## 11 Patient employment status and occupation

Organisation supporting this study: General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit (GPSCU)
Issues: Employment status, occupation, problems managed for retirees, unemployed and occupational groups
Sample: 4,385 encounters from 110 GPs; data collection period: 30/03/1999 - 30/04/1999
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age and sex distribution of the respondents was similar to the expected distribution of general practice patients, with the majority ( $58.1 \%$ ) being female.
Of the 4,385 respondents, $59.8 \%$ were not in the labour force. Those not in the work force were mainly retirees ( $22.9 \%$ ) and students ( $19.7 \%$ ).
The main industries that the respondents in the work force were currently employed in were retail trade ( $15.4 \%$ ), manufacturing ( $11.8 \%$ ) and health and community services ( $11.7 \%$ ).
Current occupation was analysed using the Australian Standard Classification of Occupations (ASCO) major groups, subgroups and individual occupations. Current occupation by major group showed most patients describing themselves as 'intermediate clerical/sales/service' workers (20.0\%), followed by 'professionals' (17.3\%). The most common current occupations at the ASCO 6 digit level were 'sales assistant' ( $8.0 \%$ ), 'general clerk' $(6.7 \%)$ and 'school teacher' ( $2.8 \%$ ).
Problems managed at the consultation were analysed in relation to the occupation group and employment status of the patient and compared with problems managed at all patient encounters from the same period.
For all respondents, the most common problems managed were immunisation, upper respiratory tract infection and hypertension. Hypertension was managed at a lower rate for employed patients than for all respondents but managed at a significantly greater rate for retirees ( 17.0 per 100 encounters, CI: 13.0-20.9) than for all respondents ( 6.9 per 100, CI: 5.48.4). Back complaints were managed at an apparently higher rate amongst labourers (5.4 per 100 encounters) than amongst all respondents (2.2) but number of encounters with labourers were too small to test for significance. Likewise, depression (4.1) was managed at an apparently higher rate for professionals than for all respondents (2.9).

[^0]The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about OCCUPATION \& SMOKING STATUS.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

## INSTRUCTIONS



## 12 Smoking \& passive smoking in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit Issues: Exposure to passive smoke at home; current smoking status; proportion of daily smokers who attempted to quit.
Sample: 3,784 encounters from 197 GPs; data collection period: 30/11/1999-18/02/2000.
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the patients was similar to the expected distribution of general practice patients, with the majority of patients ( $59.7 \%$ ) being female.
When asked about smoking in the home, one-third of respondents (32.9\%, 95\% CI: 29.3-36.4) reported 'people are not permitted to smoke anywhere'. A further $38.7 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 35.0-42.4) indicated 'smoking is permitted outside only', and in $5.0 \%$ 'people are permitted to smoke in certain areas only'. 'Smoking in the home occasionally' was allowed by $10.4 \%$ of respondents and $13.0 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 11.4-14.5$ ) said 'people frequently smoke in the house'.
These results show that in over two-thirds of patient households there was no passive smoke in the home ( $71.6 \%, 95 \%$ CI: $69.4-73.8$ ). In a further $15.4 \%$ of household there was limited passive smoke (where smoking is permitted only in certain areas, or smoking in the home is only occasional), and in $13.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 11.4-14.5) there was unlimited passive smoke.
Patients aged 18 years and over were asked to indicate their smoking status. About half ( $49.5 \%$ ) had never smoked and $27.8 \%$ were previous smokers. Daily smokers accounted for $18.2 \%$ of the patients and a further $4.5 \%$ reported smoking occasionally.
There was no passive smoke in the home of $30.1 \%$ of daily smoker households ( $95 \%$ CI: 26.2-34.0), $45.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 35.3-55.0) of occasional smokers' households, and 84.5\% (95\% CI: 82.2-86.8) of never smokers' households.
Adult daily smokers were asked about their quit and reduction attempts during the previous 12 months. Of the 578 adult daily smokers, data on their quit/reduction attempts was available for 553. They could indicate more than one quit/reduction option attempted. Just over one in ten ( $10.3 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 7.8-12.9) had successfully given up smoking for 1 month or more (but subsequently started again), and almost one-third (31.5\%, 95\% CI: 26.7-36.3) had a failed quit attempt during the past 12 months. About one in five adult daily smokers ( $19.4 \%$, $95 \%$ CI: 14.9-23.8) had changed brand of cigarettes to a lower tar or nicotine brand, and about a quarter ( $26.4 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 21.8-31.1) had reduced the number of cigarettes smoked a day during the previous 12 months.
In the previous 12 months: four in ten adult daily smokers ( $39.4 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 34.2-44.7$ ) attempted to quit smoking; over a third ( $36.4 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 30.9-41.8$ ) attempted to reduce smoking effects by changing brand and/or reducing the number of cigarettes smoked; $26.9 \%$ tried to quit but did not try to reduce smoking; $23.9 \%$ attempted to reduce but not to quit; $12.5 \%$ tried both quitting and reduction; $36.7 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 31.5-41.9) did not attempt to quit or reduce smoking.
For other related abstracts see: 35 Smoking status of adults and their attempts to quit, 53 Smoking status of adults and their attempts to quit, 74 Smoking and passive smoking in the home and Section 4.3 Smoking.
Further reading:
Valenti, L., Charles, J., \& Britt, H. 2005, 'Passive smoke in Australian homes: 1999 to 2004 [letter]', Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 387-388.
Doran, C. M., Valenti, L., Robinson, M., Britt, H., \& Mattick, R. P. 2006, 'Smoking status of Australian general practice patients and their attempts to quit', Addict.Behav., vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 758-766.
Degenhardt L, Knox S, Barker B, Britt H, Shakeshaft A. The management of alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use problems by general practitioners in Australia. Drug Alcohol Rev 2005; 24(6):499-506.
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section in the following forms asks questions about SMOKING.
PLEASE FILL IN QUESTIONS FOR ALL PATIENTS SEEN. Note: The home smoking question is asked of all patients, but smoking status is only asked of patients over 18.

## INSTRUCTIONS

```
This form has been filled in as
an example.
```

ASK THE PATIENT
Which category best describes their
home situation?
If the patient is a child, their carer may
answer the question about the child's
home situation.
Tick one box.

| To the patient: Which of the following best describes your home situation? | To the patient if 18+ Which best describes |
| :---: | :---: |
| People are not permitted to smoke anywhere Smoking is permitted outside only | your smoking status: |
| People are permitted to smoke in certain areas only | Smoke occasionally |
| People occasionally smoke in the house ........... $\square$ | Never smoked |



## 13 Perceived stress

Organisation supporting this study: General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit (GPSCU)
Issues: Perceived stress in general practice patients in Australia
Sample: 2,891 encounters from 90 GPs; data collection period: 22/02/2000-27/03/2000
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.
Methods for this study: A four-item version of the Cohen Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) Instrument was used to measure the degree to which the patient regarded situations in their life as stressful. ${ }^{1}$ This was provided on a card to patients at the encounter.

## Summary of results

A Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) score was calculated for 2,891 patients over the age of 15 , seen by 90 randomly selected GPs in March 2000. The PSS score ranges from zero, indicating no perceived stress, to sixteen, which indicates the highest level of perceived stress.
Of the 2,891 respondents aged over 15 years, $12.6 \%$ were aged between 16 and 24 years of age. The majority of patients ( $61.3 \%$ ) were aged between 25 and 64 years of age, and $26.1 \%$ were 65 years or older, and likely to be retired from the workforce. Almost two-thirds ( $64.2 \%$ ) of respondents were female.
The mean PSS score for all responding patients was 5.0 ( $95 \%$ CI: 4.7-5.2). The mean PSS score for male patients (4.7) did not differ significantly from that of female patients (5.1). However, significant differences in PSS score were apparent between different age groups. Respondents aged 65 to 74 and 75 years or older (i.e. those likely to be retired) had significantly lower PSS scores than patients aged 25-64.
A review of the literature did not locate any published grading of PSS scores to indicate the severity of stress. We therefore classified a PSS score between 9 and 16 as 'high' perceived stress, as a score above 8 indicates that a patient perceives their life to be stressful more than just 'sometimes'. All other patients (PSS score of between zero and 8) were classified as 'low' perceived stress for ease of reference.
A comparison of the patient demographics of 'high' and 'low' perceived stress was conducted. There were no significant differences in the age distribution, sex, non-Englishspeaking background (NESB) status or rurality of respondents with 'high' perceived stress and those with 'low' perceived stress. However, patients with 'high' perceived stress were more likely to hold a health care card than those with 'low' perceived stress.

[^1]
## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about STRESS \& SMOKING.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms

## INSTRUCTIONS

This form includes four items about stress, and one smoking question.
You will need to read each question to the patien and ask for their response.
A patient response card has been included in your pack.
You may give the response card to the patient to assist them in making their response

|  |  |  |  | Ask patients aged 18yrs+: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ask all patients aged 16 and over: |  |  |  |  |
| Ask the patient how often, in the last month, they have experienced each of the items described. |  |  |  | Which of the four categories best describes their smoking status? |
| Circle ONE option for each question. |  |  |  | Tick one box. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| Ask patients 16yrs and over: IN THE LAST MONTH, how often have you felt.... |  |  |  | If patient is 18+yrs: |
| Unable to control the important things in your life? | Difficulties were piling up so high that you could not overcome them? | Confident about your ability to handle your personal problems? | That things were going your way? | Smokes daily |
| Never ............................... 1 | Never .................................. 1 | Never ................................. 1 | Never ................................. 1 | Occasional smoker .. $\square$ |
| Almost never ...................... 2 | Almostnever ....................... 2 | Almost never ........................ 2 | Almostnever ....................... 2 | Previous smoker ..... $\square$ |
| Sometimes .......................... 3 | Sometimes ............................. 3 | Sometimes ............................ 3 | Sometimes ............................. 3 | Never smoked |
| Fairly often ........................... 4 | Fairly often ............................. 4 | Fairly often ............................ 4 | Fairly often $\qquad$ .4 | Never smoked $\qquad$ |
| Very often .......................... 5 | Very often ............................ 5 | Very often ............................. 5 | Very often ........................... 5 | B205 |


| Ask patients 16yrs and over: IN THE LAST MONTH, how often have you felt.... |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unable to control the | Difficulties were | Confident about your | That things were going |
| important things in your life? | so high that you could not | ability to handle your | your way? |
| ver ........... | Never |  |  |
| Almost never...... |  | Almost never..... |  |
| Almost never.... | Almost never... | Almost never. |  |
| Sometimes ................ 3 Fairly often...w | Sometimes .................. 3 | imes ................... 3 | Sometimes .................. 3 |
| Fairly often ................ 4 | Fairly often .................. 4 | Fairly often .................. 4 | Fairly often ................... 4 |
| Very often ................. 5 | Very often .................. 5 | Very often .................. 5 | Very often ................... 5 |

## 14 Co-medications

## Organisation supporting this study: General Practice Statistics and Classification Unit (GPSCU)

Issues: This substudy investigated the extent to which the medications received at the encounter (prescribed, supplied or advised for over-the-counter purchase), reflect the total medications currently used by the patient. It assessed: the proportion of patients taking medications not received at the encounter ('other medications'); the number and type of other medications; the relationship between encounter medication, other medication and all co-medication; and GP knowledge of patient other medications.
Sample: 12,318 respondents from 211 GPs; data collection period: 28/03/2000-05/06/2000
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution of the total BEACH sample with $58.5 \%$ being female. Respondents who had no encounter or other medication made up $17.2 \%$ of all respondents. Over two-thirds (69.5\%) received encounter medication. Almost half ( $43.4 \%$ ) indicated they were currently using at least one other medication. Onethird $(30.1 \%)$ had encounter medication and were currently using other medication. Females were significantly more likely to be using at least one other medication ( $47.5 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 43.351.6 ) than were males ( $37.7 \%, 95 \%$ CI: $33.9-41.6$ ). The likelihood of use of other medication increased with age. The highest prevalence of use was among female patients aged 75 years and over $(65.8 \%)$. One other medication was being used by $28.9 \%, 19.2 \%$ used two medications, $12.5 \%$ three medications, and $22.2 \%$ four or more medications.
There were in total 27,764 co-medications (encounter medication plus other medication) recorded, an average of 2.25 per respondent or an average of 3.2 per respondent who was taking at least one medication $(n=8,569)$. Other medications accounted for half $(49.4 \%)$ of all co-medications. This suggests that data on encounter medications represent half the total medications being used by patients
The difference between the numbers of co-medications and encounter medications ranged from 0.1 medications in male infants to a maximum mean of 3.7 medications in elderly women ( 75 years + ). Encounter medication for male infants far more closely represents their co-medication than that recorded at encounters with elderly women.
The largest proportion of other medications were cardiovascular which accounted for 21.3\% of the total, followed by those acting on the central nervous system ( $13.0 \%$ ) and those for nutrition and metabolism ( $10.7 \%$ ). Other medications accounted for $86.3 \%$ of medications for nutrition, $61.4 \%$ of urogenital co-medications, $60.1 \%$ of anti-neoplastics, $60.0 \%$ of contraceptives hormones and $58.3 \%$ of cardiovascular medications. In contrast, over $90 \%$ of the antibiotics were prescribed at that encounter.
The GP stated they were aware that their patient was using $86.6 \%$ of other medications. Awareness was highest for cardiovascular medications ( $98.2 \%$ aware), lowest for vitamins ( $34.8 \%$ aware) and minerals and tonics ( $67.4 \%$ aware).

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PATIENT USE OF CONCURRENT MEDICATIONS.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

## INSTRUCTIONS

## ASK THE PATIENT

This question refers to any medications routinely taken by the patient that have not already been recorded on this encounter
form. These may include prescribed medications, over-the-counter preparations which were advised by the doctor, or patient-initiated over-the-counter preparations.

For example, if the patient is currently undertaking a course of monthly injections, uses a bronchodilator when required, or routinely uses any other form of medication, the patient should answer 'YES

If NO- questions END here.

## ASK THE PATIENT

Please list these medications in the numbered spaces provided. List up to 6 medications. If there are more than 6, please indicate how many more by writing a number in the space provided e.g.,


## 15 Lipid lowering medication

Organisation supporting this study: Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing (Pharmaceutical Benefits Branch).
Issues: This substudy investigated the proportion of general practice patients receiving lipid lowering medications and for those on lipid lowering therapy the prevalence of coronary heart disease (CHD) and risk factors for CHD. The types of medications used for lipid lowering therapy and the levels of cholesterol for different risk factors were examined.
Sample: 5,669 patients from 189 GPs; data collection periods: 06/06/2000-10/07/2000, 15/08/2000-18/09/2000.
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the respondents was similar to the distribution for BEACH overall, with the majority, ( $57.7 \%$ ) of patients being female.
Overall, $10.2 \%$ of respondents were taking lipid lowering drugs $(n=576)$ at the time of the encounter. Rates of lipid lowering drug therapy were comparable for males $(11.0 \%)$ and females $(9.5 \%)$. Patients aged 45 years and over were more likely than younger patients to be on lipid lowering therapy. Those most likely to be on lipid lowering drugs were aged between 65 and 74 years ( $27.2 \%$ ).
Five per cent of respondents on lipid lowering therapy $(29 / 530)$ were commencing therapy at the encounter. There were 564 medications used for lipid lowering therapy, very few patients using more than one lipid lowering medication. The most common generic medication used was simvastatin, accounting for $40 \%$ of all lipid lowering medications, followed by atorvastatin ( $36.5 \%$ ) and pravastatin ( $13.5 \%$ ). CHD was reported as present in $35.0 \%(n=203)$ of those on lipid lowering therapy.
Hypertension was the most common risk factor, reported by $55.0 \%(n=317)$ of those on lipid lowering therapy. Hypertension without CHD was reported for $31.3 \%$ of those on lipid lowering therapy. One in six $(16.3 \%, n=94)$ of those on lipid lowering therapy had diabetes, $26.2 \%(n=151)$ had a family history of hypercholesteraemia and $23.7 \%(n=137)$ had a family history of coronary heart disease. One in ten $(10.6 \%, n=61)$ had peripheral vascular disease. Sixteen per cent ( $n=91$ ) of those on lipid lowering therapy did not report any of the listed risk factors/conditions.
For those commencing therapy the mean cholesterol level of the most recent test was $6.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$. For those continuing therapy the mean cholesterol level at the start of therapy was $7.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$.
There were few differences in cholesterol levels for patients with different risk factors, although those with coronary heart disease had started therapy at lower levels of cholesterol (mean $6.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) than those without coronary heart disease (mean $7.4 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}, p<0.001$ ).

For other related abstracts see: 20 Screening and management of blood cholesterol, 30 Lipid lowering medications and coronary heart disease, 46 Coronary heart disease, risk factors and lipid lowering medication, 58 Lipid lowering medications: patient eligibility under PBS, 64 Current use of statins by general practice patients, 67 Risk factors of patients on lipid lowering medications, 79 Hypertension and dyslipidaemia - comorbidity and management in general practice patients, 97 Statin medication use among high CHD risk patients attending general practice, 99 Lipid management in patients with high risk conditions.
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PATIENT USE OF LIPID LOWERING MEDICATIONS.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

## INSTRUCTIONS



## 16 Effect of day and time of GP visit on billing method

Organisation supporting this study: Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care (General Practice Branch).
Issues: This substudy investigated the effect of day and/or time of the GP-patient consultation on billing method (bulk billed versus patient billed).
Sample: 5,201 Medicare claimable encounters from 196 GPs ; data collection period: 06/06/2000-10/07/2000 and 19/09/2000-23/10/2000.
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

For the 5,201 Medicare claimable encounters, three-quarters (74.3\%) were bulk billed and the remainder ( $25.6 \%$ ) were patient billed.
Patients aged 65 years and over were bulk-billed significantly more often than younger patients. The difference was most striking when comparing the $45-64$ with the $75+$ age group, who were bulk billed at $68.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 63.1-73.0) and $86.7 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 83.0-90.5) of Medicare-claimable encounters respectively.
The billing method (bulk or patient billed) was related to the day of the encounter ( $\mathrm{X}_{6}=41.5$, $p<0.001$ ). Encounters on Saturday ( $n=248$ ) were significantly more likely to be bulk billed ( $84.7 \%$ ) than encounters on Tuesday ( $n=1,413,69.9 \%$ bulk billed). More generally, the billing method and whether the encounter was during the week or on the weekend were significantly related ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}=15.0, p<0.001$ ). Weekend consultations ( $n=274$ ) were more likely to be bulk billed ( $84.3 \%$ ) than weekday consultations ( $n=4,927,73.8 \%$ bulk billed).
Most encounters on any day ( $55.4 \%$ ) were during the $8 \mathrm{am}-1 \mathrm{pm}$ ('morning') session, $38.3 \%$ were during the $1 \mathrm{pm}-6 \mathrm{pm}$ ('afternoon') session, and $6.4 \%$ were 'over-night' ( $6 \mathrm{pm}-8 \mathrm{am}$ ). Billing method was significantly related to time of consultation ( $\mathrm{X}_{2}=9.0, p<0.001$ ). If an encounter was during the 'afternoon' session, it was significantly less likely to be bulk billed ( $72.2 \%$ ) than if it was 'over-night' ( $77.3 \%$ bulk billed).
Billing method was significantly related to the combination of day (weekday or weekend)
and time (morning, afternoon or over-night) of the encounter ( $\mathrm{X}_{5}=26.7, p<0.001$ ). Weekend morning sessions ( $n=227$ ) were significantly more likely to be bulk billed $(87.2 \%)$, than weekend afternoon sessions ( $n=32,71.9 \%$ bulk billed) and weekend over-night sessions ( $n=14,71.4 \%$ bulk billed).
Weekend morning encounters ( $n=227$ ) had the highest bulk billing rate $(87.2 \%$ ), followed by weekday over-night encounters ( $n=316,77.5 \%$ bulk billed). The lowest bulk billing rates were on weekend afternoon ( $n=32,71.8 \%$ ) and weekend over-night encounters ( $n=14,71.4 \%$ ).

For other related abstracts see: 41 Time of visit and billing status.

## Further reading:

Pegram, R. W. \& Valenti, L. 2004, 'Factors influencing billing status in general practice [letter]:, Medical Journal of Australia, vol. 181, no. 2, p. 115.
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about TIME OF VISIT AND BILLING STATUS.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section offorms.

## NSTRUCTIONS



## FOR THE DOCTOR

Please indicate by ticking the corresponding box, the time of day during which the consultation is taking place.

FOR THEDOCTOR
If a Medicare item number is applicable to the consultation, please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether the consultation was bulk billed to the government or whether the patient has been billed.

If a Medicare
item number
has applied to this consultation please indicate method of
billing

## 17 Private prescription products

Organisation supporting this study: Roche Products Pty Ltd
Issues: This substudy investigated the proportion of patients receiving, or being considered for, private prescription products, and the conditions for which the products were being considered. Reasons why these products were or were not being prescribed, were also examined.
Sample: 5,222 respondents from 192 GPs; data collection period: 11/07/2000-14/08/2000 and 19/09/2000-23/10/2000
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age and sex distribution of the 5,222 respondents was similar to those for BEACH as a whole, the majority of respondents ( $59.8 \%$ ) being female.
GPs prescribed or considered prescribing a private prescription product for $647(12.4 \%)$ of the 5,222 respondents. Eleven per cent of male patients and $13.3 \%$ of female patients were prescribed or considered for a private prescription product.
The conditions for which private prescription products were most frequently prescribed or considered were obesity, female contraception, acne, back pain, arthritis, immunisation and osteoarthritis. Other conditions for which these products were prescribed or considered included pain, asthma, insomnia, migraine and anxiety.
GPs discussed the probable cost of the private prescription product with $464(79.2 \%)$ of the 647 respondents considered for a private prescription product, prior to prescribing. Multiple responses were allowed, and for the majority of patients the GP had indicated one ( $64.9 \%$ ) or two ( $15.9 \%$ ) reasons for prescribing. The most common reason given by GPs for prescribing a private prescription product (for 346 ( $53.5 \%$ ) of the 647 respondents) was that no equivalent PBS product was available. Other reasons given by GPs for prescribing a private prescription product, in order of frequency, were: at doctor's initiative ( $n=163,25.2 \%$ ), at patient's request ( $n=124,19.2 \%$ ), doctor believed patient could pay ( $n=69,10.7 \%$ ), patient privately insured ( $n=33,5.1 \%$ ) and other ( $n=30,4.6 \%$ ).
The most frequent response for electing not to prescribe a private prescription product, which would have been a suitable treatment for the patient's condition, was that the patient could not pay ( $n=55,8.5 \%$ of 647 respondents). Other reasons include: a non drug therapy used instead ( $n=23,3.6 \%$ ), other ( $n=22,3.4 \%$ ) and therapy available on PBS ( $n=15,2.3 \%$ ).
The patient's capacity to pay for treatment is a major consideration for GPs in the management of a variety of problems.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY <br> The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PRIVATE PRESCRIPTION PRODUCTS. <br> You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section offorms.

## INSTRUCTIONS

| FOR THE DOCTOR |
| :--- |
| This question refers to any |
| private prescription product |
| which you have prescribed, or |
| considered prescribing, for this |
| patient for any condition in the |
| past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months. |
| Please tick the appropriate box to |
| indicate whether or not you have |
| prescribed/considered a private |
| prescription product for this |
| patient during this time. |

If 'YES' please write the condition/s for

If you decided to prescribe a private prescription product, please indicate by ticking the appropriate box the reason you prescribed the private prescription product for this patient.

Please circle a number to indicate which conditon you are referring to from the previous question

For example, if you prescribed because there was no PBS product available for the cardiac condition listed in the previous (example) question, please write
(for condition)
$\square$ No PBS product available (1) 2 which you have prescribed/considered the private prescription product/s (e.g., cardiovascular/obesity/sexual dysfunction/ influenza/other).

For example, if you have prescribed/ considered prescribing for a cardiovascular condition during one encounter and for an obesity condition at another encounter within the past 12 months, please write

1. Cardiovascular
2. Obesity

Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether or not you discussed the probable cost of the product with the patient before you prescribed (or didn't prescribe) the private prescription product.

If you did not prescribe a private prescription product for this patient where the private prescription product might have been appropriate for their condition, please indicate by icking the appropriate box why you did no prescribe the product

Please circle a number to indicate the condition to which you are referring

For example, if a private prescription product may have been appropriate for the cardiac ondition previously mentioned, but the patient indicated that they were unable to afford this product, please write


Why did you prescribe this product? (For condition)
$\square$ No PBS product available 1
$\square$ At patient's request $\quad 1 \quad 2$
$\square$ Doctor's initiative $1 \quad 2$
$\square$ Patient privately insured 1
$\square$ Believed patient could pay $1 \quad 2$

## 18 Drugs for the treatment of peptic ulcer and reflux

Organisation supporting this study: AstraZeneca (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: This substudy investigated patients who were currently taking omeprazole or other proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), histamine receptor antagonists ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{RAs}$ ) or cisapride. Concurrent use of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{RAs}$ and antacids, the relationship between endoscopy and medication choice, and between diagnostic finding and medication choice were examined. The life prevalence of peptic ulcer disease and use of Helicobactor (H. pylori) eradication therapy were assessed independently of the other questions.

Sample: 95 GPs responded to questions on behalf of 2,856 patients; data collection period: 11/07/2000-14/08/2000

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of patients at encounters was similar to the distribution of the BEACH sample, with the majority ( $59.9 \%$ ) of patients being female.

Of the 2,856 patients, $8.3 \%$ ( $n=236$ ) were currently taking at least one PPI, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ RA, or cisapride. The majority of these were taking $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ RAs $(61.4 \%, 145 / 236)$, followed by omeprazole ( $28.4 \%$ ), other PPIs ( $9.3 \%$ ) and cisapride ( $5.5 \%$ ).

Of the 133 respondents on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ RAs who responded to a question on level of antacid use, $51.7 \%$ had never used antacids in conjunction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{RA}$ medication. Twenty-two per cent $(22.1 \%, 32 / 133)$ used antacids infrequently (<once per week) and more frequent use was reported by $18.0 \%$ ( $9.0 \%$ >once per week; $9.0 \%$ 'daily' use).
Of the 224 patients who were currently taking these medications and also indicated endoscopy status, $164(73.2 \%)$ had undergone an endoscopy. It was common for patients currently taking omeprazole $(92.5 \%, 62 / 67)$ and other PPIs $(86.4 \%, 19 / 22)$ to have undergone an endoscopy. However, $37.2 \%(54 / 145)$ of those on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ RAs had never undergone an endoscopy.
The predominant diagnosis on endoscopy was reflux oesophagitis (39.4\%, 65/164), followed by ulcerative oesophagitis ( $21.8 \%, 36 / 164$ ). Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) was diagnosed for 14.5\% (24/164).

The most common diagnosis (post endoscopy) for patients on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{RAs}$ was reflux oesophagitis ( $39.3 \%, 33 / 84$ ), while for those on omeprazole, reflux oesophagitis ( $40.3 \%$, $25 / 62$ ) and ulcerative oesophagitis ( $40.3 \%$ ) were most common.
Of the total sample less than one in twenty $(4.4 \%, n=125)$ reported having been diagnosed with PUD at some time. Of these, $39 \%$ had received H. pylori eradication therapy. For the 71 patients who had not, it was 'not considered appropriate' for 24 ( $32.4 \%$ ), and the opportunity to undergo an H. pylori test was 'not available' to 27.

[^2]The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about GASTRO-OESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE AND PEPTIC ULCER DISEASE. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

## INSTRUCTIONS

PART 1

## FOR THE DOCTOR

Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient is currently taking any of the medications listed, even if they were not prescribed at today's encounter

You may tick more than one box if more than one medication is being taken.

Beside each medication ticked, please write the length of time since the patient began taking this therapy. For example, the patient may have been taking omeprazole for 6 months and cisapride for 18 months.
If the patient is not currently
taking any of these
medications, please go
directly to PART 2 for the
remaining questions. remaining questions.



If 'No' go to Pt $2 \rightarrow$


## 19 Osteoporosis

Organisation supporting this study: Aventis Pharma Pty Ltd
Issues: This substudy examined patients with risk factors for osteoporosis and whether any patients had sustained fractures after minor trauma. The screening and diagnosis of osteoporosis, and medications being used to treat the disease, were also investigated.

Sample: 2,710 respondents from 90 GPs; data collection period: 15/08/2000-18/09/2000
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.
Methods for this study: The One-Minute Osteoporosis Risk Test designed by the International Osteoporosis Foundation was used as a risk factor list provided to patients on a card. Risk factors included family or personal history of fracture following minor trauma, menopause prior to 45 years of age or amenorrhoea (women), low testosterone (men), long term corticosteroid use, height loss $>5 \mathrm{~cm}$, regular heavy alcohol use, coeliac or Crohn's disease.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for BEACH as a whole, with the majority ( $57.5 \%$ ) of patients being female.
One in five ( $22.2 \%$ ) of the 2,710 respondents reported having one or more risk factor for osteoporosis, such as early menopause or prolonged corticosteroid use. In gender specific terms, $17.1 \%$ of males and $22.4 \%$ of females had risk factors. The presence of risk factors increased steadily with age from $1.25 \%$ of patients aged 15-24 to almost half of those aged 75 years or more.
Of the 2,332 patients who responded to the question on fractures following minor trauma, $134(5.8 \%)$ had at some time suffered such fractures, and they made up $3.2 \%$ of male and $7.5 \%$ of female respondents. Again, these proportions increased with age up to $20.0 \%$ of those aged 75 years or over. One hundred and five patients responded to the question on how many fractures they had suffered, and 90 of these $(85.0 \%)$ reported having sustained one or two fractures, with the most common fracture sites being the wrist and the vertebral column. Patients who reported having risk factors were more likely to have sustained fractures.

The question on screening for osteoporosis was answered by 2,016 patients and 249 (12\%) had previously been screened for osteoporosis either by x-ray or bone mineral density scan (BMD). Of these, 95 ( $40.0 \%$ ) had been diagnosed with osteoporosis.
Eighty-four respondents, $90.0 \%$ of patients diagnosed with osteoporosis, were taking medication for that disease. Calcitriol accounted for almost $30.0 \%$ of these medications, followed by calcium carbonate ( $27.4 \%$ ) and alendronate (17.7\%). A greater proportion of medications had been initiated by a GP (69.0\%) than by a specialist ( $31.0 \%$ ).

For other related abstracts see: 85 Management of osteoporotic fractures.
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about RISK FACTORS FOR OSTEOPOROSIS.
You may tear out this page as a quide to completing the following section of forms.

## INSTRUCTIONS

FOR THE DOCTOR
This question refers to the risk
factors listed on the card which
the patient has previously read
through.
(NB - The patient is not required
to indicate which risk factor/s.)
Please ask the patient if they
have 1 or more of the risk
factors listed and tick 'yes' or
'no' to indicate the patient's
response.

## The question refers to the screening

 techniques of $\mathbf{X}$-ray and Bone Minera Density (BMD). Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient has been referred today for screening, has ever been screened previously, or has never been screened or referred for screening. Please circle the type of screening which the patient has been referred for or previously received.For example, if you are referring the patient today for BMD and the patient was previously screened with X-ray, please write:

Iype of Screen
$\checkmark$ referred today for screening?
$\square$ screened previously?

- never screened or referred?
If the patient has been diagnosed as having
osteoporosis, please indicate by ticking the appropriate
box the patient's current treatment regime. You may
tick more than one box. Please write the name of any
medications for this condition and circle an option to
indicate who initiated this medication, or who is
providing any counselling. For example, if the patient
is taking Rocaltrol which was prescribed by a specialist,
is receiving counselling from you, and is also having other
treatment such as hydrotherapy, please write
If 'Yes' the patient's current treatment regime is -

2. 

GP Specialist
GP / Specialist
$\Delta$ Other
$\square \quad$ No treatment have ever suffered fracture/s following minor trauma such as a bump or light fall. Please tick the appropriate box to indicate the patient's response.

| If the patient has <br> suffered fracture/s <br> following minor <br> trauma, please write <br> the total number of <br> fractures and which <br> body sites were <br> involved. <br> For example, if the <br> patient fractured a <br> wrist two months ago <br> and a hip seven <br> months ago, the total <br> would be 2 and the <br> body sites would be <br> 1. Wist <br> 2. Hip |
| :--- |

Please read this card and tell your doctor if you answer 'yes' to 1 or more of the questions. You do not have to tell the doctor which questions you have answered 'yes' to, unless you wish to do so.

## The One-Minute Osteroporosis Risk Test**

1. Have either of your parents broken a hip after a minor bump or fall?
2. Have you broken a bone after a minor bump or fall?
3. For Women: Did you undergo menopause before the age of 45 ?
4. For women: Have your periods stopped for 12 months or more (other than because of pregnancy)?
5. For Men: Have you ever suffered from impotence, lack of libido or other symptoms related to low testosterone levels?
6. Have you taken corticosteroids tablets (cortisone, prednisone, etc) for more than 6 months?
7. Have you lost more that 5 cm (2 inches) in height?
8. Do you regularly drink heavily (in excess of safe drinking limits)?
(Safe $=4$ standard drinks daily for men, 2 daily for women)*
9. Do you suffer frequently from diarrhoea (caused by problems such as coeliac disease or Crohn's disease)?
[^3]
## 20 Screening and management of blood cholesterol

Organisation supporting this study: AstraZeneca (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: This substudy investigated the proportion of general practice patients having existing coronary heart disease (CHD) or risk factors for CHD, the proportion who had their blood cholesterol tested and the treatments used in the management of 'high cholesterol level' and the effectiveness of different management in decreasing cholesterol level.
Sample: 2,905 respondents from 97 GPs; data collection period: 24/10/2000-27/11/2000
Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.
Methods for this study: Risk factors included: existing coronary heart disease, diabetes, familial hypercholesteraemia; family history of coronary heart disease, hypertension and peripheral vascular disease.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for BEACH overall, with the majority ( $58.5 \%$ ) of patients being female.
Over one-third ( $37 \%$ ) of the 2,905 respondents had at least one risk factor related to CHD. Overall, more than half ( $55.0 \%$ ) of the 2,771 patients who responded to the question on cholesterol tests, stated that their cholesterol had been tested. Of the 1,027 patients who had one or more risk factors for high cholesterol and responded to the question about initial cholesterol test, $14.0 \%$ had never had a cholesterol test.
The mean cholesterol level for those with one or more risk factors ( $n=834$ ) was $5.88 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ compared with $5.35 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ for those with no risk factors ( $n=604$ ). Of the 764 respondents using some form of treatment(s) for 'high cholesterol level', $61.3 \%$ were relying on diet/exercise only, $23.3 \%$ were on both diet/exercise and any statin medication, and $13.6 \%$ were using any statin medication only.
Among 415 respondents who were under cholesterol management and had both initial and most recent cholesterol levels recorded, a significant decrease in cholesterol levels was found for those using both diet/exercise and any statin ( $\mathrm{t}_{224}=9.7, p<0.001$ ), or using any statin alone ( $\mathrm{t}_{111}=-7.9, p<0.001$ ), compared with those using diet/exercise only. There was no significant difference between those using diet/exercise and any statin compared with those using any statin alone in the extent of cholesterol reduction ( $\mathrm{t}_{225}=0.2, p=0.82$ ).
There was a significant reduction in cholesterol levels for those using any statin compared with those on diet/exercise only ( $\mathrm{t}_{386}=11.6, p<0.001$ ). Patients using any statin had a significantly greater decrease in cholesterol levels than those not using any statin $\left(\mathrm{t}_{402}=10.8\right.$, $p<0.001$ ).

[^4]The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PATIENT BLOOD CHOLESTEROLLEVELS \& MANAGEMENT.
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section offorms.

## INSTRUCTIONS

## FOR THEDOCTOR

This question refers to any initial cholesterol test for this patient.

If the patient's total cholesterol has been tested as a result of a previous encounter, please write in the result in $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$.

NO- questions END here.

This question refers to subsequent cholestero testing for patients whose cholesterol level was 'high' at the initial test.

Please write in the patient's cholesterol level at the most recent test if re-testing occurred regardless of whether the result was high or normal.

If the patient's cholesterol was not re-tested since the inital test, end questions here



[^0]:    For other related abstracts see: 6 Employment status and workers' compensation claims, 80 Employment status and workers compensation claims in general practice patients.
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