980s there was a growing body of international evidence that an organised approach to mammography screening would result in a significant decrease in breast cancer mortality. Towards the end of the 1980s a number of small private and public sector breast screening services had been established in Australia.
In November 1987, the Australian Health Ministers Advisory Council endorsed a recommendation to establish a National Breast Cancer Screening Evaluation study to assess the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of establishing a national breast cancer screening program. Specifically, the evaluation was to assess the possibility of providing high-quality services that were acceptable and accessible to women and that represented value for money. The Commonwealth committed $\$ 3.1$ million over three years to this joint initiative with the state and territory health departments; additional funding of $\$ 2.8$ million was provided for mobile screening units in 1989-90.

The National Breast Cancer Screening Evaluation was overseen by the Breast Cancer Screening Steering Committee, which provided advice on a range of pilot projects and their evaluation. The Steering Committee was supported by the Screening Evaluation Co-ordination Unit, established at the then Australian Institute of Health.

The National Breast Cancer Screening Evaluation included 11 breast cancer screening units, some of which were pre-existing and others being established as part of the evaluation. Both public and private screening units were included in the evaluation, from all states and territories except Tasmania. The aim of the evaluation was to establish whether breast cancer screening could be effectively implemented in Australia and achieve the screening participation rates and results obtained in other countries.
In 1990 the Screening Evaluation Co-ordination Unit provided its report, Breast cancer screening in Australia: future directions, to the Australian Health Ministers Advisory Council. The report covered the epidemiological basis for and cost-effectiveness of introducing an organised breast cancer screening program in Australia. It also examined the acceptability of screening to Australian women and whether the Australian health system had the capacity to deliver screening. Finally, it discussed and made detailed recommendations about the policy aspects of developing a national screening program. These included the parameters for eligibility for screening - in particular, identifying the target age group -and the screening interval. Other important components were providing a foundation for quality assurance across the breast cancer screening pathway, ensuring equal access, and maintaining the involvement of general practitioners. The report also stressed the importance of continued monitoring and evaluation of the screening program over time. It noted that there was sufficient evidence to support the development of a national screening program, and it provided the basis for the development of the National Program for the Early Detection of Breast Cancer.

## Promoting breast cancer screening

In 1994-95, the Commonwealth committed funding over five years towards the development of a social marketing campaign, including the mass media, to inform women about the breast cancer screening program and to promote the benefits of early detection to reduce deaths and illness from breast cancer.
In 1995 Sara Henderson, the well-known Northern Territory cattle-station owner, author and motivational speaker became the figurehead of the BreastScreen Australia campaign. The Sara Henderson campaign concept and advertising materials included television and radio commercials, magazine and press advertisement, and supporting promotional material.
Campaign media activity has included a mix of metropolitan and regional television advertising and magazine and community radio advertising. Some campaign activity has specifically sought to target women from non-English speaking backgrounds via SBS Television and community radio. General practitioners have also been targeted with specific information dissemination strategies. BreastScreen Australia advertising material was included in the 1998-99 Essentials GP Patient Counselling Kit and tear-out leaflets on breast cancer screening were sponsored by BreastScreen Australia to provide patients with information about the benefits of screening.
Since the campaign began in 1995 various public relations activities have been implemented to support it. These have played an important role in building on and reinforcing messages to the target audience.
Some of the main activities have been:

- placing editorials in newspapers and magazines;
- commипity service announcements on SBS Television and community radio;
- distributing posters and brochures in general practitioners' surgeries and health centres;
- distributing program information and bookmarks to libraries;
- promoting the campaign through Sara Henderson's book tour in 1995;
- promoting the program in the media; and
- developing the 132050 information phone line and internet site.

In 1990, the Commonwealth announced funding of $\$ 64$ million over three years to implement a national program for the early detection of breast cancer, based on the recommendations in the Screening Evaluation Co-ordination Unit report. The program was jointly funded by the Commonwealth and the states and territories and was to be phased in over five years.
The National Advisory Committee for the Early Detection of Breast Cancer was established in 1991. Its role was to provide advice relating to implementation of the National Program. The early 1990s focused on a range of activities related to implementing a national breast cancer screening program. The Commonwealth established a National Coordination Unit collaborating with the states and territories to develop and implement policies, funding formulae, quality management infrastructure, processes and organisational systems. The states and territories established State Coordination Units and developed five-year plans for the systematic implementation of breast cancer screening and assessment services tailored to the state or territory's health system and environment. The State Coordination Units also provided critical input at the national level to the policies and protocols that would guide the breast cancer screening program and provide for a consistent, truly national program. The following are among the achievements of that early period:

- the development of national minimum accreditation guidelines and an accreditation system to ensure that breast cancer Screening and Assessment Services were providing
high-quality, effective services to women. The guidelines were replaced by the National Accreditation Requirements in 1994;
- establishment of a national minimum data set;
- establishment and operation of state and territory data systems to ensure that women received appropriate follow-up and to enable monitoring and evaluation of the Program;
- a summary of the major age-related issues in breast cancer screening and an information statement about the efficacy of screening mammography for women of various age groups;
- multi-disciplinary and uni-disciplinary training packages were developed by the Commonwealth in collaboration with the state and territory Programs. Training programs were offered at the national and state and territory levels for all clinical and non-clinical staff working in the Program;
- examination of issues related to the inclusion of open biopsy in the Program;
- exploration of medico-legal considerations; and
- establishment of a national freecall number for the Program-13 20 50-to support appointment and information processes for women.
In 1996, the name of the Program was changed to BreastScreen Australia and the National Advisory Committee was reconstituted. To celebrate the achievements of the Program, a National Breast Cancer Conference was held in 1997. Since that time, the National Advisory Committee has been working on refining BreastScreen Australia policies and national activities. The following have been important achievements:
- collection and publication of data against agreed national performance measures;
- review of the National Accreditation Requirements and endorsement of the new BreastScreen Australia National Accreditation Standards;
- development of a transparent and consistent tool to support accreditation decision making;
- the BreastScreen Australia Evaluation Plan agreed and the first project under that plan, the, BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary, completed;
- development of a BreastScreen Australia Monitoring Plan;
- research on the BreastScreen Australia Radiographer and Radiologist workforces;
- issuing of BreastScreen Australia National Information Statements;
- agreement on a strategy for increasing the participation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in breast cancer screening, based on broad consultation with Indigenous women and organisations;
- agreement on a BreastScreen Australia National Data Policy; and
- adoption of the BreastScreen Australia National Policy Framework on Symptomatic Women.
However, the greatest achievement in the period from 1996 to 2001 is that the BreastScreen Australia Program has provided over 4.5 million screening mammograms. During that period, the program has also consistently maintained cancer detection rates and detection rates for small cancers at or above those set as minimum standards for services to achieve.


## Providing services in rural and remote areas

The BreastScreen Australia Program has developed some innovative approaches to ensuring that women in rural and remote areas have access to screening and assessment services. A network of 46 mobile screening units currently operates in over 500 locations throughout the country.

To provide access to women living in some of the most remote regions of Australia it has been necessary to purpose-build four-wheel-drive trucks that enable the transport of mammography equipment by road and barge. To ensure that services are available to women in the Torres Strait Islands, for example, a four-wheel-drive truck requires three separate barge trips - the first from the mainland coast by landing barge to the coastal freighter (where the transfer of the truck from one vessel to the other happens at sea), the second to Thursday Island, and the third from Thursday Island to the outer islands.

The staff of BreastScreen Australia take special care to ensure that visits to rural communities are successful. Before the mobile unit arrives, the recruitment and promotional staff visit to conduct publicity drives, provide training for local health workers and general practitioners, and check the proposed screening site, power and other technical requirements as well as access for equipment, clients and staff.

For most women who attend for breast cancer screening, their result will be normal, with no cancer detected. For some women, however, abnormalities that require further assessment will be detected.

To enable rural women to attend BreastScreen Australia assessment services, the states and territories operate a travel subsidy scheme, which assists with the cost of travel and accommodation for women and, in some cases, their carers.

Many rural communities have become strong partners in providing support for visits of the BreastScreen Australia mobile units. In Queensland, for example, a team of trained volunteers from the Queensland Country Women's Association and The Older Women's Network help women fill out their forms when they arrive for their screening appointments. BreastScreen Australia recognises the value of community partnership, and activities such as these help ensure a high participation rate. In 2001-2002, $61 \%$ of eligible Queensland women living in rural, remote and regional areas participated in the Program; this compares with only $55 \%$ of urban women in the south-east corner of the state.

Since the introduction of the national breast cancer screening program, there has been a $23.7 \%$ reduction in female deaths from breast cancer ${ }^{1}$ in the target age range of 50-69 years. The survival rate five years after diagnosis of breast cancer increased from $72.3 \%$ during 1982 to 1986 to $84.0 \%$ between 1992 and 1997. These encouraging results can be attributed to early detection through screening combined with improvements in treatment and drug therapies. Nevertheless, breast cancer remains a major health concern for Australian women. More women die from it than from any other form of cancer: in 2001 it caused the death of 2,585 Australian women. The lifetime risk of an Australian woman developing breast cancer is one in eleven.

1 Based on data for the years 1993 to 2001.

There are still challenges for BreastScreen Australia to redevelop and target recruitment strategies to better meet the needs of women and encourage them to participate in the Program. In addition, to achieve the desired level of participation, the Program needs to provide more flexible access to services, in particular to meet the needs of the cohort of baby boomers, who are aging into the target age group and are more likely to be in the paid workforce and might therefore have difficultly accessing BreastScreen Australia services. Maintenance of high standards and development of the workforce and service capacity to achieve the target participation rate of $70 \%$ with the increase in the eligible population will also be important challenges for the Program in the next five years. The Program does, however, have a strong base to build on and a structure and ethos that supports continuous quality improvement. The results of the Program to date and the input and efforts of all those working in the Program attest to that.

## Key features of BreastScreen Australia

- A doctor's referral is not required.
- Services are free to eligible women.
- Services are located throughout each Australian state and territory, using fixed or mobile services to ensure that the Program is accessible to all women.
- Recruitment and reminder systems aim to ensure that women in the target group are screened and rescreened in accordance with Program policy. The target group for screening is women aged 50-69 years, but women aged 40-49 years and over 70 years are also eligible to attend.
- Comprehensive, multi-disciplinary follow-up assessment services ensure that all women with a screen-detected breast abnormality have appropriate specialist clinical assessment to the point of diagnosis and referral to treatment services.
- A comprehensive system of accreditation ensures that all BreastScreen Australia services operate under a common set of standards. Each service is regularly assessed by an independent multi-disciplinary team to ensure that the service provided complies with national standards.


## Aims and Objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program

## Aims

- To ensure that the Program is implemented in such a way that significant reductions can be achieved in morbidity and mortality attributable to breast cancer.
- To maximise the early detection of breast cancer in the target population.
- To ensure that screening for breast cancer in Australia is provided in dedicated accredited screening and assessment services as part of the BreastScreen Australia Program.
- To ensure equitable access to the Program for women aged 50-69 years.
- To ensure that services are acceptable and appropriate to the needs of the eligible population.
- To achieve high standards of program management, service delivery, monitoring and evaluation, and accountability.


## Objectives

- To achieve a $70 \%$ participation rate in the BreastScreen Australia Program by women in the target age group and access to the Program for women aged 40-49 years and 70 years and over.
- To rescreen all women in the Program at two-yearly intervals.
- To achieve agreed performance outcomes that minimise recall rates, retake films, invasive procedures, 'false negatives', and 'false positives', and to maximise the number of cancers detected, particularly the number of small cancers.
- To refer to appropriate treatment services and collect information about the outcome of treatment.
- To fund through State Coordination Units Screening and Assessment Services that are accredited according to agreed National Accreditation Standards and to ensure that those Standards are monitored and reviewed by appropriate State and Territory Accreditation Committees.
- To recognise the real costs to women of participation in the Program and to minimise those costs. This includes the provision of services at minimal or no charge and free to eligible women who would not attend if there was a charge.
- To make information about mammographic screening and the BreastScreen Australia Program available in easily comprehensible and appropriate forms in a variety of forums and to women and health-care providers in particular.
- To achieve patterns of participation in the Program that are representative of the socioeconomic, ethnic and cultural profiles of the target population.
- To provide services in accessible, non-threatening and comfortable environments, using staff with appropriate expertise, experience and training.
- To provide appropriate service, in that the provision of counselling, education and information is an integral part of the Program; sensitive procedures for notification of recall are in place; and the time between the initial screen and assessment is minimised.
- To achieve high levels of participation in the development and management of the Program by members of significant professional and client groups.
- To collect and analyse data sufficient to monitor the implementation of the Program, to evaluate its effectiveness and efficiency, and to provide the basis for future policy and program development decisions.


## Indicator 1: Participation

## Participation rate

The participation rate is the percentage of women in the population screened through the BreastScreen Australia Program in a 24 -month period by 5 -year age groups (40-44, 45-49, $50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The participation indicator

The participation rate is a population-based indicator that measures the proportion of the eligible population attending the screening program within the recommended screening interval. All women who are Australian citizens and those with permanent residency status are eligible for breast screening. It is important that a high proportion of women in the target age group to attend for screening if BreastScreen Australia is to realise the anticipated reductions in overall mortality from breast cancer (DHSH 1994). The participation rate is a direct measure of this attendance. The indicator also provides information to assist in assessing the effectiveness of the program's communication and education strategies, and can be used to assess whether the target age group is well represented in the screening population.
The focus of this report is on women who have had a mammogram in the BreastScreen Australia Program. However, other mammography for screening and diagnosis (that is, investigating breast symptoms) is conducted outside the program. To some extent, therefore, the results presented in this report are an underestimation of screening on a national basis. This chapter reports on the participation rates for the BreastScreen Australia Program for 2000 and 2001.

One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To achieve, after five years, a 70 per cent participation in the National Program by women in the target group (50-69)...' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). The age-standardised national participation rate for women in the target group in 2000-2001 was $56.9 \%$. This rate has been steadily increasing since 1996-1997, when it was $52.3 \%$.

Age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group (50-69 years), Australia, 1996-1997 to 2000-2001

|  | Objective $^{(\mathbf{a})}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | 1999-2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 70.0 | 52.3 | 54.3 | 55.6 | 55.9 | 56.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I}$ | $\ldots$ | $52.1-52.3$ | $54.1-54.4$ | $55.5-55.8$ | $55.8-56.0$ | $56.8-57.0$ |

[^0]Another BreastScreen Australia objective relating to participation is 'To achieve patterns of participation in the Program which are representative of the socioeconomic, ethnic and cultural profiles of the target population' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). This chapter reports national participation rates by region, socioeconomic status, Indigenous status, and main language spoken at home.
Participation rates in capital cities and 'Other remote areas' were significantly lower than those in other regions. The lower participation rates in capital cities may reflect greater access to private radiology services. Or there may be a group of women in the target age group who are working women and cannot easily access BreastScreen Australia services. For some women, proximity to services could create over-familiarity and lead to postponement of screening in order to accommodate other priorities. Lower rates in remote areas may reflect a larger proportion of Indigenous women in the target age group who may not find services culturally accessible. However, there are no data to test these hypotheses. Although the participation rate for 'Other remote areas' is lower than that for all other regions except capital cities, it is similar to the all-Australia rate. Participation in country areas is encouraged through the use of mobile mammography units.

There was some variation in the participation rates among different socioeconomic groups, but there was only a marginally significant difference between the most and the least disadvantaged groups. This demonstrates the success of the program in reaching women at all socioeconomic levels, since there is no decline in participation with decreasing socioeconomic status.
Participation among Indigenous women was significantly lower than that of nonIndigenous women. Similarly, participation among women who speak a language other than English at home was significantly lower than that of women who speak English at home. These results should, however, be treated with caution because of the data issues discussed in the report.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 2000-2001


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.9 | $53.0^{*}$ | $59.2^{*}$ | $58.4^{*}$ | $55.4^{*}$ | $64.3^{*}$ | $60.0^{*}$ | 57.0 | $46.3^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $56.8-57.0$ | $52.9-53.2$ | $59.0-59.4$ | $58.1-58.6$ | $55.1-55.7$ | $63.9-64.7$ | $59.3-60.6$ | $56.0-57.8$ | $45.1-47.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.

- In 2000-2001, 1,567,544 women were screened as part of the BreastScreen Australia Program. Of these women, 1,063,479 (68\%) were in the target age group (50-69 years).
- In 2000-2001, 56.9\% (age-standardised) of women in the target age group attended a BreastScreen Australia service. The corresponding participation rate for all women aged 40 and over was $37.5 \%$ (Table 2).
- Across states and serritories, the age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group ranged from $46.3 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $64.3 \%$ in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 1 and 2

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 1999-2000 and 2000-2001


|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0 ~}$ | 55.9 | 52.5 | 57.7 | 57.6 | 52.7 | 63.5 | 59.7 | 59.1 | 47.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I}$ | $55.8-56.0$ | $52.3-52.7$ | $57.4-57.9$ | $57.3-57.8$ | $52.3-53.0$ | $63.1-63.9$ | $59.0-60.4$ | $58.2-60.0$ | $46.3-48.9$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 1}$ | $56.9^{*}$ | $53.0^{*}$ | $59.2^{*}$ | 58.4 | $55.4^{*}$ | 64.3 | 60.0 | $57.0^{*}$ | 46.3 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C l ~}$ | $56.8-57.0$ | $52.9-53.2$ | $59.0-59.4$ | $58.1-58.6$ | $55.1-55.7$ | $63.9-64.7$ | $59.3-60.6$ | $56.0-57.8$ | $45.1-47.5$ |

* Significantly different from the 1999-2000 rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001
2. Periods cover 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2000 and 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.

- Nationally, participation in BreastScreen Australia among women in the target age group rose significantly from 1999-2000 to 2000-2001. The national age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group rose from $55.9 \%$ in 1999-2000 to $56.9 \%$ in 2000-2001.
- New South Wales, Victoria, Western Australia and the Australian Capital Territory were the only jurisdictions to show a significant change in their age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group in 2000-2001. In Victoria, the rate rose from $57.7 \%$ in 1999-2000 to $59.2 \%$ in 2000-2001 and in Western Australia it rose from $52.7 \%$ to $55.4 \%$. In the Australian Capital Territory the participation rate fell from $59.1 \%$ to $57.0 \%$.


## For more information, see:

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by region, 2000-2001


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | Capital <br> cities | Other metro | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> centres | Other <br> remote |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.9 | $54.8^{*}$ | $62.1^{*}$ | $63.3^{*}$ | $59.3^{*}$ | $59.6^{*}$ | 57.9 | $55.8^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C l ~}$ | $56.8-57.0$ | $54.6-54.9$ | $61.7-62.5$ | $62.8-63.7$ | $58.9-59.7$ | $59.3-59.9$ | $56.6-59.2$ | $54.8-56.7$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.


## Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.

- The age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group (50-69 years) ranged from $54.8 \%$ in 'Capital cities' to $63.3 \%$ in 'Large rural centres'.
- In all regional categories except 'Capital cities', 'Remote centres' and 'Other remote', the age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group were significantly higher than the national rate. The rate of $54.8 \%$ recorded for 'Capital cities' was significantly lower than the national rate of $56.9 \%$.

For more information, see:
Table 3

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by socioeconomic status, 2000-2001


|  | Australia | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.9 | $55.3^{*}$ | $59.4^{*}$ | 56.7 | $57.5^{*}$ | $55.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $56.8-57.0$ | $55.1-55.6$ | $59.2-59.7$ | $56.4-56.9$ | $57.3-57.8$ | $55.7-56.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.


## Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

- Women in the target age group with the highest socioeconomic status had the lowest age-standardised participation rate ( $55.3 \%$ ) in 2000-2001. The socioeconomic group with the highest age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group was the second quintile (59.4\%).
- For women in the target age group, the most disadvantaged group (fifth quintile) had a significantly higher participation rate ( $55.9 \%$ ) than the least disadvantaged group (first quintile), at $55.3 \%$. Both groups' participation rates were significantly lower than the national rate (56.9\%).

For more information, see:
Table 4

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by Indigenous status, 2000-2001


|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.9 | $36.2^{*}$ | 56.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $56.8-57.0$ | $35.3-37.0$ | $56.8-57.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.

- Of the $1,567,544$ women participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2000-2001, there were 10,960 (0.7\%) who identified themselves as Indigenous. While 4,844 women were classified as not stating their Indigenous status, the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions classified these women as 'nonIndigenous' (Appendix A). The comparison of participation rates between Indigenous and non-Indigenous women should therefore be treated with caution.
- At $36.2 \%$, the age-standardised participation rate for Indigenous women in the target age group was significantly lower than both the national participation rate and the nonIndigenous participation rate. The age-standardised participation rate for nonIndigenous women was the same as the national participation rate (56.9\%).

For more information, see:
Table 5

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by language spoken at home, 2000-2001


|  | Australia | English speaking | Non-English speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 56.9 | $58.5^{*}$ | $48.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $56.8-57.0$ | $58.4-58.6$ | $48.6-49.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
3. Women who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.

- Of the $1,567,544$ women participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2000-2001, there were 212,844 (14\%) who identified as non-English speaking. While 1,417 women were classified as not stating the language they spoke at home, the true figure may be higher as some jurisdictions did not use the 'not stated' category. Women in these jurisdictions who did not state the language they spoke at home were allocated to one of the other two categories (Appendix A). Participation rates between English speaking and non-English speaking women should therefore be treated with caution.
- There was a significantly lower age-standardised rate of participation for women in the target age group from a non-English speaking background (48.9\%) than for English speaking women ( $58.5 \%$ ). English speaking women had a significantly higher participation rate, at $58.6 \%$, than the national rate of $56.9 \%$ (age-standardised).


## For more information, see:

Table 6

## Age-distribution of women aged 40 years and over in BreastScreen

 Australia, 2000-2001

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\%$ | 18.5 | 67.8 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of all women aged 40 or over screened by BreastScreen Australia.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.

- The majority of women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2000-2001 were in the target age group ( $50-69$ years). Of all women screened, $67.8 \%$ were aged $50-69$ years, $18.5 \%$ were aged $40-49$ years, and $13.7 \%$ were aged 70 years and over.

For more information, see:
Tables 1 and 2

## Indicator 2: Detection of small invasive cancers

## Small invasive cancer detection rate

The detection rate for small invasive cancers is the rate of women with small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75-79, 80-84, 85+ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years). Detection rates for all invasive cancers are also provided by screening round (that is, first round and subsequent rounds), five-year age groups and for the target age group.

## The small invasive cancer detection indicator

The small invasive cancer detection indicator measures the rate of invasive breast cancers that are 15 mm of less in size diagnosed in women attending BreastScreen Australia for screening. This is expressed as the number of small cancers detected for every 10,000 women screened.

A greater rate of detection of small cancers within the BreastScreen Australia Program increases the likelihood that the anticipated reductions in morbidity and mortality from breast cancer will be achieved. One of BreastScreen Australia's aims is to maximise the early detection of breast cancers (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). Finding breast cancer early often means that the cancer is small, can be more effectively treated, and is less likely to have spread to other parts of the body. As a result, women who have cancers detected early may suffer less morbidity from breast cancer (Day 1991).
In 2001, $65 \%$ of all invasive breast cancers detected by BreastScreen Australia in women aged 40 and over were small diameter cancers.
The table below shows the percentage of all invasive cancers detected that were small diameter invasive breast cancers, by screening round, for women screened in 2001.

Percentage of invasive cancers detected that were small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in diameter, 2001

|  | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ years | 58.2 | 66.3 |
| Ages $\mathbf{4 0}$ and over | 56.4 | 66.6 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
It is evident that a higher proportion of women attending the program for the first time have tumours larger than 15 mm compared with those who have been screened previously. This is because regular, biennial mammography provides the best chance for the detection of early-stage small cancers (AHMAC 1990).

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, first screening round, 2001


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 38.4 | 39.3 | 35.5 | 42.5 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 97.8 | 57.9 | $\ldots$ |
| $95 \% ~ C I ~$ | $33.7-43.2$ | $31.2-47.0$ | $27.0-44.2$ | $31.1-54.4$ | $14.8-47.2$ | $15.1-62.5$ | $39.7-167.5$ | $4.8-118.2$ | $\ldots$ |

. . Not applicable—no small invasive breast cancers were found in the Northern Territory at first-round screening in 2001.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates were significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- Nationally, small diameter invasive cancers were found in 455 women aged 40 and over in the first round of screening. Of these women, 285 were in the target age group (50-69 years). The age-standardised detection rates were 38.4 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group and 39.3 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over (see Tables 7 and 8).
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised detection rate for small invasive cancers in women in the target age group ranged from none detected in the Northern Territory to 97.8 per 10,000 women screened in Tasmania. Large confidence intervals can be observed in the smaller states and territories due to the small number of cases detected in these states and territories (see Table 7).

For more information, see:
Tables 7 and 8

## Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, subsequent screening rounds, 2001



|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 27.6 | 26.4 | 25.6 | 28.7 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 29.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $26.1-29.0$ | $23.7-28.9$ | $22.8-28.6$ | $25.5-32.0$ | $25.1-35.2$ | $25.6-35.3$ | $20.1-39.9$ | $22.3-48.1$ | $11.3-66.9$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates were significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- For women in the target age group attending a BreastScreen Australia service for their second or subsequent screen in 2001, small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) invasive cancers were detected at a rate of 27.6 per 10,000 women screened (age-standardised). The rate for all women aged 40 and over was not significantly different at 25.8 per 10,000 women screened. In both age categories, the small cancer detection rates for women attending their second or subsequent screen were significantly lower than the rates for women attending their first screen (Tables 8 and 10).
- The age-standardised detection rate of small invasive cancers by state and territory ranged from 25.6 per 10,000 women screened in Victoria to 35.1 in the Northern Territory. This difference was not statistically significant. Large confidence intervals can be observed in the smaller states and territories due to the small number of cases detected in these states and territories (see Table 9).

For more information, see:

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, all screening rounds, 2000 and 2001


|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | QId | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ rate | 29.6 | $25.0^{*}$ | 31.3 | 32.5 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 28.5 | 43.4 | 20.5 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $28.1-31.1$ | $22.6-27.5$ | $28.5-34.1$ | $29.1-36.0$ | $25.1-34.8$ | $28.6-38.8$ | $20.1-37.1$ | $29.6-58.5$ | $3.3-43.4$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ rate | 29.0 | 28.5 | 27.0 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 33.9 | 36.1 | 28.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $27.7-30.4$ | $26.0-30.9$ | $24.3-29.7$ | $27.1-33.9$ | $25.0-34.3$ | $26.0-35.3$ | $24.1-43.7$ | $23.8-50.2$ | $8.3-53.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate for the same period.


## Notes:

1. Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the 2001 rates were significantly different from the 2000 rates.

- Nationally, the age-standardised rate of small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) invasive cancer detection was 29 per 10,000 women screened in 2001 . This was not significantly different from the 2000 rate of 29.6 per 10,000 women screened. Large confidence intervals can be observed in some states and territories due to the small number of cases detected.
- In 2001, small invasive cancers were detected in 2,379 women. Of these women, 1,652 (69\%) were in the target age group (Table 11). Of women in the target age group with a screen detected cancer, $65 \%$ were women with small invasive cancers. The proportion of women with small invasive cancers of all women aged 40 and over with a screen detected cancer was also $65 \%$.

For more information, see:
Tables 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection by age, 2001


| Age-specific rate | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First screening round | 13.7 | 16.1 | 28.1 | 36.5 | 51.2 | 43.7 | 84.0 |
| Subsequent screening rounds | 8.2 | 9.5 | 19.9 | 26.9 | 32.4 | 35.8 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened.

- The steady increase in the detection of small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) invasive cancers with age in 2001 reflects the greater incidence of breast cancer with age (Table 42). The detection rate for women aged 40-44 making a first round attendance at a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000-2001 was 13.7 per 10,000 women screened. This rate increases to 84 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 70 and over, apart from a small fluctuation for women aged between 65 and 69 . A similar pattern occurred for women making a second or subsequent round attendance, although the rate of increase with age was not as great.


## All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69

 years, first screening round, 2001

Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 67.3 | 62.5 | 70.7 | 71.6 | 48.2 | 86.9 | 134.8 | 87.6 | $8.8^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $61.2-73.2$ | $52.0-73.0$ | $58.9-83.0$ | $55.5-86.7$ | $30.3-68.8$ | $50.7-126.4$ | $65.3-215.6$ | $22.5-165.7$ | $0.0-26.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of invasive cancers of all sizes for women attending a BreastScreen Australia Service for the first time in 2001 was 67.3 per 10,000 women screened. Across the states and territories, the Northern Territory had the lowest age-standardised detection rate, at 8.8 per 10,000 women screened, and Tasmania had the highest rate, at 134.8 per 10,000 women screened.
- The detection rate for invasive cancers among all women aged 40 and over ( 69.9 per 10,000 women screened) was not significantly different from the rate for women in the target age group ( 67.3 per 10,000 women screened).

For more information, see:
Tables 13 and 14

All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening rounds, 2001


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 41.6 | 39.9 | 37.6 | 44.6 | 45.9 | 45.7 | 42.3 | 50.9 | 56.1 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $39.9-43.3$ | $36.6-43.1$ | $34.2-40.8$ | $40.0-48.7$ | $40.0-51.8$ | $39.4-51.7$ | $32.3-53.4$ | $33.4-67.9$ | $24.8-93.0$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates were significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised rate of detection of invasive cancers of all sizes in women in the target age group attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2001 for their second or subsequent visit was 41.6 per 10,000 women screened. This is significantly lower than the detection rate for first round attendances ( 67.3 per 10,000 women screened).
- The age-standardised rate of detection of invasive cancers for all women aged 40 and over, attending for their second or subsequent screen was 39.0 per 10,000 women screened. This is not significantly different from the rate for women in the target group (41.6 per 10,000 women screened).
- Across the states and territories, age-standardised rate of detection of all invasive cancers for women in the target age group ranged from 37.6 per 10,000 women screened in Victoria to 56.1 per 10,000 women screened in the Northern Territory.

For more information, see:

[^1]
## Indicator 3: Sensitivity

## 3a. Interval cancer rate

The interval cancer rate is the rate of invasive breast cancers detected during an interval between two screening rounds per 10,000 women-years. It is stratified by 10 -year age groups ( $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years), time since screen ( $0-12$ months, 13-24 months, and 0-24 months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## 3b. Program sensitivity

The program sensitivity rate is the percentage of women with screen-detected invasive breast cancer among all women diagnosed with invasive breast cancer during the screening interval (screen-detected and interval cancers). It is stratified by 10-year age groups (40-49, $50-59,60-69,70+$ years), time since screen ( $0-12$ months, $0-24$ months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## The sensitivity indicator

An interval cancer is an invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed after a screening episode that detected no cancer and before the next scheduled screening episode. The interval cancer rate is expressed per 10,000 women-years (see the glossary for a definitions of 'womenyears' and 'interval cancer'). It measures how effective the BreastScreen Australia Program is at detecting the presence of breast cancer in well women. A low interval cancer rate is one measure of the effectiveness of the screening process.

Program sensitivity measures the ability of the Program to detect invasive breast cancers in women attending for screening. The Program needs to achieve a high sensitivity in order to be effective. Program sensitivity is the proportion of invasive breast cancers that are detected within the BreastScreen Australia Program out of all invasive breast cancers (interval cancers plus screen-detected cancers) diagnosed in program-screened women in the screening interval.

In this chapter data for the years 1997, 1998 and 1999 are combined. This aggregation improves the stability of rates, especially those of the small states and territories.
Data for the Northern Territory were unavailable at the time this report was compiled. Data for New South Wales were incomplete for 1999, and so could not be included for 13-24 months or 0-24 months follow-up.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 7.7 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 1.9 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | n.a. | $6.0-9.4$ | $5.5-10.3$ | $4.6-8.1$ | $3.0-9.7$ | $5.0-12.4$ | $2.3-19.0$ | $0.0-5.8$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group (50-69 years) 0-12 months after their first screen ranged from 1.9 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory to 9.4 per 10,000 womenyears in Tasmania.

For more information, see:
Table 17

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 11.2 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 14.5 | 11.0 | n.a. |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I}$ | n.a. | n.a. | $9.2-13.1$ | $9.6-12.9$ | $6.5-12.2$ | $7.1-12.5$ | $7.3-22.1$ | $3.6-19.8$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their first screen ranged from 9.3 per 10,000 women-years in Western Australia to 14.5 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania.

For more information, see:
Table 19

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 10.3 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | $7.1-8.9$ | $5.8-7.7$ | $5.8-8.4$ | $5.9-9.3$ | $6.7-10.2$ | $6.2-12.8$ | $6.0-15.1$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group $0-12$ months after their subsequent screen ranged from 6.8 per 10,000 women-years in Victoria to 10.3 per 10,000 women-years in the Australia Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 20

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 10.1 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 11.3 | n.a. |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I ~}$ | n.a. | n.a. | $9.3-11.0$ | $10.1-12.4$ | $8.0-10.6$ | $8.8-11.5$ | $7.7-12.9$ | $7.8-14.6$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their subsequent screen ranged from 9.3 per 10,000 women-years in Western Australia to 11.3 per 10,000 women-years in Queensland and the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 22

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | 87.5 | 89.0 | 89.7 | 89.2 | 87.3 | 86.1 | 96.6 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | n.a. | $85.1-89.9$ | $86.2-91.9$ | $87.1-92.3$ | $83.6-94.4$ | $82.4-91.9$ | $75.0-97.2$ | $89.7-100.0$ | n.a. |

## n.a. Not available

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-12 months after their first screen ranged from $86.1 \%$ in Tasmania to $96.6 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | n.a. | 74.5 | 74.4 | 81.3 | 76.5 | 68.2 | 79.7 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | n.a. | $71.1-77.8$ | $71.1-77.9$ | $74.6-87.4$ | $70.8-81.9$ | $55.2-81.1$ | $65.0-91.7$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales and Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their first screen ranged from $68.2 \%$ in Tasmania to $81.3 \%$ in Western Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 24

## Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up



Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | 81.1 | 84.4 | 82.6 | 84.1 | 82.6 | 78.3 | 80.2 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | $79.1-83.1$ | $82.3-86.3$ | $79.7-85.4$ | $80.9-87.0$ | $79.4-85.8$ | $71.5-85.1$ | $68.8-84.7$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-12 months after their subsequent screen ranged from $78.3 \%$ in Tasmania to 84.4\% in Victoria.

For more information, see:
Table 25

## Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up



Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | n.a. | 64.8 | 64.6 | 81.1 | 66.6 | 63.7 | NT |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{C l}$ | n.a. | n.a. | $62.5-67.2$ | $61.6-67.7$ | $77.7-84.2$ | $63.0-70.2$ | $56.6-70.8$ | $71.1-86.6$ |

- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group (50-69 years) 0-24 months after their subsequent screen ranged from $63.7 \%$ in Tasmania to $81.1 \%$ in Queensland.

For more information, see:
Table 26

## Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in situ

## Ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate

The ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate is the rate of women with ductal carcinoma in situ per 10,000 women screened by 10-year age groups ( $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The DCIS detection indicator

The ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) indicator measures the rate of DCIS diagnosed in women attending a BreastScreen Australia service. This is expressed as the number of cases of DCIS detected for every 10,000 women screened. DCIS is a disease that involves changes in the cells in the lining of the ducts of the breast. Although the changes are like those seen in breast cancer, DCIS has not spread beyond the ducts (NBCC et al. 2000). The natural history of DCIS is still not well understood, although women with the condition are at increased risk of subsequent development of invasive breast cancer (NQMC unpublished).
DCIS is asymptomatic in the majority of cases and is usually detected as a change on a mammogram or as a chance finding on a breast biopsy for another condition. Before the introduction of nationwide mammographic screening in Australia in 1991, DCIS was rarely found. Since then screening mammography has increased the detection rate for DCIS (NBCC et al. 2000).
Early detection of high grade DCIS through screening, and its subsequent treatment, is likely to prevent deaths from breast cancer (NQMC unpublished). The ability to detect DCIS can also be seen as an indicator of the quality of the screening process, since it reflects good-quality imaging and screen-film reading.
In 2001, the national age-standardised rate of DCIS detection was 10.4 cases per 10,000 women aged 40 and over. This is slightly lower than the detection rate for 2000, at 10.5 per 10,000 women screened, but the difference is not statistically significant.

Ductal carcinoma in situ detection in women aged 50-69 years, 2001


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 11.2 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 15.2 | $\ldots$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $10.3-12.1$ | $8.7-11.5$ | $10.8-14.4$ | $7.9-11.8$ | $11.8-18.6$ | $8.0-13.6$ | $4.2-13.9$ | $7.3-23.9$ | $\ldots$ |

. . Not applicable-no cases of DCIS were found in the Northern Territory in 2001.
Notes

1. Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- In 2001, 883 cases of DCIS were detected in women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program, including 635 cases in women in the target age group. The agestandardised DCIS detection rate was 11.2 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group, and 10.4 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over.
- The age-standardised rate of DCIS detection for women in the target age group ranged from none detected in the Northern Territory to 15.2 per 10,000 women screened in Western Australia and the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 27 and 28

## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment

## Recall to assessment rate

The recall to assessment rate is the proportion of all women screened in the calendar year 2001 who were recalled for assessment by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, $60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The recall to assessment indicator

The recall to assessment indicator measures the rate of women that are recalled for assessment following attendance for a routine screening at a BreastScreen Australia service. The recall is made because a woman's screening mammogram shows signs that there may be breast cancer. During assessment, a woman might undergo further tests, such as additional mammography, physical examination, ultrasound and, if required, a fine needle aspiration or a core biopsy.
BreastScreen Australia aims to maximise the number of cancers detected - in particular, the number of small cancers - while minimising the number of unnecessary investigations. Most women recalled to assessment are found not to have breast cancer (BreastScreen SA 1999; BreastScreen Queensland 2000).
Women attending the program for the first time have a higher all-size cancer detection rate than those who have previously been screened. This is reflected in a higher recall to assessment rate for women who attend for their first screening round compared with those who attend for a subsequent round. The table below shows recall to assessment rates by screening round for 2000 and 2001.

Age-standardised recall to assessment rates for women aged 40 and over, 2000 and 2001

|  | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ rate (\%) | 8.1 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Cl | $8.0-8.3$ | $4.0-4.2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ rate (\%) | 8.3 | 4.0 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C l}$ | $8.1-8.5$ | $4.0-4.1$ |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, first screening round, 2001


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 8.5 | $7.6^{*}$ | 8.7 | $9.7^{*}$ | $10.5^{*}$ | $5.3^{*}$ | $11.1^{*}$ | 10.4 | $4.2^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $8.2-8.7$ | $7.2-7.9$ | $8.4-9.1$ | $9.2-10.2$ | $9.7-11.3$ | $4.4-6.1$ | $9.2-12.9$ | $8.2-12.9$ | $2.2-6.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- Of women in the target age group screened for the first time in 2001, 8.5\% (agestandardised) were recalled for assessment due to an abnormal mammogram result. The age-standardised rate for all women aged 40 and over was $8.3 \%$.
- Age-standardised rates of recall for assessment for women in the target age group ranged from $4.2 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $11.1 \%$ in Tasmania. New South Wales, at $7.6 \%$, South Australia, at $5.3 \%$, and the Northern Territory, at $4.2 \%$, all had recall rates significantly lower than the national rate. Significantly higher than the national rate were the Western Australian and Tasmanian rates, at $10.5 \%$ and $11.1 \%$ respectively.

Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening round, 2001


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 3.9 | $3.7^{*}$ | 4.0 | $4.8^{*}$ | 3.8 | $2.3^{*}$ | $5.4^{*}$ | $5.1^{*}$ | $1.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $3.9-4.0$ | $3.6-3.8$ | $3.9-4.1$ | $4.7-5.0$ | $3.6-4.0$ | $2.2-2.5$ | $5.0-5.8$ | $4.6-5.6$ | $1.4-2.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- Of women in the target age group who were screened for a second or subsequent time in 2001, 3.9\% (age-standardised) were recalled for assessment due to an abnormal mammogram result. The age-standardised recall rate for all women aged 40 and over was $4.0 \%$.
- Age-standardised rates of recall for assessment for women in the target age group screened for a second or subsequent time ranged from $1.9 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $5.4 \%$ in Tasmania.


## For more information, see:

Tables 31 and 32.

## Indicator 6: Rescreening

## Rescreen rate

The rescreen rate is the proportion of all women screened in 1999 whose screening outcome was a recommendation to return for screening in two years who returned for a screen within 27 months. This rate is reported by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, $65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The rescreen indicator

The rescreen indicator measures the proportion of women who return for screening in the program within the recommended screening interval. The interval between screens is an important factor influencing the level of detection of cancers within the program. Intervals that are too long may allow tumours to grow to the point where symptoms become evident, thus eliminating the advantage of screening. A high rescreen rate is also important for maintaining the participation rate. The anticipated reductions in mortality can only be achieved only if a high proportion of women in the target age group attend for screening every two years. By having a mammogram every two years, a woman can reduce her chance of dying from breast cancer by up to $40 \%$ (Duffy et al. 1991; Fletcher et al. 1993; Feig 1998).
Women in the target age group are re-invited biennially. Some states and territories have a policy of re-inviting a proportion of women annually -for example, women with a strong family history of breast cancer. The data for this indicator include women who are recommended for annual screening as well as those screened biennially.
The proportion of women who returned for screening within the recommended screening interval increased with the number of screens a woman had previously attended. As can be seen in the table below, the rescreen rate is greater for women who have attended for two previous screens than for women who have been screened only once before, and greater still for women who have previously attended three or more screening episodes.
One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To rescreen all women in the Program at two-yearly intervals' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000).

Age-standardised rescreen rates for women aged 40 years and over, screened during 1999, Australia

|  | First screening round | Second screening round | Subsequent screening <br> rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 64.7 | 75.0 | 82.5 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $64.4-65.0$ | $74.7-75.3$ | $82.2-82.7$ |

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, first screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 68.5 | $62.3^{*}$ | $70.2^{*}$ | $78.2^{*}$ | $57.3^{*}$ | $63.6^{*}$ | 70.3 | $45.5^{*}$ | $44.7^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $68.0-68.8$ | $61.8-62.7$ | $69.4-71.0$ | $77.6-78.8$ | $55.7-58.8$ | $62.4-64.9$ | $68.0-72.5$ | $42.3-48.7$ | $40.3-49.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women in the target age group returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1999 for the first time was $68.5 \%$. Of all women aged 40 and over, $64.7 \%$ returned for screening.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for women in the target age group ranged from $44.7 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $78.2 \%$ in Queensland. Victoria and Queensland had rates significantly higher than the national rate.

For more information, see:
Tables 33 and 34

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, second screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 77.7 | $72.7^{*}$ | $79.6^{*}$ | $86.4^{*}$ | $71.3^{*}$ | $73.8^{*}$ | 76.2 | $54.6^{*}$ | $65.0^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $77.3-78.2$ | $72.4-73.1$ | $79.1-80.1$ | $86.0-86.9$ | $70.5-72.1$ | $72.8-74.8$ | $74.4-78.0$ | $51.6-57.2$ | $62.4-67.7$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women in the target age group returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1999 for the second time was $77.7 \%$. For all women aged 40 and over, the rescreen rate was $75.0 \%$.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for the target age group ranged from $54.6 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $86.4 \%$ in Queensland. Victoria and Queensland had rates significantly higher than the national rate.

For more information, see:
Tables 35 and 36

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during 1999, third and subsequent screening rounds


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 85.2 | $82.4^{*}$ | $87.5^{*}$ | $91.1^{*}$ | $82.0^{*}$ | $83.7^{*}$ | 85.5 | $69.3^{*}$ | $77.3^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $84.9-85.5$ | $82.2-82.7$ | $87.2-87.7$ | $90.9-91.4$ | $81.5-82.4$ | $83.3-84.1$ | $84.8-86.2$ | $68.1-70.5$ | $74.5-80.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women in the target age group returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1999 for their third or subsequent visit was $85.2 \%$. Of all women aged 40 and over, $82.5 \%$ returned for screening within 27 months.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for the target age group ranged from $69.3 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $91.1 \%$ in Queensland.

For more information, see:
Tables 37 and 38

## Indicator 7: Incidence

## 7a. Incidence of breast cancer

The incidence rate of breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12 -month period by five-year age groups ( $(0-4,5-9,10-14,15-19,20-24,25-$ $29,30-34,35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## 7b. Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

The incidence rate of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a six-year period by ten-year age groups ( $0-19,20-29,30-39$, $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years), and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The incidence indicator

Registration of cancer cases is required by law in each of the states and territories. The data are collected by state and territory cancer registries and compiled in a national database, the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, which is held by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. The data include clinical and demographic information about people with newly diagnosed cancer. The incidence indicator measures the number of new cases of breast cancer in the community each year. It does not distinguish between screen-detected cancers and other detection methods.
Incidence data provide information about the underlying risk of breast cancer in the Australian community. This knowledge can be used to assist in developing policies on breast cancer screening. For example, examining the trends in breast cancer incidence in different age groups helps to identify the ages at which women are most at risk of developing breast cancer. Incidence data can also be used to set data performance standards for breast cancer detection.
This chapter reports the rates of breast cancer from 1987 to 2000, the latest national data available. This chapter also reports on breast cancer incidence by state and territory, and by geographical region.
Similarly, data on the incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) provide information about the underlying risk to Australian women of developing the condition. Data are required to build more knowledge about DCIS, which was rarely detected before screening was introduced. Since the introduction of screening mammography, the detection of DCIS has increased (NBCC et al. 2000). More information is given on DCIS in the chapter headed 'Indicator 4'.

Incidence of breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2000


|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 91.2 | 89.7 | 93.5 | 94.6 | 100.4 | 98.0 | 105.3 | 113.9 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 111.2 | 114.9 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 38.3 | 36.7 | 37.3 | 38.0 | 38.8 | 39.7 | 40.4 | 41.3 | 41.7 | 40.1 | 39.4 | 40.4 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | 197.1 | 194.8 | 208.5 | 209.6 | 230.5 | 221.6 | 250.6 | 281.6 | 285.3 | 269.7 | 276.5 | 290.1 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ | 279.1 | 279.7 | 287.1 | 292.0 | 304.0 | 290.1 | 301.8 | 322.3 | 331.1 | 305.8 | 318.7 | 321.9 |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- With some fluctuation, a notable increase over the period 1987 to 2000 can be seen in the age-standardised breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group. Incidence has increased in this group from 197.1 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1987 to 296.2 per 100,000 women in 2000. A similar pattern of increase in incidence rates is apparent in the 70 and over age group. Incidence rates have remained more consistent over time in the 'all ages' category and in women under 50 years of age.
- The increase in the rate of new cancers, especially in the target age group, corresponds with the introduction in 1991 of BreastScreen Australia (then known as the National Program for the Early Detection of Breast Cancer). Although the underlying rate for breast cancer is increasing, the sharp increase between 1992 and 1994 is likely to be, at least partly, the result of the early detection of cancers in women who may otherwise have gone undiagnosed for some years.

For more information, see:

Tables 39 and 40

Incidence of breast cancer in women, aged 50-69 years, 1997-2000


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 287.8 | 282.5 | 285.7 | 293.2 | 285.8 | $308.3^{*}$ | 274.2 | $336.3^{*}$ | $220.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \% ~ C I ~$ | $283.7-291.7$ | $275.7-289.6$ | $278.0-293.5$ | $284.0-303.3$ | $272.7-298.6$ | $294.1-323.1$ | $250.6-298.1$ | $298.6-370.2$ | $173.0-267.9$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- The national age-standardised incidence rate for 1997 to 2000 was 287.8 new cancers per 100,000 women. Across the states and territories, incidence rates ranged from 220.9 new cancers per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory to 336.3 new cases per 100,000 women in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 41 and 42

## Age-specific incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia 2000



Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{- 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 115.2 | 188.2 | 250.7 | 302.7 | 337.4 | 324.0 | 329.7 | 309.7 | $\mathbf{2 9 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1 . 7}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women.

- All women aged 40 and over are able to attend for screening with BreastScreen Australia, although the Program is specifically aimed at women aged 50-69 years of age who are without symptoms. Of the 11,316 new cases of breast cancer in 2000, 5,452 (48\%) occurred in women in the target age group. Only $6 \%$ of cases were women aged under 40 years.
- Age-specific incidence rates in 2000, ranged from 115.2 new cancers per 100,000 women in the 40-44 age group to 337.4 new cases per 100,000 women in the 60-64 age group.

For more information, see:
Tables 39 and 40

Incidence of breast cancer in women by region, 1996-2000


| Australia | Capital cities | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 112.3 | $115.2^{*}$ | 108.4 | 109.4 | $104.2^{*}$ | 108.9 | $95.5^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I ~}$ | $111.3-113.2$ | $114.0-116.4$ | $105.2-112.0$ | $105.5-113.3$ | $100.8-107.7$ | $106.4-111.5$ | $88.7-101.9$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 284.0 | $292.5^{*}$ | 273.0 | 271.8 | $267.2^{*}$ | 275.1 | $222.0^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I}$ | $280.2-287.7$ | $288.0-296.9$ | $260.8-285.4$ | $257.0-286.0$ | $253.9-279.6$ | $265.7-284.6$ | $201.3-244.2$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australian rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- For the period 1996 to 2000, the age-standardised incidence rate was 284.0 cases of breast cancer per 100,000 women for women in the target age group, and 112.3 cases per 100,000 women for all women aged 40 and over. Breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group ranged from 222.0 cases per 100,000 women in remote areas to 292.5 cases per 100,000 women in capital cities.


## For more information, see:

Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ in women, aged 50-69 years, 1995-2000


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | 32.6 | n.a. | 32.2 | n.a. | 41.1 | 39.3 | 34.8 | 25.6 |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | $30.8-34.6$ | n.a. | $29.5-34.8$ | n.a. | $36.6-45.3$ | $31.5-46.6$ | $25.5-44.7$ | $12.8-40.2$ |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Data for Victoria and Western Australia were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Between 1995 and 2000, the age-standardised incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ for women aged 50-69 years ranged from 25.6 cases per 100,000 in the Northern Territory to 41.1 per 100,000 in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 45 and 46

## Indicator 8: Mortality

## Mortality rate

The mortality rate from breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12 -month period by 5 -year age groups ( $0-4,5-9,10-14,15-19,20-24,25-29$, $30-34,35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The mortality indicator

Mortality statistics are one of the most comprehensively collected national data sets. Registration of death is a legal requirement in Australia and, as a result, compliance is virtually complete. Registration of deaths is the responsibility of the Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages in each state and territory. The Registrars provide the mortality data to the Australian Bureau of Statistics for coding the cause of death and compilation into national statistics. The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare also holds these data in a national mortality database. The data presented here are from the AIHW National Mortality Database and are based on year of registration of the death. Note that about $5 \%$ of deaths are not registered until the year following the death (ABS 2002).
Breast cancer is the most common cause of cancer death in Australian women. The number of deaths from breast cancer over the last five years has remained fairly stable, with 2,569 women dying from the disease in 1996 and 2,585 women in 2001. However, over this period the rates of deaths caused by breast cancer have steadily fallen.
In the longer term, mortality rates from breast cancer are an important indicator of the effectiveness of the screening program. A particularly important indication of the effectiveness of a screening program is the change in mortality rates over time in the target age group for screening. There are, however, two difficulties with using these mortality rates as an indicator of screening effectiveness. The first is that changes in mortality over time may reflect factors additional to screening, such as new and more effective treatments. The second is that changes in the mortality rates may not be apparent for a number of years following the commencement of a screening program. Accordingly, this is a measure that needs to be viewed over the long term and interpreted with caution.
The mortality rates presented in this chapter are for the total female population of Australia, not just for those women who participated in the BreastScreen Australia Program.
This chapter shows the trend in breast cancer mortality from 1988 to 2001, the latest national data available. It also reports on breast cancer mortality by state and territory, by age, by region, and by Indigenous status.

Some changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data. These are described in Appendix A.

Mortality from breast cancer, females, Australia, 1987-2001


Source: AIHW National Mortality Database

|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ages | 30.0 | 30.5 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 25.4 |
| $\mathbf{< 5 0}$ | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | 66.8 | 68.3 | 66.7 | 68.5 | 66.5 | 60.6 | 67.9 | 65.5 | 64.6 | 61.5 | 60.6 | 57.3 | 55.0 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ | 128.3 | 131.2 | 134.1 | 127.9 | 130.9 | 127.4 | 133.9 | 131.3 | 128.0 | 122.9 | 119.7 | 117.3 | 111.4 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- From 1993 a steady decline is evident in the age-standardised mortality rates for women in the target age group. The mortality rate for these women was 66.8 deaths per 100,000 women in 1988; in 2001 the corresponding figure was 51.8 deaths per 100,000 women. A similar pattern of decline in mortality rates can be observed in women aged 70 and over. Mortality rates for women aged under 50 years remained the lowest and most consistent, staying below 8 deaths per 100,000 women for the period 1987 to 2001.

For more information, see:

Mortality from breast cancer in women aged 50-69, 1998-2001


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 54.1 | 52.5 | 56.6 | 52.8 | 49.9 | 55.1 | 56.8 | 73.9 | 71.0 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $52.3-55.7$ | $49.8-55.3$ | $53.1-59.9$ | $48.9-56.5$ | $44.7-55.5$ | $49.4-60.8$ | $45.9-66.8$ | $56.7-91.6$ | $44.4-96.9$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- For the period 1998-2001, the national age-standardised mortality rate was 54.1 deaths per 100,000 women. Across the states and territories, the mortality rate ranged from 49.9 deaths per 100,000 women in Western Australia to 73.9 deaths per 100,000 women in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Tables 49 and 50

Age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer, females, Australia, 2001


| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{- 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 16.9 | 27.1 | 40.4 | 51.0 | 55.9 | 69.8 | 94.1 | 99.0 | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 . 3}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women.

- In 2001, age-specific mortality rates increased consistently with age. For women aged $40-44$, the rate was 16.9 deaths per 100,000 women. The rate increased to 177.3 deaths per 100,000 women for women aged 85 and over.
- The pattern of breast cancer mortality by age group has remained the same over the period 1988-2001.

For more information, see:
Tables 47 and 48

Mortality from breast cancer by region, females, 1997-2001


|  | Australia | Capital cities | Other <br> metropolitan <br> areas | Large rural <br> centres | Small rural <br> centres | Other rural <br> areas | Remote <br> areas |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 25.8 | 26.1 | 24.2 | 26.1 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 23.2 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $25.3-26.2$ | $25.6-26.7$ | $22.7-25.8$ | $24.3-28.0$ | $22.5-25.8$ | $25.1-27.6$ | $20.1-26.2$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 55.3 | 56.6 | 50.3 | 55.8 | 48.5 | 57.5 | 46.1 |
| $95 \% ~ C I ~$ | $53.7-56.8$ | $54.6-58.5$ | $45.3-55.2$ | $49.3-62.4$ | $43.1-53.7$ | $53.4-61.7$ | $36.8-55.8$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. The Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification (DPIE \& DHSH 1994) was used to create the above categories.
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- Across all regions, mortality rates were significantly higher for women in the target age group than for the 'all ages' group. For women in the target age group, mortality rates ranged from 46.1 deaths per 100,000 women in 'Remote areas' to 57.5 deaths per 100,000 women in 'Other rural areas'. However, this difference was not significant.

For more information, see:
Tables 51 and 52

## Mortality from breast cancer by Indigenous status, females, 1997-2001



|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 25.9 | 21.0 | $27.8^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $25.5-26.4$ | $13.9-28.3$ | $27.0-28.6$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 55.3 | 42.2 | 58.8 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $53.8-56.8$ | $24.7-63.5$ | $56.3-61.7$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australian rate


## Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia and the Northern Territory had Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard at the time of preparation of this report. Therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Data for Queensland are included from 1998 onwards.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.
4. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- In the target age group, the age-standardised mortality rate for Indigenous women (42.2 deaths per 100,000 women) was lower than that for non-Indigenous women ( 58.8 deaths per 100,000 women). However, this difference was not significant. In the 'all ages' category non-Indigenous women had a mortality rate significantly higher than the national rate.

For more information, see:
Tables 53 and 54

## Tables

## Indicator 1: Participation

Table 1: Number of women participating in breast screening in BreastScreen Australia by age, states and territories, 2000-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 44,585 | 11,013 | 32,966 | 7,485 | 6,833 | 2,880 | 1,667 | 837 | 108,266 |
| 45-49 | 72,749 | 20,745 | 47,806 | 15,863 | 13,328 | 5,929 | 3,724 | 1,395 | 181,539 |
| 50-54 | 104,217 | 90,071 | 66,818 | 32,432 | 33,310 | 9,257 | 6,223 | 2,486 | 344,814 |
| 55-59 | 91,058 | 73,594 | 54,038 | 25,484 | 26,159 | 7,782 | 4,612 | 1,637 | 284,364 |
| 60-64 | 77,753 | 62,082 | 43,544 | 21,775 | 22,567 | 6,694 | 3,289 | 932 | 238,636 |
| 65-69 | 64,799 | 51,433 | 35,437 | 17,289 | 18,430 | 5,480 | 2,280 | 517 | 195,665 |
| 70-74 | 52,490 | 41,524 | 26,734 | 4,891 | 6,936 | 1,747 | 576 | 315 | 135,213 |
| 75-79 | 29,898 | 11,050 | 12,297 | 1,955 | 2,798 | 627 | 244 | 121 | 58,990 |
| 80-84 | 9,411 | 1,702 | 3,868 | 449 | 668 | 134 | 66 | 54 | 16,352 |
| 85+ | 2,121 | 374 | 938 | 104 | 112 | 34 | 17 | 5 | 3,705 |
| Ages 40+ | 549,081 | 363,588 | 324,446 | 127,727 | 131,141 | 40,564 | 22,698 | 8,299 | 1,567,544 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 337,827 | 277,180 | 199,837 | 96,980 | 100,466 | 29,213 | 16,404 | 5,572 | 1,063,479 |

Note: Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 2: Percentage of women participating in BreastScreen Australia, states and territories, 2000-2001

| Age <br> group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-44$ | 18.0 | 6.0 | 24.1 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 15.7 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 14.7 |
| $45-49$ | 32.2 | 12.4 | 37.9 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 34.9 | 30.2 | 21.5 | 26.7 |
| $50-54$ | 49.3 | 57.1 | 56.1 | 52.3 | 63.6 | 57.7 | 53.8 | 46.9 | 54.2 |
| $55-59$ | 55.2 | 61.4 | 59.4 | 56.5 | 65.3 | 61.8 | 60.1 | 49.1 | 58.7 |
| $60-64$ | 56.0 | 61.0 | 60.2 | 58.5 | 66.6 | 61.9 | 60.2 | 46.5 | 59.3 |
| $65-69$ | 53.4 | 57.7 | 59.2 | 55.9 | 61.6 | 59.2 | 54.5 | 41.0 | 56.6 |
| $70-74$ | 44.3 | 47.8 | 47.1 | 17.3 | 22.7 | 19.9 | 15.4 | 35.6 | 40.5 |
| $75-79$ | 29.0 | 14.7 | 25.4 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 21.1 | 20.4 |
| $80-84$ | 13.4 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 13.8 | 8.3 |
| $85+$ | 3.4 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 |

Ages 40+

| Crude rate | 37.5 | 33.7 | 42.0 | 31.8 | 36.2 | 36.6 | 35.1 | 29.8 | 36.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASR(A) | 38.2 | 34.6 | 42.6 | 32.6 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 34.8 | 31.1 | 37.5 |
| 95\% CI | 38.1-38.3 | 34.5-34.7 | 42.4-42.7 | 32.4-32.8 | 37.6-38.0 | 37.3-38.1 | 34.3-35.3 | 30.4-31.8 | 37.4-37.5 |
| Ages$50-69$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.1 | 59.1 | 58.4 | 55.3 | 64.3 | 60.0 | 56.8 | 46.8 | 56.9 |
| ASR(A) | 53.0 | 59.2 | 58.4 | 55.4 | 64.3 | 60.0 | 57.0 | 46.3 | 56.9 |
| 95\% CI | 52.9-53.2 | 59.0-59.4 | 58.1-58.6 | 55.1-55.7 | 63.9-64.7 | 59.3-60.6 | 56.0-57.8 | 45.1-47.5 | 56.8-57.0 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
[^2]Table 3: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and region, 2000-2001

| Age group | Number/ Rate | Capital cities | Other metropolitan areas | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote centres | Other remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 59,781 | 12,760 | 8,432 | 7,066 | 15,841 | 1,880 | 2,505 | 108,266 |
|  | Rate | 12.7 | 22.9 | 19.4 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 22.6 | 21.8 | 14.7 |
| 45-49 | Number | 104,352 | 19,317 | 13,539 | 12,093 | 26,080 | 2,715 | 3,444 | 181,539 |
|  | Rate | 23.9 | 37.6 | 34.1 | 28.1 | 28.7 | 38.8 | 34.5 | 26.7 |
| 50-54 | Number | 214,396 | 28,939 | 21,710 | 23,148 | 48,655 | 3,221 | 4,745 | 344,814 |
|  | Rate | 52.4 | 59.4 | 60.8 | 57.0 | 56.2 | 55.4 | 53.0 | 54.2 |
| 55-59 | Number | 169,590 | 25,039 | 18,339 | 20,711 | 44,329 | 2,394 | 3,962 | 284,364 |
|  | Rate | 56.4 | 64.3 | 65.6 | 60.9 | 61.4 | 59.6 | 57.7 | 58.7 |
| 60-64 | Number | 138,814 | 21,511 | 15,910 | 19,040 | 38,627 | 1,629 | 3,106 | 238,636 |
|  | Rate | 57.1 | 64.2 | 64.9 | 61.2 | 62.2 | 60.5 | 57.5 | 59.3 |
| 65-69 | Number | 112,586 | 18,357 | 13,619 | 16,757 | 30,904 | 1,158 | 2,284 | 195,665 |
|  | Rate | 54.0 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 58.9 | 60.2 | 56.9 | 56.1 | 56.6 |
| 70-74 | Number | 76,131 | 15,405 | 10,122 | 11,377 | 20,048 | 648 | 1,483 | 135,213 |
|  | Rate | 37.4 | 51.0 | 47.2 | 41.2 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 46.0 | 40.5 |
| 75-79 | Number | 32,857 | 7,531 | 4,214 | 4,767 | 8,670 | 269 | 682 | 58,990 |
|  | Rate | 18.2 | 29.2 | 22.4 | 20.6 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 28.7 | 20.4 |
| 80-84 | Number | 8,792 | 2,349 | 1,199 | 1,350 | 2,366 | 87 | 209 | 16,352 |
|  | Rate | 7.2 | 14.0 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 1,962 | 517 | 293 | 258 | 601 | 21 | 54 | 3,705 |
|  | Rate | 1.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 919,261 | 151,726 | 107,377 | 116,566 | 236,120 | 14,021 | 22,474 | 1,567,544 |
|  | Crude rate | 34.2 | 44.0 | 41.5 | 38.4 | 39.7 | 41.0 | 40.7 | 36.6 |
|  | ASR(A) | 35.2 | 44.6 | 43.1 | 38.9 | 39.6 | 41.5 | 40.6 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 35.2-35.3 | 44.4-44.8 | 42.8-43.3 | 38.6-39.1 | 39.5-39.8 | 40.7-42.2 | 40.1-41.2 | 37.4-37.5 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 635,386 | 93,847 | 69,577 | 79,655 | 162,515 | 8,402 | 14,097 | 1,063,479 |
|  | Crude rate | 54.7 | 62.1 | 63.3 | 59.4 | 59.7 | 57.7 | 55.7 | 56.9 |
|  | ASR(A) | 54.8 | 62.1 | 63.3 | 59.3 | 59.6 | 57.9 | 55.8 | 56.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 54.6-54.9 | 61.7-62.5 | 62.8-63.7 | 58.9-59.7 | 59.3-59.9 | 56.6-59.2 | 54.8-56.7 | 56.8-57.0 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 4: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and socioeconomic status, 2000-2001

| Age group | Number/ Rate | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 21,400 | 20,822 | 20,771 | 23,256 | 22,016 | 108,266 |
|  | Rate | 13.4 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 14.7 |
| 45-49 | Number | 37,926 | 33,648 | 35,396 | 38,452 | 36,117 | 181,539 |
|  | Rate | 24.1 | 24.3 | 27.4 | 30.0 | 28.8 | 26.7 |
| 50-54 | Number | 74,387 | 71,601 | 65,587 | 66,957 | 66,282 | 344,814 |
|  | Rate | 51.5 | 57.0 | 54.2 | 54.9 | 54.0 | 54.2 |
| 55-59 | Number | 58,160 | 56,277 | 54,875 | 57,430 | 57,622 | 284,364 |
|  | Rate | 58.5 | 61.9 | 58.2 | 58.7 | 56.4 | 58.7 |
| 60-64 | Number | 44,738 | 44,638 | 46,760 | 50,906 | 51,594 | 238,636 |
|  | Rate | 58.6 | 61.6 | 58.9 | 59.9 | 57.9 | 59.3 |
| 65-69 | Number | 35,546 | 35,844 | 38,644 | 42,481 | 43,150 | 195,665 |
|  | Rate | 53.9 | 57.8 | 56.7 | 58.1 | 56.3 | 56.6 |
| 70-74 | Number | 25,940 | 25,793 | 27,110 | 28,620 | 27,751 | 135,213 |
|  | Rate | 38.5 | 42.5 | 40.8 | 42.2 | 38.6 | 40.5 |
| 75-79 | Number | 11,903 | 10,334 | 12,274 | 12,455 | 12,024 | 58,990 |
|  | Rate | 19.3 | 19.4 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 20.1 | 20.4 |
| 80-84 | Number | 3,271 | 2,735 | 3,472 | 3,418 | 3,457 | 16,352 |
|  | Rate | 7.3 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 722 | 670 | 792 | 744 | 777 | 3,705 |
|  | Rate | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 313,993 | 302,362 | 305,681 | 324,719 | 320,789 | 1,567,544 |
|  | Crude rate | 34.0 | 36.6 | 36.5 | 38.6 | 37.4 | 36.6 |
|  | ASR(A) | 35.8 | 38.1 | 37.5 | 38.8 | 37.4 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 35.7-35.9 | 38.0-38.2 | 37.3-37.6 | 38.7-39.0 | 37.3-37.6 | 37.4-37.5 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 212,831 | 208,360 | 205,865 | 217,774 | 218,648 | 1,063,479 |
|  | Crude rate | 55.1 | 59.4 | 56.7 | 57.6 | 56.0 | 56.9 |
|  | ASR(A) | 55.3 | 59.4 | 56.7 | 57.5 | 55.9 | 56.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 55.1-55.6 | 59.2-59.7 | 56.4-56.9 | 57.3-57.8 | 55.7-56.1 | 56.8-57.0 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest level of socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 5: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and Indigenous status, 2000-2001

| Age group | Number/Rate | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 1,473 | 106,677 | 108,266 |
|  | Rate | 11.8 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| 45-49 | Number | 1,960 | 179,189 | 181,539 |
|  | Rate | 19.9 | 26.8 | 26.7 |
| 50-54 | Number | 2,487 | 341,017 | 344,814 |
|  | Rate | 33.4 | 54.3 | 54.2 |
| 55-59 | Number | 1,875 | 281,618 | 284,364 |
|  | Rate | 37.7 | 58.7 | 58.7 |
| 60-64 | Number | 1,419 | 236,468 | 238,636 |
|  | Rate | 37.3 | 59.3 | 59.3 |
| 65-69 | Number | 1,014 | 193,971 | 195,665 |
|  | Rate | 37.8 | 56.5 | 56.6 |
| 70-74 | Number | 520 | 134,258 | 135,213 |
|  | Rate | 28.3 | 40.4 | 40.5 |
| 75+ | Number | 212 | 78,542 | 79,047 |
|  | Rate | 9.4 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 10,960 | 1,551,740 | 1,567,544 |
|  | Crude rate | 24.2 | 36.6 | 36.6 |
|  | ASR(A) | 25.1 | 37.4 | 37.4 |
|  | 95\% CI | 24.6-25.6 | 37.3-37.5 | 37.3-37.4 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 6,795 | 1,053,074 | 1,063,479 |
|  | Crude rate | 35.9 | 56.9 | 56.9 |
|  | ASR(A) | 36.2 | 56.9 | 56.9 |
|  | 95\% Cl | 35.3-37.0 | 56.8-57.0 | 56.8-57.0 |

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
3. Women in the 'unknown' category are included in the column for all women, but are not included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 6: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and main language spoken at home, 2000-2001

| Age group | Number/Rate | English speaking | Non-English speaking | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 94,996 | 13,182 | 108,266 |
|  | Rate | 15.6 | 10.4 | 14.7 |
| 45-49 | Number | 158,318 | 23,061 | 181,539 |
|  | Rate | 28.0 | 20.3 | 26.7 |
| 50-54 | Number | 298,209 | 46,305 | 344,814 |
|  | Rate | 55.8 | 45.7 | 54.2 |
| 55-59 | Number | 245,294 | 38,807 | 284,364 |
|  | Rate | 59.8 | 52.0 | 58.7 |
| 60-64 | Number | 199,959 | 38,452 | 238,636 |
|  | Rate | 60.7 | 52.5 | 59.3 |
| 65-69 | Number | 165,455 | 30,029 | 195,665 |
|  | Rate | 58.9 | 46.1 | 56.6 |
| 70-74 | Number | 118,948 | 16,155 | 135,213 |
|  | Rate | 42.4 | 30.1 | 40.5 |
| 75-79 | Number | 53,306 | 5,615 | 58,990 |
|  | Rate | 21.7 | 12.8 | 20.4 |
| 80-84 | Number | 15,300 | 1,035 | 16,352 |
|  | Rate | 8.8 | 4.7 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 3,498 | 203 | 3,705 |
|  | Rate | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 1,353,283 | 212,844 | 1,567,544 |
|  | Crude rate | 37.7 | 30.7 | 36.6 |
|  | ASR(A) | 38.8 | 30.6 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 38.7-38.8 | 30.5-30.7 | 37.4-37.5 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 908,917 | 153,593 | 1,063,479 |
|  | Crude rate | 58.5 | 48.9 | 56.9 |
|  | ASR(A) | 58.5 | 48.9 | 56.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 58.4-58.6 | 48.6-49.1 | 56.8-57.0 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 2: Detection rate for small invasive cancers

Table 7: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,638 | 4,386 | 9,823 | 2,508 | 2,152 | 809 | 568 | 312 | 34,196 |
|  | Cases | 20 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,801 | 5,218 | 6,328 | 2,957 | 2,272 | 659 | 612 | 290 | 29,137 |
|  | Cases | 16 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,357 | 13,059 | 7,125 | 4,560 | 4,284 | 725 | 679 | 371 | 44,160 |
|  | Cases | 46 | 35 | 22 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 124 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,851 | 4,533 | 3,360 | 1,227 | 848 | 280 | 200 | 135 | 16,434 |
|  | Cases | 22 | 16 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,406 | 3,660 | 2,354 | 884 | 418 | 209 | 110 | 68 | 12,109 |
|  | Cases | 23 | 14 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 62 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,136 | 2,976 | 1,653 | 573 | 325 | 139 | 72 | 40 | 8,914 |
|  | Cases | 11 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,916 | 1,216 | 954 | 244 | 172 | 60 | 33 | 19 | 4,614 |
|  | Cases | 10 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,335 | 553 | 598 | 180 | 167 | 72 | 24 | 12 | 2,941 |
|  | Cases | 10 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 561 | 226 | 207 | 65 | 60 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 1,149 |
|  | Cases | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 85+ | Screened | 146 | 79 | 62 | 26 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 346 |
|  | Cases | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 55,147 | 35,906 | 32,464 | 13,224 | 10,721 | 2,975 | 2,309 | 1,254 | 154,000 |
|  | Cases | 161 | 117 | 99 | 37 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 455 |
| Ages50-69 | Screened | 26,750 | 24,228 | 14,492 | 7,244 | 5,875 | 1,353 | 1,061 | 614 | 81,617 |
|  | Cases | 102 | 79 | 57 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 285 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 8: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 |
| 45-49 | 14.8 | 19.2 | 15.8 | 20.3 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 |
| 50-54 | 34.4 | 26.8 | 30.9 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 27.6 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 28.1 |
| 55-59 | 37.6 | 35.3 | 41.7 | 24.4 | 23.6 | 71.4 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 |
| 60-64 | 52.2 | 38.3 | 55.2 | 45.2 | 47.8 | 191.4 | 181.8 | 0.0 | 51.2 |
| 65-69 | 35.1 | 47.0 | 48.4 | 34.9 | 61.5 | 143.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.8 |
| 70-74 | 52.2 | 98.7 | 73.4 | 368.9 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.5 |
| 75-79 | 74.9 | 108.5 | 133.8 | 0.0 | 59.9 | 138.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.4 |
| 80-84 | 53.5 | 132.7 | 48.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.9 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 253.2 | 322.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 115.6 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 29.2 | 32.6 | 30.5 | 28.0 | 24.3 | 37.0 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 29.5 |
| ASR(A) | 36.4 | 40.2 | 42.8 | 54.4 | 34.7 | 69.6 | 38.7 | 0.0 | 39.3 |
| 95\% CI | 30.0-42.7 | 32.3-49.0 | 32.9-52.4 | 32.9-78.5 | 18.1-57.2 | 32.5-119.7 | 3.2-79.0 |  | 35.0-43.5 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 38.1 | 32.6 | 39.3 | 24.8 | 25.5 | 73.9 | 37.7 | 0.0 | 34.9 |
| ASR(A) | 39.3 | 35.5 | 42.5 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 97.8 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 38.4 |
| 95\% CI | 31.2-47.0 | 27.0-44.2 | 31.1-54.4 | 14.8-47.2 | 15.1-62.5 | 39.7-167.5 | 4.8-118.2 | . | 33.7-43.2 |

. . Not applicable-no small invasive breast cancers were found in the Northern Territory at first-round screening in 2001.
Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 9: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,575 | 1,043 | 7,415 | 1,576 | 1,306 | 579 | 320 | 140 | 21,954 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,561 | 4,864 | 18,968 | 5,752 | 4,500 | 2,202 | 1,265 | 450 | 65,562 |
|  | Cases | 20 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 62 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 42,464 | 33,227 | 27,508 | 13,286 | 12,810 | 3,753 | 2,582 | 850 | 136,480 |
|  | Cases | 70 | 69 | 56 | 37 | 26 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 272 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 43,823 | 35,834 | 25,495 | 13,299 | 13,715 | 3,714 | 2,293 | 781 | 138,954 |
|  | Cases | 133 | 79 | 62 | 35 | 45 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 374 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 38,082 | 28,664 | 20,734 | 11,420 | 12,002 | 3,279 | 1,728 | 478 | 116,387 |
|  | Cases | 110 | 86 | 75 | 35 | 49 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 377 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 32,494 | 23,454 | 16,956 | 9,245 | 9,636 | 2,754 | 1,250 | 241 | 96,030 |
|  | Cases | 113 | 78 | 68 | 36 | 31 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 344 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 26,913 | 19,791 | 13,529 | 2,470 | 3,436 | 1,095 | 266 | 137 | 67,637 |
|  | Cases | 106 | 69 | 58 | 9 | 18 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 274 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,146 | 5,104 | 5,882 | 914 | 1,308 | 283 | 109 | 50 | 29,796 |
|  | Cases | 68 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 135 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,261 | 648 | 1,915 | 198 | 301 | 61 | 34 | 26 | 8,444 |
|  | Cases | 30 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,147 | 142 | 429 | 42 | 38 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1,812 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Cases | 661 | 408 | 391 | 172 | 188 | 63 | 34 | 7 | 1,924 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cases | 426 | 312 | 261 | 143 | 151 | 41 | 26 | 7 | 1,367 |

[^3]Table 10: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| 45-49 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 13.7 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 9.5 |
| 50-54 | 16.5 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 27.8 | 20.3 | 24.0 | 11.6 | 23.5 | 19.9 |
| 55-59 | 30.3 | 22.0 | 24.3 | 26.3 | 32.8 | 29.6 | 30.5 | 25.6 | 26.9 |
| 60-64 | 28.9 | 30.0 | 36.2 | 30.6 | 40.8 | 39.6 | 46.3 | 20.9 | 32.4 |
| 65-69 | 34.8 | 33.3 | 40.1 | 38.9 | 32.2 | 29.0 | 64.0 | 83.0 | 35.8 |
| 70-74 | 39.4 | 34.9 | 42.9 | 36.4 | 52.4 | 109.6 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| 75-79 | 42.1 | 33.3 | 32.3 | 131.3 | 107.0 | 141.3 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 45.3 |
| 80-84 | 57.0 | 92.6 | 57.4 | 202.0 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 |
| 85+ | 43.6 | 0.0 | 139.9 | 0.0 | 526.3 | 1250.0 | 2500.0 | 0.0 | 82.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.1 | 26.7 | 28.2 | 29.6 | 31.8 | 35.5 | 34.5 | 22.2 | 28.2 |
| ASR(A) | 24.2 | 23.4 | 27.3 | 29.9 | 29.8 | 38.7 | 42.3 | 23.4 | 25.8 |
| 95\% CI | 22.2-26.0 | 20.7-26.3 | 24.6-29.9 | 25.0-34.8 | 25.3-34.1 | 28.5-49.1 | 26.2-59.9 | 7.5-44.7 | 24.6-27.0 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.2 | 25.7 | 28.8 | 30.3 | 31.4 | 30.4 | 33.1 | 29.8 | 28.0 |
| ASR(A) | 26.4 | 25.6 | 28.7 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 29.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 27.6 |
| 95\% CI | 23.7-28.9 | 22.8-28.6 | 25.5-32.0 | 25.1-35.2 | 25.6-35.3 | 20.1-39.9 | 22.3-48.1 | 11.3-66.9 | 26.1-29.0 |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 11: Numbers of women screened and cases of small diameter ( $\leq \mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, all screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 23,213 | 5,429 | 17,238 | 4,084 | 3,458 | 1,388 | 888 | 452 | 56,150 |
|  | Cases | 26 | 6 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 38,362 | 10,082 | 25,296 | 8,709 | 6,772 | 2,861 | 1,877 | 740 | 94,699 |
|  | Cases | 36 | 13 | 36 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 109 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 55,821 | 46,286 | 34,633 | 17,846 | 17,094 | 4,478 | 3,261 | 1,221 | 180,640 |
|  | Cases | 116 | 104 | 78 | 46 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 396 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 49,674 | 40,367 | 28,855 | 14,526 | 14,563 | 3,994 | 2,493 | 916 | 155,388 |
|  | Cases | 155 | 95 | 76 | 38 | 47 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 434 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 42,488 | 32,324 | 23,088 | 12,304 | 12,420 | 3,488 | 1,838 | 546 | 128,496 |
|  | Cases | 133 | 100 | 88 | 39 | 51 | 17 | 10 | 1 | 439 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 35,630 | 26,430 | 18,609 | 9,818 | 9,961 | 2,893 | 1,322 | 281 | 104,944 |
|  | Cases | 124 | 92 | 76 | 38 | 33 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 383 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 28,829 | 21,007 | 14,483 | 2,714 | 3,608 | 1,155 | 299 | 156 | 72,251 |
|  | Cases | 116 | 81 | 65 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 313 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 17,481 | 5,657 | 6,480 | 1,094 | 1,475 | 355 | 133 | 62 | 32,737 |
|  | Cases | 78 | 23 | 27 | 12 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 161 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,822 | 874 | 2,122 | 263 | 361 | 76 | 42 | 33 | 9,593 |
|  | Cases | 33 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,293 | 221 | 491 | 68 | 61 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 2,158 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 298,613 | 188,677 | 171,295 | 71,426 | 69,773 | 20,703 | 12,160 | 4,409 | 837,056 |
|  | Cases | 822 | 525 | 490 | 209 | 214 | 74 | 38 | 7 | 2,379 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 569,468 |
|  | Cases | 528 | 391 | 318 | 161 | 166 | 51 | 30 | 7 | 1,652 |

[^4]Table 12: Age-specific rates of small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, all screening rounds, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40-44$ | 11.2 | 11.1 | 13.9 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 11.6 |
| $45-49$ | 9.4 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 |
| $50-54$ | 20.8 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 25.8 | 20.5 | 24.6 | 12.3 | 16.4 | 21.9 |
| 55-59 | 31.2 | 23.5 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.1 | 21.8 | 27.9 |
| 60-64 | 31.3 | 30.9 | 38.1 | 31.7 | 41.1 | 48.7 | 54.4 | 18.3 | 34.2 |
| 65-69 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 40.8 | 38.7 | 33.1 | 34.6 | 60.5 | 71.2 | 36.5 |
| 70-74 | 40.2 | 38.6 | 44.9 | 66.3 | 52.7 | 103.9 | 66.9 | 0.0 | 43.3 |
| 75-79 | 44.6 | 40.7 | 41.7 | 109.7 | 101.7 | 140.8 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 49.2 |
| 80-84 | 56.7 | 103.0 | 56.6 | 152.1 | 55.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 |
| 85+ | 38.7 | 90.5 | 162.9 | 0.0 | 327.9 | 666.7 | $1,428.6$ | 0.0 | 88.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |
| Crude rate | 27.5 | 27.8 | 28.6 | 29.3 | 30.7 | 35.7 | 31.3 | 15.9 | 28.4 |
| ASR(A) | 26.4 | 26.1 | 29.1 | 32.3 | 31.1 | 39.1 | 37.6 | 19.3 | 27.6 |
| 95\% CI | $24.4-28.4$ | $23.7-28.5$ | $26.3-31.8$ | $27.6-37.2$ | $26.6-35.6$ | $29.8-47.8$ | $24.8-52.5$ | $5.6-35.7$ | $26.4-28.7$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |
| Crude rate | 28.8 | 26.9 | 30.2 | 29.5 | 30.7 | 34.3 | 33.7 | 23.6 | 29.0 |
| ASR(A) | 28.5 | 27.0 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 33.9 | 36.1 | 28.9 | 29.0 |
| 95\% CI | $26.0-30.9$ | $24.3-29.7$ | $27.1-33.9$ | $25.0-34.3$ | $26.0-35.3$ | $24.1-43.7$ | $23.8-50.2$ | $8.3-53.5$ | $27.7-30.4$ |

Note: Rates are the number of small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 13: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,638 | 4,386 | 9,823 | 2,508 | 2,152 | 809 | 568 | 312 | 34,196 |
|  | Cases | 29 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,801 | 5,218 | 6,328 | 2,957 | 2,272 | 659 | 612 | 290 | 29,137 |
|  | Cases | 36 | 22 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 101 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,357 | 13,059 | 7,125 | 4,560 | 4,284 | 725 | 679 | 371 | 44,160 |
|  | Cases | 70 | 56 | 36 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 203 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,851 | 4,533 | 3,360 | 1,227 | 848 | 280 | 200 | 135 | 16,434 |
|  | Cases | 30 | 37 | 23 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 107 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,406 | 3,660 | 2,354 | 884 | 418 | 209 | 110 | 68 | 12,109 |
|  | Cases | 37 | 33 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 107 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,136 | 2,976 | 1,653 | 573 | 325 | 139 | 72 | 40 | 8,914 |
|  | Cases | 22 | 24 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,916 | 1,216 | 954 | 244 | 172 | 60 | 33 | 19 | 4,614 |
|  | Cases | 19 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,335 | 553 | 598 | 180 | 167 | 72 | 24 | 12 | 2,941 |
|  | Cases | 24 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 561 | 226 | 207 | 65 | 60 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 1,149 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 85+ | Screened | 146 | 79 | 62 | 26 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 346 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 55,147 | 35,906 | 32,464 | 13,224 | 10,721 | 2,975 | 2,309 | 1,254 | 154,000 |
|  | Cases | 274 | 230 | 168 | 58 | 49 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 807 |
| Ages50-69 | Screened | 26,750 | 24,228 | 14,492 | 7,244 | 5,875 | 1,353 | 1,061 | 614 | 81,617 |
|  | Cases | 159 | 150 | 95 | 32 | 32 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 490 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 14: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 21.3 | 31.9 | 20.4 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 21.9 |
| 45-49 | 33.3 | 42.2 | 37.9 | 27.1 | 35.2 | 15.2 | 32.7 | 0.0 | 34.7 |
| 50-54 | 52.4 | 42.9 | 50.5 | 39.5 | 35.0 | 55.2 | 44.2 | 27.0 | 46.0 |
| 55-59 | 51.3 | 81.6 | 68.5 | 48.9 | 94.3 | 71.4 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 65.1 |
| 60-64 | 84.0 | 90.2 | 89.2 | 56.6 | 95.7 | 191.4 | 272.7 | 0.0 | 88.4 |
| 65-69 | 70.2 | 80.6 | 90.7 | 52.4 | 153.8 | 287.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.9 |
| 70-74 | 99.2 | 180.9 | 115.3 | 409.8 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 136.5 |
| 75-79 | 179.8 | 271.2 | 234.1 | 111.1 | 119.8 | 138.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 197.2 |
| 80-84 | 89.1 | 221.2 | 96.6 | 0.0 | 166.7 | 0.0 | 1,250.0 | 0.0 | 121.8 |
| 85+ | 137.0 | 253.2 | 322.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 173.4 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 49.7 | 64.1 | 51.7 | 43.9 | 45.7 | 53.9 | 47.6 | 8.0 | 52.4 |
| ASR(A) | 62.5 | 80.9 | 72.5 | 75.2 | 74.4 | 96.2 | 74.8 | 5.9 | 69.9 |
| 95\% CI | 53.9-71.3 | 70.1-92.6 | 59.7-85.5 | 51.6-103.0 | 47.0-104.3 | 48.2-150.4 | 26.7-131.8 | 0.0-17.6 | 64.8-75.3 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 59.4 | 61.9 | 65.6 | 44.2 | 54.5 | 103.5 | 66.0 | 16.3 | 60.0 |
| ASR(A) | 62.5 | 70.7 | 71.6 | 48.2 | 86.9 | 134.8 | 87.6 | 8.8 | 67.3 |
| 95\% CI | 52.0-73.0 | 58.9-83.0 | 55.5-86.7 | 30.3-68.8 | 50.7-126.4 | 65.3-215.6 | 22.5-165.7 | 0.0-26.3 | 61.2-73.2 |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 15: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,575 | 1,043 | 7,415 | 1,576 | 1,306 | 579 | 320 | 140 | 21,954 |
|  | Cases | 11 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,561 | 4,864 | 18,968 | 5,752 | 4,500 | 2,202 | 1,265 | 450 | 65,562 |
|  | Cases | 41 | 8 | 41 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 120 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 42,464 | 33,227 | 27,508 | 13,286 | 12,810 | 3,753 | 2,582 | 850 | 136,480 |
|  | Cases | 101 | 104 | 91 | 50 | 45 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 412 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 43,823 | 35,834 | 25,495 | 13,299 | 13,715 | 3,714 | 2,293 | 781 | 138,954 |
|  | Cases | 201 | 113 | 104 | 60 | 61 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 568 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 38,082 | 28,664 | 20,734 | 11,420 | 12,002 | 3,279 | 1,728 | 478 | 116,387 |
|  | Cases | 178 | 129 | 115 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 584 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 32,494 | 23,454 | 16,956 | 9,245 | 9,636 | 2,754 | 1,250 | 241 | 96,030 |
|  | Cases | 166 | 112 | 96 | 49 | 49 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 499 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 26,913 | 19,791 | 13,529 | 2,470 | 3,436 | 1,095 | 266 | 137 | 67,637 |
|  | Cases | 150 | 101 | 83 | 14 | 25 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 390 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,146 | 5,104 | 5,882 | 914 | 1,308 | 283 | 109 | 50 | 29,796 |
|  | Cases | 86 | 37 | 27 | 15 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 193 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,261 | 648 | 1,915 | 198 | 301 | 61 | 34 | 26 | 8,444 |
|  | Cases | 41 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,147 | 142 | 429 | 42 | 38 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1,812 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 243,466 | 152,771 | 138,831 | 58,202 | 59,052 | 17,728 | 9,851 | 3,155 | 683,056 |
|  | Cases | 981 | 614 | 595 | 261 | 288 | 86 | 49 | 13 | 2,887 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 487,851 |
| 50-69 | Cases | 646 | 458 | 406 | 219 | 225 | 59 | 38 | 12 | 2,063 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 16: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40-44$ | 11.5 | 9.6 | 22.9 | 6.3 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 15.0 |
| $45-49$ | 14.9 | 16.4 | 21.6 | 13.9 | 24.4 | 31.8 | 23.7 | 22.2 | 18.3 |
| $50-54$ | 23.8 | 31.3 | 33.1 | 37.6 | 35.1 | 24.0 | 34.9 | 35.3 | 30.2 |
| 55-59 | 45.9 | 31.5 | 40.8 | 45.1 | 44.5 | 48.5 | 34.9 | 38.4 | 40.9 |
| 60-64 | 46.7 | 45.0 | 55.5 | 52.5 | 58.3 | 61.0 | 46.3 | 83.7 | 50.2 |
| 65-69 | 51.1 | 47.8 | 56.6 | 53.0 | 50.9 | 43.6 | 104.0 | 83.0 | 52.0 |
| 70-74 | 55.7 | 51.0 | 61.3 | 56.7 | 72.8 | 118.7 | 150.4 | 0.0 | 57.7 |
| 75-79 | 53.3 | 72.5 | 45.9 | 164.1 | 160.6 | 176.7 | 183.5 | 0.0 | 64.8 |
| 80-84 | 77.9 | 123.5 | 78.3 | 202.0 | 66.4 | 163.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.1 |
| 85+ | 52.3 | 70.4 | 139.9 | 0.0 | 526.3 | 1250.0 | 2500.0 | 0.0 | 93.8 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |
| Crude rate | 40.3 | 40.2 | 42.9 | 44.8 | 48.8 | 48.5 | 49.7 | 41.2 | 42.3 |
| ASR(A) | 36.5 | 35.6 | 41.9 | 44.4 | 47.4 | 51.4 | 62.4 | 40.3 | 39.0 |
| 95\% CI | $34.1-38.8$ | $32.4-38.9$ | $38.3-45.2$ | $38.9-50.2$ | $41.5-53.1$ | $40.0-63.7$ | $42.8-84.7$ | $18.3-65.6$ | $37.6-40.5$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |
| Crude rate | 41.2 | 37.8 | 44.8 | 46.3 | 46.7 | 43.7 | 48.4 | 51.1 | 42.3 |
| ASR(A) | 39.9 | 37.6 | 44.6 | 45.9 | 45.7 | 42.3 | 50.9 | 56.1 | 41.6 |
| 95\% CI | $36.6-43.1$ | $34.2-40.8$ | $40.0-48.7$ | $40.0-51.8$ | $39.4-51.7$ | $32.3-53.4$ | $33.4-67.9$ | $24.8-93.0$ | $39.9-43.3$ |

Note: Rates are the number of invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 3a: Interval cancer rate

Table 17: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 65 | 23 | 27 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.5 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | 62 | 44 | 36 | 10 | 22 | 2 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 8.3 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 12.2 | 4.4 | 3.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | 26 | 12 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.0 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 35.1 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | 20 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 10.3 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 173 | 88 | 84 | 28 | 33 | 8 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 7.9 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 3.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 2.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%Cl | 6.7-9.3 | 6.1-9.9 | 4.5-7.1 | 3.7-8.4 | 4.5-9.9 | 2.4-14.0 | 0.0-5.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 88 | 56 | 53 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 2.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | 7.7 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 1.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | 6.0-9.4 | 5.5-10.3 | 4.6-8.1 | 3.0-9.7 | 5.0-12.4 | 2.3-19.0 | 0.0-5.8 | n.a. | n.a. |

[^5]
## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 18: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 45 | 57 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.2 | 11.3 | 6.5 | 16.5 | 9.8 | 2.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 76 | 86 | 16 | 24 | 4 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.1 | 16.8 | 10.1 | 13.5 | 9.2 | 17.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 23 | 43 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 16.2 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 7.2 | 35.1 | 25.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 9 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.7 | 14.2 | 21.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 24.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 153 | 202 | 45 | 51 | 15 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.4 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 11.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 13.7 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 15.4 | 17.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 11.4-16.1 | 12.8-17.0 | 8.8-16.4 | 8.0-14.8 | 7.1-24.6 | 5.3-30.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 99 | 129 | 27 | 28 | 10 | 7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.7 | 16.3 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 16.5 | 18.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 14.4 | 16.2 | 12.6 | 10.9 | 20.0 | 20.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 11.3-17.9 | 13.3-18.9 | 7.4-17.4 | 6.8-15.4 | 8.6-34.0 | 6.0-38.2 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 19: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 68 | 84 | 25 | 31 | 8 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.0 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 11.3 | 7.6 | 4.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 120 | 122 | 26 | 46 | 6 | 6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.4 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 10.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 35 | 60 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 12.3 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 5.4 | 25.5 | 12.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 18 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.7 | 8.8 | 12.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 12.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 241 | 286 | 73 | 84 | 23 | 12 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.5 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 7.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 10.9 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 9.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 9.3-12.4 | 9.1-11.6 | 6.8-11.4 | 7.1-11.1 | 6.4-16.6 | 4.2-17.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 155 | 182 | 41 | 52 | 15 | 8 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.8 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 10.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 11.2 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 14.5 | 11.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 9.2-13.1 | 9.6-12.9 | 6.5-12.2 | 7.1-12.5 | 7.3-22.1 | 3.6-19.8 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 20: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 83 | 16 | 32 | 19 | 24 | 6 | 6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 9.2 | 8.8 | 5.6 | 10.0 | 16.5 | 6.6 | 11.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | 172 | 111 | 75 | 53 | 60 | 21 | 10 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 8.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | 136 | 90 | 44 | 30 | 32 | 11 | 10 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 13.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | 55 | 30 | 26 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 22.4 | 8.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 446 | 247 | 177 | 109 | 119 | 43 | 27 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 10.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | 7.9 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 10.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | 7.2-8.7 | 5.9-8.1 | 5.7-7.7 | 6.7-10.2 | 7.6-11.2 | 7.2-14.0 | 6.2-14.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 308 | 201 | 119 | 83 | 92 | 32 | 20 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 10.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 10.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | 7.1-8.9 | 5.8-7.7 | 5.8-8.4 | 5.9-9.3 | 6.7-10.2 | 6.2-12.8 | 6.0-15.1 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 21: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ <br> Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 20 | 67 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.1 | 12.7 | 5.9 | 14.9 | 10.9 | 6.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 208 | 138 | 61 | 73 | 26 | 18 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.0 | 15.1 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 14.5 | 15.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 192 | 115 | 43 | 59 | 9 | 5 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 14.2 | 16.5 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 6.3 | 7.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 69 | 49 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.6 | 12.1 | 8.7 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 17.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 489 | 369 | 118 | 163 | 47 | 28 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.1 | 14.5 | 10.4 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 11.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 12.8 | 14.6 | 9.8 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 11.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 11.3-14.1 | 13.1-16.1 | 8.0-11.8 | 10.3-14.8 | 8.1-15.1 | 6.9-16.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 400 | 253 | 104 | 132 | 35 | 23 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.5 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 12.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 13.5 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 12.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 12.2-14.8 | 13.8-17.7 | 9.2-13.5 | 9.9-14.2 | 7.6-14.9 | 7.1-17.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 22: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Number/ Rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 36 | 99 | 28 | 43 | 15 | 9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.0 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 15.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 319 | 213 | 114 | 133 | 47 | 28 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.0 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 12.8 | 11.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 282 | 159 | 73 | 91 | 20 | 15 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.4 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 10.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 99 | 75 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 18.5 | 12.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 736 | 546 | 227 | 282 | 90 | 55 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 9.9 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 10.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 9.9 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 8.9-10.7 | 9.7-11.5 | 7.9-10.3 | 9.6-12.4 | 8.5-13.5 | 7.9-14.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 601 | 372 | 187 | 224 | 67 | 43 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.2 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 11.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 10.1 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 11.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 95\%CI | n.a. | 9.3-11.0 | 10.1-12.4 | 8.0-10.6 | 8.8-11.5 | 7.7-12.9 | 7.8-14.6 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 3b: Program sensitivity

Table 23: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 72.9 | 76.5 | 84.4 | 76.4 | 79.1 | 76.9 | 77.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | 85.3 | 86.7 | 87.5 | 86.1 | 80.5 | 90.5 | 94.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | 90.5 | 92.1 | 92.9 | 93.4 | 96.7 | 80.0 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | 92.3 | 93.6 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 85.5 | 87.8 | 90.5 | 87.6 | 87.2 | 86.9 | 92.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | 85.1 | 87.0 | 89.6 | 87.5 | 87.1 | 85.9 | 93.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% Cl | 83.0-87.1 | 84.3-89.6 | 87.5-91.9 | 83.0-91.7 | 83.0-91.1 | 76.9-94.4 | 86.2-100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages 50- } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 87.4 | 88.4 | 89.9 | 89.5 | 86.2 | 86.1 | 96.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | 87.5 | 89.0 | 89.7 | 89.2 | 87.3 | 86.1 | 96.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 85.1-89.9 | 86.2-91.9 | 87.1-92.3 | 83.6-94.4 | 82.4-91.9 | 75.0-97.2 | 89.7-100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 24: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during years 1997, 1998 and 1999, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 52.4 | 67.0 | 77.8 | 52.3 | 55.6 | 87.5 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 70.5 | 69.8 | 79.5 | 66.4 | 76.0 | 76.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 80.0 | 81.0 | 83.8 | 90.8 | 57.1 | 84.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 88.0 | 90.8 | 86.0 | 97.6 | 100.0 | 80.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 72.5 | 76.0 | 81.5 | 72.8 | 69.7 | 80.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 71.6 | 74.9 | 81.2 | 74.1 | 69.5 | 81.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 68.6-74.5 | 72.3-77.6 | 75.9-86.1 | 69.7-78.4 | 60.2-79.3 | 70.4-92.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 73.4 | 74.6 | 81.5 | 74.3 | 67.4 | 79.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 74.5 | 74.4 | 81.3 | 76.5 | 68.2 | 79.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 71.1-77.8 | 71.1-77.9 | 74.6-87.4 | 70.8-81.9 | 55.2-81.1 | 65.0-91.7 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 25: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 61.9 | 72.9 | 74.6 | 66.7 | 60.0 | 69.6 | 57.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | 78.5 | 82.0 | 78.9 | 80.3 | 78.3 | 75.3 | 84.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | 84.9 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 89.3 | 88.7 | 82.5 | 74.4 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | 90.5 | 92.3 | 90.7 | 89.4 | 95.6 | 75.0 | 90.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.1 | 86.3 | 84.2 | 83.8 | 82.7 | 77.0 | 78.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | 78.3 | 83.0 | 82.0 | 81.1 | 79.5 | 76.1 | 76.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 76.4-80.2 | 80.2-85.7 | 79.6-84.4 | 77.7-84.5 | 76.0-83.0 | 69.6-82.5 | 59.4-87.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 81.9 | 85.1 | 83.4 | 84.9 | 83.5 | 78.4 | 80.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | 81.1 | 84.4 | 82.6 | 84.1 | 82.6 | 78.3 | 80.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | 79.1-83.1 | 82.3-86.3 | 79.7-85.4 | 80.9-87.0 | 79.4-85.8 | 71.5-85.1 | 68.8-84.7 | n.a. | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 26: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1997, 1998 and 1999, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 54.4 | 52.8 | 66.7 | 45.6 | 51.6 | 72.7 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 61.3 | 61.3 | 80.3 | 61.9 | 57.7 | 75.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 69.6 | 69.2 | 89.3 | 73.3 | 72.2 | 85.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 78.4 | 80.7 | 89.4 | 81.3 | 65.2 | 81.8 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 67.9 | 67.2 | 82.7 | 66.8 | 62.0 | 78.1 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 64.3 | 64.2 | 82.4 | 64.1 | 61.4 | 78.2 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. $61.5-67.1$ | $61.6-66.8$ | $79.1-85.5$ | $60.5-67.7$ | $55.2-67.5$ | $69.7-85.8$ | n.a. | n.a. |  |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 65.7 | 65.3 | 81.8 | 67.5 | 63.4 | 78.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 64.8 | 64.6 | 81.1 | 66.6 | 63.7 | 79.3 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. $62.5-67.2$ | $61.6-67.7$ | $77.7-84.2$ | $63.0-70.2$ | $56.6-70.8$ | $71.1-86.6$ | n.a. | n.a. |  |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. Northern Territory and New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in-situ

Table 27: Number of women screened and cases of DCIS detected in these women by age, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Screened | 61,579 | 15,511 | 42,535 | 12,794 | 10,230 | 4,249 | 2,765 | 1,192 | 150,855 |
|  | Cases | 41 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 103 |
| 50-59 | Screened | 105,493 | 86,653 | 63,489 | 32,371 | 31,658 | 8,472 | 5,754 | 2,137 | 336,027 |
|  | Cases | 107 | 94 | 56 | 37 | 33 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 339 |
| 60-69 | Screened | 78,119 | 58,754 | 41,696 | 22,124 | 22,380 | 6,381 | 3,160 | 827 | 233,441 |
|  | Cases | 78 | 87 | 47 | 45 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 296 |
| 70+ | Screened | 53,422 | 27,759 | 23,575 | 4,137 | 5,505 | 1,601 | 481 | 253 | 116,733 |
|  | Cases | 66 | 27 | 32 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 298,613 | 188,677 | 171,295 | 71,426 | 69,773 | 20,703 | 12,160 | 4,409 | 837,056 |
|  | Cases | 292 | 220 | 151 | 105 | 80 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 883 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 183,612 | 145,407 | 105,185 | 54,495 | 54,038 | 14,853 | 8,914 | 2,964 | 569,468 |
|  | Cases | 185 | 181 | 103 | 82 | 58 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 635 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 28: Age-specific rate of DCIS detected in women screened, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 7.1 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| 50-59 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 5.9 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 |
| 60-69 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 20.3 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 |
| 70+ | 12.4 | 9.7 | 13.6 | 24.2 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.8 | 11.7 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 |
| ASR(A) | 9.7 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 8.6 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 10.4 |
| 95\% Cl | 8.6-10.8 | $9.7-12.8$ | 7.5-10.5 | 12.1-18.4 | 9.1-14.5 | 5.0-12.7 | 7.1-19.2 |  | 9.7-11.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages 50- } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 10.1 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 15.0 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 11.2 |
| ASR(A) | 10.1 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 |
| 95\% CI | 8.7-11.5 | 10.8-14.4 | 7.9-11.8 | 11.8-18.6 | 8.0-13.6 | 4.2-13.9 | 7.3-23.9 |  | 10.3-12.1 |

[^6][^7]
## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment rate

Table 29: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, first screening round, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,646 | 4,386 | 9,824 | 2,508 | 2,152 | 809 | 568 | 312 | 34,205 |
|  | Recalled | 833 | 326 | 814 | 254 | 84 | 93 | 24 | 17 | 2,445 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,807 | 5,218 | 6,329 | 2,959 | 2,272 | 659 | 612 | 290 | 29,146 |
|  | Recalled | 799 | 453 | 623 | 381 | 121 | 85 | 57 | 13 | 2,532 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,360 | 13,059 | 7,126 | 4,560 | 4,285 | 725 | 679 | 371 | 44,165 |
|  | Recalled | 1,092 | 1,184 | 767 | 528 | 200 | 91 | 64 | 11 | 3,937 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,855 | 4,533 | 3,362 | 1,227 | 848 | 280 | 200 | 135 | 16,440 |
|  | Recalled | 450 | 413 | 305 | 123 | 43 | 27 | 24 | 4 | 1,389 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,407 | 3,660 | 2,355 | 884 | 418 | 209 | 110 | 68 | 12,111 |
|  | Recalled | 330 | 317 | 224 | 84 | 27 | 27 | 13 | 3 | 1,025 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,144 | 2,977 | 1,654 | 573 | 325 | 139 | 72 | 40 | 8,924 |
|  | Recalled | 206 | 232 | 150 | 60 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 686 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,917 | 1,216 | 955 | 244 | 172 | 60 | 33 | 19 | 4,616 |
|  | Recalled | 135 | 110 | 75 | 31 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 370 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,335 | 553 | 598 | 180 | 167 | 72 | 24 | 12 | 2,941 |
|  | Recalled | 114 | 39 | 49 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 232 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 561 | 226 | 207 | 65 | 60 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 1,149 |
|  | Recalled | 32 | 17 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 78 |
| 85+ | Screened | 146 | 79 | 62 | 26 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 346 |
|  | Recalled | 8 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Ages <br> 40+ | Screened | 55,178 | 35,907 | 32,472 | 13,226 | 10,722 | 2,975 | 2,309 | 1,254 | 154,043 |
|  | Recalled | 3,999 | 3,098 | 3,037 | 1,478 | 510 | 348 | 195 | 54 | 12,719 |
| Ages50-69 | Screened | 26,766 | 24,229 | 14,497 | 7,244 | 5,876 | 1,353 | 1,061 | 614 | 81,640 |
|  | Recalled | 2,078 | 2,146 | 1,446 | 795 | 287 | 157 | 107 | 21 | 7,037 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 30: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rate, first screening round, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 7.1 |
| 45-49 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 12.9 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 8.7 |
| 50-54 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 12.6 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 8.9 |
| 55-59 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 8.4 |
| 60-64 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 8.5 |
| 65-69 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| 70-74 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 12.1 | 10.5 | 8.0 |
| 75-79 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
| 80-84 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| 85+ | 5.5 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  | 7.2 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.2 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 8.3 |
| ASR(A) | 7.4 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 8.3 |
| 95\% Cl | 7.1-7.7 | 8.3-8.9 | 9.0-9.8 | 10.1-11.5 | 4.5-5.8 | 9.5-12.3 | 8.0-12.0 | 3.2-6.9 | 8.1-8.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 50-69 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 7.8 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 4.9 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
| ASR(A) | 7.6 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| 95\% CI | 7.2-7.9 | 8.4-9.1 | 9.2-10.2 | 9.7-11.3 | 4.4-6.1 | 9.2-12.9 | 8.2-12.9 | 2.2-6.3 | 8.2-8.7 |

. . Not applicable-no women in this age group screened in 2001.
Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 31: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 9,566 | 1,043 | 7,416 | 1,576 | 1,306 | 579 | 320 | 140 | 21,946 |
|  | Recalled | 344 | 37 | 367 | 82 | 31 | 48 | 16 | 2 | 927 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,560 | 4,864 | 18,966 | 5,751 | 4,500 | 2,202 | 1,265 | 450 | 65,558 |
|  | Recalled | 1,143 | 244 | 1,080 | 259 | 118 | 140 | 57 | 17 | 3,058 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 42,463 | 33,227 | 27,509 | 13,287 | 12,811 | 3,753 | 2,582 | 850 | 136,482 |
|  | Recalled | 1,590 | 1,428 | 1,395 | 533 | 306 | 235 | 115 | 16 | 5,618 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 43,815 | 35,834 | 25,492 | 13,297 | 13,714 | 3,714 | 2,293 | 781 | 138,940 |
|  | Recalled | 1,663 | 1,394 | 1,245 | 473 | 275 | 190 | 130 | 13 | 5,383 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 38,086 | 28,665 | 20,732 | 11,420 | 12,002 | 3,279 | 1,728 | 478 | 116,390 |
|  | Recalled | 1,393 | 1,126 | 969 | 434 | 302 | 189 | 78 | 10 | 4,501 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 32,482 | 23,452 | 16,955 | 9,247 | 9,635 | 2,754 | 1,250 | 241 | 96,016 |
|  | Recalled | 1,147 | 903 | 776 | 341 | 237 | 108 | 77 | 5 | 3,594 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 26,911 | 19,791 | 13,530 | 2,468 | 3,436 | 1,095 | 266 | 137 | 67,634 |
|  | Recalled | 893 | 670 | 641 | 84 | 98 | 62 | 14 | 1 | 2,463 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,146 | 5,104 | 5,879 | 914 | 1,308 | 283 | 109 | 50 | 29,793 |
|  | Recalled | 503 | 185 | 264 | 47 | 47 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1,066 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,259 | 648 | 1,915 | 198 | 301 | 61 | 34 | 26 | 8,442 |
|  | Recalled | 160 | 20 | 82 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 287 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,147 | 142 | 429 | 42 | 38 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1,812 |
|  | Recalled | 39 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 243,435 | 152,770 | 138,823 | 58,200 | 59,051 | 17,728 | 9,851 | 3,155 | 683,013 |
|  | Recalled | 8,875 | 6,012 | 6,840 | 2,262 | 1,427 | 991 | 495 | 66 | 26,968 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 156,846 | 121,178 | 90,688 | 47,251 | 48,162 | 13,500 | 7,853 | 2,350 | 487,828 |
|  | Recalled | 5,793 | 4,851 | 4,385 | 1,781 | 1,120 | 722 | 400 | 44 | 19,096 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 32: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| 45-49 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| 50-54 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 4.1 |
| 55-59 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 3.9 |
| 60-64 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| 65-69 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| 70-74 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 3.6 |
| 75-79 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| 80-84 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| 85+ | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| ASR(A) | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| 95\% CI | 3.6-3.8 | 3.9-4.2 | 4.8-5.0 | 3.8-4.2 | 2.3-2.6 | 5.4-6.1 | 4.5-5.6 | 1.5-2.6 | 4.0-4.1 |
| Ages50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| ASR(A) | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| 95\% CI | 3.6-3.8 | 3.9-4.1 | 4.7-5.0 | 3.6-4.0 | 2.2-2.5 | 5.0-5.8 | 4.6-5.6 | 1.4-2.5 | 3.9-4.0 |

[^8]Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 6: Rescreen rate

Table 33: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 14,299 | 4,948 | 9,523 | 2,530 | 2,339 | 840 | 591 | 243 | 35,313 |
|  | Returned | 8,882 | 775 | 7,099 | 1,546 | 1,329 | 613 | 275 | 135 | 20,654 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,839 | 6,064 | 7,267 | 2,880 | 2,503 | 683 | 621 | 284 | 32,141 |
|  | Returned | 7,263 | 2,604 | 5,465 | 1,817 | 1,553 | 486 | 295 | 152 | 19,635 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,168 | 13,434 | 9,323 | 2,334 | 4,737 | 886 | 743 | 444 | 45,069 |
|  | Returned | 8,238 | 9,809 | 7,131 | 1,381 | 3,322 | 641 | 389 | 217 | 31,128 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,154 | 2,594 | 5,221 | 1,012 | 1,319 | 360 | 228 | 139 | 17,027 |
|  | Returned | 3,822 | 1,767 | 4,085 | 615 | 871 | 255 | 115 | 60 | 11,590 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,743 | 2,038 | 3,905 | 677 | 855 | 284 | 135 | 91 | 12,728 |
|  | Returned | 3,048 | 1,398 | 3,141 | 410 | 591 | 214 | 61 | 41 | 8,904 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,594 | 1,451 | 3,300 | 479 | 666 | 191 | 94 | 48 | 9,823 |
|  | Returned | 2,231 | 1,016 | 2,593 | 219 | 288 | 115 | 26 | 19 | 6,507 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 2,199 | 858 | 1,822 | 302 | 259 | 115 | 61 | 27 | 5,643 |
|  | Returned | 1,366 | 487 | 1,312 | 57 | 47 | 29 | 5 | 10 | 3,313 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,539 | 678 | 1,073 | 204 | 241 | 94 | 30 | 12 | 3,871 |
|  | Returned | 942 | 47 | 484 | 29 | 50 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1,573 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 497 | 221 | 355 | 49 | 83 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 1,244 |
|  | Returned | 255 | 12 | 129 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 416 |
| 85+ | Screened | 122 | 66 | 106 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 335 |
|  | Returned | 48 | 3 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | Screened | 58,154 | 32,352 | 41,895 | 10,479 | 13,024 | 3,480 | 2,517 | 1,293 | 163,194 |
|  | Returned | 36,095 | 17,918 | 31,465 | 6,080 | 8,061 | 2,372 | 1,170 | 641 | 103,802 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 27,659 | 19,517 | 21,749 | 4,502 | 7,577 | 1,721 | 1,200 | 722 | 84,647 |
|  | Returned | 17,339 | 13,990 | 16,950 | 2,625 | 5,072 | 1,225 | 591 | 337 | 58,129 |

[^9]Table 34: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates for women screened during 1999, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 62.1 | 15.7 | 74.5 | 61.1 | 56.8 | 73.0 | 46.5 | 55.6 | 58.5 |
| 45-49 | 61.3 | 42.9 | 75.2 | 63.1 | 62.0 | 71.2 | 47.5 | 53.5 | 61.1 |
| 50-54 | 62.6 | 73.0 | 76.5 | 59.2 | 70.1 | 72.3 | 52.4 | 48.9 | 69.1 |
| 55-59 | 62.1 | 68.1 | 78.2 | 60.8 | 66.0 | 70.8 | 50.4 | 43.2 | 68.1 |
| 60-64 | 64.3 | 68.6 | 80.4 | 60.6 | 69.1 | 75.4 | 45.2 | 45.1 | 70.0 |
| 65-69 | 62.1 | 70.0 | 78.6 | 45.7 | 43.2 | 60.2 | 27.7 | 39.6 | 66.2 |
| 70-74 | 62.1 | 56.8 | 72.0 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 25.2 | 8.2 | 37.0 | 58.7 |
| 75-79 | 61.2 | 6.9 | 45.1 | 14.2 | 20.7 | 14.9 | 10.0 | 33.3 | 40.6 |
| 80-84 | 51.3 | 5.4 | 36.3 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 18.2 | 8.3 | 60.0 | 33.4 |
| 85+ | 39.3 | 4.5 | 24.5 | 16.7 | 9.1 | 20.0 | 0.0 |  | 24.5 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 62.1 | 55.4 | 75.1 | 58.0 | 61.9 | 68.2 | 46.5 | 49.6 | 63.6 |
| ASR(A) | 62.3 | 58.6 | 75.6 | 53.3 | 57.2 | 64.6 | 41.2 | 45.8 | 64.7 |
| 95\% CI | 61.8-62.7 | 58.0-59.3 | 75.1-76.0 | 52.2-54.4 | 56.2-58.2 | 62.7-66.4 | 38.9-43.5 | 42.4-49.2 | 64.4-65.0 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 62.7 | 71.7 | 77.9 | 58.3 | 66.9 | 71.2 | 49.3 | 46.7 | 68.7 |
| ASR(A) | 62.7 | 70.2 | 78.2 | 57.3 | 63.6 | 70.3 | 45.5 | 44.7 | 68.5 |
| 95\% CI | 62.1-63.3 | 69.4-71.0 | 77.6-78.8 | 55.7-58.8 | 62.4-64.9 | 68.0-72.5 | 42.3-48.7 | 40.3-49.0 | 68.0-68.8 |

[^10]Table 35: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 6,490 | 1,052 | 4,610 | 1,050 | 760 | 404 | 229 | 135 | 14,730 |
|  | Returned | 4,751 | 381 | 3,847 | 790 | 572 | 323 | 146 | 83 | 10,893 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,440 | 3,291 | 6,629 | 2,794 | 1,738 | 691 | 444 | 270 | 26,297 |
|  | Returned | 7,497 | 1,834 | 5,541 | 2,111 | 1,278 | 549 | 251 | 185 | 19,246 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 16,973 | 17,802 | 9,070 | 5,167 | 4,276 | 1,030 | 752 | 515 | 55,585 |
|  | Returned | 12,621 | 14,579 | 7,792 | 3,840 | 3,385 | 828 | 466 | 323 | 43,834 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 10,279 | 6,190 | 6,705 | 2,740 | 2,134 | 572 | 397 | 358 | 29,375 |
|  | Returned | 7,501 | 4,874 | 5,809 | 2,049 | 1,651 | 446 | 219 | 235 | 22,784 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 8,515 | 4,269 | 5,163 | 2,129 | 1,363 | 415 | 209 | 183 | 22,246 |
|  | Returned | 6,307 | 3,406 | 4,519 | 1,618 | 1,066 | 329 | 126 | 129 | 17,500 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 7,118 | 3,479 | 4,225 | 1,650 | 1,054 | 307 | 146 | 108 | 18,087 |
|  | Returned | 5,175 | 2,669 | 3,627 | 927 | 581 | 195 | 51 | 70 | 13,295 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 5,064 | 2,509 | 2,757 | 447 | 424 | 125 | 55 | 66 | 11,447 |
|  | Returned | 3,541 | 1,599 | 2,177 | 140 | 127 | 31 | 6 | 41 | 7,662 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 2,692 | 845 | 1,442 | 227 | 299 | 86 | 55 | 21 | 5,667 |
|  | Returned | 1,856 | 141 | 726 | 73 | 98 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 2,932 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 926 | 208 | 418 | 51 | 66 | 21 | 4 | 11 | 1,705 |
|  | Returned | 540 | 29 | 173 | 20 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 788 |
| 85+ | Screened | 160 | 54 | 89 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 320 |
|  | Returned | 76 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 68,657 | 39,699 | 41,108 | 16,261 | 12,121 | 3,652 | 2,294 | 1,667 | 185,459 |
|  | Returned | 49,865 | 29,520 | 34,238 | 11,568 | 8,773 | 2,725 | 1,272 | 1,085 | 139,046 |
| Ages$50-69$ | Screened | 42,885 | 31,740 | 25,163 | 11,686 | 8,827 | 2,324 | 1,504 | 1,164 | 125,293 |
|  | Returned | 31,604 | 25,528 | 21,747 | 8,434 | 6,683 | 1,798 | 862 | 757 | 97,413 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 36: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1999, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 73.2 | 36.2 | 83.4 | 75.2 | 75.3 | 80.0 | 63.8 | 61.5 | 74.0 |
| 45-49 | 71.8 | 55.7 | 83.6 | 75.6 | 73.5 | 79.5 | 56.5 | 68.5 | 73.2 |
| 50-54 | 74.4 | 81.9 | 85.9 | 74.3 | 79.2 | 80.4 | 62.0 | 62.7 | 78.9 |
| 55-59 | 73.0 | 78.7 | 86.6 | 74.8 | 77.4 | 78.0 | 55.2 | 65.6 | 77.6 |
| 60-64 | 74.1 | 79.8 | 87.5 | 76.0 | 78.2 | 79.3 | 60.3 | 70.5 | 78.7 |
| 65-69 | 72.7 | 76.7 | 85.8 | 56.2 | 55.1 | 63.5 | 34.9 | 64.8 | 73.5 |
| 70-74 | 69.9 | 63.7 | 79.0 | 31.3 | 30.0 | 24.8 | 10.9 | 62.1 | 66.9 |
| 75-79 | 68.9 | 16.7 | 50.3 | 32.2 | 32.8 | 23.3 | 10.9 | 57.1 | 51.7 |
| 80-84 | 58.3 | 13.9 | 41.4 | 39.2 | 21.2 | 19.0 | 25.0 | 63.6 | 46.2 |
| 85+ | 47.5 | 14.8 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 35.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 72.6 | 74.4 | 83.3 | 71.1 | 72.4 | 74.6 | 55.4 | 65.1 | 75.0 |
| ASR(A) | 72.7 | 69.2 | 83.6 | 67.2 | 68.4 | 70.4 | 50.2 | 65.1 | 75.0 |
| 95\% CI | 72.4-73.1 | 68.6-69.7 | 83.3-84.0 | 66.4-67.9 | 67.6-69.2 | 68.9-71.8 | 48.1-52.3 | 62.7-67.3 | 74.7-75.3 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 73.7 | 80.4 | 86.4 | 72.2 | 75.7 | 77.4 | 57.3 | 65.0 | 77.7 |
| ASR(A) | 73.6 | 79.6 | 86.4 | 71.3 | 73.8 | 76.2 | 54.6 | 65.0 | 77.7 |
| 95\% CI | 73.2-74.0 | 79.1-80.1 | 86.0-86.9 | 70.5-72.1 | 72.8-74.8 | 74.4-78.0 | 51.6-57.2 | 62.4-67.7 | 77.3-78.2 |

[^11]Table 37: Number of women screened during 1999 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 2,132 | 169 | 1,751 | 396 | 228 | 187 | 99 | 26 | 4,988 |
|  | Returned | 1,783 | 96 | 1,569 | 324 | 200 | 158 | 73 | 23 | 4,226 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 13,994 | 1,801 | 9,161 | 2,442 | 2,430 | 1,548 | 990 | 153 | 32,519 |
|  | Returned | 11,203 | 1,245 | 8,181 | 2,032 | 2,047 | 1,329 | 703 | 115 | 26,855 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 21,948 | 13,831 | 12,396 | 6,562 | 6,456 | 2,349 | 1,690 | 230 | 65,462 |
|  | Returned | 18,066 | 11,968 | 11,224 | 5,589 | 5,599 | 2,063 | 1,225 | 186 | 55,920 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 28,051 | 23,947 | 12,605 | 8,195 | 9,561 | 2,792 | 1,746 | 340 | 87,237 |
|  | Returned | 23,208 | 20,907 | 11,521 | 7,003 | 8,379 | 2,469 | 1,269 | 259 | 75,015 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 25,545 | 21,235 | 11,184 | 7,406 | 9,089 | 2,544 | 1,405 | 185 | 78,593 |
|  | Returned | 21,665 | 18,844 | 10,316 | 6,402 | 8,060 | 2,289 | 1,073 | 144 | 68,793 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 22,697 | 18,778 | 9,488 | 6,313 | 7,782 | 2,221 | 1,075 | 96 | 68,450 |
|  | Returned | 19,037 | 16,317 | 8,594 | 4,230 | 5,267 | 1,615 | 555 | 69 | 55,684 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 19,042 | 15,548 | 7,813 | 1,433 | 2,498 | 383 | 198 | 42 | 46,957 |
|  | Returned | 15,523 | 11,253 | 6,412 | 717 | 1,312 | 211 | 66 | 30 | 35,524 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 8,986 | 2,771 | 4,119 | 442 | 559 | 100 | 71 | 10 | 17,058 |
|  | Returned | 6,941 | 560 | 2,283 | 212 | 301 | 50 | 27 | 8 | 10,382 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 2,700 | 209 | 1,243 | 71 | 104 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 4,357 |
|  | Returned | 1,798 | 68 | 623 | 34 | 54 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2,586 |
| 85+ | Screened | 339 | 38 | 269 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 917 |
|  | Returned | 290 | 9 | 103 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 414 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40+ | Screened | 145,434 | 98,327 | 70,029 | 33,270 | 38,718 | 12,141 | 7,284 | 1,089 | 406,538 |
|  | Returned | 119,514 | 81,267 | 60,826 | 26,548 | 31,223 | 10,191 | 4,991 | 837 | 335,399 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-69$ | Screened | 98,241 | 77,791 | 45,673 | 28,476 | 32,888 | 9,906 | 5,916 | 851 | 299,742 |
|  | Returned | 81,976 | 68,036 | 41,655 | 23,224 | 27,305 | 8,436 | 4,122 | 658 | 255,412 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 38: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 1999, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age Group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 83.6 | 56.8 | 89.6 | 81.8 | 87.7 | 84.5 | 73.7 | 88.5 | 84.7 |
| 45-49 | 80.1 | 69.1 | 89.3 | 83.2 | 84.2 | 85.9 | 71.0 | 75.2 | 82.6 |
| 50-54 | 82.3 | 86.5 | 90.5 | 85.2 | 86.7 | 87.8 | 72.5 | 80.9 | 85.4 |
| 55-59 | 82.7 | 87.3 | 91.4 | 85.5 | 87.6 | 88.4 | 72.7 | 76.2 | 86.0 |
| 60-64 | 84.8 | 88.7 | 92.2 | 86.4 | 88.7 | 90.0 | 76.4 | 77.8 | 87.5 |
| 65-69 | 83.9 | 86.9 | 90.6 | 67.0 | 67.7 | 72.7 | 51.6 | 71.9 | 81.3 |
| 70-74 | 81.5 | 72.4 | 82.1 | 50.0 | 52.5 | 55.1 | 33.3 | 71.4 | 75.7 |
| 75-79 | 77.2 | 20.2 | 55.4 | 48.0 | 53.8 | 50.0 | 38.0 | 80.0 | 60.9 |
| 80-84 | 66.6 | 32.5 | 50.1 | 47.9 | 51.9 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 59.4 |
| 85+ | 85.5 | 23.7 | 38.3 | 50.0 | 36.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 45.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.2 | 82.6 | 86.9 | 79.8 | 80.6 | 83.9 | 68.5 | 76.9 | 82.5 |
| ASR(A) | 82.4 | 82.6 | 88.5 | 78.1 | 80.2 | 83.9 | 65.2 | 76.9 | 82.5 |
| 95\% CI | 82.2-82.7 | 82.4-82.9 | 88.2-88.7 | 77.5-78.6 | 79.7-80.7 | 83.3-84.6 | 63.9-66.5 | 74.4-79.3 | 82.2-82.7 |
| Ages <br> 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 83.4 | 87.5 | 91.2 | 81.6 | 83.0 | 85.2 | 69.7 | 77.3 | 85.2 |
| ASR(A) | 83.3 | 87.5 | 91.1 | 82.0 | 83.7 | 85.5 | 69.3 | 77.3 | 85.2 |
| 95\% CI | 83.0-83.5 | 87.2-87.7 | 90.9-91.4 | 81.5-82.4 | 83.3-84.1 | 84.8-86.2 | 68.1-70.5 | 74.5-80.1 | 84.9-85.5 |

[^12]
## Indicator 7a: Incidence of breast cancer

Table 39: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, Australia, 1987-2000

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 20-24 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 4 |
| 25-29 | 59 | 46 | 44 | 52 | 53 | 46 | 58 | 57 | 58 | 42 | 52 | 53 | 49 | 54 |
| 30-34 | 192 | 165 | 158 | 204 | 184 | 179 | 169 | 200 | 203 | 197 | 175 | 197 | 186 | 190 |
| 35-39 | 363 | 345 | 367 | 341 | 402 | 380 | 414 | 395 | 399 | 426 | 446 | 419 | 441 | 436 |
| 40-44 | 592 | 654 | 656 | 669 | 717 | 712 | 779 | 773 | 757 | 765 | 757 | 850 | 824 | 840 |
| 45-49 | 691 | 671 | 754 | 826 | 854 | 1,010 | 1,027 | 1,140 | 1,233 | 1,187 | 1,156 | 1,167 | 1,150 | 1,269 |
| 50-54 | 600 | 633 | 728 | 786 | 856 | 856 | 977 | 1,108 | 1,240 | 1,188 | 1,330 | 1,471 | 1,497 | 1,562 |
| 55-59 | 692 | 635 | 681 | 690 | 809 | 819 | 926 | 1,030 | 1,147 | 1,123 | 1,174 | 1,272 | 1,300 | 1,433 |
| 60-64 | 823 | 851 | 885 | 826 | 889 | 789 | 975 | 1,101 | 1,063 | 1,020 | 1,075 | 1,144 | 1,243 | 1,339 |
| 65-69 | 768 | 769 | 830 | 854 | 951 | 929 | 998 | 1,209 | 1,100 | 1,066 | 1,075 | 1,144 | 1,091 | 1,118 |
| 70-74 | 626 | 699 | 713 | 754 | 792 | 775 | 900 | 1,019 | 1,004 | 983 | 1,029 | 1,055 | 986 | 1,100 |
| 75-79 | 583 | 576 | 623 | 634 | 670 | 657 | 692 | 781 | 852 | 739 | 864 | 886 | 836 | 891 |
| 80-84 | 390 | 385 | 395 | 424 | 485 | 490 | 469 | 525 | 580 | 562 | 572 | 588 | 546 | 567 |
| 85+ | 306 | 300 | 327 | 336 | 371 | 365 | 394 | 376 | 412 | 436 | 444 | 491 | 484 | 511 |
| All ages | 6,691 | 6,736 | 7,169 | 7,400 | 8,044 | 8,013 | 8,792 | 9,721 | 10,059 | 9,741 | 10,165 | 10,754 | 10,644 | 11,316 |
| Ages 50-69 | 2,883 | 2,888 | 3,124 | 3,156 | 3,505 | 3,393 | 3,876 | 4,448 | 4,550 | 4,397 | 4,654 | 5,031 | 5,131 | 5,452 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 40: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2000

| Age <br> group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $5-9$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10-14$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $15-19$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| $20-24$ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| $25-29$ | 8.6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 7.4 |
| $30-34$ | 29.7 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 29.4 | 25.8 | 24.7 | 23.1 | 27.2 | 27.8 | 27.2 | 24.4 | 27.8 | 26.2 | 26.5 |
| $35-39$ | 58.1 | 54.4 | 56.8 | 51.9 | 60.5 | 56.1 | 60.2 | 56.6 | 56.0 | 58.4 | 60.0 | 55.7 | 58.2 | 57.6 |
| $40-44$ | 110.5 | 114.7 | 110.1 | 108.1 | 112.2 | 111.0 | 120.4 | 117.6 | 113.4 | 112.7 | 109.4 | 121.0 | 115.3 | 115.2 |
| $45-49$ | 163.9 | 154.2 | 165.4 | 172.6 | 169.9 | 187.5 | 179.2 | 191.3 | 200.0 | 185.6 | 179.9 | 178.5 | 172.9 | 188.2 |
| $50-54$ | 163.0 | 167.7 | 187.1 | 196.1 | 207.2 | 201.8 | 225.1 | 244.6 | 260.5 | 238.8 | 247.6 | 256.9 | 250.4 | 250.7 |
| $55-59$ | 188.4 | 174.7 | 188.6 | 192.1 | 225.6 | 223.5 | 246.4 | 267.1 | 290.0 | 275.6 | 278.7 | 293.4 | 287.2 | 302.7 |
| $60-64$ | 223.4 | 230.0 | 238.8 | 222.8 | 240.2 | 216.0 | 271.1 | 308.5 | 297.9 | 286.0 | 295.6 | 307.1 | 323.5 | 337.4 |
| $65-69$ | 242.9 | 233.5 | 242.1 | 245.0 | 270.7 | 263.2 | 280.8 | 341.1 | 310.6 | 300.5 | 305.2 | 327.8 | 315.2 | 324.0 |
| $70-74$ | 234.3 | 261.3 | 268.2 | 278.6 | 280.6 | 264.9 | 296.5 | 321.1 | 310.9 | 300.6 | 313.1 | 318.7 | 295.8 | 329.7 |
| $75-79$ | 293.2 | 279.8 | 290.1 | 287.3 | 297.1 | 286.8 | 300.8 | 342.8 | 365.0 | 303.1 | 336.9 | 329.6 | 297.6 | 309.7 |
| $80-84$ | 315.1 | 298.3 | 295.2 | 304.3 | 333.5 | 323.5 | 296.3 | 314.1 | 336.4 | 318.2 | 318.0 | 323.0 | 298.3 | 298.4 |
| $85+$ | 314.8 | 300.6 | 316.8 | 318.2 | 337.2 | 315.6 | 323.4 | 294.9 | 306.7 | 307.9 | 297.3 | 313.2 | 291.4 | 291.7 |

All Ages

| Crude |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| rate | 82.1 | 81.3 | 85.1 | 86.5 | 92.8 | 91.3 | 99.1 | 108.4 | 110.8 | 105.8 | 109.1 | 114.2 | 111.7 |
| ASR(A) | 91.2 | 89.7 | 93.5 | 94.6 | 100.4 | 98.0 | 105.3 | 113.9 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 111.2 | 114.9 | 111.2 |
| Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rate | 203.1 | 200.5 | 213.4 | 213.4 | 234.7 | 224.9 | 254.2 | 286.9 | 287.5 | 272.0 | 278.0 | 291.2 | 288.1 |
| ASR(A) | 197.1 | 194.8 | 208.5 | 209.6 | 230.5 | 221.6 | 250.6 | 281.6 | 285.3 | 269.7 | 276.5 | 290.1 | 287.5 |

[^13]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 41: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1997-2000

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 20-24 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 25-29 | 60 | 60 | 41 | 25 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 208 |
| 30-34 | 245 | 198 | 131 | 81 | 62 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 748 |
| 35-39 | 584 | 421 | 331 | 186 | 148 | 31 | 26 | 15 | 1,742 |
| 40-44 | 1,112 | 838 | 589 | 301 | 268 | 75 | 57 | 31 | 3,271 |
| 45-49 | 1,575 | 1,178 | 873 | 475 | 426 | 101 | 80 | 34 | 4,742 |
| 50-54 | 1,967 | 1,460 | 1,034 | 533 | 546 | 157 | 128 | 35 | 5,860 |
| 55-59 | 1,775 | 1,241 | 963 | 474 | 475 | 128 | 93 | 30 | 5,179 |
| 60-64 | 1,619 | 1,227 | 891 | 436 | 419 | 126 | 67 | 16 | 4,801 |
| 65-69 | 1,486 | 1,169 | 806 | 407 | 394 | 95 | 61 | 10 | 4,428 |
| 70-74 | 1,482 | 1,143 | 767 | 297 | 323 | 98 | 48 | 12 | 4,170 |
| 75-79 | 1,210 | 926 | 592 | 298 | 323 | 87 | 34 | 7 | 3,477 |
| 80-84 | 754 | 612 | 415 | 183 | 235 | 48 | 24 | 2 | 2,273 |
| 85+ | 638 | 545 | 326 | 163 | 190 | 46 | 17 | 5 | 1,930 |
| All ages | 14,524 | 11,030 | 7,768 | 3,865 | 3,824 | 1,013 | 653 | 202 | 42,879 |
| Ages 50-69 | 6,847 | 5,097 | 3,694 | 1,850 | 1,834 | 506 | 349 | 91 | 20,268 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 42: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1997-2000

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld |  | WA |  | SA |  | Tas |  | ACT | NT | T Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | O 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |  | 1.2 |  | 2.6 |  | 1.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25-29 | 6.1 | 8.1 | 7.6 |  | 8.9 |  | 4.7 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.5 | 7.9 | - 7.1 |
| 30-34 | 25.5 | 27.1 | 25.2 |  | 28.9 |  | 28.7 |  | 22.6 |  | 27.9 | 5.8 | $8 \quad 26.2$ |
| 35-39 | 57.3 | 56.4 | 59.9 |  | 62.6 |  | 63.1 |  | 41.3 |  | 50.1 | 46.5 | $5 \quad 57.9$ |
| 40-44 | 116.7 | 119.1 | 113.5 |  | 105.2 |  | 119.1 |  | 104.4 |  | 113.4 | 109.7 | $7 \quad 115.3$ |
| 45-49 | 179.2 | 180.3 | 179.9 |  | 180.6 |  | 198.8 |  | 151.7 |  | 162.1 | 139.6 | 6179.9 |
| 50-54 | 250.8 | 251.8 | 239.1 |  | 241.2 |  | 282.1 |  | 264.3 |  | 307.0 | 189.8 | 8251.4 |
| 55-59 | 290.9 | 278.4 | 296.0 |  | 285.4 |  | 321.7 |  | 272.1 |  | 342.5 | 259.9 | 9290.8 |
| 60-64 | 306.3 | 315.4 | 337.2 |  | 315.6 |  | 323.0 |  | 309.9 |  | 337.7 | 227.8 | $8 \quad 316.4$ |
| 65-69 | 301.6 | 325.0 | 339.1 |  | 335.5 |  | 320.6 |  | 253.4 |  | 381.7 | 213.9 | 318.0 |
| 70-74 | 313.4 | 330.6 | 345.6 |  | 271.9 |  | 261.3 |  | 278.3 |  | 325.6 | 368.6 | $6 \quad 314.3$ |
| 75-79 | 309.6 | 327.1 | 323.8 |  | 336.8 |  | 308.5 |  | 289.9 |  | 287.3 | 324.1 | $1 \quad 317.9$ |
| 80-84 | 286.3 | 324.2 | 336.7 |  | 305.8 |  | 335.0 |  | 229.8 |  | 327.4 | 151.3 | 309.3 |
| 85+ | 280.5 | 317.1 | 308.0 |  | 294.9 |  | 301.0 |  | 267.7 |  | 303.8 | 489.2 | $2 \quad 298.1$ |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 113.0 | 116.6 | 111.6 |  | 105.7 |  | 126.6 |  | 105.9 |  | 103.8 | 55.6 | $6 \quad 113.1$ |
| ASR(A) | 111.1 | 114.4 | 116.0 |  | 111.8 |  | 117.7 |  | 102.5 |  | 120.7 | 96.2 | 2113.2 |
| 95\% CI | 109.3-113.0 | 112.1-116.4 | 113.4-118.6 | 108.3 | -115.2 | 113.8 | -121.7 | 96.3 | -108.8 | 110.5 | -129.8 | 81.6-111.9 | 112.0-114.2 |
| Ages$50-69$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASR(A) | 282.5 | 285.7 | 293.2 | 2 | 285.8 | - | 308.3 |  | 274.2 |  | 336.3 | 220.9 | 9 287.8 |
| 95\% Cl 275.7-289.6 278.0-293.5 284.0-303.3 272.7-298.6 294.1-323.1 250.6-298.1 298.6-370.2 173.0-267.9 283.7-291.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^14]Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 43: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, by age and region, 1996-2000

| Age group | Capital cities | Other metropolitan centres | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| 20-24 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 47 |
| 25-29 | 178 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 24 | 5 | 250 |
| 30-34 | 668 | 57 | 41 | 47 | 110 | 21 | 943 |
| 35-39 | 1,440 | 160 | 114 | 128 | 269 | 53 | 2,163 |
| 40-44 | 2,672 | 303 | 261 | 228 | 473 | 96 | 4,033 |
| 45-49 | 3,959 | 391 | 341 | 335 | 764 | 131 | 5,921 |
| 50-54 | 4,717 | 493 | 361 | 409 | 922 | 137 | 7,039 |
| 55-59 | 4,016 | 514 | 325 | 417 | 910 | 110 | 6,293 |
| 60-64 | 3,616 | 454 | 367 | 417 | 872 | 87 | 5,812 |
| 65-69 | 3,410 | 450 | 341 | 438 | 776 | 72 | 5,486 |
| 70-74 | 3,213 | 466 | 319 | 380 | 694 | 74 | 5,146 |
| 75-79 | 2,661 | 347 | 272 | 333 | 551 | 50 | 4,214 |
| 80-84 | 1,833 | 234 | 177 | 170 | 379 | 38 | 2,831 |
| 85+ | 1,522 | 179 | 163 | 163 | 310 | 25 | 2,362 |
| All ages | 33,946 | 4,073 | 3,097 | 3,478 | 7,060 | 899 | 52,550 |
| Ages 50-69 | 15,759 | 1,911 | 1,394 | 1,681 | 3,480 | 406 | 24,630 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 44: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1996-2000

| Age group | Capital cities | Other metropolitan centres | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 20-24 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| 25-29 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 6.9 |
| 30-34 | 28.1 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 22.9 | 26.0 | 18.2 | 26.4 |
| 35-39 | 59.9 | 58.5 | 52.5 | 54.5 | 54.1 | 47.3 | 57.9 |
| 40-44 | 118.4 | 117.0 | 126.2 | 101.5 | 100.1 | 100.5 | 114.7 |
| 45-49 | 186.1 | 160.7 | 182.2 | 163.9 | 177.0 | 161.4 | 180.8 |
| 50-54 | 259.2 | 228.8 | 228.0 | 227.1 | 237.9 | 204.8 | 248.9 |
| 55-59 | 295.7 | 296.9 | 257.2 | 271.4 | 278.0 | 221.0 | 287.6 |
| 60-64 | 317.3 | 294.2 | 323.8 | 289.9 | 305.7 | 233.6 | 310.2 |
| 65-69 | 321.3 | 296.2 | 314.0 | 310.3 | 305.0 | 242.4 | 314.0 |
| 70-74 | 314.3 | 317.6 | 304.9 | 285.7 | 309.8 | 321.7 | 311.2 |
| 75-79 | 316.5 | 300.0 | 315.5 | 318.9 | 316.4 | 302.7 | 315.0 |
| 80-84 | 318.8 | 309.9 | 287.7 | 247.4 | 317.2 | 335.8 | 310.6 |
| 85+ | 300.9 | 298.1 | 309.1 | 293.2 | 297.3 | 238.1 | 299.4 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 112.6 | 113.2 | 108.6 | 112.7 | 115.4 | 69.0 | 111.6 |
| ASR(A) | 115.2 | 108.4 | 109.4 | 104.2 | 108.9 | 95.5 | 112.3 |
| 95\% CI | 114.0-116.4 | 105.2-112.0 | 105.5-113.3 | 100.8-107.7 | 106.4-111.5 | 88.7-101.9 | 111.3-113.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 293.0 | 274.9 | 275.0 | 271.9 | 277.4 | 221.2 | 285.2 |
| ASR(A) | 292.5 | 273.0 | 271.8 | 267.2 | 275.1 | 222.0 | 284.0 |
| 95\% CI | 288.0-296.9 | 260.8-285.4 | 257.0-286.0 | 253.9-279.6 | 265.7-284.6 | 201.3-244.2 | 280.2-287.7 |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 7b: Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

Table 45: Number of new cases of ductal carcinoma in situ by age, states and territories, 1995-2000

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0 | n.a. | 1 | n.a. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n.a. |
| 20-29 | 11 | n.a. | 2 | n.a. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | n.a. |
| $30-39$ | 86 | n.a. | 45 | n.a. | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2 | n.a. |
| $40-49$ | 461 | n.a. | 203 | n.a. | 100 | 24 | 28 | 9 | n.a. |
| $50-59$ | 644 | n.a. | 320 | n.a. | 208 | 68 | 34 | 8 | n.a. |
| $60-69$ | 510 | n.a. | 266 | n.a. | 148 | 37 | 18 | 6 | n.a. |
| 70+ years | 442 | n.a. | 184 | n.a. | 99 | 20 | 24 | 1 | n.a. |
| All ages | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 4}$ | n.a. | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 1}$ | n.a. | $\mathbf{5 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | n.a. |
| Ages $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 4}$ | n.a. | $\mathbf{5 8 6}$ | n.a. | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | n.a. |

n.a. Not available

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 46: Age-specific and age-standardised rates of ductal carcinoma in situ, states and territories, 1995-2000

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0-19 | 0.0 | n.a. | 0.0 | n.a. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 20-29 | 0.4 | n.a. | 0.1 | n.a. | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| $30-39$ | 2.9 | n.a. | 2.8 | n.a. | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | n.a. |
| $40-49$ | 17.1 | n.a. | 13.8 | n.a. | 15.3 | 11.7 | 18.8 | 11.8 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | 32.1 | n.a. | 29.8 | n.a. | 42.4 | 44.2 | 35.1 | 19.1 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | 33.4 | n.a. | 35.9 | n.a. | 39.0 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 35.6 | n.a. |
| 70+ years | 22.3 | n.a. | 19.9 | n.a. | 18.7 | 13.1 | 42.4 | 9.0 | n.a. |


| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crude rate | 11.3 | n.a. | 10.0 | n.a. | 12.7 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 4.9 | n.a. |
| AS Rate (A) | 11.4 | n.a. | 10.6 | n.a. | 12.4 | 11.0 | 13.7 | 7.9 | n.a. |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $10.9-11.9$ | n.a. | $9.9-11.2$ | n.a. | $11.4-13.4$ | $9.1-12.7$ | $11.2-16.4$ | $4.7-11.3$ | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 32.7 | n.a. | 32.3 | n.a. | 40.9 | 38.8 | 34.9 | 23.9 | n.a. |
| AS Rate (A) | 32.6 | n.a. | 32.2 | n.a. | 41.1 | 39.3 | 34.8 | 25.6 | n.a. |
| $95 \% ~ C l$ | $30.8-34.6$ | n.a. $29.5-34.8$ | n.a. | $36.6-45.3$ | $31.5-46.6$ | $25.5-44.7$ | $12.8-40.2$ | n.a. |  |

[^15]Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 8: Mortality

Table 47: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 25-29 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 30-34 | 30 | 26 | 34 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 39 | 19 | 25 | 28 | 37 | 28 | 20 | 23 | 21 |
| 35-39 | 75 | 66 | 67 | 63 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 87 | 57 | 90 | 84 | 68 | 59 | 66 | 63 |
| 40-44 | 123 | 120 | 137 | 149 | 150 | 136 | 116 | 139 | 120 | 136 | 135 | 128 | 141 | 122 | 126 |
| 45-49 | 129 | 152 | 170 | 168 | 177 | 196 | 202 | 211 | 207 | 189 | 211 | 207 | 203 | 187 | 185 |
| 50-54 | 206 | 202 | 212 | 228 | 232 | 212 | 225 | 239 | 221 | 230 | 271 | 265 | 247 | 255 | 262 |
| 55-59 | 244 | 238 | 217 | 215 | 227 | 219 | 252 | 249 | 248 | 240 | 236 | 227 | 260 | 257 | 253 |
| 60-64 | 270 | 291 | 287 | 282 | 258 | 236 | 276 | 262 | 268 | 258 | 239 | 255 | 263 | 239 | 228 |
| 65-69 | 254 | 290 | 297 | 328 | 306 | 272 | 316 | 290 | 317 | 289 | 284 | 252 | 212 | 216 | 242 |
| 70-74 | 257 | 251 | 251 | 258 | 305 | 287 | 264 | 308 | 288 | 296 | 297 | 268 | 288 | 287 | 315 |
| 75-79 | 230 | 254 | 261 | 254 | 249 | 254 | 298 | 274 | 281 | 279 | 291 | 300 | 274 | 281 | 289 |
| 80-84 | 166 | 184 | 205 | 205 | 211 | 213 | 257 | 250 | 259 | 252 | 244 | 236 | 232 | 237 | 273 |
| 85+ | 217 | 222 | 238 | 219 | 229 | 247 | 268 | 271 | 280 | 273 | 273 | 314 | 298 | 335 | 325 |
| All ages | 2,213 | 2,301 | 2,384 | 2,401 | 2,462 | 2,389 | 2,590 | 2,602 | 2,577 | 2,569 | 2,609 | 2,557 | 2,505 | 2,511 | 2,585 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 974 | 1,021 | 1,013 | 1,053 | 1,023 | 939 | 1,069 | 1,040 | 1,054 | 1,017 | 1,030 | 999 | 982 | 967 | 985 |

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 48: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 30-34 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| 35-39 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 10.8 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| 40-44 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 16.9 |
| 45-49 | 30.6 | 34.9 | 37.3 | 35.1 | 35.2 | 36.4 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 33.6 | 29.5 | 32.8 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 27.7 | 27.1 |
| 50-54 | 56.0 | 53.5 | 54.5 | 56.9 | 56.2 | 50.0 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 46.4 | 46.2 | 50.4 | 46.3 | 41.3 | 40.9 | 40.4 |
| 55-59 | 66.4 | 65.5 | 60.1 | 59.9 | 63.3 | 59.8 | 67.1 | 64.6 | 62.7 | 58.9 | 56.0 | 52.4 | 57.4 | 54.3 | 51.0 |
| 60-64 | 73.3 | 78.6 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 69.7 | 64.6 | 76.8 | 73.4 | 75.1 | 72.3 | 65.7 | 68.5 | 68.4 | 60.2 | 55.9 |
| 65-69 | 80.3 | 88.1 | 86.6 | 94.1 | 87.1 | 77.1 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 89.5 | 81.5 | 80.6 | 72.2 | 61.3 | 62.6 | 69.8 |
| 70-74 | 96.2 | 93.8 | 94.4 | 95.3 | 108.1 | 98.1 | 87.0 | 97.1 | 89.2 | 90.5 | 90.4 | 80.9 | 86.4 | 86.0 | 94.1 |
| 75-79 | 115.7 | 123.4 | 121.5 | 115.1 | 110.4 | 110.9 | 129.5 | 120.3 | 120.4 | 114.4 | 113.5 | 111.6 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 99.0 |
| 80-84 | 134.1 | 142.6 | 153.2 | 147.1 | 145.1 | 140.6 | 162.4 | 149.5 | 150.2 | 142.7 | 135.7 | 129.6 | 126.7 | 124.7 | 135.3 |
| 85+ | 223.3 | 222.4 | 230.6 | 207.4 | 208.1 | 213.6 | 219.9 | 212.5 | 208.4 | 192.8 | 182.8 | 200.3 | 179.4 | 191.3 | 177.3 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.2 | 27.8 | 28.3 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 27.2 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 28.4 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 26.4 |
| ASR(A) | 30.0 | 30.5 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| Ages$50-69$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 68.6 | 70.9 | 69.2 | 71.2 | 68.5 | 62.2 | 70.1 | 67.1 | 66.6 | 62.9 | 61.5 | 57.8 | 55.1 | 52.6 | 51.9 |
| ASR(A) | 66.8 | 68.3 | 66.7 | 68.5 | 66.5 | 60.6 | 67.9 | 65.5 | 64.6 | 61.5 | 60.6 | 57.3 | 55.0 | 52.5 | 51.8 |

[^16]Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 49: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1998-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 25-29 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 30-34 | 37 | 19 | 19 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 92 |
| 35-39 | 75 | 77 | 42 | 23 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 256 |
| 40-44 | 150 | 136 | 99 | 51 | 56 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 517 |
| 45-49 | 255 | 208 | 131 | 73 | 67 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 782 |
| 50-54 | 307 | 280 | 211 | 97 | 80 | 28 | 17 | 9 | 1,029 |
| 55-59 | 331 | 269 | 182 | 83 | 79 | 25 | 21 | 7 | 997 |
| 60-64 | 326 | 258 | 173 | 79 | 94 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 985 |
| 65-69 | 351 | 229 | 126 | 77 | 86 | 30 | 19 | 4 | 922 |
| 70-74 | 373 | 330 | 207 | 91 | 97 | 32 | 24 | 4 | 1,158 |
| 75-79 | 378 | 312 | 195 | 104 | 97 | 40 | 16 | 2 | 1,144 |
| 80-84 | 350 | 267 | 157 | 70 | 89 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 978 |
| 85+ | 405 | 349 | 210 | 135 | 119 | 36 | 17 | 1 | 1,272 |
| All ages | 3,345 | 2,743 | 1,757 | 893 | 897 | 294 | 173 | 56 | 10,158 |
| Ages 50-69 | 1,315 | 1,036 | 692 | 336 | 339 | 109 | 77 | 29 | 3,933 |

[^17]Table 50: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1998-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 35-39 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 13.6 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| 40-44 | 15.4 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 17.5 | 24.6 | 19.3 | 11.9 | 17.4 | 17.9 |
| 45-49 | 28.6 | 31.4 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 31.0 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 39.8 | 29.2 |
| 50-54 | 37.6 | 46.2 | 46.3 | 41.3 | 39.6 | 45.2 | 38.5 | 45.4 | 42.1 |
| 55-59 | 52.3 | 58.3 | 52.9 | 48.0 | 51.4 | 51.5 | 72.8 | 56.4 | 53.7 |
| 60-64 | 60.2 | 64.9 | 62.6 | 55.1 | 71.0 | 62.1 | 96.0 | 119.6 | 63.1 |
| 65-69 | 71.8 | 63.9 | 52.9 | 62.9 | 71.0 | 80.5 | 116.6 | 82.7 | 66.5 |
| 70-74 | 78.7 | 95.2 | 92.1 | 81.8 | 78.9 | 91.1 | 161.7 | 117.7 | 86.9 |
| 75-79 | 93.9 | 106.5 | 103.4 | 113.3 | 89.9 | 130.4 | 127.8 | 89.2 | 101.3 |
| 80-84 | 128.9 | 137.8 | 122.9 | 114.3 | 123.3 | 145.5 | 128.2 | 280.5 | 129.2 |
| 85+ | 169.4 | 193.5 | 187.8 | 230.6 | 179.7 | 198.9 | 278.0 | 91.3 | 186.7 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 25.7 | 28.7 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 29.5 | 30.7 | 27.2 | 15.2 | 26.5 |
| ASR(A) | 24.0 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 27.6 | 33.5 | 30.6 | 25.3 |
| 95\% CI | 23.2-24.8 | 25.8-27.8 | 23.9-26.1 | 23.1-26.4 | 23.8-27.3 | 24.3-30.7 | 28.3-38.5 | 21.6-41.0 | 24.8-25.8 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.0 | 56.8 | 52.7 | 49.9 | 55.6 | 57.5 | 69.9 | 65.0 | 54.3 |
| ASR(A) | 52.5 | 56.6 | 52.8 | 49.9 | 55.1 | 56.8 | 73.9 | 71.0 | 54.1 |
| 95\% CI | 49.8-55.3 | 53.1-59.9 | 48.9-56.5 | 44.7-55.5 | 49.4-60.8 | 45.9-66.8 | 56.7-91.6 | 44.4-96.9 | 52.3-55.7 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 51: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and region, 1997-2001

| Age group | Capital cities | Other metropolitan centres | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Remote } \\ \text { areas } \end{array}$ | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 25-29 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 26 |
| 30-34 | 78 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 4 | 129 |
| 35-39 | 221 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 40 | 11 | 340 |
| 40-44 | 404 | 34 | 41 | 42 | 107 | 23 | 651 |
| 45-49 | 672 | 74 | 56 | 57 | 113 | 20 | 992 |
| 50-54 | 819 | 79 | 101 | 85 | 193 | 23 | 1,300 |
| 55-59 | 810 | 85 | 65 | 67 | 183 | 22 | 1,232 |
| 60-64 | 758 | 97 | 58 | 80 | 209 | 22 | 1,224 |
| 65-69 | 757 | 109 | 69 | 83 | 169 | 19 | 1,206 |
| 70-74 | 920 | 112 | 80 | 117 | 202 | 24 | 1,455 |
| 75-79 | 885 | 132 | 105 | 101 | 199 | 13 | 1,435 |
| 80-84 | 798 | 105 | 79 | 83 | 143 | 14 | 1,222 |
| 85+ | 967 | 124 | 107 | 116 | 214 | 17 | 1,545 |
| All ages | 8,108 | 986 | 795 | 870 | 1,793 | 212 | 12,764 |
| Ages 50-69 | 3,144 | 370 | 293 | 315 | 754 | 86 | 4,962 |

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 52: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1997-2001

| Age group | Capital Cities | Other metropolitan | Large rural centres | Small rural centres | Other rural areas | Remote areas | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 35-39 | 9.1 | 6.8 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 9.0 |
| 40-44 | 17.6 | 12.8 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 22.2 | 23.7 | 18.2 |
| 45-49 | 31.3 | 29.8 | 29.3 | 27.3 | 25.6 | 24.2 | 29.9 |
| 50-54 | 42.7 | 34.7 | 60.5 | 44.7 | 47.4 | 32.9 | 43.6 |
| 55-59 | 57.3 | 46.9 | 49.5 | 41.9 | 53.8 | 42.7 | 54.1 |
| 60-64 | 64.9 | 60.9 | 49.7 | 54.0 | 71.0 | 57.1 | 63.6 |
| 65-69 | 71.9 | 72.2 | 63.6 | 58.7 | 66.2 | 63.2 | 69.3 |
| 70-74 | 90.1 | 75.4 | 75.7 | 86.5 | 88.9 | 101.9 | 87.6 |
| 75-79 | 101.9 | 109.0 | 117.5 | 92.6 | 110.3 | 76.3 | 103.5 |
| 80-84 | 135.5 | 133.4 | 124.6 | 115.8 | 116.7 | 121.3 | 130.5 |
| 85+ | 182.0 | 192.7 | 191.5 | 195.9 | 195.4 | 162.0 | 186.0 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 26.6 | 26.9 | 27.6 | 27.8 | 29.0 | 16.2 | 26.8 |
| ASR(A) | 26.1 | 24.2 | 26.1 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 25.8 |
| 95\% CI | 25.6-26.7 | 22.7-25.8 | 24.3-28.0 | 22.5-25.8 | 25.1-27.6 | 20.1-26.2 | 25.3-26.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 56.6 | 51.5 | 56.0 | 49.3 | 58.1 | 45.2 | 55.6 |
| ASR(A) | 56.6 | 50.3 | 55.8 | 48.5 | 57.5 | 46.1 | 55.3 |
| 95\% CI | 54.6-58.5 | 45.3-55.2 | 49.3-62.4 | 43.1-53.6 | 53.4-61.7 | 36.8-55.8 | 53.7-56.8 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 53: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1997-2001

| Age group | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5-9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10-14$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15-19$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| $25-29$ | 1 | 9 | 26 |
| $30-34$ | 1 | 43 | 129 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 120 | 340 |
| $40-44$ | 9 | 238 | 652 |
| $45-49$ | 3 | 347 | 993 |
| $50-54$ | 7 | 492 | 1,300 |
| $55-59$ | 3 | 429 | 1,233 |
| $60-64$ | 6 | 413 | 1,224 |
| $65-69$ | 4 | 371 | 1,206 |
| $70-74$ | 1 | 493 | 1,455 |
| $75+$ | 8 | 1,425 | 4,202 |
| All ages | 47 | $\mathbf{4}, 382$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 6 7}$ |
| Ages $50-69$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 5}$ | 4,963 |

Notes:

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Queensland data are included from 1998 onwards.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Deaths in the 'not stated' category are included in the column for all women, but they are not included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 54: Age-standardised and age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer in women by Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1997-2001

| Age group | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| 35-39 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9.0 |
| 40-44 | 28.2 | 20.2 | 18.2 |
| 45-49 | 12.1 | 31.5 | 29.9 |
| 50-54 | 37.8 | 49.6 | 43.6 |
| 55-59 | 24.0 | 57.4 | 54.2 |
| 60-64 | 60.2 | 67.1 | 63.6 |
| 65-69 | 56.1 | 68.4 | 69.3 |
| 70-74 | 21.6 | 95.6 | 87.6 |
| 75+ | 137.4 | 143.9 | 133.3 |
| All ages |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 8.0 | 28.5 | 26.8 |
| ASR(A) | 21.0 | 27.8 | 25.9 |
| 95\% CI | 13.9-28.3 | 27.0-28.6 | 25.5-26.4 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 41.6 | 58.9 | 55.6 |
| ASR(A) | 42.2 | 58.8 | 55.3 |
| 95\% CI | 24.7-63.5 | 56.3-61.7 | 53.8-56.8 |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status. Queensland data are included from 1998 onwards.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
4. Deaths in the 'not stated' category are included in the column for all women, but they are not included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

## Appendix A: Data and statistical issues

## Data sources

Multiple data sources were analysed to produce this report. These are summarised in Table A1. All data used in this report are based on calendar years.

TableA1: Sources for data presented in this report

| Indicator | Description | Data source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Participation | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 2 | Cancer detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 3 | Sensitivity | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 4 | DCIS detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 5 | Recall to assessment | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 6 | Rescreening | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 7 | Incidence (ICD 174) | National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, AIHW |
| 8 | Mortality (ICD 174) | National Mortality Database, AIHW |

## Population data

The Australian Bureau of Statistics' estimated resident population (ERP) data were used to calculate screening participation and cancer incidence and mortality rates.
Participation rates were calculated using the average of the 2000 and 2001 estimated resident female populations. The only exceptions to this were participation rates by socioeconomic status, by language spoken at home, and by Indigenous status. The population data on which the participation rates are based are found on the web site of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare at (http://www.aihw.gov.au). The ABS does not calculate ERP by socioeconomic status or language spoken at home. In these cases the denominator was calculated by applying the age-specific distribution of socioeconomic status and language spoken at home from the most recent ABS Census data available to the relevant age-specific ERP counts. For language spoken at home, the most recent available data were from the 2001 ABS Census and for socioeconomic status, the most recent available data were from the 1996 ABS Census.
The most recent direct count of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population was carried out in the 2001 Census. However, adjustments to the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population based on the 2001 Census for years prior to 2001 were not available at the time of publication. Consequently, projected estimates based on the 1996 ABS Census have been used for these years.

## Mortality Data

Mortality data in this report are given for 1987 to 2001. During this time, changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data that affect the comparability of the data. Data holdings for 1987-1996 were manually coded using the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). Data holdings for 1997 onwards have been coded using ICD-10. These data were coded using an automated system with slightly different coding rules.
The change to the coding and processing of mortality data has introduced a break in the data time series. The Australian Bureau of Statistics has developed comparability factors, which are applied to the pre-1997 data, so that a single time series may still be derived (ABS 2002). For breast cancer, the comparability factor is close to one.
The application of a comparability factor causes the number of deaths prior to 1997 to be non-integer. Rounding has been used to put the number of deaths into whole numbers.

## Statistical analysis of BreastScreen monitoring indicators

## Crude rates

A crude rate is defined as the number of events over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event. For example, a crude cancer incidence rate is defined as the number of new cases of cancer in a specified period divided by the population at risk.

## Age-specific rates

An age-specific rate is defined as the number of events for a specified age group over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event in that age group. Age-specific rates in this report were calculated by dividing the number of deaths, cancer cases or women participating in the screening programs in each specified age group by the corresponding population in the same age group.

## Age-standardised rates (ASR)

Age-standardised rates enable comparisons to be made between populations which have different age structures. This publication uses direct standardisation, in which the agespecific rates are multiplied by a constant population. This effectively removes the influence of the age structure on the summary rate.

The National Health Data Committee has advocated the use of the 1991 Australian total estimated resident population as the standard population until the year 2001. As the 2001 Australian total estimated resident population is now available, mortality, incidence and participation rates are age-standardised to this population for the first time in this report. A comparison of participation rates age-standardised to both the 1991 and 2001 populations revealed only slight differences. For statistics based on the population of women screenedthat is, cancer detection rates, interval cancer rates and program sensitivity - rates are standardised to the 1998 population of women screened by BreastScreen Australia. The standard populations used in this report are found on the AIHW web site (http://www.aihw.gov.au).
The method used for all these calculations consists three steps:

Step 1: Calculate the age-specific rate for each age group.
Step 2: Calculate the expected number of cases in each five-year age group by multiplying the age-specific rates by the corresponding standard population and dividing by the appropriate factor (that is, 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates and 100 for the participation rate).

Step 3: Sum the expected number of cases in each age group, divide by the total of the standard population and multiply by the appropriate factor (that is, 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates, and 100 for the participation rate). This gives the age-standardised rate.

## Rate denominators

Death rates and cancer incidence rates are expressed in this report as annual rates per 100,000 population. Rates for cancer detection are calculated per 10,000 women screened. Screening participation rates are expressed as a percentage of the eligible population. Rescreen and recall to assessment rates are expressed as a percentage of women screened.

## Confidence intervals

The $95 \%$ confidence intervals in this report were calculated using the software package Palisade @Risk (http://www.palisade.com). The calculations were based on 1000 simulations using a binomial or Poisson distribution with parameters calculated from the observed data. The confidence intervals represent a range of values within which the true value of the rate is likely to fall in $95 \%$ of iterations.
The confidence intervals are used to provide an approximate indication of the differences between rates. Where the confidence intervals of two rates do not overlap, the corresponding rates are statistically significantly different from each other. This is used to compare individual stratified rates with the all-Australia rate. To be truly rigorous, such a comparison should be between a given rate and the rate calculated from the all-Australia data excluding the data underlying the specific rate in the comparison. Presentation of such a comparison in this report would, however, be unnecessarily complex. The approximate comparisons presented might understate the statistical significance of some differences, but they are sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this report.
As with all statistical comparisons, care should be exercised in interpreting the results of the comparison. If two rates are statistically significantly different from each other, this means that the difference is unlikely to have arisen by chance. Judgement should, however, be exercised in deciding whether or not the difference is of any practical significance.

## Stratification variables

The data in this report are presented stratified by the age of the women at the time of screening (for the screening data), at the time of diagnosis (for the cancer incidence data) or at the time of death (for the cancer mortality data). A number of stratification variables apply to some or all of the data presented:

- state or territory;
- geographic location;
- socioeconomic status;
- Indigenous status;
- main language spoken at home;
- tumour size; and
- screening round.


## State or territory

The state or territory reported is the one where screening took place (for the screening data) or where the diagnosis was made (for the cancer incidence data) or where the death was registered (for the cancer mortality data).
This means that it is possible for a woman to be double-counted in the screening data. If she was screened in one jurisdiction and then screened again less than two years later in another jurisdiction, both screens may be included in the participation rate. This should, however, have a negligible effect on the reported participation rates.

## Geographic location

Analysis of mortality data by geographic area uses the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas (RRMA) classification. This classification, developed in 1994 by the then Department of Primary Industries and Energy and the then Department of Human Services and Health, is used as a framework for examining breast cancer mortality data at the national level.
Seven categories are included - two metropolitan, three rural and two remote (see Table A2).
Table A2: Structure of the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification

| Zone | Category |
| :--- | :--- |
| Metropolitan | Capital cities |
|  | Other metropolitan centres (urban centre population > 100,000) |
| Rural | Large rural centres (urban centre population 25,000-99,999) |
|  | Small rural centres (urban centre population 10,000-24,999) |
|  | Other rural areas (urban centre population <10,000) |
| Remote | Remote centres (urban centre population $>5,000$ ) |
|  | Other remote areas (urban centre population <5,000) |

A more recent geographic classification system has been developed using 1996 Population Census data. This system, known as the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA) , categorises areas according to their distance from 'service centres'. Service centres are urban centres with a population of 5,000 or more as at the 1996 Census. The ARIA system classifies areas as highly accessible, accessible, moderately accessible, remote and very remote.
The ARIA system was not designed as a replacement for the RRMA classification. In particular, it does not allow a comparable categorisation. Accessibility is judged purely on distance to an urban centre. For example, Albury, Tamworth and Inner Sydney all have the same classification (highly accessible) using the ARIA system. An updated rural/remote/metropolitan categorisation is being developed using ARIA. Until that new categorisation becomes available, the existing RRMA system will continue to be used.

The RRMA classification is based on statistical local areas (SLA) and allocates each SLA in Australia to a category based primarily on population numbers and an index of remoteness (DPIE \& DHSH 1994). Both the size of SLAs and the distribution of population within them vary considerable. This can mean that within a remote SLA there are pockets that are rural rather than remote and vice versa.
The use of SLAs for coding geographic regions is not straightforward. In particular, SLA boundaries change over time. Coding data to SLAs thus raises difficulties with tracking these changes over time and ensuring that all data are coded consistently. Instead, for this report the data were coded to postcode and a concordance was developed to map postcode to RRMA. This mapping is not exact, since SLA boundaries can cross postcode boundaries. As a result, the proportion of each postcode that could be mapped to each RRMA category was calculated and used to code the data.
A total of 507 of the BreastScreen screening data records had postcodes that could not be mapped to an RRMA classification. These were allocated proportionally between the classifications.

## Socioeconomic status

Socioeconomic status was coded according to the Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD). The IRSD is one of the socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA indexes) developed by the Australian Bureau of Statistics to categorise geographic areas according to their social and economic characteristics.
It is important to note that the IRSD relates to the average disadvantage of all people living in a geographic area. Hence any variability between groups based on the IRSD will probably be smaller than if the variability had been measured between individuals.
Like the RRMA classification, the IRSD was developed for SLAs. However, as with the RRMA coding, for this report the data were coded to postcode and a concordance was developed to map postcode to quintile of IRSD. Again, this mapping is not exact, since SLA boundaries can cross postcode boundaries. As a result, the proportion of each postcode that could be mapped to each IRSD quintile was calculated and used to code the data.
A total of 304 of the BreastScreen screening data records had postcodes that could not be mapped to an IRSD quintile. These were allocated proportionally between the quintiles.

## Indigenous status

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) specifies that Indigenous status should be coded as

- Aboriginal;
- Torres Straits Islander;
- both Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander;
- not indigenous; or
- not stated.

For the purposes of this report these categories were amalgamated and the data stratified into three categories:

- Indigenous;
- not Indigenous; or
- not stated.


## Main language spoken at home

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) recommends that main language spoken at home be coded according to the four digit ABS Australian Standard Classification of Languages, 1998 (ABS cat. no. 1267.0). This report has collapsed the classification into the simple dichotomy of 'English' and 'Other language'.
Although this stratification is reported as 'main language spoken at home', practice varies between the jurisdictions as to how this information is collected. In some jurisdictions there may thus be some lack of comparability with the BreastScreen Data Dictionary definition of 'main language'.
In addition, some jurisdictions do not use the 'Not stated' classification. If main language spoken at home is not given, it is set to a default value. The default used is not the same for all jurisdictions. This means that the analysis based upon main language spoken at home should be interpreted with caution.

## Tumour size

Tumour size is the size in millimetres of the malignant lesion, and applies to invasive cancers only. For more details about this stratification, see the definition given in the BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming).

## Screening round

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary distinguishes between a woman's screening round in the national program and her round in the state or territory program. Round in the national program is used for this stratification in this report. However, it is not always possible to determine round in the national program, so for some women this stratification has been collected as round number in the state or territory program.

## BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary

A data dictionary has been developed for the BreastScreen Australia Program (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming). Summary definitions of key concepts and terminology used in this report are given in the glossary. More detailed definitions and explanations may be found in the data dictionary.

## Abbreviations

AACR: Australasian Association of Cancer Registries
ABS: Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACT: Australian Capital Territory
AIHW: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
AHMAC: Australian Health Ministers Advisory Council
ASR: age-standardised rate
ASR(A): age-standardised rate-standardised to the Australian standard population
BSA: BreastScreen Australia
BSANAC: BreastScreen Australia National Advisory Committee
CI: confidence interval (see glossary)
DoHA: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
DCIS: ductal carcinoma in situ
DHSH: Department of Human Services and Health (1994 to 1996)
ERP: estimated resident population
NBCC: National Breast Cancer Centre
NHS: National Health Survey
NQMC: National Quality Management Committee
NSW: New South Wales
NT: Northern Territory
Qld: Queensland
SA: South Australia
SES: socioeconomic status
SLA: statistical local area
Tas: Tasmania
Vic: Victoria
WA: Western Australia
WHO: World Health Organization

## Glossary

Administrative databases: observations about events that are routinely recorded or required by law to be recorded. Such events include births, deaths, hospital separations and cancer incidence. Administrative databases include the National Mortality Database, the National Hospital Morbidity Database and the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House Database.
Age-specific rate: a rate for a specific age group. The numerator and denominator relate to the same age group.
Age-standardised rate: weighted average of age-specific rates according to a standard distribution of the population by age to eliminate the effect of different age distributions and thus facilitate valid comparison of groups with differing age compositions.
Assessment: further investigation of a mammographic abnormality or symptom reported at screening. This includes women who choose assessment outside the Program.
Benign: not cancerous.
Cancer (malignant neoplasm): a term used to describe one of several diseases that result when the process of cell division, by which tissues normally grow and renew themselves, becomes uncontrolled and leads to the development of malignant cells. These cancer cells multiply in an uncoordinated way, independently of normal growth control mechanisms, to form a tumour. The tumour can expand locally by invasion or systemically by metastasis via the lymphatic or vascular systems. If left untreated, most malignant tumours eventually result in death.
Cancer death: a death where the underlying cause is indicated as cancer. People with cancer but dying of other causes are not counted in the death statistics in this publication.
Confidence interval: a range determined by variability in data, within which there is a specified (usually $95 \%$ ) chance that the true value of a calculated parameter (for example, relative risk) lies.
Core biopsy: removal of a cylindrical sample of breast tissue under a local or general anaesthetic through a needle for microscopic examination.
Data: refers to the building blocks of health information, including observations from administrative databases and health survey data sets.
Ductal carcinoma in situ: a non-invasive tumour of the mammary gland (breast) arising from cells lining the ducts.
Early review: a woman is screened but not cleared for routine rescreening and instead is referred for further assessment within 6 to 12 months of the index screen.
Epidemiology: the quantitative study of the distribution and determinants of health-related states and events in populations and the application of this study to the control of health problems.
False negative: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is not present.
False positive: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is present.
Film reading: viewing of a radiographic depiction of the breast (a mammogram) to determine the presence or absence of an abnormality indicative of a tumour.
Fine needle aspiration biopsy: the sampling of cells from breast tissue for examination by a pathologist.

## Incidence: see New cancer case.

Index screening year: the year for which the interval cancer rate and the program sensitivity rate are determined.
Index screens: all screening examinations performed within the index screening year.
Indicators: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.
Indigenous: a person of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent who identifies as an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person and is accepted as such by the community with which he or she is associated.
Information: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.
International Classification of Diseases: WHO's internationally accepted classification of death and disease. The tenth revision (ICD-10) is currently in use.
Interval cancer-invasive (as defined for national reporting purposes by Kavanagh et al. (1999), with minor changes pending endorsement by the National Advisory Committee):

- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed after completion of a negative screening episode and before the next screening examination (within 24 months from the date of the previous screen).
- a case of invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed at early review or in the interval between assessment and early review, where the recommendation for early review is six months or more from the screening date.
- breast cancer diagnosed in a woman by BreastScreen Australia within 24 months of a negative screen (early rescreen) if the woman presents with a breast lump and/or clear or blood-stained nipple discharge in the breast in which the breast cancer was diagnosed; or
- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed between six and 24 months after a recommendation for assessment is made and a woman fails to attend assessment.
Invasive cancer: a tumour whose cells have invaded healthy or normal tissue.
Lymph node: masses of lymphatic tissue, often bean-shaped, that produce lymphocytes and through which lymph filters. These are located throughout the body.
Mammogram: a radiographic depiction of the breast.
Metastasis: the process by which a disease is transferred from one part of the body to another - for example, via the lymphatic system or the bloodstream.


## Mortality: see Cancer death.

New cancer case: a person who has a new cancer diagnosed for the first time. One person can have more than one cancer and therefore may be counted twice in incidence statistics if it is decided that the two cancers are not of the same origin. This decision is based on a series of principles set out in more detail in a publication by Jensen et al. (1991).
Next scheduled screening examination: 24 months after previous screen unless the woman is recommended for annual rescreening, when the next scheduled screening examination is 12 months.
Population estimates: official population numbers compiled by the Australian Bureau of Statistics at both state and territory and statistical local area levels by age and sex, as at 30

June each year. These estimates allow comparisons to be made between geographic areas of differing population sizes and age structures.
Prevalence: the number of instances of a specific disease or other condition in a given population at a designated time.
Recruitment: strategies that aim to promote participation of women in the BreastScreen Australia Program through direct contact with women in the target age group and education of health practitioners and the general public. Women are encouraged to attend every two years.
Rescreening: the next screening examination after the screening episode in the index screening year.

Risk factor: an attribute or exposure that is associated with an increased probability of a specified outcome, such as the occurrence of a disease. Risk factors are not necessarily the causes of disease.
Screening: the performance of tests on apparently well people in order to detect a medical condition at an earlier stage than would otherwise be the case. As a screening test is not intended to be diagnostic, so a person with a positive or suspicious result must be referred for diagnosis and treatment.
Screening episode: includes screening examination and assessment. Early review within 612 months of an initial screen is not considered part of the screening episode.
Screening round: the first screening round is a woman's first visit to a mammography screening service; a subsequent screening round means that she has been screened before. If she attends for the fourth screening round, she has been screened three times before.

Screening round (first): a woman's first visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service.
Screening round (subsequent): a woman's visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service when she has attended such a service before.
Sensitivity: the proportion of people with a disease who have a positive test result for the disease.

Significant difference: where rates are referred to as significantly different, or one rate is deemed significantly higher or lower than another, these differences are statistically significant. Rates are deemed statistically significantly different when their confidence intervals do not overlap, since their difference is greater than what could be explained by chance. See 'confidence intervals' in Appendix A for more information.
Symptom: any evidence of disease apparent to the patient. For the purposes of this report, symptoms refer to a self-reported breast lump and/or blood-stained or watery nipple discharge.
Torres Strait Islander: a person of Torres Strait Islander descent who identifies as a Torres Strait Islander and is accepted as such by the community in which he or she lives.
Ultrasound: diagnostic method based on the reflection of ultrasonic sound waves generated through scanning of, in this case, the breast. The reflections are viewed on a computer screen or photograph and checked for variations in images.
Unit record file: observations containing person-specific records from health surveys and administrative databases that are unanalysed and not tabulated. This is the most basic form of data and cannot be accessed for general use without appropriate confidentiality measures being in existence.

Women-years at risk: all women screened in the index screening year who are resident in the state or territory in which they are screened who have not reported a personal history of breast cancer.
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[^0]:    (a) Performance objective of the BreastScreen Australia Program as set out in the National Accreditation Standards (NQMC unpublished). . Not applicable
    Note: Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^1]:    Tables 15 and 16

[^2]:    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^3]:    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^4]:    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^5]:    n.a. Not available.

[^6]:    . . Not applicable-no cases of DCIS were found in the Northern Territory in 2001.
    Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

[^7]:    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^8]:    Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

[^9]:    Source: BreastScreen Australia

[^10]:    . Not applicable-no women in this age group screened in 1999.
    Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^11]:    . Not applicable-no women in this age group screened in 1999.
    Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^12]:    . . Not applicable-no women screened in this age group in 1999.
    Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^13]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

[^14]:    Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

[^15]:    na. Not available

[^16]:    Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

[^17]:    Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

